

Groups and Rings

Carter Aitken

2025-05-05

Abstract

Contents

1	Proposition	3
2	Logical Arguments	3
3	Propositional Logic	4
3.1	Notations and Lp	4
	<i>Notation:</i> Symbols	4
	<i>Definition:</i> Well Formed Expressions (WFE)	4
	<i>Notation:</i> Operator Priority	5
4	Translating English into Prop Logic	6
4.1	Examples	6
	<i>Lemma:</i> Balanced Paranthesis	6
5	Semantics	8
5.1	Semantics of Lp formulas	8
	<i>Definition:</i> Truth Evaluation	9
	<i>Notation:</i> Eval Function	10
	<i>Definition:</i> Satisfiable under t	11
	<i>Definition:</i> Unsatisfiable	11
	<i>Definition:</i> Tautology	12
	<i>Notation:</i> Tautology	12
	<i>Definition:</i> Satisfiable set of Formulas	12
	<i>Definition:</i> Unsatisfiable set of Formulas	12
	<i>Example:</i> Infinite Sigma	12

	<i>Definition: Argument</i>	13
	<i>Definition: Maximally Satisfiable</i>	13
	<i>Example: The Infinite Atomic Set is Maximally Satisfiable</i>	13
	<i>Definition: Uniquely Satisfiable</i>	13
	<i>Theorem: Uniquely Satisfiable iff Maximally Satisfiable</i>	13
	<i>Definition: Models</i>	14
	<i>Definition: Logical Equivalence</i>	14
	<i>Example: Important Logical Equivalences</i>	16
	<i>Theorem: Replaceability</i>	17
	<i>Example: Pierce's Law</i>	17
5.2	Normal Forms	17
	<i>Definition: Literals</i>	17
	<i>Definition: Conjunctive Clause</i>	17
	<i>Definition: Disjunctive Clause</i>	17
	<i>Definition: Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF)</i>	17
	<i>Definition: Disjunctive Normal Form (DNF)</i>	17
	<i>Example: into DNF</i>	18
	<i>Example: into DNF</i>	18
5.3	Essential Laws of Propositional Calculus	18
	<i>Definition: Boolean Algebra</i>	19
5.4	Amount of Connectives per Formula	20
	<i>Theorem: Formula to CNF</i>	20
	<i>Definition: Adequate Set of Connectives</i>	21
	<i>Theorem: Std Connectives are Adequate</i>	21
	<i>Theorem: And and Neg are Ade</i>	21
	<i>Theorem: NOR is adequate</i>	22
	<i>Theorem: NAND is ade</i>	22
	<i>Theorem: And isn't Ade</i>	22

1 Proposition

An atomic prop cannot be broek down into smaller propositions.

A compound proposition is composed of atomics props.

Atomic

- I am graduating.
- I am applying for grad school.

Compound

- I am not graduating
- I am graduating implies im applying for grad school

2 Logical Arguments

An **argument** is a set of props, consiting of zero or more premises.

Premises: If I am applying for grad schools, then I must be graduating. I am graduating.

Conclusion: I am applying for grad school.

If the concl doesn't follow from prem then the argument is invalid.

3 Propositional Logic

3.1 Notations and Lp

Notation 3.1: Symbols.

- **Proposition Symbols.** Used for atomic formulas. We'll use lowercase letters, $\{a, b, c, \dots\}$.
- **Connections.** $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$.
- **Parems.** Denotes order.

Let L_p be the language of propositional logic.

$\wedge \wedge \vee \leftarrow$ (not legal)

$(p \leftarrow$ (not legal)

We defined a tokenizer. We'll now define the **parser**.

Definition 3.1: Well Formed Expressions (WFE).

1. a propositional symbol is a well formed expression.

