ECMM450 Stochastic Processes Coursework Assignment 2

March 2023

- 1. Consider customers arriving independently at a bar that has two bartenders serving independently. Customers are assigned to an available bartender on a first come, first served basis but walk away if there are more than K > 1 customers waiting or being served at the bar. You may assume that arrivals are a Poisson process with rate λ and each of the bartenders serves customers according to a Poisson process with rate μ .
- (a) The Kendall notation for the above Markov chain is M/M/2 Answer




Figure 1: Diagram for Markov Chain. Numbers represent size of the system, i.e. how many people there are in the cafe

(b) Let $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu}$. The steady state probability equations are as follows:

$$\lambda P_0 = \mu P_1 \implies P_1 = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} P_0 = 2\rho P_0$$

$$\lambda P_1 = 2\mu P_2 \implies P_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} P_1 = 2\rho^2 P_0$$
 ...
$$\lambda P_{n-1} = 2\mu P_n \implies P_n = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} P_{n-1} = 2\rho^n P_0$$
 ...
$$\lambda P_{K-1} = 2\mu P_K \implies P_K = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} P_{K-1} = 2\rho^K P_0$$
 Answer

We know that the sum of probabilities must add up to 1.

$$\begin{split} P_0 + P_1 + \ldots + P_K &= 1 \\ \implies P_0(1 + 2\rho + 2\rho^2 + \ldots + 2\rho^K) &= 1 \\ \implies P_0(2 + 2\rho + 2\rho^2 + \ldots + 2\rho^K - 1) &= 1 \\ \implies P_0(2(1 + \rho + \rho^2 + \ldots + \rho^K) - 1) &= 1 \\ \implies P_0(2 \cdot \frac{1 - \rho^{K+1}}{1 - \rho} - 1) &= 1, \text{ using given hint} \\ \implies P_0(2 - 2\rho^{K+1} - 1 + \rho) &= 1 - \rho \\ \implies P_0(1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}) &= 1 - \rho \\ \implies P_0 &= \frac{1 - \rho}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \text{ Answer} \implies P_n = 2\rho^n \frac{1 - \rho}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}}, \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{Answer} \end{split}$$

(c) At steady state, expected number of customers at the bar (L_q) can be denoted by E[N].

$$\begin{split} E[N] &= \sum_{n=0}^K n P_n \\ E[N] &= \sum_{n=1}^K n \cdot 2\rho^n \frac{1-\rho}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \\ E[N] &= \frac{2(1-\rho)}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \sum_{n=0}^K n \rho^n \\ E[N] &= \frac{2(1-\rho)}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} [\rho+2\rho^2+3\rho^3+\ldots+K\rho^K] \\ E[N] &= \frac{2(1-\rho)}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} [\rho \cdot \frac{d}{d\rho}(1+\rho+\rho^2+\rho^3+\ldots+\rho^K)] \\ E[N] &= \frac{2\rho(1-\rho)}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \frac{d}{d\rho}(\frac{1-\rho^{K+1}}{1-\rho}), \text{ using given hint as K is a positive integer} \\ E[N] &= \frac{2\rho(1-\rho)}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \frac{(1-\rho)(-(K+1)\rho^K)-(1-\rho^{K+1})(-1)}{(1-\rho)^2} \\ E[N] &= \frac{2\rho(1-\rho)}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \frac{-(K+1)\rho^K+(K+1)\rho^{K+1}+1-\rho^{K+1}}{(1-\rho)^2} \\ E[N] &= \frac{2\rho}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \frac{1-(K+1)\rho^K+K\rho^{K+1}}{(1-\rho)} \text{ Answer} \end{split}$$

When $K \to \infty$ and $\rho < 1$,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{K \to \infty} E[N] &= \lim_{K \to \infty} \frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \frac{1 - (K+1)\rho^K + K\rho^{K+1}}{(1 - \rho)} \\ &\lim_{K \to \infty} E[N] = \frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho - 0} \frac{1 - 0 + 0}{(1 - \rho)} \end{split}$$

