# Real Analysis

# Carter Aitken

# 2025-05-05

#### Abstract

Real Analysis the study of approximation on the reals.

# Contents

L	Car	dinality	4
	1.1	Brief Motivation	4
	1.2	Function Theory	4
		Definition: Injection	4
		Definition: Surjection	4
		Definition: Bijective	4
		Definition: Invertable	4
		Definition: Powerset	6
		Axiom: Choice	6
	1.3	Cardinality	7
		Definition: Ordering of Cardinality on Sets	7
		Theorem: Cantor-Schroeder-Berstien (CSB)	7
		Lemma: Phi has a Fixed Point	7
		Definition: Finite, Countably Infinite, Countable	10
		Proposition: Aleph Null is the Smallest Infinity	10
		Proposition: The Reals are Uncountable	11
		Definition: Continuum	11
		Axiom: Continuum Hypothesis	11
	1.4	Cardinality of Power Sets	11
		Definition: Cartesian Product	12
		Definition: Set Power	12
		Proposition: Cardinality of a Power Set	12
		Proposition: The Powerset is Larger than the Set	13
		Proposition: The Natural Powerset Cardinal is the Continuum Cardinal .	13

	1.5	Cardinal Arithmetic
		Proposition: Cardinal Exponent Laws
2	Top	hoology
	2.1	Metric Spaces
		Definition: Metric Space
		Definition: Normed Vector Space (NVS)
		Proposition: NVS have trivial Metrics
	2.2	Examples of Metric Spaces
		Example: Discrete Metric
		Example: Absolute Value Norm
		Example: Euclidean Norm
		Example: P-Norm
		Example: Infinity Norm
		Example: P-Norm on Sequences of Reals
		Example: Suprema Norm (Infinity Norm on Sequences of Reals)
		Example: P-Norm on Function
		Example: Infinity Norm on Functions
		Example: Bounded Functions and the Infinity Norm are a NVS
		Example: Sequence Metric
		Example: Cantor Space
		Example: Hamming Distance
		Example: Hausdorff Metric
		Example: P-adic Metric
		Definition: Subspace of a Metric Space
		Example: Product Metric
	2.3	Convergence
		Definition: Convergence of a Sequence
		Definition: Divergence
		Note: Convergence is Distance going to Zero
		Definition: Cauchy
		Proposition: Convergence implies Cauchyness
		Example: Cauchy doesn't imply Convergence
		Definition: Bounded
		Definition: Open and Closed Balls
		Proposition: Boundedness iff subset of a Closed Ball
		Proposition: Cauchy implies Bounded
		Example: Counter Example
	2.4	Convergence Evamples

	Example: 2-Adic Norm	23
	Note: P-Adic Convergence Claims	23
	Example: Bounded Sequences and the Infinity Norm	23
	Example: Zero Tailed Sequences aren't Cauchy under Sup Norm	23
2.5	Completeness	24
	Definition: Complete, Complete Metric Space, Banach Space	24
	Proposition: Set of bounded Sequences on the P-Norm is Banach	25
2.6	Topological Spaces	27
	Definition: Topology	27
	Example: Discrete Topology	27
	Example: Indiscrete Topology	27
	Notation: Topological Space	27
2.7	Metric Topology	27
	Definition: Open	27
	Proposition: The Set of Open sets form a Topology	28
	Example: Counterexample for Infinte Intersections are Open	28
	Proposition: Metric Spaces are Haussdorff	28
2.8	Closed Sets	29
	Definition: Closed Sets	29
	Proposition: Properties of Closed Sets	29
	Definition: Limit Point	30
	Proposition: Limits Points in a Metric Topology are the Limit of a Sequence	30
	Proposition: Closed Sets Attain Limits	30
	Corollary: Closed Sets Attain Sequence Limits	31
	Definition: Subspace Topology	32
2.9	Closure and Interior	33
	Definition: Closure and Interior	33
	Proposition: The Closure is the Set of Limit Points	34
	<i>Note</i> : Norms	35
	Corollary: Closure is the Set of Reachable Points	35
	Definition: Interior Points	35
	Proposition: Interior Points of A build the Interior of A	36
	Example: Closures and Closed "Versions" of Sets are Inequal	36

# 1 Cardinality

#### 1.1 Brief Motivation

We want to build a metric space to measure the distance between objects.

We need

- 1. set X of objects.
- 2. need to measure closeness. func  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  s/t
  - (a)  $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$
  - (b) d(x, y) = d(y, x)
  - (c)  $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

We call d a metric on X. (X, d) is a **metric space**.

 $(\mathbb{R}, +' \circ^{\circ} (\sqrt{-})-)$  is a metric space. Note the BQN notation.

#### 1.2 Function Theory

**Definition 1.1: Injection.** Let A, B be non-empty sets. We say  $f: A \to B$  is injective **iff**  $\forall a, b \in A$   $f(a) = f(b) \implies a = b$ 

**Definition 1.2: Surjection.**  $f: A \to B$  is a surjection if  $\forall b \in B \ \exists a \in A \ s/t \ f(a) = b$ .

**Definition 1.3: Bijective.**  $f: A \to B$  is bijective **iff** its injective and surjective.

**Definition 1.4:** Invertable.  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is invertable iff  $\exists g: B \rightarrow A \ s/t \ g(f(a)) = a \ and \ f(g(b)) = b \ \forall a \in A, b \in B.$ 

We write  $g = f^{-1}$  and call it "the" inverse.

**Proposition 1.1.**  $f: A \to B$  is invertable **iff** f is bijective.

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) f is invertable. Suppose f(a) = f(b). We'll show a = b.

$$f^{-1}f(a)) = f^{-1}f(b)$$

$$\implies a = b$$

Now we'll show  $\forall b \in B \ \exists a \in A \ f(a) = b$ .

$$a = f^{-1}(b) \implies$$
 there is way to get from b to a, and it's  $f^{-1}$ 

( $\iff$ ) Assume f is (bijective). We'll construct f's inverse. For  $b \in B$  let  $a_b$  be the unique element of A s/t  $f(a_b) = b$ .  $a_b$  exists b/c of surjectivity of f, and it's unique b/c of injectivity.

$$g := \{g : A \to B, g(b) = a_b\}$$
$$f(g(b)) = f(a_b) = b$$
$$g(f(a_b)) = g(b) = a_b$$
$$\implies g = f^{-1}$$

**Proposition 1.2.**  $\exists (injection) \ f : A \to B \iff \exists (surjection) \ g : B \to A$ 

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose  $f: A \to B$  is (injective). Let  $b \in B$ .