$p \leftarrow$ (WFE)

2. If $A \in \text{Form}(L_p) \implies (\neg A) \in \text{Form}(L_p)$.
3. If $A, B \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p) \implies (A \wedge B) \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$.
4. If $A, B \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p) \implies (A \vee B) \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$.
5. If $A, B \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p) \implies (A \rightarrow B) \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$.
6. If $A, B \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p) \implies (A \leftrightarrow B) \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$.

```
data WFE t = PropSym t |  
    ExprBin (t -> t -> t) (WFE t) (WFE t) |  
    ExprUn (t -> t) (WFE t)  
  
eval :: WFE t -> t  
eval wfe = case wfe of  
    PropSym t -> t
```

```
ExprBim fn l r -> fn (eval l) (eval r)
ExprUn fn u -> fn (eval u)
```

```
neg :: Bool -> Bool
neg pred = if pred then False else True
```

```
conj :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
conj a b = if a then b else False
```

```
inj :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
inj a b = (neg a) 'conj' (neg b)
        -- = (conj 'on' neg) a b
```

```
xor :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
xor a b = (a 'ing' b) 'conj' ((neg . conj) a b)
```

To make inline Lp work, we need to establish operator prior and associativity.

Notation 3.2: Operator Priority. *Operator prior is as follows:*

1. \neg
2. $\wedge \leftarrow$ (left assoc)
3. $\vee \leftarrow$ (left assoc)
4. $\implies \leftarrow$ (right assoc)
5. $\iff \leftarrow$ (left assoc)

$$((\neg p) \vee q) = \neg p \vee q$$

$$(p \wedge q) \vee (r \wedge p) = p \wedge q \vee r \wedge q$$

$$p \rightarrow q \rightarrow r = p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$$

$$p \wedge q \wedge r = (p \wedge q) \wedge r$$

4 Translating English into Prop Logic

4.1 Examples

$s :=$ I am applying to grad schools

$j :=$ I am applying to jobs

$g :=$ I am graduating

s or j = $s \vee j$

i am either S or J but not S and J = $(s \vee j) \wedge \neg(s \wedge j)$

= $s \iff \neg j$

= $(s \implies \neg j) \wedge (\neg j \implies s)$

$(a \vee b) \wedge \neg(a \wedge b) := a \oplus b$

s if g = $g \implies s$

s only if g = $s \implies g$

storm \implies rain = rain if storm

= it's raining if it's storming

= storm only if rain

= it's storming only if it's raining

g is sufficient for s = $g \implies s$

g is necessary for s = $s \implies g$

Although g, i am not j = $g \wedge \neg j$

$\oplus = \neg \circ \leftrightarrow$

Lemma 4.1: Balanced Paranthesis. *Every formula in $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ has balanced paranths.*

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary formula in $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$. The following proof is by **structural induction**. Let $R(A)$ be the property that $LP(A) = RP(A)$. Letting $LP(A)$ be the number of Left parenthesis' in A . Let $RP(A)$ be the number of Right parenthesis' in A .

Base Case: A is atomic, $A = p$ for some prop p .

$$LP(A) = RP(A) = 0$$

Inductive Case 1: $A = \neg B$ for some $B \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$. Our IH says $LP(B) = RP(B)$.

$$LP(A) = LP((\neg B)) = 1 + LP(B) = 1 + RP(B) = RP((\neg B)) = RP(A)$$

Inductive Case 2: Let (\diamond) be a generic binary operator $(\diamond) : (\mathcal{L}^p) \times (\mathcal{L}^p) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^p)$. $A = (B \diamond C)$, for some $B, C \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$, with $LP(B) = RP(B)$ and $LP(C) = RP(C)$ by IH.

$$LP(A) = LP((B \diamond C)) = 1 + LP(B) + LP(C)$$

$$= 1 + RP(B) + RP(C) = RP((B \diamond C)) = RP(A)$$

So by the principal of structural induction, $R(A)$ holds. □

thm: for any $A \in \text{Form}(LP)$, $LP(A) = RP(A)$, proven above

Machine Proof of Above in Roc

Inductive formula : Type :=

```
| Atom : string -> formula
| Not  : formula -> formula
| And  : formula -> formula -> formula
| Or   : formula -> formula -> formula
| Imp  : formula -> formula -> formula
| Iff  : formula -> formula -> formula
```

