

Solutions & Hints
Quiz 1

1.(a) Suppose.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } B = \begin{bmatrix} b & b \\ b & b \end{bmatrix}$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.

$\Rightarrow A, B \in S$.

Let $AB = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2ab & 2ab \\ 2ab & 2ab \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Zero element in}}$$

S.

$$\Rightarrow ab = 0$$

\Rightarrow either $a=0$ [implying
 $A=0 \in S]$

or $b=0$ [implying $B=0 \in S]$

Thus, the given property holds
for S.

(b) Suppose $\begin{bmatrix} \phi & \phi \\ \phi & \phi \end{bmatrix} \in S$

is the multiplication id. in S.

\Rightarrow for any $a \in S$,

we must have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi & \phi \\ \phi & \phi \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2ap & 2ap \\ 2ap & 2ap \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2ap = a$$

$$\Rightarrow a(p - \frac{1}{2}) = 0$$

either $a = 0$, in which case.

any element multiplied with $\begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix}$ results in $\begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix}$

$$\text{or } p = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \in S$$

is the multiplicative identity in S .

(c) Suppose $\begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix}$
has a multiplicative inverse in S given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \beta \end{bmatrix}; \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2ab & 2ab \\ 2ab & 2ab \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} ap & ap \\ Lap & Lap \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Evidently, we need:

$$Lap = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$2) ap = \frac{1}{4}$$

\Rightarrow Unless $a=0$,

$$\text{we have, } p = \frac{1}{4a}$$

i.e. unless we are

dealing with 0 ES,

every other element has
a multiplicative inverse in S

given by $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4a} & \frac{1}{4a} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3a & 4a \end{bmatrix}.$$

2 (a) Consider $\mathbb{Z}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$

so that $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$

which is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 .

If we add $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix}$

& $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$, we get

$$v = v_1 + v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ y_1 + y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\in \mathbb{Z}^2$$

Also for $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -x \\ -y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$[-y] \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ as well.

Thus \mathbb{Z}^2 is closed under addition & additive inverse.

However consider

$$\hat{v} = \alpha v \text{ for } \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ &} \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{v} \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$ even if
 $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Thus, \mathbb{Z}^2 is not a subspace as it is not closed under scalar multiplication.

(b) Consider $(\mathbb{Z}_3, \oplus_3, \odot_3)$
i.e. addition & multiplication mod. 3 over $\{0, 1, 2\}$

moems over $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

This is a field since 3 is a prime number.

Further consider \mathbb{Z}_3^4

$$:= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} : x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \right\}$$

with vector addition
scalar multiplication being
defined in the usual
manner (elementwise mod 3
addition & multiplication)

Clearly (no need to prove this, but
think about why this is
"clear"). \mathbb{Z}_3^4

over \mathbb{Z}_3 is a vector space. Further each of the 4-tuple entries could be either of 0, 1, or 2. Thus, the total number of elements is $3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 81.$

(c) Consider $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$

Clearly, $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \notin S.$

Hence, S is not closed under vector addition.

However $\alpha v = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
or $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha y \end{bmatrix}$

both of which belong to S
for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. So S is
closed under scalar
multiplication.

3. $(x, y) \oplus (a, b) = (x+a, y+b)$

$$(x, y) \otimes (a, b) = (ax+2by, bx+ay)$$

Clearly, (x, \oplus) is an Abelian
group. For '0' we need
 $(x, y) + (c, d) = (x, y)$

with (a, b) being the '0'.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} ax = x \\ bx = y \end{cases} \Rightarrow a = 0, b = 0.$$

Thus $\boxed{0 \equiv (0, 0)}$

For '1', we need.

$$(x, y) \otimes (a, b) = (x, y)$$

with $(a, b) \equiv 1$.

$$\Rightarrow ax + 2by = x$$

$$bx + ay = y$$

$$\Rightarrow (a-1)x + 2by = 0$$

$$bx + (a-1)y = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a-1 & 2b \\ b & a-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

(for any choice of
 $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\Rightarrow a = 1, b = 0$$

i.e., $\boxed{(1, 0) \equiv 1}$

Now, we need to verify
distributivity.

Consider

$$(a_1, b_1) \otimes ((a_2, b_2) \oplus (a_3, b_3))$$

$$= (a_1, b_1) \otimes (a_2 + a_3, b_2 + b_3)$$

$$= \left(a_1(a_2 + a_3) + b_1(b_2 + b_3), a_1(b_2 + b_3) + b_1(a_2 + a_3) \right)$$

Also,

$$\left((a_1, b_1) \otimes (a_2, b_2) \oplus (a_1, b_1) \otimes (a_3, b_3) \right)$$

$$= (a_1a_2 + 2b_1b_2, a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)$$

$$\oplus (a_1a_3 + 2b_1b_3,$$

$$a_1b_3 + b_1a_3)$$

Again, distributivity holds.

It is readily
verifiable that associativity

also holds (You need to do this)

Finally let

$$(x, y) \otimes (a, b) = \underbrace{(0, 0)}_{\in \mathbb{O}^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (ax + 2by, bx + ay) = (0, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow ax + 2by = 0$$

$$bx + ay = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

if $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, that is
one possibility.