Case 1:  $b \in f(A)$ .

Let g(b) be the unique element of A s/t f(g(b)) = b, unique b/c f is (injective)

Case 2:  $b \notin f(A)$ .

Fix any  $z \in A$ . Let g(b) = z.

$$\implies g(b) = \begin{cases} f^{=}(b) & b \in f(A) \\ z & b \notin f(A) \end{cases}$$

We claim g is a surjection. So we have to show  $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B \text{ s/t } g(b) = a$  Let  $a \in A \text{ s/t } f(a) \in B$ .

$$g(f(a)) \implies f(g(f(a))) = f(a)$$
  
(injective)  $\implies g(f(a)) = a$   
 $\implies g$  is (surjective)

 $\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $(g: B \to A)$  is (surjective).  $\forall a \in A$  choose  $b_a \in B$  s/t  $g(b_a) = a$ .  $f := \{f: A \to B \mid f(a) = b_a\}$ . Suppose

$$f(x) = f(y)$$

$$\implies b_x = b_y$$

$$\implies g(b_x) = g(b_y)$$

$$\implies x = y$$

$$\implies f \text{ is (injective)}$$

**Definition 1.5: Powerset.** Let X be a set. Then  $\mathcal{P}(X) := \{A : A \subseteq X\}$ , called the "**powerset** of X."

$$X = \{a, b\}$$
 
$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$$

**Axiom 1.1: Choice.** Given  $X \neq \emptyset \exists a \ choice \ func \ f : \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow X \ s/t \ f(A) \in A \ \forall \neq A \subseteq A.$ 

#### 1.3 Cardinality

$$A = \{a, b\}, \ B = \{c, d, e, f\}$$
Intuitively  $|A| < |B|$ 

$$f \text{ is (inj)} : A \to B, \ f(a) := c, \ f(b) := d$$

$$\implies f \text{ is (inj)}(A) \subset B$$

$$\implies |A| \le |B|$$

Definition 1.6: Ordering of Cardinality on Sets. A, B sets.

1. 
$$|A| \leq |B| \iff \exists f \text{ is (inj)} : A \to B$$

2. 
$$|A| = |B| \iff \exists f \text{ is (bij)} : A \to B$$

$$|\mathbb{N}| \leq |\mathbb{Z}| \Longleftrightarrow f \text{ is (inj)} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}, \ f(n) := n$$
 
$$f \text{ is (bij)} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z} : f(n) := \begin{cases} 2n+2 & : n \geq 0 \\ 2(-n)-1 & : n < 0 \end{cases} \Longrightarrow |\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Z}|$$
 
$$h \text{ is (bij)} : \mathbb{R} \to (0,1) : h(x) := \frac{\arctan(x) + \pi/2}{\pi} \implies |\mathbb{R}| = |(0,1)|$$

Theorem 1.1: Cantor-Schroeder-Berstien (CSB).  $if |A| \le |B|$  and  $|B| \le |A|$  then |A| = |B|.

**Lemma 1.1: Phi has a Fixed Point.** X set. Suppose  $\exists \phi : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  s/t  $\phi(A) \subseteq \phi(B)$  if  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ . Then

$$\exists F \subseteq X \ s/t \ \phi(F) = F$$

Let 
$$F = \bigcup_{A \subseteq X: A \subseteq \phi(A)} A$$
.

Note:  $\emptyset \subseteq X \& \emptyset \subseteq \phi(\emptyset)$ 

Claim:  $F = \phi(F)$ . Take  $A \subseteq X$  with  $A \subseteq \phi(A)$ . Then  $A \subseteq F$ .

$$\implies \phi(A) \subseteq \phi(F)$$
 
$$\implies A \subseteq \phi(F)$$
 
$$\implies \bigcup_{A \subseteq X: A \subseteq \phi(A)} A \subseteq \phi(F) \text{ (by properties of unions)}$$
 
$$\implies F \subseteq \phi(F)$$

Further,

$$F \subseteq \phi(F) \implies \phi(F) \subseteq \phi(\phi(F))$$
$$\implies \phi(F) \in \{A \subseteq X : A \subseteq \phi(A)\}$$

For this step, let  $\phi(F) = B$  and notice that  $B \subseteq \phi(B)$ . So its in the set above.

$$\implies \phi(F) \subseteq \bigcup_{A \subseteq X: A \subseteq \phi(A)} A = F$$

$$\implies F = \phi(F)$$

To motivate (CSB) theorem 1.1: prove that  $|N| = |N \times N|$ .

$$f$$
 is (inj):  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(n) := (n, 1)$ 

$$g$$
 is (inj):  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g((n,m)) := 2^n 3^m$ 

By (CSB)  $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}|$ .

Proof of (CSB) theorem 1.1. Let f, g is (inj): A : B. For  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A$ 

$$f(X) \subseteq f(Y)$$

$$\implies B \backslash f(Y) \subseteq B \backslash f(X)$$

$$\implies g(B \backslash f(Y)) \subseteq g(B \backslash f(X))$$

$$\implies A \backslash g(B \backslash f(X)) \subseteq A \backslash g(B \backslash f(Y))$$

This letting  $\phi: \mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A): \phi(x) := A \backslash g(B \backslash f(x))$  insures it preserves  $\subseteq$ . So by the lemma 1.1,  $\exists F \subseteq A \text{ s/t } F = \phi(F) = A \backslash g(B \backslash f(F))$ . In particular,  $A \backslash F = g(B \backslash f(F)) \implies g: B \backslash f(F) \to A \backslash F:$  is (bij).

**Note.** It's a surjection b/c everyone in  $A \setminus F$  gets mapped to b/c it's the image if  $g(B \setminus f(F))$ .

Moreover,  $g^{-1}: A \setminus F \to B \setminus f(F)$  is a bijection, and  $f: F \to f(F)$  is a bijection (for the same reason as above; restriction of domain of an injective function is injective, and a function that maps to its image is automatically a surjection). Hence

$$h:A\to B:h(x):= egin{cases} g^{-1}(x) &:x\in Aackslash F \ f(x) &:x\in F \end{cases}$$

Show  $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{N}|$ .