Fixpoint lparans (f : formula) : nat :=

```
mathc f with
| Atom _ => 0
| Not f1 => lparans f1 + 1
| And f1 f2 => lparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
| And f1 f2 => lparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
| And f1 f2 => lparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
```

```
| And f1 f2 => lparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
```

```
Fixpoint rparans (f : formula) : nat :=
```

```
  mathc f with
  | Atom _ => 0
  | Not f1 => rparans f1 + 1
  | And f1 f2 => rparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
  | And f1 f2 => rparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
  | And f1 f2 => rparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
  | And f1 f2 => rparans f1 + lparns f2 + 1
```

```
Theorem lparans_eq_rparens : forall f : formula, lparens f = rparens.
```

```
Proof.
```

```
  induction f.
  - (* Atom *) simpl. reflexivity.
  - (* Not *) simpl. rewrite. IHf. reflexivity.
  - (* And *) simpl. rewrite. IHf1. IHf2. reflexivity.
  - (* And *) simpl. rewrite. IHf1. IHf2. reflexivity.
  - (* And *) simpl. rewrite. IHf1. IHf2. reflexivity.
  - (* And *) simpl. rewrite. IHf1. IHf2. reflexivity.
```

```
Qed.
```

```
Theorem lparens_eq_rparens' : forall f : formula, lparens f = rparens f.
```

```
Proof.
```

```
  Induction f; reflexivity.
```

```
Qed.
```

5 Semantics

5.1 Semantics of Lp formulas

What does p mean?

$$\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & \neg p \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q & p \wedge q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q & \neg p & \neg p \wedge q \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\neg) : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

$$(\diamond) : \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

Definition 5.1: Truth Evaluation. A ***truth evaluation*** is a mapping from proposition symbols to truth values.

$$t : \text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}^p) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

Definition 5.2. *Evaluation of formula $A \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ under a truth evaluation t .*

Notation 5.1: Eval Function. A^t

Case 1: $A = p$, $p \in \text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}^p)$. Then $A^t = p^t = t(p)$.

Case 2: $A = \neg B$. Then $A^t = (\neg B)^t$. Note that $\neg(B^t)$ is wrong, because \neg is from syntax, and 0 is from semantics. So

$$(\neg B)^t = \begin{cases} 0 & : B^t = 1 \\ 1 & : B^t = 0 \end{cases}$$

Case 3: $A = B \wedge C$.

$$A^t = (B \wedge C)^t = \begin{cases} 1 & : B^t = 1 \text{ and } C^t = 1 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Case 4: $A = B \vee C$.

$$A^t = (B \vee C)^t = \begin{cases} 1 & : B^t = 1 \text{ or } C^t = 1 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Case 5: $A = B \rightarrow C$.

$$A^t = (B \rightarrow C)^t = \begin{cases} 1 & : B^t = 0 \text{ or } C^t = 1 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} p & q & p \rightarrow q \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Case 6: $A = B \leftrightarrow C$.

$$A^t = (B \leftrightarrow C)^t = \begin{cases} 1 & : B^t = C^t \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.1. For all $A \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_{\neg, \vee, \wedge}^p)$ and $\forall t, \Delta(A)^t = (\neg A)^t$ where

$$\Delta(A) := \begin{cases} \neg p & : \text{if } A = p \text{ for some } p \in \text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}_{\neg, \vee, \wedge}^p) \\ \neg \Delta(B) & : A = \neg B, B \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_{\neg, \vee, \wedge}^p) \\ \Delta(B) \vee \Delta(C) & : A = B \wedge C \\ \Delta(B) \wedge \Delta(C) & : A = B \vee C \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta : \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_{\neg, \vee, \wedge}^p) \rightarrow \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_{\neg, \vee, \wedge}^p)$$

Example.

$$\Delta(\neg p \wedge q) = \neg \neg p \vee \neg q$$

Proof. Let $R(A)$ be the property that $\Delta(A)^t = (\neg A)^t$.