Note: We see terms with ρ^K or ρ^{K+1} becoming 0 as such terms drop to 0 exponentially fast thus offsetting their coefficients, which tend to inifinity. Thus, we see that for $K \to \infty$ and $\rho < 1$, $E[N] \to \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho^2}$ **Answer**

(d) Using Little's Law, we find the average waiting time as $W_s = \frac{L_s}{\lambda_{eff}} = \frac{E[N]}{\lambda_{eff}}$ and $W_q = \frac{L_q}{\lambda_{eff}}$ Answer

To derive an expression for λ_{eff} , we have

$$\lambda_{eff} = \sum_{n=0}^{K} \lambda_n P_n = \sum_{n=0}^{K-1} \lambda_n P_n = \lambda (1 - P_K), \text{ (as } \lambda_K = 0)$$

$$\lambda_{eff} = \lambda \left[1 - \frac{2\rho^K (1 - \rho)}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \right]$$

$$\lambda_{eff} = \lambda \left[\frac{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1} - 2\rho^K + 2\rho^{K+1}}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \right]$$

$$\lambda_{eff} = \lambda \left[\frac{1 + \rho - 2\rho^K}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \right]$$
 Answer

We can further expand W_s and W_q by computing the respective ratios.

$$\begin{split} W_s &= \frac{L_s}{\lambda_{eff}} = \frac{E[N]}{\lambda_{eff}} = \left(\frac{2\rho}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \frac{1 - (K+1)\rho^K + K\rho^{K+1}}{(1-\rho)}\right) / \left(\lambda \frac{1 + \rho - 2\rho^K}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}}\right) \\ W_s &= \left(\frac{2\rho}{(1-\rho)} \frac{1 - (K+1)\rho^K + K\rho^{K+1}}{\lambda(1 + \rho - 2\rho^K)}\right) \end{split}$$

To find W_q , we need to calculate L_q .

$$\begin{split} L_q &= \sum_{n=2}^K (n-2)P_n = P_3 + 2P_4 + 3P_5 + \dots + (K-2)P_K \\ &= 2\rho^3 P_0 + 2 \cdot 2\rho^4 P_0 + 3 \cdot 2\rho^5 P_0 + \dots + (K-2) \cdot 2\rho^K P_0 \\ &= 2\rho^3 P_0 (1 + 2\rho + 3\rho^2 + \dots + (K-2)\rho^{K-3}) \\ &= 2\rho^3 P_0 \left[\frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\rho + \rho^2 + \rho^3 + \dots + \rho^{K-2} \right) \right] \\ &= 2\rho^3 P_0 \left[\frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\frac{1 - \rho^{K-1}}{1 - \rho} \right) \right] \\ &= 2\rho^3 P_0 \left[\frac{(1 - \rho)(-(K-1)\rho^{K-2}) - (1 - \rho^{K-1})(-1)}{(1 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ &= 2\rho^3 \frac{(1 - \rho)}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \left[\frac{1 - \rho^{K-1} - (K-1)(\rho^{K-2} - \rho^{K-1})}{(1 - \rho)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{2\rho^3}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \left[\frac{1 + (K-2)\rho^{K-1} - (K-1)\rho^{K-2}}{(1 - \rho)} \right] \end{split}$$

Substituting the value of L_q in $W_q = \frac{L_q}{\lambda_{eff}}$,

$$\begin{split} W_q &= \frac{2\rho^3}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \left[\frac{1-(K-1)\rho^{K-2}+(K-2)\rho^{K-1}}{(1-\rho)} \right] / \left(\lambda \frac{1+\rho-2\rho^K}{1+\rho-2\rho^{K+1}} \right) \\ W_q &= \left(\frac{2\rho^3}{(1-\rho)} \frac{1-(K-1)\rho^{K-2}+(K-2)\rho^{K-1}}{\lambda(1+\rho-2\rho^K)} \right) \end{split}$$

