Does distance to Subway affect the price of residential real estate?

### Robert V Checco

### 1. Introduction

The urban real estate market is important for both investors and the general public. Local residents are sensitive to changes in the cost of housing. With an increasing number of opportunities available in cities, rising rents have pushed residents out of their own neighborhoods. As rents rise close to the central business district (CBD), living in peripheral neighborhoods has become more attractive. In New York, Western Brooklyn has grown in popularity, due to the short commute into Manhattan. This paper addresses the following question, is there a relationship between the distance to a subway station and the price of residential real estate sales. It may be that the closer one lives to a station the shorter the commute into Manhattan. Alternatively the presence of public transportation could encourage crime and decrease the property values in the area.

At least three groups are interested in better understanding the relationship between distance to public transit and residential real estate. Trying to understand the way prices of residential properties change relative to distance to the nearest subway is important to three different groups of people. First, this is helpful for urban planners to better understand consumer preferences to living close to public transit. Urban planners could use this information to better understand how subway stations are valued by its residents. For example, understanding the potential price changes of buildings will allow them to create cities that are more cost effective and efficient. One way this could be used would be to increase the supply of housing to bring down the cost of rents in the area.

Second, real estate investors could find it useful to know how distance to subway can be used when placing bids on properties in the area. Having this increased information allows them

to make better buying and selling decisions. Third, while individual renters do not purchase residential real estate, the rent that they pay closely maps what the buildings are bought and sold for. This is useful for renters to make decisions whether they will be paying more or less as they live closer to a subway station.

There are studies that create models to better estimate real estate sales prices. The models that are most often used are Hedonic Pricing models. According to Conway and Johnson (1994) it is fair to make an assumption that homes in the same neighborhood are priced in a similar way. Building on this concept is the idea of using descriptive features to approximate the price of the building as a whole.

This papers breaks away from others that try to estimate the effect of proximity to public rail to housing prices in that it is located in New York City where other papers explored countries such as China. This is seen in the research conducted by Yin and Yang (2012) where they explore how subway stations impact land values in Beijing. While authors in the past have tried to quantified this earlier in the 21st century, this paper explores these topics with most recent data.

#### 2. Data

The Data on the sales of residential real estate was collected in the following manner.

First, I located a Brooklyn Real Estate data collection on Kaggle that was a subset of the New York City (NYC) Department of Finance ledger of real estate transactions in NYC. From the entire Brooklyn subset of the dataset, I eliminated all transactions that were not for residential rentals. Then the geographic area was narrowed down to all sales conducted in Williamsburg neighborhood of Brooklyn. The data includes areas of Williamsburg to include North, South and East Williamsburg.

I omitted transactions that were for extremely low prices or didn't have a sale price on file. It could be inferred that these were family to family transactions. These figures would create a strong disturbance in the data and does not provide value to the question that we are attempting to address in this paper. I restricted the years of the data set from 2014-2017. This time period allows us to know a large amount of sales that can be seen to be in a consistent.

To measure the market value of the residential real estate I used the price in USD to measure what the market would value a property at. It is tough to say what a building could be worth without having a record of an actual transaction. For example, a building could be said to be worth one million dollars, but until the building is sold for one million dollars the price of the building is uncertain. Instead of attempting to approximate the value of the buildings in our data set we are using the transactional data from the NYC Dept of Finance. This price cleared the market and can be seen as a clear signal as to how investors value residential real estate.

In order to control for buildings that have much more rentable units, I created a variable by combining two of the variables in the dataset. The new variable that was generated is called price/sqft. This is as it seems, it is the price of the building divided by the square footage of the building. We assume that larger buildings would command more value and by normalizing it with a area parameter we can better compare buildings to other buildings.

To measure the size of the building we used two different variables. The first is square footage of the building. In this paper square footage refers to the building square footage and not the land square footage. This is because many building in the Williamsburg neighborhood have several floors and are built in the vertical direction. In order to compare buildings from one to another it is important to know how big they are.

Second, we use a rentable units variable to describe the rent potential of the building.

While a building can be very large, it is important to consider how many rentable units the

building has. In order to differentiate between buildings of similar square footage, the rentable units variable allows us to best understand if more units of smaller size affects the price in a meaningful way. This is useful for measuring the value of residential real estate because when investors purchase a building with the intention of renting it out they will try and see how much they can rent out each unit for. Once they approximate this number they then multiply that monthly rent price to understand the monthly rental income for the entire building.

Understanding this cash flow allows them to understand at what price the real estate is a good investment.