Case 1: $A = p$.

$$\Delta(A)^t = \Delta(p)^t = (\neg p)^t$$

$$(\neg A)^t = (\neg p)^t \implies R(A) \text{ holds}$$

Case 2: $A = \neg B$.

$$\Delta(A)^t = \Delta(\neg B)^t = (\neg \Delta(B))^t$$

$$\text{IH: } \Delta(B)^t = (\neg B)^t$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & : \Delta(B)^t = 0 \\ 0 & : \Delta(B)^t = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & : (\neg B)^t = 0 \\ 0 & : (\neg B)^t = 1 \end{cases}$$

So by IH, case 2 holds. □

Recap: $t : \text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}^p) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

A^t := truth value of A under through valuation t

Definition 5.3: Satisfiable under t . A formula A is **satisfiable** if there exists t such that $A^t = 1$.

Definition 5.4: Unsatisfiable. a formula A is **unsatisfiable** if for all t , $A^t = 0$.

Example 5.1.

$$p \leftarrow (\text{satis})$$

$$p \wedge \neg p \leftarrow (\text{unsatis})$$

$$p \vee \neg p \leftarrow (\text{satis})$$

in some sense, $p \vee \neg p = 1$

Definition 5.5: Tautology. A formula is a **tautology** if $\forall t, A^t = 1$.

Example 5.2. $p \vee \neg p$

Notation 5.2. a unsatisfiable formula under t is called a **contradiction**.

Notation 5.3: Tautology. If A is a tautology, then $A \models \tau$.

Definition 5.6: Satisfiable set of Formulas. a set $\Sigma \subseteq (\mathcal{L}^p)$ is called **satisfiable** if $\exists t \ s/t \ \forall A \in \Sigma, A^t = 1$.

A truth evaluation is basically defining the variable to true or false, then evaluating the formula.

Example 5.3. Let \mathbb{S} be the satisfiable adjective.

$$\{p\} \leftarrow \mathbb{S}$$

$$\{p, \neg p\} \leftarrow \neg \mathbb{S}$$

Definition 5.7: Unsatisfiable set of Formulas. A set $\Sigma \leftarrow \neg \mathbb{S}$ when $\forall t,$

$$\exists A \in \Sigma, A^t = 0$$

Example 5.4. \emptyset ? It's satisfiable.

Example 5.5: Infinite Sigma. $\Sigma := \{p | p \in \text{Atom}(\mathcal{L}^p)\}$. Define $t : t(p_i) = 1$.

Definition 5.8: Argument. Consists of a set of premises and a conclusion. The argument is valid when the conclusion follows from the premises. A formula A is a tautological consequence of $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ if $\forall t, \Sigma^t = 1 \implies A^t = 1$.

$$\Sigma^t := \begin{cases} 1 & \forall A \in \Sigma, A^t = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma^t = \bigwedge_{A \in \Sigma} A^t$$

This is saying $\Sigma \implies A$

Example 5.6. $\Sigma = \{p \rightarrow q, p\}$ $A = q$. So $\Sigma \implies A$. Hypothetical syllogism.

Notation 5.4. $\Sigma \models A$, means A is a logical consequence of Σ .

Example 5.7. $\{p\} \not\models \neg p$

Definition 5.9: Maximally Satisfiable. a set $\Sigma \subseteq \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ is maximally satisfiable if $\forall A \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p), \Sigma \models A \text{ xor } \Sigma \models \neg A$. Note that $\Sigma \models \neg A \not\models \Sigma \not\models A$.

Example 5.8: The Infinite Atomic Set is Maximally Satisfiable. $\{p\} \models p, \{p\} \not\models \neg p, \{p\} \not\models q, \{p\} \not\models \neg q$. So $\{p\}$ isn't maximally satisfiable.

$$\{p_1, p_2, \dots\} := \Sigma$$

$$t(p_i) := 1 \implies \Sigma^t = 1$$

$$\implies \Sigma \models A \text{ xor } \Sigma \models \neg A$$

Definition 5.10: Uniquely Satisfiable. Σ is uniquely satisfiable if

$$\exists! t \text{ s.t. } \Sigma^t = 1$$

Theorem 5.2: Uniquely Satisfiable iff Maximally Satisfiable. Suppose Σ is satisfiable. Then Σ is uniquely satisfiable **iff** Σ is maximally satisfiable.

