# Introduction to typed and polymorphic languages

L.Cardelli & P. Wegner (1985)

Soumya D. Sanyal and Chris Hathhorn

# Why study foundations?

- Allows you to reason about the abstractions you're using.
- Know what's a mistake and what's not.
- Understand subtleties of programming practice.

- Mathematical foundations of computation and programs, due to Alonzo Church.
- Untyped lambda calculus
  - Example implementation in Haskell
- Typed lambda calculus
  - Another example implementation in Haskell
- Code on
  - https://github.com/soumyadsanyal/lambdaloungetalk

- Model of computation underlying functional programming languages
  - e.g.: Haskell is a simply typed lambda calculus with additional datatypes and constants
- Consists purely of applying functions
- Can be used to define arithmetic, boolean logic, pairs, tuples, projections maps, recursive functions, lists, trees,...

- Syntax:
  - Terms t of the (untyped) λ calculus consist of:
    - variables x
    - abstractions λx. t
    - applications t t
  - o and that's it.

#### Examples:

- $\circ$  id =  $\lambda x.x$
- $\circ$  plusone =  $\lambda x.(x+1)$
- twice =  $\lambda f.\lambda x.(f(f(x)))$
- $\circ$  plus =  $\lambda x.\lambda y.(x+y)$
- $\circ$  zero =  $\lambda s. \lambda z. z$
- $\circ$  one =  $\lambda s. \lambda z. s. z$
- $\circ$  two =  $\lambda s. \lambda z. s (s z)$
- o three =  $\lambda s. \lambda z. s (s (s z)) ...$

- How to compute with these things?
- By β reduction
- Essentially substitute:
  - $\circ (\lambda x.t) s = [x/s]t$
  - In plain English: (λx.t) is a function, s is the argument, apply the function to the argument by plugging in s for x in the body of the lambda expression t

- Examples:
  - Easy:
    - $(\lambda x.x)(1) = [x/1] x = 1$
    - $(\lambda x.x+1) 100 = [x/100] (x+1) = 101$

- Examples:
  - Higher order:

    - $= [f/(\lambda x.x+1)] \lambda x.(f(f(x))) (1)$
    - $= \lambda x.((\lambda x.x+1)((\lambda x.x+1)(x))) (1)$
    - $= \lambda x.((\lambda x.x+1)([x/x] x+1)) (1)$
    - $= \lambda x.((\lambda x.x+1)(x+1)) (1)$
    - $= \lambda x.([x/x+1] x+1) (1)$
    - $= \lambda x.((x+1)+1)) (1)$
    - = [x/1](x+1) + 1 = (1+1) + 1 = 3

#### Another example:

```
\circ (\lambda x.x) ((\lambda x.x) (\lambda z. (\lambda x.x) z))
```

$$\circ = (\lambda x.x) ((\lambda x.x) (\lambda z. [x/z] x))$$

$$\circ = (\lambda x.x) ((\lambda x.x) (\lambda z.z))$$

$$\circ = (\lambda x.x) ([x/(\lambda z. z)] x)$$

$$\circ = (\lambda x.x) (\lambda z. z)$$

$$\circ = [x/(\lambda z. z)] x$$

$$\circ = \lambda z. z$$

which is the identity function, who knew!

- A harder example:
  - O Define plus =  $\lambda$ m. $\lambda$ n. $\lambda$ s. $\lambda$ z. m s (n s z)
  - Work out out that:
    - plus one two = three
    - purely by β reduction!
  - O Define times =  $\lambda$ m.  $\lambda$ n. m (plus n) zero
  - Ower out that:
    - times two three = six
    - purely by β reduction!