(e) Probability at steady state of having 2 or more customers at the bar is found as

$$\begin{split} P(N \geq 2) &= P(N = 2) + P(N = 3) + \ldots + P(N = k) \\ &= P_2 + P_3 + \ldots + P_K \\ &= P_0(2\rho^2 + 2\rho^3 + \ldots + 2\rho^K) \\ &= \frac{(1 - \rho)}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} (2\rho^2 + 2\rho^3 + \ldots + 2\rho^K) \\ &= \frac{(1 - \rho)}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \cdot 2\rho^2 (1 + \rho + \ldots + \rho^{K-2}) \\ &= \frac{2(1 - \rho)\rho^2}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \cdot \left[\frac{1 - \rho^{K-1}}{1 - \rho}\right] \\ &= \frac{2\rho^2}{1 + \rho - 2\rho^{K+1}} \cdot \left[1 - \rho^{K-1}\right] \text{ Answer} \end{split}$$

When $K \to \infty$,

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} P(N \ge 2) = \frac{2\rho^2}{1+\rho} < \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\implies 6\rho^2 < 1+\rho$$

$$\implies 6\rho^2 - \rho - 1 < 0$$

Solving the above, we get $\frac{-1}{3} < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$. However, the first part of this is non-sensical as ρ cannot be less than 0 (both λ and μ are positive). Taking that into account, the possible range of values for ρ is given as $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ **Answer**.

2. Consider the following Markov chain with transition matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 - \alpha & 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Here $T_{ij}=P(X_n=j|X_{n-1}=i)$ for all $i,j\in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$, and α and β do not depend on n.

(a) The state transition diagram is given below




Figure 2: State transition diagram Answer

State 2 To prove that state 2 is positively recurrent, we need to prove that the mean recurrence time $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n f_i^{(n)} < \infty$. First, we check the times of first return.

$$f_2^{(1)} = 0$$

$$f_2^{(2)} = 1 - \alpha + \alpha = 1$$

$$f_2^{(3)} = 0$$

$$f_2^{(4)} = 0$$

$$f_2^{(5)} = 0$$
:

Note that the process cannot return to state 2 for the first time after more than 2 steps - by the 3rd or 4th time (and indeed any step more than that), it will already be revisiting state 2. Calculate the mean recurrence time $\mu = 1 \cdot f_2^{(1)} + 2 \cdot f_2^{(2)} + 3 \cdot f_2^{(3)} + 4 \cdot f_2^{(4)} + \cdots$ $\Rightarrow \mu = 1 \cdot 0 + 2 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 0 + 4 \cdot 0 + \cdots$

 $\implies \mu = 2 < \infty$, Therefore, state 2 is positively recurrent. **Answer**

State 5 To see if state 5 is transient, we check if sum of times of first return is < 1.

$$f_5^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$f_5^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta)$$

$$f_5^{(3)} = 0$$

$$f_5^{(4)} = 0$$

$$f_5^{(5)} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_5^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta)$$

It is not dependent on α , but for $0 < \beta < 1$, the sum is lesser than 1, which means that state 5 is transient. Answer

We notice that similar to state 2, the process cannot return to state 5 for the first time after more than 2 steps - it will have already visited it before (on the first or second step).

(b) For fixed $\alpha, \beta > 0$, it can be seen that there are two sub-chains: 1-2-3 and 4-5.

Following are the classifications of the states: **Answer**

State 1: It is periodic as for k=2, $(T^n)_{11}>0$ for all n=k,2k,3k... and $(T^n)_{11}=0$ for all $n \neq k, 2k, 3k...$ To elaborate, starting at state 1, the system can return to state 1 after 2,4,6... steps, but cannot return to it after 1,3,5... steps.

State 2: Following the above logic, it can be seen that state 2 is recurrent. The difference from state 1 is that, for state 1, the system can return to it after any even step size (2,4,6,8....), whereas, for state 2, the system must return to it every 2 steps. Mean recurrence time for state 2 is therefore $\mu = 2$, as seen earlier in part (a).