Proof.

$$f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}: f(x) := x \implies |\mathbb{N}| \le |\mathbb{Q}|$$

 $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  can be written in the form  $q = \frac{m}{n}, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$g: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} : g(q) := (m, n) : q = \frac{m}{n}$$

$$\implies |\mathbb{Q}| \leq |\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}|$$

So by (CSB) theorem 1.1,  $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{N}|$ .

# Definition 1.7: Finite, Countably Infinite, Countable.

- 1. a set A is **finite** iff  $|A| = |\{1, 2, ..., n\}|$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case, |A| = n.
- 2.  $|\emptyset| := 0$
- 3. A is countably infinite iff  $|A| = |\mathbb{N}| := \aleph_0$ .
- 4. A is countable iff A is finite or ctbly infinite.

$$|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Q}| = \aleph_0$$

Proposition 1.3: Aleph Null is the Smallest Infinity. If A is infinite, then  $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |A|$ .

*Proof.* By (Choice) axiom 1.1,  $\exists f : \mathcal{P}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \to A \text{ s/t } f(X) \in X, \ \forall \emptyset \neq X \subseteq A.$ 

Let 
$$a_1 = f(A) \in A$$
 
$$a_2 = f(A \setminus \{a_1\}) \in A \setminus \{a_1\}$$
:

$$\implies \aleph_0 = |\{a_1, \dots\}| \le |A|.$$

**Proposition 1.4:** The Reals are Uncountable.  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable. So  $\exists f \text{ is (bij)} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Cantor's Diagonal Element Proof. Since  $|\mathbb{R}| = |(0,1)|$ , we'll show that (0,1) is uncountable.

For contradiction, assume that there exists a bijection  $f: \mathbb{N} \to (0, 1)$ . So let's say

$$f(1) = 0.a_{11}a_{12}a_{13}\cdots$$

$$f(2) = 0.a_{21}a_{22}a_{23}\cdots$$

$$f(3) = 0.a_{31}a_{32}a_{33}\cdots$$

 $\dot{\dot{}}=\dot{\dot{}}$ 

Where we avoid repeated nines.

Choose  $b_i \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$  s/t  $b_i \neq a_{ii}$ .

$$\implies \not\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \ f(n) = 0.b_1b_2b_3\cdots$$

Thats a contradiction.

**Definition 1.8: Continuum.** We write  $|\mathbb{R}| = c$ , where c stands for **continuum**.

So we have 3 cardinals:  $n, \aleph_0, c$ .

**Axiom 1.2: Continuum Hypothesis.** If A is a set with  $\aleph_0 \leq |A| \leq c$ , then  $\aleph_0 = |A|$  or |A| = c.

# 1.4 Cardinality of Power Sets

**Proposition 1.5.** If |A| = n, then  $|\mathcal{P}(A)| = 2^n$ .

Proof.

$$|\mathcal{P}(A)| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} = (1+1)^n = 2^n$$

**Definition 1.9: Cartesian Product.** Let I be a set.  $\forall i \in I$  Let  $A_i$  is (set)  $\Longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} A_i := \{f | f : I \to \bigcup A_i, \ f(i) \in A_i\}$ 

$$f(i) \in A_i$$
 
$$I = \mathbb{N} \implies f : \mathbb{N} \to \bigcup A_i : f(i) \in A_i \equiv (f(1), f(2), \dots)$$

**Definition 1.10: Set Power.**  $A, B \text{ is (set)} \implies A^B = \{f : B \to A\}$ 

$$|A|^{|B|} := |A^B| = |\{f : B \to A\}|$$

Proposition 1.6: Cardinality of a Power Set. if X is (set),  $\mathcal{P}(X) = 2^{|X|} = |\{f: X \to \{0, 1\}\}|$ .

Proof.

$$\phi: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \{f: X \to \{0, 1\}\} : \phi(A) := \chi_A$$
$$\chi_A: X \to \{0, 1\} : \chi_A(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & : x \in A \\ 0 & : x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Show  $\phi$  is (bij). First show it's injective.

$$\phi(A) = \phi(B)$$

$$\implies \chi_A = \chi_B$$

$$\implies A = B \implies \phi \text{ is (inj)}$$

Now show it's surjective.  $\forall f \in \{f : X \to \{0,1\}\} \exists P \in \mathcal{P}(X) \text{ s/t } \phi(P) = f.$ 

Let 
$$f^{-1}(\{0,1\}) = F^{-1}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \chi_{F^{-1}} : F^{-1} \to \{0,1\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \chi_{F^{-1}} = \phi(F^{-1}) \text{ w/ } \phi(F^{-1}) = f$   
 $F^{-1} \subseteq X \implies F^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(X) \implies \phi \text{ is (surj)}$ 

Proposition 1.7: The Powerset is Larger than the Set. If X is (set), then  $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ .

*Proof.* Show  $|X| \leq |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ .  $f(x) = \{x\}$  is (inj)  $\implies |X| \leq |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ .

For the sake on contradiction, assume there is a surjection  $g: X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Consider  $B := \{x \in X : x \notin g(x)\}$ . Hence there must be (by surjectivity of g)  $z \in X$  s/t g(z) = B. Someone has to map to it.

$$z \in B \implies z \notin g(z) = B$$

$$z \notin B \implies z \in g(z) = B$$

This is a contradiction. So  $|X| < |\mathcal{P}(X)|$ .

Infinite Infinities.  $|\mathbb{N}| < |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})| < |\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))| < \dots$ 

Proposition 1.8: The Natural Powerset Cardinal is the Continuum Cardinal.  $|\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})| = c \ (\equiv 2^{\aleph_0} = c \equiv |\{0,1\}|^{|\mathbb{N}|} = |\mathbb{R}|)$ 

Proof.

We'll use the continuum hypthesis, however there's an alternative proof in the course notes.

Consider  $X = \{f : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}\}.$ 

$$\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}: \phi(f) := 0.f(1)f(2)f(3)\dots$$

We can see that  $\phi$  is injective. So

$$2^{\aleph_0} = |X| \le |\mathbb{R}| = c$$

Also,  $\aleph_0 < 2^{\aleph_0} \le c$ . So by (CH), we know  $2^{\aleph_0} = c$ .

Proof (without (CH)). ...