- Different evaluation strategies lead to different program behavior
  - Full beta reduction (anything can be reduced at any time)
  - Normal order strategy (left to right)
  - Call by name (no reductions inside the body of a lambda expression)
  - Call by value (only reduce when the argument is fully reduced to a value)

- Variables can be bound or free
  - o In the expression  $\lambda x.(x+y)$ , x is a bound variable and y is a free variable
- Expressions in which no variables are free are called combinators
  - $\circ$   $\lambda x.x$
  - $\circ$  omega =  $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) (divergent combinator)$

- The free variables of a term can be computed using the formulas:
  - $\circ$  FV(x) = {x}
  - $\circ$  FV( $\lambda x.t$ ) = FV(t) {x}
  - $\circ$  FV(t s) = union of FV(t) and FV(s)
- Free and bound variables require different treatment under substitution

 Can substitute variables for terms or other variable names at will if the variables are free

```
    [x/s] x = s
    [x/s] y = y (if x!=y)
    [x/s] λy.t = λy. [x/s]t
    [x/s] (u v) = ([x/s] u) ([x/s] v)
    [x/(λz. z w)] (λy. x) = λy. λz. z w
```

- But not if the variable is bound
  - $\circ$  [x/y] ( $\lambda$ x.x) =  $\lambda$ x.y
    - This changed the identity function into a constant function!
- What if we just don't allow ourselves to replace a bound variable?
  - $\circ$  [x/z] ( $\lambda$ z.x) =  $\lambda$ z.z
    - This changed a constant function into an identity function!

- It turns out the correct method of substitution is to make sure that:
  - you don't substitute for a bound variable, and
  - the term you substitute in does not have any free variables that conflict with the bound variables of the destination term

- Untyped lambda calculus
  - is Turing complete it can compute any computable function
  - but this power is dangerous and allows pathological computations
    - $f = \lambda x.x$
    - What is f(f)?
    - $\blacksquare$  omega =  $\lambda x. x(x)$
    - What is omega(omega)?

- $f = \lambda x.x$ 
  - o  $f(x) = \lambda x.x(x) = [x/x]x = x \text{ for any } x$
  - $\circ$  f(f) = f
- omega =  $\lambda x. x(x)$ 
  - o omega(omega)
  - $\circ = \lambda x. x (x) (\lambda x. x (x))$
  - $\circ = [x/(\lambda x. x (x))] x (x)$
  - $\circ = \lambda x. x (x) (\lambda x. x (x)) = \text{omega(omega)}$

- Simply typed lambda calculus
  - Always fully specify the type of every expression
  - No longer Turing complete
  - But all computations halt

## Kinds of Types

- Basic types
- Structured types (using type constructors)
- Recursive types

## **Types**

- Basic types
  - o Int, Char, Bool, ...
- Structured types (using type constructors)
  - Array, finite Cartesian product, ...
    - data Pair = Pair Int Int
- Recursion
  - Trees
    - data Tree' = Empty | Leaf Int | Node Int Tree'
      Tree'

# What is a type system?

- Types provide constraints upon data and methods.
- Enforce contracts (anything illegal is a type error).

# Static, strong typing

- Type systems can be static (all expressions can be typed upon static analysis at compile time), strong (all expressions are guaranteed to be type-consistent, even if not determined at compile time).
- Static => strong
- Type inference
  - Haskell supports type inference

# **Type Polymorphism**

Allows more flexible behavior and programming than monomorphic type systems, where every value can have only ONE type.

# **Type Polymorphism**

- Universal
  - Parametric
  - Inclusion
- Ad-hoc
  - Overloading
  - Coercion

## Universal polymorphism

#### Parametric:

- Functions have implicit or explicit type parameters
- data List' a = Nil | Cons a (List' a)

#### Inclusion:

Methods can operate on various objects related by inclusion/inheritance

## Ad-hoc polymorphism

- Overloading:
  - 0 2+3
  - "Soumya"+" Sanyal"
  - eval (Plus (Constant (VNat (Succ Zero))) (Constant (VNat (Succ (Succ Zero))))))
  - eval (Plus (Constant (VInt 0)) (Constant (VNat (Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))))))

# Ad-hoc polymorphism

#### Coercion:

- 0 3+4
- 0 3.0+4=?
- 0 1+" Soumya"=?
- eval (Times (Constant (VNat (Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))))) (Constant (VInt 100)))

# Ad-hoc polymorphism

- The essential difference between ad-hoc polymorphism and monomorphism is a bit blurred.
- One could argue in some cases that overloading is essentially syntactic flexibility within monomorphic type systems.

### For another talk ...

- Quantification over types (universal, existential, bounded, mixed)
- Polymorphism via subtyping, inheritance
- Parametric types
- Type inference

#### Thanks!