State 3: Following exactly the same logic as state 1, it can be seen that state 3 is also periodic with the system returning to it after any even-numbered time step(s).

States 4 & 5: There is a probability of β of the system leaving state 4 (and thus the subchain) and never being able to return to it. So states 4 and 5 area transient.

The criteria for a subchain to be *ergodic* is for it to be both aperiodic and positively recurrent. Suchain 1-2-3 violates the condition for aperiodicity. Suchain 4-5 violates the condition of positively recurrent. Thus, neither subchain is ergodic. **Answer**

(c) To find the steady state probability vector in terms of α and β ., we can solve the eigenvector equation $\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}T$.

$$\implies [P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5] = [P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5] \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 - \alpha & 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

From the above equation, the probabilities can be arranged as

$$P_1 = P_2(1 - \alpha) + P_4\beta \tag{1}$$

$$P_2 = P_1 + P_3 \tag{2}$$

$$P_3 = P_2 \alpha \tag{3}$$

$$P_4 = \frac{P_5}{2} \tag{4}$$

$$P_5 = P_4(1-\beta) + \frac{P_5}{2} \tag{5}$$

Equation 5 can be rewritten as $P_5 = \frac{P_5}{2}(1-\beta) + \frac{P_5}{2}$, solving which we get $P_5 = 0$ for $\beta > 0$. It follows from 4 that $P_4 = \frac{P_5}{2} = 0$. This also reduces 1 to $P_1 = P_2(1-\alpha)$.

We can now say that $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = 1$ as the sum of the steady state probabilities must be 1. Solving,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 + P_2 + P_3 &= 1 \\ P_2(1-\alpha) + P_2 + P_2\alpha &= 1 \\ P_2(1-\alpha+1-\alpha) &= 1 \\ P_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \ \mathbf{Answer} \\ \implies P_1 &= \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \ \& \ P_3 &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \ \mathbf{Answer} \end{aligned}$$

(d) Suppose now $\alpha := \alpha_n = \frac{n}{n+1}$, so that now $T \equiv T(n)$ is a time dependent transition matrix. This amends the state transition diagram to




Figure 3: State transition diagram for time-dependent Markov chain

To find the classification of state 1, we need to compute $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_1^{(n)}$ and $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n f_1^{(n)} < \infty$. The times of first return are

$$f_1^{(1)} = 0$$

$$f_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{n_2 + 1} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$f_1^{(3)} = 0$$

$$f_1^{(4)} = \frac{n_2}{n_2 + 1} \frac{1}{n_4 + 1} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5}$$

$$f_1^{(5)} = 0$$

$$f_1^{(6)} = \frac{n_2}{n_2 + 1} \frac{n_4}{n_4 + 1} \frac{n_6}{n_6 + 1} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{7}$$

$$f_1^{(7)} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

Unsurpisingly, the probability of every odd-step return is 0.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_5^{(n)} = \frac{1}{3} + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{7}) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{9}) + \cdots$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_5^{(n)} = \frac{1}{3} + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot (1 - \frac{4}{5})) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot (1 - \frac{6}{7})) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot (1 - \frac{8}{9})) + \cdots$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_5^{(n)} = \frac{1}{3} + (\frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5}) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7}) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9}) + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9} + \cdots$$

After the second term, every subsequent pair of terms cancels each other out, leaving behind $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 1$. Therefore, state 1 is recurrent. To further investigate if it is positively recurrent or null recurrent, we compute mean recurrence time μ . Recall that every odd time-step probability of first return is 0, so we will only consider the even terms.

$$\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n f_1^{(n)} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 4 \cdot (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5}) + 6 \cdot (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{7}) + 8 \cdot (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{9}) + \cdots$$

$$\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n f_1^{(n)} = \frac{2}{3} + (\frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7}) + (\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{9}) + \cdots$$

The nth term can be represented as $t_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (1-a_i)$, where $a_n = (2n+1)^{-1}$