In order to distinguish between building of similar size and rental capacity, I incorporated a categorical variable that accounts for consumer tastes. I call this variable Postwar. This refers to all of the construction of building that occurred after 1945. Buildings that were built after this time were stylistically different than those that were constructed prewar. Building that were built more recently are more likely to have additional amenities such as fitness centers, doorpeople, and lounge areas. In order to incorporate this into the model we created a dummy variable that turns either on or off depending when the building was built. The default is a value of 1 that applies to all construction that was built after 1945, and the 0 would represent construction that was built before 1945. We used the year 1945 as the cutoff because there is a known architectural style that is evident in building built before and after this time period. Also, after the war, customer preferences changed and the way apartment building were constructed in Brooklyn changed.

The last variable was the distance to subway. This was measured by the Google Maps walking feature and it is expressed in meters. It takes the most direct route to the closest Manhattan bound subway station. It is important to note that this is not to the closest subway station but the closest station that goes directly into Manhattan. If there were two stations that

were equidistant I chose the station that was closer to Manhattan. For example, If stop 'a' was in Manhattan, and stops 'b' and 'c' were located in Brooklyn, and they were equidistant, I selected stop 'b'.

sale_pr ice		price sqft		gross_ sqft		residen tial_unit s		Post War		distanc e to subway (meters )		year_b uilt	
Mean	6265 685. 859	Mean	570. 646 281 8	Mean	998 8.15 882 4	Mean	10.5 588 235 3	Mean	0.14 117 647 1	Mean	381. 611 764 7	Mean	193 0.44 117 6
Standa rd Error	1086 281. 045	Standa rd Error	28.8 734 773 4	Standa rd Error	126 8.22 273	Standa rd Error	1.12 045 053	Standa rd Error	0.02 678 488 4	Standa rd Error	16.2 944 349 5	Standa rd Error	2.59 723 481 3
Median	2262 500	Median	506. 857 943 8	Median	487 5	Median	6	Median	0	Median	350	Median	192 0
Mode	1900 000	Mode	425	Mode	412 5	Mode	6	Mode	0	Mode	350	Mode	191 0
Standa rd Deviati on	1416 3372	Standa rd Deviati on	376. 464 085 8	Standa rd Deviati on	165 35.6 013 4	Standa rd Deviati on	14.6 088 875 8	Standa rd Deviati on	0.34 923 216 5	Standa rd Deviati on	212. 453 439 1	Standa rd Deviati on	33.8 637 988 8
Sample Varianc e	2.00 601 E+1 4	Sample Varianc e	141 725. 207 9	Sample Varianc e	273 426 111. 6	Sample Varianc e	213. 419 596 2	Sample Varianc e	0.12 196 310 5	Sample Varianc e	451 36.4 637 7	Sample Varianc e	114 6.75 687 4
Kurtosi s	35.1 2561 704	Kurtosi s	3.62 636 219 6	Kurtosi s	18.7 790 766 1	Kurtosi s	17.7 900 584 8	Kurtosi s	2.35 139 615 6	Kurtosi s	1.91 337 433 3	Kurtosi s	2.46 758 975 1
Skewn ess	5.34 1227 372	Skewn ess	1.52 414 860 1	Skewn ess	3.99 083 075 7	Skewn ess	3.93 838 858 6	Skewn ess	2.07 939 173 4	Skewn ess	1.05 214 820 3	Skewn ess	1.28 393 771 7
Range	1248	Range	217	Range	123	Range	100	Range	1	Range	127	Range	215

	0000		3.83 262 6		300						3		
Minimu m	2000 00	Minimu m	26.0 416 666 7	Minimu m	210 0	Minimu m	4	Minimu m	0	Minimu m	27	Minimu m	180 0
Maxim um	1250 0000 0	Maxim um	219 9.87 429 3	Maxim um	125 400	Maxim um	104	Maxim um	1	Maxim um	130 0	Maxim um	201 5
Sum	1065 1665 96	Sum	970 09.8 679	Sum	169 798 7	Sum	179 5	Sum	24	Sum	648 74	Sum	328 175
Count	170	Count	170	Count	170	Count	170	Count	170	Count	170	Count	170

## 3. Empirical Model

The econometric specification used in this paper is a hedonic pricing model. The sale price of residential real estate can be viewed as a linear function of its characteristics.

Specifically for this paper it was most appropriate to start out with the size of the apartment. The renting capacity for the building could serve as the strongest indicator on the price. I would make sense that a building with 100 units would be worth more than a building with 10 units, ceteris paribus.

I ran two different linear regression specifications using OLS. They provide a different snapshot of the real estate market in Williamsburg, Brooklyn.

