Complexity

Classical	Quantum
The classical component involves an optimization loop, which can range from polynomial to exponential depending on the number of variational parameters and the complexity of the cost function landscape. For N qubits and P parameters, the classical optimizer's performance is highly dependent on the landscape's convexity and the chosen optimization strategy. Evaluating the cost function requires processing measurement outcomes, which scales with the number of terms in the Hamiltonian.	The quantum component involves preparing a parameterized quantum state (ansatz) and measuring the expectation value of a Hamiltonian. The circuit depth and gate count for state preparation are typically polynomial in the number of qubits (N) for common ansatze. Measuring the expectation value of a Hamiltonian with M Pauli terms requires M separate measurements, each potentially involving multiple shots to achieve desired precision. Thus, the quantum runtime is O(M * depth * shots).

Key Concepts

- Variational Principle
- Parameterized Quantum Circuit (Ansatz)
- Expectation Value Measurement
- Classical Optimizer
- Hybrid Quantum-Classical Loop
- Hamiltonian Simulation
- Ground State Energy

8/10

NISQ Feasibility

Applications

- Quantum Chemistry (molecular ground and excited states)
- Materials Science (electronic structure calculations)
- Combinatorial Optimization (e.g., Max-Cut, via QAOA which is a VQE variant)
- Nuclear Physics
- Quantum Machine Learning (as a framework for parameterized models)

Limitations

- Barren Plateaus: For highly expressive ansatze and increasing qubit numbers, the gradients of the cost function can vanish exponentially, making optimization extremely difficult.
- Ansatz Expressivity: Choosing an ansatz that is sufficiently expressive to capture the true ground state while remaining shallow enough to execute on NISQ devices is challenging.
- Measurement Overhead: Estimating expectation values for large Hamiltonians (many Pauli terms) requires a significant number of measurements and circuit repetitions, leading to long execution times.
- Noise Sensitivity: While variational, VQE is still susceptible to quantum noise, which can shift the observed minimum away from the true minimum or prevent convergence.

- Classical Optimizer Performance: The performance of the classical optimizer is crucial and can be a bottleneck, especially for non-convex landscapes and a large number of parameters.

- Qubit Connectivity: The chosen ansatz must be compatible with the physical connectivity of the quantum hardware.

References

1. A. Peruzzo, J. McClean, P. Shadbolt, M. Yung, X.-Q. Zhou, P. J. Love, A. Aspuru-Guzik, J. L. O'Brien (2014). *A variational eigenvalue solver on a photonic quantum processor*. Nature Communications
2. J. R. McClean, S. Boixo, V. N. Smelyanskiy, R. Babbush, H. Neven (2018). *Barren plateaus in quantum neural network training landscapes*. Nature Communications
3. A. Kandala, A. Mezzacapo, K. Temme, M. Takita, M. Brink, J. M. Chow, J. M. Gambetta (2017). *Hardware-efficient variational quantum eigensolver for small molecules and quantum magnets*. Nature
4. M. Cerezo, A. Arrasmith, R. Babbush, S. C. Benjamin, S. Endo, K. Kephart, P. J. Love, X. Moylett, L. Porter, R. Rossmannek, M. T. St■pie■, L. C. Wossnig, J. K. Young, L. Zlokapa, P. J. Coles (2021). *Variational Quantum Algorithms*. Nature Reviews Physics