Proof. (\implies). Assume Σ maximally satisfiable. Assume by contradiction that there is t_1, t_2 s/t $\Sigma^{t_1} = 1$ and $\Sigma^{t_2} = 1$, so Σ isn't uniquely satisfiable.

So $t_1 \neq t_2 \implies \exists p$ s/t $t_1(p) \neq t_2(p)$.

$t_1(p) = 1 \iff t_2(p) = 0$. Let $t_1(p) = 1$ and $t_2(p) = 0$.

We know $\Sigma \models p \text{ xor } \Sigma \models \neg p$.

Case 1: $\Sigma \models p$. $p^{t_1} = 1$ and $p^{t_2} = 1$ which is a contradiction.

Case 2: $\Sigma \models \neg p$. $(\neg p)^{t_1} = 1$ and $(\neg p)^{t_2} = 1$ which is a contradiction. \square

Example 5.9. If $\Sigma \leftarrow \neg S \implies \Sigma \models A$ holds when? $\forall t, \Sigma^t = 0$. So $\Sigma = 1$ is always false. So that always implies $A^t = 1$. So it always holds. YAY.

Definition 5.11: Models. $\Sigma \models A$ *iff* $\Sigma^1 = 1 \implies A^t = 1$.

Example 5.10. $A \leftarrow \neg S \implies \Sigma \implies A$ only sometimes

Example 5.11. $A \leftarrow \tau \implies \Sigma \models A$ always.

Example 5.12. $\Sigma \models A \implies \Sigma \cup \{\neg A\}$ is never satis.

$$\Sigma^t = 1 \implies A^t = 1$$

$$(\Sigma \cup \{\neg A\})^t = 1?$$

$$\Sigma^t = 1 \implies \neg A = 0$$

$$\Sigma^t = 0 \implies \text{already not satis}$$

Example 5.13. $\Sigma \leftarrow S, \Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma \implies \Sigma' \leftarrow S$ If that t satis sigma, then it also satis sigma'.

Example 5.14. $\Sigma \leftarrow S, \Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma \implies \Sigma' \leftarrow \text{sometimes } S$

Definition 5.12: Logical Equivalence. A is logically equivalent with B if

$$A \models B \text{ and } B \models A$$

$$A \models\!\!\models B$$

Logical Equivalence is a Equivalence Relation Proof. Lol nevermind.



Example 5.15: Important Logical Equivalences.

- *Conj is Abelian:* $A \wedge B \models B \wedge A$
- *Disj is Abelian:* $A \vee B \models B \vee A$
- *Equi is Abelian:* $A \leftrightarrow B \models B \leftrightarrow A$
- *\diamond is Assoc:* $A \diamond (B \diamond C) \models (A \diamond B) \diamond C$ for $(\diamond) \in \{\wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow\}$
- *Dist of Disj:* $(A \wedge B) \vee C \models (A \vee C) \wedge (B \vee C)$
- *Dist of Conj:* $(A \wedge B) \wedge C \models (A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$
- *De Morgan's Disj:* $\neg(A \vee B) \models \neg A \wedge \neg B$
- *De Morgan's Conj:* $\neg(A \wedge B) \models \neg A \vee \neg B$
- $\Delta(A) \models \neg A$
- $A \models \neg\neg A$
- $A \vee \neg A \models \tau$
- $A \wedge \neg A \models \mathcal{C}$.
- *Iden of Disj:* $A \vee \mathcal{C} \models A$
- *Iden of Conj:* $A \wedge \tau \models A$
- *Domination of Conj:* $A \wedge \mathcal{C} \models \mathcal{C}$
- *Domination of Disj:* $A \vee \tau \models \tau$
- $A \rightarrow B \models \neg A \vee B$
- *Contrapositive Equiv:* $A \rightarrow B \models \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$
- $A \leftrightarrow B \models (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)$
- *Absorption of Disj:* $(A \wedge B) \vee A \models A$
- *Absorption of Conj:* $(A \vee B) \wedge A \models A$
- $(A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge \neg B) \models A$
- $(A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee \neg B) \models A$