# 1.5 Cardinal Arithmetic

**Definition 1.11.** A, B is (sets)

1.  $A \cap B = \emptyset \implies |A| + |B| := |A \cup B|$ 

- 2.  $|A| \cdot |B| := |A \times B|$
- 3.  $|A|^{|B|} := |\{f : B \to A\}|$

**Example.**  $\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ . Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots\}, B = \{b_1, \dots\}, \text{ so that } |A| = |B| = \aleph_0,$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Then  $\phi: A \cup B \to \mathbb{N}: \phi(a_i) := 2i, \ \phi(b_i) := 2i - 1$ . This is a bijection. Hence  $|A \cup B| = \aleph_0$ .

Example.  $\aleph_0 + c = c$ .

 $\aleph_0 = |\mathbb{N}|, \ |(0,1)| = c.$ 

 $(0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup (0,1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\implies c \le \aleph_0 + c \le c$ 

 $\implies \aleph_0 + c = c$ 

Proposition 1.9: Cardinal Exponent Laws. A, B, C is (sets).

1.  $(|A|^{|B|})^{|C|} = |A|^{|B|\cdot|C|}$ 

2.  $(|A|^{|B|})(|A|^{|C|}) = |A|^{|B|+|C|}$ 

**Example.** Show that  $c \cdot c = c$ .

$$c \cdot c = (2^{\aleph_0})(2^{\aleph_0}) = 2^{\aleph_0 + \aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0} = c$$

*Proof of 2.* We must show

$$|\{f|f:B\cup C\to A\}|=|\{f|f:B\cup A\}\times\{f|f:B\to A\}|$$
 Let  $X:=\{f|f:B\to A\}$  Let  $Y:=\{f|f:C\to A\}$  Let  $Z:=\{f|f:B\cup C\to A\}$ 

So, equivically we need to show  $|Z| = |X \times Y|$ .

Consider 
$$\varphi(f,g)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in B \\ g(x) & y \in C \end{cases}$$
.  

$$\varphi(f_1,g_1) = \varphi(f_2,g_2)$$

$$\implies \forall x \in B \cup C, \ \varphi(f_1,g_1)(x) = \varphi(f_2,g_2)(x)$$

$$\implies \forall x \in B, \ f_1(x) = f_2(x) \implies f_1 = f_2$$

Consider  $h: B \cup C \to A$ . Let  $f = h|_B$ ,  $g = h|_C$ . Then  $\varphi(f,g) = h$ .

So  $\varphi$  is bijective, so proposition 2 holds.

**Example:**  $c^{\aleph_0} = (2^{\aleph_0})^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0 \cdot \aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_0} = c$ .

# 2 Topology

## 2.1 Metric Spaces

**Definition 2.1: Metric Space.** X is (set). A metric on X is a function  $d: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  s/t

 $\implies \forall x \in C, \ q_1(x) = q_2(x) \implies q_1 = q_2$ 

- 1.  $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$
- 2. **Abelian:** d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- 3. **Triangle:**  $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$

**Definition 2.2: Normed Vector Space (NVS).** Let V is (Vector Space) over

 $\mathbb{R}$ . A norm on V is a  $fn \| \cdot \| : V \to [0, \infty)$  s/t

1. 
$$||v|| = 0 \iff v = \vec{0}$$

- $2. \|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|v\|$
- 3.  $||v + u|| \le ||v|| + ||u||$

**BQN:**  $\| \times = = | \circ l \cdot \| \circ r \quad | + \leq \leq + \square |$ 

**Proposition 2.1: NVS have trivial Metrics.** Let  $V, \| \cdot \|$  is (NVS).  $d(v, w) = \|v - w\|$  is a metric on V.

#### 2.2 Examples of Metric Spaces

Example 2.1: Discrete Metric. X is (set).

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = y \\ 1 & x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Example 2.2: Absolute Value Norm.  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  is (NVS)

Example 2.3: Euclidean Norm.  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  is (NVS) where  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$ .

**Example 2.4: P-Norm.**  $p \ge 1$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is (NVS) where

$$||x||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Note: see posted notes for the proof that this is a norm. OPTIONAL.

**Example 2.5: Infinity Norm.**  $p = \infty$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is (NVS) where

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

Example 2.6: P-Norm on Sequences of Reals.  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} := \{f|f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}\} = \{(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}: a_n \in \mathbb{R}\}.$  For  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$||x||_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1}$$

 $l^p := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : ||x||_p < \infty\} \implies (l^p, ||\cdot||_p) \text{ is (NVS)}.$  This is the p-norm on sequences of reals. Notice how this solve the divergence issue (by ignoring it lol).

**Example:**  $l^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \sum |x_i| < \infty\} \implies l^p \text{ is the set of absolutly convergent sequences.}$ 

Example 2.7: Suprema Norm (Infinity Norm on Sequences of Reals).

 $||x||_{\infty} = \sup\{|x_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

if we let  $l^{\infty} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : ||x||_{\infty} < \infty\}$ , noting that  $l^{\infty}$  is the set of all bounded sequences, then  $(l^{\infty}, ||\cdot||_{\infty})$  is (NVS).

Example 2.8: P-Norm on Function.  $C([a,b]) := \{f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} | f \text{ is } (\text{cts})\}.$ 

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_a^b |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ p \ge 1$$

**Example 2.9: Infinity Norm on Functions.**  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a,b]\}$ 

Example 2.10: Bounded Functions and the Infinity Norm are a NVS.  $\mathbb{B}([a,b]) = \{f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} | f \text{ is (bd)}\}, (\mathbb{B}([a,b]), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \text{ is (NVS)}.$ 

Example 2.11: Sequence Metric.  $X = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{f | f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}\}.$ 

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_i - y_i|}{2^i (1 + |x_i - y_i|)}$$

Prove that d isn't induced by a metric. If d(x,y) = ||x - y|| for some norm, then  $||\alpha x - \alpha y|| = |\alpha| ||x - y||$ .

$$d(ax, ay) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|ax_i - ay_i|}{2^i (1 + |ax_i - ay_i|)}$$

$$d(ax, ay) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a||x_i - y_i|}{2^i (1 + |a||x_i - y_i|)}$$

$$|a|d(x, y) = |a| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_i - y_i|}{2^i (1 + |x_i - y_i|)}$$

$$|a|d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a||x_i - y_i|}{2^i (1 + |x_i - y_i|)}$$

$$b/c |a||x_i - y_i| \neq |x_i - y_i|$$

$$\implies \text{not induced by a norm}$$

Example 2.12: Cantor Space.  $X = 2^{\mathbb{N}} := \{f | f : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}\}.$ 

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_i - y_i|}{2^i}$$

Example 2.13: Hamming Distance. X is (finite).  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ .

$$d(A,B) := |A \triangle B| = |(A \cup B) \backslash (A \cap B)|$$

**Example 2.14: Hausdorff Metric.**  $\mathcal{H} = \{K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n : K \text{ compact}\}.$  Let  $a \in A, b \in B, A, B \in \mathcal{H}.$ 

$$d(a, B) = \min\{\|a - b\| : b \in B\}$$
 
$$d(b, A) = \min\{\|a - b\| : a \in A\}$$
 
$$d(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}$$

**Note 2.1.**  $\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B)$  represents the biggest shortest path between A and B.