As a series, we can rewrite t_n

$$t_n = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots (1 - a_n) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots (1 - \frac{1}{2n+1}) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdots \frac{2n}{2n+1}$$

$$\implies t_n = 2^n \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{7} \cdots \frac{n}{2n+1} = \frac{2^n n!}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdots (2n+1)} = \frac{2^n n! \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots 2n}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdots 2n \cdot (2n+1)}$$

$$\implies t_n = \frac{2^n n! \cdot 2^n (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdots n)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdots 2n \cdot (2n+1)} = \frac{2^n n! \cdot 2^n n!}{(2n+1)!} = \frac{(2^n n!)^2}{(2n+1)!}$$

Expanding t_n , we can write

$$t_{n} = \frac{(2^{n}n!)^{2}}{(2n+1)!} = 2^{2n} \frac{(n!)^{2}}{(2n+1)!} = 4^{n} \frac{(n!)^{2}}{(2n+1)!}$$

$$\implies t_{n} = 4^{n} \frac{n^{2}}{(2n+1)(2n)} \cdot \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{(2n-1)(2n-2)} \cdot \frac{(n-2)^{2}}{(2n-3)(2n-4)} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2^{2}}{5 \cdot 4} \cdot \frac{1^{2}}{3 \cdot 2}$$

$$\implies t_{n} = 4^{n} \frac{n^{2}}{(2n+1)(2n)} \cdot \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{(2n-1)(2(n-1))} \cdot \frac{(n-2)^{2}}{(2n-3)(2(n-2))} \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2^{2}}{5 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1^{2}}{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}$$

$$\implies t_{n} = 4^{n} \frac{n}{(2n+1)(2)} \cdot \frac{(n-1)}{(2n-1)(2)} \cdot \frac{(n-2)}{(2n-3)(2)} \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2}{5 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2}$$

$$\implies t_{n} = 4^{n} \frac{n}{(2(n+\frac{1}{2}))(2)} \cdot \frac{(n-1)}{(2(n-\frac{1}{2}))(2)} \cdot \frac{(n-2)}{(2(n-\frac{3}{2}))(2)} \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2}{2 \cdot \frac{5}{2} \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2}$$

$$\implies t_{n} = \frac{4^{n}}{4^{n}} \frac{n}{(n+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{(n-1)}{(n-\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{(n-2)}{(n-\frac{3}{2})} \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\implies t_{n} = \frac{n}{(n+\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{(n-1)}{(n-\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{(n-2)}{(n-\frac{3}{2})} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2}{\frac{5}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}}$$

Subtracting $\frac{1}{2}$ from all the numerators inevitably leaves us with a term smaller than t_n . Therefore,

$$t_n \ge \frac{n - \frac{1}{2}}{(n + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{(n - \frac{3}{2})}{(n - \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{(n - \frac{5}{2})}{(n - \frac{3}{2})} \cdots \frac{\frac{3}{2}}{\frac{5}{2}} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\implies t_n \ge \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{n + \frac{1}{2}}$$

The last line follows from alternate numerators and denominators cancelling out. We now have $t_n \geq \frac{1}{2n+1}$, which can be compared against the harmonic series to showcase divergence. By the limit comparison test, for two given series $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = c$ for $0 < c < \infty$, where a_n, b_n are respectively the nth terms of the series, then either both series converge or both series diverge.

We have $a_n = \frac{1}{2n+1}$, $b_n = \frac{1}{n}$, the latter denoting the harmonic series.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_n}{b_n}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\frac{1}{2n+1}}{\frac{1}{2n}}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n}{2n+1}=\frac{1}{2}, \text{L'Hospital rule}$$

As we know that the harmonic series diverges, the series with nth term $a_n = \frac{1}{2n+1}$ also diverges. Therefore the series with nth term t_n , which is greater than a_n , must also diverge. Hence, the mean recurrence time μ diverges. This proves that the state 1 is null recurrent **Answer**.