Theorem 5.3: Replaceability. *If $B \models C$, then replacing some occurrences of B inside A gives a logically equivalent formula. We can do beta reduction.*

Example 5.16: Pierce's Law. $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$

$$\models ((\neg A \vee B) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A$$

$$\models (\neg(\neg A \vee B) \vee A) \rightarrow A$$

$$\models ((A \wedge \neg B) \vee A) \rightarrow A$$

$$\models A \rightarrow A$$

$$\models \neg A \vee A$$

$$\models A \vee \neg A$$

$$\models 1_\tau$$

5.2 Normal Forms

Definition 5.13: Literals. *a formula is a **literal** if it is either p or $\neg p$ for some $p \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^P)$.*

Definition 5.14: Conjunctive Clause. *a formula is a **conjunctive clause** is a conjunction of literals.*

Definition 5.15: Disjunction Clause. *a formula is a **disjunction clause** is a disjunction of literals.*

Example 5.17. p is a disjunctive clause with 1 disjoint.

Definition 5.16: Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF). *A formula is a (CNF) if it is a conjunction of disj clauses.*

Definition 5.17: Disjunctive Normal Form (DNF). *A formula is a (DNF) if it is a disjunction of conj clauses.*

Example 5.18. $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg p, \neg p \vee p \vee q$

$\neg p$ is a literal, a disj clause, a conj clause, in CNF, in DNF.

Example 5.19: into DNF. Transform $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ into (DNF).

$$1. \text{ Use a truth table. } (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q). \quad \begin{bmatrix} p & q & p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Transform $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ into (CNF).

$$1. \text{ Use a truth table of } \neg(p \leftrightarrow \neg q). \models (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q). \xrightarrow{\neg} (\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (p \vee q).$$

Example 5.20: into DNF. Transform $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ into (DNF).

$$1. \text{ Use a truth table. } (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q). \quad \begin{bmatrix} p & q & p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$2. p \iff \neg q \models (p \implies \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \implies p) \models (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (q \vee p) \text{ is CNF} \models$$

5.3 Essential Laws of Propositional Calculus

We can do algebraic simplification over these formulas. I.e. boolean algebra.

Definition 5.18: Boolean Algebra.

1. *underlying set* B
2. *binary operations* $\{(+), (\cdot)\}$
3. *unary operator* $\{\overline{\cdot}\}$ "complement"
4. *nullary operator* $1 : B \ 0 : B$. The functions that take no input and give 1
5. *Laws:*

(a) $x + 0 = x$

(b) $x \cdot 1 = x$

(c) $x + \overline{x} = 1$

(d) $x \cdot \overline{x} = 0$

(e) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$

(f) $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$

(g) $x + y = y + x$

(h) $x * y = y * x$

Example 5.21. Any set S generates a boolean algebra.

1. $B = \mathcal{P}(S)$

2. $+ = \cup$

3. $\cdot = \cap$

4. $\overline{A} = S \setminus A$

5. $0 = \emptyset$

6. $1 = S$

7. *Laws:*

(a) $A \cup \emptyset = A$

(b) $A \cap S = A$

(c) $A \cup (S \setminus A) = S$

(d) $A \cap (S \setminus A) = \emptyset$

Example 5.22. $\text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ generate a boolean algebra. $B := \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}^p)$

1. $+$ = \vee
2. \cdot = \wedge
3. $\overline{A} = \neg A$
4. 0 = any contradiction
5. 1 = any tautology
6. Laws:
 - (a) $A \vee 0 \models A$
 - (b) $A \wedge 1 \models A$
 - (c) $A \vee \neg A \models 1$
 - (d) $A \wedge \neg A \models 0$
 - (e) ...