Note 2.2. Metrics give a sense of convergence on a space.

**Example 2.15: P-adic Metric.** Let p be prime,  $X = \mathbb{Q}$ .

Let 
$$0 \neq q \in X = \mathbb{Q}$$
  $q = p^a \frac{n}{m}$   
where  $gcd(n, m) = gcd(p, n) = gcd(p, m) = 1$   

$$|q|_p = \frac{1}{p^a}, \ |0|_p = 0$$

$$d(q_1, q_2 := |q_1 - q_2|_p)$$

Note 2.3. This notion of distance implies the more factors of p, the closer. This gives a sense of optimizing for a certain adjective.

These numbers aren't close using  $\|\cdot\|_2$ , but are using the p-adic norm.

**Definition 2.3: Subspace of a Metric Space.**  $(X,d), Y \subseteq X \implies (Y,d).$  (Y,d) is called a **subspace** of (X,d).

**Definition 2.4.**  $(X, d_1), (Y, d_2).$  Consider  $(X \times Y, d)$  with

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := d_1(x_1, x_2) + d_2(y_1, y_2) \ (1-norm)$$
 or 
$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := \max\{d_1(x_1, x_2), d_2(y_1, y_2)\} \ (\infty-norm)$$

**Example 2.16: Product Metric.**  $(X_i, d_i)$   $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_i$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ .

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i(x_i, y_i)}{2^i (1 + d_i(x_i, y_i))}$$

#### 2.3 Convergence

**Definition 2.5: Convergence of a Sequence.**  $(X,d), (x_n) \subseteq X, \text{ and } x \in X.$ 

Notation 2.1.  $(x_n) \subseteq X$  means  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in X.

 $(x_n)$  conv to  $x, x_n \to x$  iff

 $\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ s/t \ \forall n \geq N, \ d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$ 

**Definition 2.6: Divergence.**  $(x_n)$  diverges of  $\exists x \in X \ s/t \ x_n \to x$ .

Note 2.4: Convergence is Distance going to Zero.  $(X,d), (x_n) \in X, x \in x$ . Then  $x_n \to x$  iff  $d(x_n, x) \to 0$ .

**Definition 2.7: Cauchy.** (X,d).  $(x_n) \subseteq X$  is a cauchy seq iff

 $\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ s/t \ \forall n, m \geq N, \ d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ 

Proposition 2.2: Convergence implies Cauchyness. (X, d). If  $(x_n) \subseteq X$  converges, then  $(x_n)$  is cauchy.

Epsilon/2. Suppose  $(x_n) \to x$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . So  $\exists N \text{ s/t } \forall n \geq N$ ,

$$d(x_n, x) < \gamma$$

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_m) \text{ by } \triangle$$

$$< \gamma + \gamma = 2\gamma$$

$$:= 2\frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

Example 2.17: Cauchy doesn't imply Convergence. X = (0, 1] with the std metric.

 $\frac{1}{n} \to 0 \implies \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \subseteq X \text{ is cauchy}$ 

**Note 2.5.** I think this is trying to say that 1/n is cauchy in X, but  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ , which is not in X, so it diverges (in X).

#### Definition 2.8: Bounded.

- 1.  $A \subseteq X$  is  $bd \underline{iff} \sup\{d(x,y) : x,y \in A\} < \infty$
- 2.  $(x_n) \subseteq X$  is (bd)  $\iff$   $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  is (bd)  $\iff$   $\sup\{n, m \in \mathbb{N} : d(x_n, x_m)\} < \infty$

#### Definition 2.9: Open and Closed Balls.

- 1. **Open:**  $B_r(a) := \{x \in X : d(x, a) < r\}$
- 2. **Clsd:**  $B_r[a] := \{x \in X : d(x, a) \le r\}$

Proposition 2.3: Boundedness iff subset of a Closed Ball.  $(X,d), A \subseteq X$ . Then A is bd iff  $\exists r > 0, \exists x \in X \ s/t \ A \subseteq B_r[x]$  *Proof.* Suppose  $\sup\{d(x,y): x,y\in A\}=r<\infty$ . Assume  $A\neq\emptyset$ , taking  $a\in A$ . For  $b\in A, d(a,b)\leq r \implies A\subseteq B_r[a]$ .

Assume  $A \subseteq B_r[a] \implies \forall a, b \in A, \ d(a,b) \le d(a,x) + d(x,b) \le 2r$ 

Proposition 2.4: Cauchy implies Bounded.  $(x_n)$  is (cauchy)  $\Longrightarrow$   $(x_n)$  is (bd)

**Example 2.18: Counter Example.** (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, ...) is bounded but not cauchy.

Note 2.6.  $CONVERGENCE \implies CAUCHY \implies BOUNDED$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $(x_n)$  is cauchy. Let  $\epsilon = 1$ .

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s/t } \forall n, m \geq N \ d(x_n, x_m) < 1$$

Let  $r := \max\{d(x_1, x_N), \dots, d(x_{N-1}, x_N)\}$ 

Note 2.7. We did this because the web's edges are no longer than 1. So, we can look at the <u>finite</u> part left. Finite sets are bounded, and the "web" is bounded.

$$(x_n) \subseteq B_r[x_N]$$

### 2.4 Convergence Examples

**Example 2.19: 2-Adic Norm.** Consider  $(\mathbb{Q}, |\cdot|_2)$ . Let  $x_n := \frac{1+2^n}{3}$ .

Note 2.8: P-Adic Convergence Claims. Looking at  $x_n = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2^n}{3} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2^n}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$ .

We claim  $x_n \to \frac{1}{3}$ .

Proof.

$$\left| x_n - \frac{1}{3} \right|_2 = \left| \frac{2^n}{3} \right|_2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^n}$$
$$\to 0$$

So  $x_n \to \frac{1}{3}$  under  $|\cdot|_2$ .