Else, $\begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$

$\left[\begin{array}{cc} b & a \end{array} \right]$ needs to
 have a non-trivial kernel
 for at least one of $a, b \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{rref}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{array} \right]\right)$$

must contain at most

one, non-zero row.

Suppose $a \neq 0$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\quad} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2b/a \\ b & a \end{array} \right]$$



$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2b/a \\ 0 & a - \frac{2b^2}{a} \end{array} \right]$$

\Rightarrow

$$\text{ref}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$a^2 - 2b^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} = \pm\sqrt{2}$$

but $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$

while $\pm\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

$$\text{So } a^2 - 2b^2 \neq 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a & 2b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ has full rank.
unless $a = 0$

Suppose $a = 0$.

Then $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2b \\ b & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

also has full rank, unless

$$\text{So. } (a, b) = (0, 0),$$

unless. $(x, y) = (0, 0)$.

$$\text{So. } (x, y) \oplus (a, b) = 0$$

\Rightarrow either $(x, y) = (0, 0)$

or $(a, b) = (0, 0)$.

Hence (X, \oplus, \otimes) is

an integral domain.

$$(3) \quad p^2 = p \otimes p$$

$$= (p_x, p_y) \otimes (p_x, p_y)$$

$$= (p_x^2 + 2p_y^2, 2p_x p_y)$$

$$2 = (1, 0) \oplus (1, 0)$$

$$= (2, 0).$$

$$\Rightarrow p_x^2 + 2p_y^2 = 2$$

$$\text{and } 2p_x p_y = 0$$

either $p_x = 0, p_y = \pm 1$.

or $p_y = 0, p_x = \pm \sqrt{2}$.

But $\pm \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$

\therefore The only solutions
are $(0, 1)$ and $(0, -1)$.

4. Suppose $x \in \ker(A)$

$$\Rightarrow Ax = 0 \quad (\text{i})$$

Further let $x \in \text{im}(A)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ay = x. \text{(ii)}$$

From, (i) & (ii) we need

$$\boxed{\underline{Ay = 0.}}$$

Obviously for $y = 0, x = 0$

we have $0 \in \text{ker}(A) \cap \text{im}(A)$.

The question then boils down to ascertaining if any non-zero y exists such that $x = Ay \neq 0$, but

$$\underline{Ay = 0.}$$

Consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$

Obviously, $A^2 y = 0$ for

any $y \neq 0$, since

$$A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

But choosing $y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

we get $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$.

Thus, $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \ker(A) \cap \text{im}(A)$

Hence, the assertion stands disproved by counter-example.

5. \mathbb{Z}_2 is a field & hence also an integral domain. So we can factorize $x^2 - 10x + 16$ as $(x-8)(x-2)$ & look for roots of $x-8=0$ & $x-2=0$ since $(x-8) \cdot (x-2)=0$ implies either $x-8=0$

i.e. $\boxed{x=0}$

or $x-2=0$; i.e.
 $\boxed{x=2}$

However for \mathbb{Z}_8 , since
this is not an integral
domain, apart from:

$x-0=0$ i.e. $\boxed{x=0}$ &

$x-2=0$. i.e. $\boxed{x=2}$,

we may need to look for
other roots as well since

$(x-2)(x-8)=0$ is
possible even if $x-2 \neq 0$
and $x-8 \neq 0$.

We can search over the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$

and verify that besides

$$x=0 \text{ and } x=2,$$

we also have.

$$\boxed{x=4 \text{ & } x=6} \text{ as}$$

roots of the given equation.

6. Let x_N, x_E, x_S, x_W be the flow thru North, East, South & West streets, respectively.

$$\therefore x_N + 330 = x_E + 175$$

$$\Rightarrow x_N - x_E = -155 \rightarrow ①$$

$$x_E + 570 = x_S + 425$$

$$\Rightarrow x_E - x_S = -145 \rightarrow ②$$

$$x_S + 250 = x_N + 300$$

$$\Rightarrow x_S - x_N = 50 \rightarrow ③$$

$$x_N + 150 = x_W + 400$$

$$\Rightarrow x_W - x_N = 250 \rightarrow ④$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_N \\ x_E \\ x_S \\ x_W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -155 \\ -145 \\ 50 \\ 250 \end{bmatrix}$$

Performing rref, we get

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -155 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -145 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 50 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 250 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -155 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -145 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -50 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -155 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -145 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -300 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -145 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -250 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -95 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow x_N = x_w - 250$$

$$x_E = x_w - 95$$

$$x_S = x_w + 50$$

& x_w is the free variable.

Clearly, the solution is non-unique, but it exists.

- (b) Clearly adding all the rows we should get 0 on both sides, implying the inflow = outflow of vehicles [\because there is no accumulation due to "NO PARKING" restrictions].

But with an inc in net inflow by 50 & an inc in net outflow by 30, it does not hold. \therefore

This does not have a solution.
no. solution exists!

(c) Since all streets
are one-way, we need
the solution in (a) to
have all values positive.

Clearly, with $x_N = 200$,

$x_N = -50$, which is
inadmissible.

[This problem illustrates a
simple application of
linear algebra, in a
day-to-day problem].