Model 1: Price =  $B_0$  +  $B_1$ sqft +  $B_2$ rentalunits +  $B_3$ postwar +  $B_4$  distsubway +  $B_4$ 

The first model has a dependent variable of sale price, and independent variables of square footage, residential units, post-war and distance to subway. This model attempted to create a model that would approximate the sale price of a building.

Model 2: Price/Sqft = 
$$B_0$$
 +  $B_1$ rentalunits +  $B_2$ postwar +  $B_3$  distsubway +  $U$ 

The second model differs from the first in that the dependent variable is a fraction. It is the price per square foot. This is a variable that I computed by dividing the listed sale price by the listed square feet of the building. This helps control for the new construction that has been being built in Williamsburg. We would expect the price of new construction in the area to follow a similar price per square foot as other sales in the area. Using this control technique to better explain these high prices, will allow us to make better approximation for the Beta term for the distance to subway variable.

The second one has a dependent variable of sale price divided by square footage. It contains independent variables of residential units, post-war and distance to subway. I removed the square footage from the right side of the equation by dividing it out and dividing both side of the equation. It would not make sense mathematically to have it remain on both sides of the equation.

### 4. Empirical Results

After running the first regression specification the following regression output was generated.

H<sub>0</sub>: Beta<sub>distance to subway</sub> = 0

 $H_1$ : Beta<sub>distance to subway</sub>  $\neq 0$ 

Regression Statis	stics
Multiple R	0.9048751 61
R Square	0.8187990 57
Adjusted R Square	0.8144063 07
Standard Error	6101663.7 19
Observations	170

df

SS

MS

# ANOVA

Regression	4	2.77586E+1 6	6.93965E+ 15	186.3978 221	4.30775E- 60			
Residual	165	6.143E+15	3.72303E+ 13					
Total	169	3.39016E+1 6						
	Coefficient s	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	-3124380. 599	1049342.89 7	-2.977463 903	0.003344 477	-5196251.0 97	-1052510. 1	-5196251. 097	-1052510. 1
gross_sqft	-243.0256 524	77.7145764 2	-3.127156 624	0.002086 688	-396.46885 82	-89.58244 659	-396.4688 582	-89.58244 659
residential_unit s	1060396.0 67	88504.1628 4	11.981312 89	3.37762E -24	885649.40 92	1235142.7 24	885649.40 92	1235142.7 24

F

Significanc e F

Post War	5580152.8	1498914.04	3.7227970	0.000269	2620628.5	8539677.1	2620628.5	8539677.1
	59	7	95	998	69	48	69	48
distance to subway	-437.2924	2238.68609	-0.195334	0.845371	-4857.4564	3982.8715	-4857.456	3982.8715
	771	9	432	475	62	08	462	08

The first thought of this output was complete confusion because a few of the terms did not make economic sense. First, the negative intercept seemed to be completely incorrect. This is because if we assume there is a plot of land that is vacant and has no building we would assume that there still exists a value for the plot of land. Even if the intercept was a smaller term is would make sense, but for it to be negative makes no economic sense. If the land has negative value then how is it less than zero? What is it about the land that can possibly give it a value less than zero?

My guess is that in this regression specification there were problems estimating the price with a linear OLS model. The reason I believe this to be true is because in recent years there has been increase real estate development in the geographic area this paper addresses. The buildings that are being built are significantly bigger than the ones that previously exists in the past. This very new construction seems to be captured in the PostWar variable and any new construction seems to have an extremely high premium in the area.

The area studied in this paper has seen an uptick in gentrification as evident in the increasing number of new construction in the area. One way to control for this would be to increase a dummy variable for new construction. This may be a wise approach in a future version of this paper. The sale price of these units may be tied to investor confidence in the area which may value the buildings much higher than in the past. This could make these recent sales to be outliers to the dataset and skew the regression towards the extreme values. In the future I could limit the data points to cap the total rentable units as to not receive this error.

The coefficient of the square footage does not make sense in economic terms either.

Being negative means that as the square footage goes up the price should go down. This clearly makes no logical sense and I again think this has something to do with the regression model I used and the data points that were chosen for this project.

The coefficient on the distance to subway was negative, meaning that for every meter increase in distance from the subway, the price of the residential real estate fell roughly 437 dollars. As mentioned in the beginning of the paper, I was not sure whether this beta term would be positive or negative. Being negative means that there is a premium in being located nearby a Manhattan bound subway station.