Example 2.20: Bounded Sequences and the Infinity Norm.  $(l^{\infty}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ .

Let  $x_n := (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $x := (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots)$ 

We claim that  $x_n \to x$ .

Proof.

$$||x_n - x||_{\infty}$$

$$= \sup \left(0, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+2}, \dots\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \to 0$$

Example 2.21: Zero Tailed Sequences aren't Cauchy under Sup Norm.

$$y_n := (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n}, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$y := (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$$

$$n \neq m, \ \|y_n - y_m\|_{\infty} = 1$$

#### 2.5 Completeness

Definition 2.10: Complete, Complete Metric Space, Banach Space.  $(X,d),\ A\subseteq X.\ Then$ 

- 1. A is **complete** iff every cauchy seq in A converges to some  $a \in A$ .
- 2. if X is complete, we call it a Complete Metric Space.
- 3. A complete normed vector space is called a **Banach Space**

Example 2.22.

$$X = (0,1], \frac{1}{n} \to 0 \notin X \implies (\text{div}) \implies X / \text{is (comp)}$$

Example 2.23.

$$A = [1/2, 1] \subseteq X$$
 is (comp)

**Example 2.24.** 
$$(X, d := (\text{discrete}))$$
 Let  $(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be cauchy. 
$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ s/t \ \forall n, m \geq N \implies d(x_n, x_m) < 1$$

$$\implies d(x_n, x_m) = 0$$

$$\implies x_n = x_m$$

$$\implies x_n = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, x_N, x_N, \dots) \to x_N$$

$$\implies X$$
 is (complete)

Note 2.9. So nice sets or nice metrics can cause completeness.

**Example 2.25.** Show  $(l^{\infty}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is a Banach Space.

Let  $(x_n) \subseteq l^{\infty}$ , example 2.7. We know already that  $(l^{\infty}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is a (NVS), so we have to show it's complete. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then,

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ s/t \ n, m \ge N \implies \|x_n - x_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$$

$$x_k = (x_k[1], x_k[2], \dots)$$

$$for \ n, m \ge N, \ |x_n[i] - x_m[i]|$$

$$\leq \sup\{|x_n[i] - x_m[i]| : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$= \|x_n - x_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon$$

$$\implies \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ the \ seq \ (x_n[i])_{n=1}^{\infty} \ \text{is (cauchy in } \mathbb{R})$$

$$\mathbb{R} \ \text{is (comp)} \implies x_n[i] \xrightarrow{n} b_i$$

$$Claim: \ x_n \to b := (b_1, b_2, \dots)$$

$$\forall n, m \ge N, \ |x_n[i] - x_m[i]| < \epsilon$$

$$\implies \lim_{m \to \infty} |x_n[i] - x_m[i]| \le \epsilon$$

$$\implies \forall n \ge N, \ |x_n[i] - b_i| \le \epsilon$$

$$Consider \ \|x_n - b\|_{\infty}$$

$$= \sup\{|x_n[i] - b_i| : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\leq \epsilon < 2\epsilon$$

Hence  $x_n \to b$ .

**Note:** we have that  $x_N - b \in l^{\infty}$ , and  $x_N \in l^{\infty}$ . However  $l^{\infty}$  is a (VS), so  $b \in l^{\infty}$ .

Proposition 2.5: Set of bounded Sequences on the P-Norm is Banach.  $(\ell^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$  is (banach).

$$||x||_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\ell^p := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : ||x||_p < \infty\} \implies (\ell^p, ||\cdot||_p) \text{ is (NVS)}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(a_k) \subseteq \ell^p$  be cauchy.

Say 
$$a_k = (a_k[1], a_k[2], \dots)$$

Let 
$$\epsilon > 0$$

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s/t } ||a_k - a_m|| < \epsilon, \ \forall k, m \ge N$$

Fixing 
$$i \in \mathbb{N}$$
, Since  $|a_k[i] - a_m[i]| \le ||a_k - a_m||_p < \epsilon$ 

We see that  $(a_k[i])_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is (cauchy in  $\mathbb{R}$ )

$$\mathbb{R}$$
 is (comp)  $\Longrightarrow a_k[i] \to b_i$  for some  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Claim: 
$$a_k \to b = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$$

 $\forall k, m \geq N$ , we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} |a_k[i] - a_m[i]|^p$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_k[i] - a_m[i]|^p$$

$$= \|a_k - a_m\|_p^p < \epsilon^p$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} |a_k[i] - b_i|^p \le \epsilon^p, \ \forall M \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$M \to \infty : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_k[i] = b_i|^p \le \epsilon^p$$

$$\implies ||a_k - b||_p \le \epsilon, \ \forall k \ge N$$

Noting that  $a_N, a_N - b \in \ell^p \implies b \in \ell^p$ .

#### Example 2.26.

$$C_{00} = \{(x_n) \in \ell^{\infty} : \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ s/t \ \forall n \ge N, \ x_n = 0\}$$
  
is (NVS),  $via \parallel \cdot \parallel_{\infty}$ 

Consider

$$x_n = (1, 1/2, \dots, 1/n, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$$

 $x_n$  is (cauchy, divergence) b/c

$$x_n \to (1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots) \notin C_{00} \implies C_{00} \text{ is } (\neg \text{comp})$$

### 2.6 Topological Spaces

**Definition 2.11: Topology.** X set. A topology on X is a set  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  s/t

- 1.  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}$
- 2.  $U, V \in \mathcal{T} \implies U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}$
- 3.  $U_i \in \mathcal{T}, (i \in I) \implies \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \in \mathcal{T}$

Example 2.27: Discrete Topology. X set.  $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{P}(X)$ 

Example 2.28: Indiscrete Topology.  $\mathcal{T} := \{\emptyset, X\}$ 

Example 2.29.  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ 

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\$$

Notation 2.2: Topological Space. If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology on X, then  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called a **topological space**.

#### 2.7 Metric Topology

**Definition 2.12: Open.**  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .  $U \subseteq X$  is open  $\underline{iff} \ \forall x \in U, \ \exists r > 0 \ s/t \ B_r(x) \subseteq U$ .

Proposition 2.6: The Set of Open sets form a Topology.  $\mathcal{T} = \{U \subseteq X : U \text{ open}\}$  is a topology.

*Proof.*  $\emptyset, X \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  trivially.