Example 5.23. *Disjunctive Normal Form.*

$$xyz + x\overline{y}z + xy\overline{z}$$

2 OR GATES, 2 NOT GATES, 6 AND GATES

$$\models x(yz + \overline{y}z + y\overline{z})$$

$$\models x(yz + yz + \overline{y}z + y\overline{z}) \text{ since } b + b = b$$

$$\models x((y + \overline{y})z + y(z + \overline{z}))$$

$$\models x(y + z)$$

5.4 Amount of Connectives per Formula

Theorem 5.4: Formula to CNF. Any Formula in (\mathcal{L}^p) is logically eq to atleast one forumla in CNF (also DNF).

Note 5.1. We remove \implies and \iff using laws.

Push \neg inside \wedge/\vee using de Morgan's laws

So we only need $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$

How many connectives are there? A connective is a n -ary boolean function.

1. $n = 1$: 4 connectives
2. $n = 2$: 16 connectives

We have 2^n possible inputs, considering a binary string as input. For each input, there are 2 choices. So we have 2^{2^n} choices.

Definition 5.19. A set of logical connectives S is adequate if the connectives in S are capable of expressing any set of n -ary connectives.

Definition 5.20: Adequate Set of Connectives. S connectives is adequate if for any n -ary boolean function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and for any set of prop symbols p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n there is a $A \in \text{From}(\mathcal{L}^p)$ using only connectives in S and prop symbols of p_1, \dots, p_n , s/t

$$f(p_1, \dots, p_n) \models A$$

Theorem 5.5: Std Connectives are Adequate. $\{\neg, \vee, \wedge\}$ is ade.

Theorem 5.6: And and Neg are Ade. $\{\neg, \wedge\}$ is ade.

Proof. We already know that

$$\{\neg, \vee, \wedge\} \leftarrow (\text{ade})$$

Show $\forall c \in \{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ can be expressed in $\{\neg, \wedge\}$

$$\neg p \models \neg p$$

$$p \wedge q \models p \wedge q$$

$$p \vee q \models \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

□

Theorem 5.7.

$$\{\neg, \vee\}$$

$$\{\neg, \implies\}$$

Theorem 5.8: NOR is adequate. *The non-disjunction, NOR is adequate alone.*

$$\begin{bmatrix} p & q & \text{NOR}(p, q) \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. We know $\{\neg, \vee\}$ is ade.

$$\neg p \models \text{NOR}(p, p)$$

$$p \vee q \models \neg \neg(p \vee q) \models \neg \text{NOR}(p, q) \models \text{NOR}(\text{NOR}(p, q), \text{NOR}(p, q))$$

□

Theorem 5.9: NAND is ade. $\{\text{NAND}\}$ is ade.

Proof. EX.

□

Theorem 5.10: And isn't Ade. $\{\wedge\}$ isn't ade.

Proof. Assume $\{\wedge\}$ is ade, for the sake of contradiction. So that means every formula can be written in terms of only \wedge . So $\neg p \models p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge \dots \models A$, where $p_i = f_i(p)$ for some f_i . Build out of p .

Let t be a truth evaluation s/t $(\neg p)^t = 1$.

$$\implies p^t = 0$$

We know $\neg p \models A$

$$\implies A^t \models 1$$

claim $A^t = 0$

This is a contradiction.

Claim: For any $A \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_\wedge^p)$, if $p^t = 0$ then $A^t = 0$.

Proof. Induction on A . **Base Case:** $A = p$.

$$A^t = p^t = 0$$

Inductive Case: $A = B \wedge C$, for some $B, C \in \text{Form}(\mathcal{L}_\wedge^p)$

$$\text{IH: } B^t = C^t = 0$$

$$A^t = (B \wedge C)^t = 0$$

So by induction, $A^t = 0$. So the claim holds, so the proof follows. \square

\square