In order to check if the coefficient is meaningful, I conducted a two-tailed test and received an output of -0.2. This is below the critical value of significance of 1.96 and therefore I fail to reject the null hypothesis at the 0.05 level of significance. This regression was inconclusive and we are unable to say whether there is a relationship between distance to subway station, and the price of residential real estate.

The R-Squared of this regression was convincing in that it was 0.81. This means that the model does a fine job of describing the price of residential real estate in Williamsburg, Brooklyn. Although the intercept and coefficients frighten me, I included this in my findings because of the strong R-Square. It seems to somehow do a good job of fitting the data. There is not as much left in the unobserved term as the specification seen below.

The second regression specification yielded more logical results.

H<sub>0</sub>: Beta<sub>distance to subway</sub> = 0

H<sub>1</sub>: Beta<sub>distance to subwav</sub> ≠ 0

Regression Stati	stics
Multiple R	0.2102277 43
R Square	0.0441957 04
Adjusted R Square	0.0269221 32
Standard Error	371.36190 31
Observations	170

### ANOVA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significanc e F
Regression	3	1058556.06	352852.02	2.558573 577	0.0568939 57
Residual	166	22893004.0 7	137909.66 31		
Total	169	23951560.1 3			

	Coefficient s	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	Lower 95.0%	Upper 95.0%
Intercept	526.20053	62.3609304	8.4379840	1.51787E	403.07774	649.3233	403.07774	649.3233
	49	8	21	-14	77	221	77	221
residential_unit	1.9578386	2.17800142	0.8989152	0.369999	-2.342315	6.257992	-2.342315	6.257992
s	4	5	24	873	384	663	384	663
Post War	178.30594	91.1126261	1.9569839	0.052026	-1.582975	358.1948	-1.582975	358.1948
	53	6	31	699	506	662	506	662
distance to subway	-0.003666	0.13447967	-0.027267	0.978279	-0.269177	0.261844	-0.269177	0.261844
	894	2	273	312	878	09	878	09

Unlike the previous regression specification this regression made much more economic sense. It is important to first note that the reason that the coefficients appear to be at different magnitudes is because in this regression, as mentioned above, uses price/square foot as the dependent variable.

The intercept term means that the price per square foot in the Williamsburg neighborhood, excluding all other variables begins at 526 dollars a square foot. This is much more meaningful than the previous regression specification because even without rentable units there is still a price for the land.

The coefficient of the distance to subway was negative in this regression as well. In this specific calculation the price of residential real estate per square foot decreases 1 cent every 3 meters further from the subway. This means that people are interested in living near a public transit line and that developers and renters in the area place a premium on public transit. In order to see if this coefficient has statistical significance I conducted a two tailed test. The p-value in this regression was -0.03 well below the critical value of 1.96. As a result I fail to reject the null hypothesis at the 0.05 level of significance and it is found to be inconclusive whether distance to subway significantly affects the price of residential real estate in terms of price per square foot.

It is important to note that in this regression specification the model does not seem to accurately describe the price per square foot as the r-squared is 0.04. This means that the model does a poor job in describing the price of apartments in terms of square footage. There must be a lot of factors outside of my independent variables that are contributing to this variation. Future research will be conducted in order to sort out this confusion.

## 5. Conclusion

With the available data and econometric specification used, there is not sufficient evidence to say there is a positive or negative relationship between the distance to a subway and the price of residential real estate. While this paper was hoping to discover a meaningful output, It is a start in trying to answer this question. Going forward, by incorporating different regression techniques, as well as increasing the size of the dataset could lead to a more conclusive finding. I am unable to reject the null hypothesis that there is no relationship between distance to subway and the price of residential real estate in Williamsburg, Brooklyn.

In future research it could be useful to incorporate other subway lines that would allow riders to connect to Manhattan bound subway lines. In this research I mainly focused on subway stops that allowed direct access into Manhattan without having to transfer in Brooklyn. Maybe instead of measuring the distance in meters, I could create an index that would explain how quick on average it would take for them to access Manhattan including walking, riding, and transferring subway lines. A future paper on this subject could expand the years of sales data which would increase the number observations present in the dataset.

Conway, Delores A., and David Dale-Johnson. "Multivariate Analysis of Real Estate Prices." <i>Lecture</i>
Notes-Monograph Series24 (1994): 439-44. http://www.jstor.org/stable/4355822.
Yan, Bin, and Jiawan Yang. "Land Values Impacts of Subway Stations A Case Study of Beijing
City." https://smartech.gatech.edu/bitstream/handle/1853/43479/BinYan_Land Values Impacts
of
SubwayStations.pdf;jsessionid=E89433B5B72FD4A4C5C4428CB0CD3C2B.smartech?sequenc
e=1.