Let  $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ . Since U & V are open

$$\exists r_1, r_2 > 0 \text{ s/t}$$
  $B_{r_1}(x) \subseteq U$   $B_{r_2}(x) \subseteq V$ 

$$r := \min\{r_1, r_2\} \quad B_r(x) \subseteq U \cap V \implies U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}$$

Let  $U_i \in \mathcal{T}$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ .

So 
$$\exists i \in I \text{ s/t } x \in U_i$$

So 
$$\exists r > 0 \text{ s/t } B_r(x) \subseteq U_i \subseteq \bigcup U_i$$

Example 2.30: Counterexample for Infinte Intersections are Open.

$$(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\text{open}})$$
  $U_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$   $\bigcap U_n = \{0\} \notin \mathcal{T}$ 

**Proposition 2.7: Metric Spaces are Haussdorff.**  $(X,d) \ \forall x \neq y \in X, \ \exists U,V \subseteq X \ open \ s/t \ x \in U, \ y \in V, \ U \cap V = \emptyset$ 

*Proof.* Let 
$$r = d(x, y) > 0$$
. Let  $U = B_{r/2}(x)$   $V = B_{r/2}(y)$ .

Open Balls are Open Proof. Let  $x \in B_r(a)$  for some  $a \in X$ , r > 0. Then

d(x,a) < r by open ball def

$$y :\in B_{r-d(x,a)}(x)$$

$$\implies d(x,y) < r - d(x,a)$$

$$d(y,a) \overset{\text{(TI)}}{<} d(x,y) + d(x,a) < r$$

$$\implies y \in B_r(a) \implies B_{r-d(x,a)}(x) \subseteq B_r(a) \implies B_r(a) \text{ is open}$$

Assume for the sake of contradiction  $\exists z \in B_{r/2}(x) \cap B_{r/2}(y)$ .

$$\implies d(z,x) < r/2 \quad d(z,y) < r/2$$

$$r > d(x, z) + d(z, y) > d(x, y) = r$$

This is a contradiction.

**Example 2.31.**  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \ \mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}\}$ . Since a cannot be "seperated" from b, then there is no possible metric on X, so  $\mathcal{T}$  isn't a metric topology. All metrics make a topology, not all topologies make a metric.

#### 2.8 Closed Sets

**Definition 2.13: Closed Sets.**  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .  $C \subseteq X$  is closed iff  $X \setminus C \in \mathcal{T}$ 

Proposition 2.8: Properties of Closed Sets.  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .

- 1.  $\emptyset$ , X closed.
- 2. C, D closed then  $C \cup D$  closed.
- 3.  $C_i$ ,  $i \in I$ ,  $\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$  is closed.

Proof by "Boolean Nonsense".  $X \setminus C$ ,  $X \setminus D \in \mathcal{T}$ .

$$X \setminus (C \cup D) \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$X \setminus C \cap X \setminus D \in \mathcal{T}$$

**Definition 2.14: Limit Point.**  $(X, \mathcal{T}), A \subseteq X$ . We say  $x \in X$  is a **limit point** of A iff

 $\forall U \in \mathcal{T} \text{ with } x \in U \quad A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ 

Note 2.10.  $x \in A \implies x$  is (limit point)

Proposition 2.9: Limits Points in a Metric Topology are the Limit of a Sequence.  $A \in X$ . Then  $x \in X$  is a limit point of A iff  $\exists (a_n) \in A$  s/t  $a_n \to x$ .

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) *Proof.* Assume x is a limit a point of A. Then  $\forall U \in \mathcal{T}, x \in U, A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists a_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A \ (\neq \emptyset)$ . Then  $d(x, a_n) < \frac{1}{n} \to 0 \Longrightarrow a_n \to x \square$ 

 $(\longleftarrow)$  Proof. Assume  $\exists a_n \to x$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ \text{s/t} \ d(a_n, x) < \epsilon$ . Let  $U \subseteq X$  be open with  $x \in U$ .

$$\implies \exists r > 0 \text{ s/t } B_r(x) \subseteq U$$

Then  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s/t } d(a_N, x) < r$ 

So 
$$a_N \in U \implies A \cap U \ni a_N$$

Proposition 2.10: Closed Sets Attain Limits. C is closed iff C attains its limits points.

 $(\Longrightarrow)$  Proof. Suppose C is clsd. Let  $x\in X$  be a limit point of C. Since  $X\smallsetminus C$  is open and  $(X\smallsetminus C)\cap C=\emptyset, \ x\not\in X\smallsetminus C\implies x\in C.$ 

$$(\longleftarrow)$$
 Proof. Suppose C attains its limit points. Show  $X \setminus C \in \mathcal{T}$ .

let 
$$x \in X \setminus C$$

So x isn't a limit point of C

then 
$$\exists U_x \in \mathcal{T}, \ x \in U_x \text{ s/t } U_x \cap C = \emptyset$$

then 
$$U_x \subseteq U_x \cap C$$
 (?)

then 
$$X \setminus C = \bigcup_{x \in X \setminus C} U_x \in \mathcal{T}$$

Corollary 2.1: Closed Sets Attain Sequence Limits.  $(X,d),\ C\subseteq X.$  Then C is closed iff

$$\forall (c_n) \subseteq C, \ c_n \to x \in X \ \text{then} \ x \in C$$

#### **Definition 2.15: Subspace Topology.** $(X, \mathcal{T}), Y \subseteq X$ .

**Note** that  $\varphi, X \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  closed under  $(\cup, \cap)$ 

The subspace topology is

$$\mathcal{T}' = \{ Y \cap U : U \in \mathcal{T} \}$$

Prove subspace topologies are Topologies.

1. Show

$$\emptyset, Y \in \mathcal{T}'$$

So,

$$\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}, Y \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \implies \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}'$$

$$X \in \mathcal{T}, Y \cap X = Y \implies Y \in \mathcal{T}'$$

2. Show

$$U, V \in \mathcal{T}' \implies U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}'$$

So let  $U, V \in \mathcal{T}'$ .

$$\implies$$
 ∃open  $U_X \in X$  s/t  $U = U_X \cap Y$ 

$$\implies \exists \text{open } V_X \in X \text{ s/t } V = V_X \cap Y$$

$$\implies U \cap V = (U_X \cap Y) \cap (V_X \cap Y) = (U_X \cap V_X) \cap Y$$

$$U_X \cap V_X \in \mathcal{T} \implies U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}'$$

3. Show

$$U_i \in \mathcal{T}', \ (i \in I) \implies \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \in \mathcal{T}'$$

So

$$U_i \in \mathcal{T}' \implies U_i = Y \cap U_i^X \text{ for some open } U_i^X \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$\bigcup U_i = Y \cap \bigcup U_i^X \implies U_i \in \mathcal{T}'$$

Note 2.11.  $(X, \mathcal{T}), Y \subseteq X, \mathcal{T}'$  as above.  $C \subseteq Y$  clsd.

$$\Rightarrow Y \setminus C \in \mathcal{T}'$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \setminus C = Y \cap U, \ U \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow C = Y \cap \underbrace{(X \setminus U)}_{clsd}$$

**Note 2.12.**  $(X,d), Y \subseteq X$ . Define (Y,d) as a subspace metric space. Suppose  $U \subseteq Y$  is open wrt Y.

$$\Rightarrow \forall x \in U \ \exists r_x > 0 \ s/t \underbrace{B_{r_x}(x)}_{in \ Y} \subseteq U$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x \in U \ \exists r_x > 0 \ s/t \underbrace{B_{r_x}(x)}_{in \ X} \cap Y \subseteq U$$

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} (Y \cap B_{r_x}(x)) = Y \cap \underbrace{\left(\bigcup_x B_{r_x}(x)\right)}_{open \ in \ X}$$

#### 2.9 Closure and Interior

Definition 2.16: Closure and Interior.

1. the Closure of A is defined as follows:

$$\overline{A} = \bigcup_{\substack{C \supseteq A, \\ C \ clsd}} C$$

2. the **Interior** of A is defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{Int}(A) = \bigcup_{\substack{U \subseteq A \\ U \in \mathcal{T}}} U$$

Note 2.13. 1. 
$$\overline{A}$$
 is  $clsd$  Int $(A) \in \mathcal{T}$ 

2. 
$$\operatorname{Int}(A) \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{A}$$

3.

$$A \ closed \iff A = \overline{A}$$

$$A \ open \iff A = \operatorname{Int}(A)$$

Note 2.14.  $(X, \mathcal{T}), Y \subseteq X, A \subseteq Y. (Y, \mathcal{T}').$ 

$$(wrt\ Y) \longrightarrow \overline{A} = \bigcap \{C: A \subseteq C,\ C \text{ clsd in } Y\}$$

$$=\bigcap\{Y\cap C:A\subseteq C,\ C\ clsd\ in\ X\}$$

$$=Y\cap \left(\bigcap\{C:A\subseteq C,\ C\ clsd\ in\ X\}\right)$$

$$= Y \cap \overline{A} \longleftarrow (wrt \ X)$$

Similarly, Int(A) wrt  $(Y) = Y \cap Int(A)$  wrt (X).

#### Proposition 2.11: The Closure is the Set of Limit Points. $A \subseteq X$ .

 $\overline{A} = \{x \in X : x \text{ is a limit point of } A\}$ 

*Proof.* Let  $L := \{x \in X : x \text{ is a limit point of } A\}$ . Let  $x \in \overline{A}$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  s/t  $x \in U$ . Suppose  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ 

$$\implies A \subseteq \underbrace{X \smallsetminus U}_{clsd} \implies x \in X \smallsetminus U$$

Contradiction,  $x \in U$  and  $x \in X \setminus U$ .

Let  $x \in L$ , and let C be clsd, with  $A \subseteq C$ . Suppose

$$x \notin C \implies x \in X \setminus C := U$$

$$\implies (X \smallsetminus C) \cap A \neq \emptyset$$

Contradiction of  $A \subseteq C$ .

#### Note 2.15: Norms.

1. P-Norm,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

2. Inf-Norm,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\max\{|x_i|: 0 \le i \le n\}$$

3. P-Norm,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ 

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

4. Inf-Norm,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

$$\sup\{|x_i|:i\in\mathbb{N}\}$$

5. P-Norm,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

6. Inf-Norm,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

$$\sup\{|f(x)|: x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

7.  $\ell^p = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : ||x||_p < \infty \}$ 

Corollary 2.2: Closure is the Set of Reachable Points.  $\overline{A}=\{x\in X: \exists (a_n)\subseteq A,\ a_n\to x\}$ 

Definition 2.17: Interior Points.  $(X, \mathcal{T}), A \subseteq X$ . Then  $x \in A$  is an interior point iff

$$\exists U \in \mathcal{T} \ s/t \ x \in U \subseteq A$$

Note 2.16. Notice that this is similar to how we define openness in a metric space.

Note 2.17.  $(X,d), A \subseteq X$ . Then  $x \in A$  is an interior point of A iff

$$\exists r > 0 \ s/t \ x \in B_r(x) \subseteq A$$

#### Proposition 2.12: Interior Points of A build the Interior of A.

 $Int(A) = \{x \in A : x \text{ is an interior point of } A\}$ 

*Proof.* Let  $I := \{x : x \text{ is int pt}\}, x :\in Int(A)$ .

$$\iff \exists U \in \mathcal{T}, \ x \in U \subseteq A \iff x \in I$$

Example 2.32: Closures and Closed "Versions" of Sets are Inequal.  $(\mathbb{N},|\cdot|)$ 

$$B_1(1) = \{1\} \implies \overline{B_1(1)} = \{1\} = B_1(1)$$
  
 $B_1[1] = \{1, 2\}$ 

*Proof.* Prove  $\overline{B_r(x)} = B_r[x]$ , under a (NVS).

NVS: vectors, w/ buildin norm. Basically this is a ordinary norm, rather than a metric. So we have norm TI, norm zero uniqueness, AND a scalar property.

 $(\subseteq)$ . Let  $y \in \overline{B_r(x)}$ . So

$$B_r[x] = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : ||x - y|| \le r \}$$

$$\text{Show } ||x - y|| \le r$$

$$y \in \overline{B_r(x)} \implies \exists y_n \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ s/t } y_n \to y$$

$$\implies \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s/t } \forall n > 0, ||x - y_n|| < r$$

$$\implies ||x - y|| \le r$$

 $(\supseteq)$  Let  $y \in B_r[x]$ .

$$||y - x|| \le r$$
Show  $\exists (a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ s/t } a_n \to y$ 

$$||t a_i = y + \frac{x - y}{i}||$$

$$||x - a_i|| = ||x - y - \frac{x - y}{i}|| = ||x - x/i - y + y/i||$$

$$||x - x/i + (y/i - y)|| \le ||x - x/i|| + ||y - y/i|| < 2r$$