

PROBLEM 1

40
40

1.1 > For a boolean variable

$$\begin{aligned} E(S_k | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta) \\ = P(S_k=1 | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta) \cdot 1 + P(S_k=0 | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta) \cdot 0 \\ = P(S_k=1 | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

Current value of $\theta_{s|ij} = \theta' = P(S_k=1 | b_k=i, a_k=j)$.
To calculate the maximum value of $\theta_{s|ij}$ we write

$$\frac{d}{d\theta'} \sum_{k=1}^K E_{P(S_k | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta)} [\log P(b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, S_k | \theta')] = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta'} \sum_{k=1}^K P(S_k=1, b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta) \log$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta'} \sum_{k=1}^K E_{P(S_k | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta)} [\log P_{\theta'}(b_k) + \log P_{\theta'}(a_k) \\ + \log P_{\theta'}(S_k | b_k, a_k) + \log P_{\theta'}(h_k | S_k) \\ + \log P_{\theta'}(n_k | S_k)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta'} \sum_{k=1}^K P(S_k=1 | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta)$$

Since only the term $P_{\theta'}(S_k | b_k, a_k)$ depend on θ' , hence
this expression reduces to,

$$\frac{d}{d\theta'} \sum_{k=1}^K E_{P(S_k | b_k, a_k, h_k, n_k, \theta)} [\log P_{\theta'}(S_k | b_k, a_k)] \frac{d}{d\theta'} P_{\theta'}(S_k=i, a_k=j) = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta'} \left[\sum_{k=1}^K P(S_k=1 | b_k, a_k, n_k, \theta) \cdot \log P_{\theta'}(S_k=1 | b_k=i, a_k=j) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^K P(S_k=0 | b_k, a_k, n_k, \theta) \cdot \log P_{\theta'}(S_k=0 | b_k=i, a_k=j) \Big]_{S(b_k=i, a_k=j)} = 0$$

$$\text{Now, } P(S_k=1 | b_k, a_k, n_k, \theta) = E(S_k)$$

$$P(S_k=0 | b_k, a_k, n_k, \theta) = 1 - E(S_k)$$

$$P_{\theta'}(S_k=1 | b_k=i, a_k=j) = \theta'$$

$$P_{\theta'}(S_k=0 | b_k=i, a_k=j) = 1 - \theta'$$

$$\therefore \frac{d}{d\theta'} \sum_{k=1}^K [S(b_k=i, a_k=j) E(S_k) \log \theta' + (1 - E(S_k)) \log (1 - \theta')] = 0$$

$$E_k \sum_{k=1}^K \left[S(b_k=i, a_k=j) E(S_k) \frac{1}{\theta'} - \frac{S(b_k=i, a_k=j) (1 - E(S_k))}{(1 - \theta')} \right] = 0$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K S(b_k=i, a_k=j) E(S_k) (1 - \theta') = \sum_{k=1}^K S(b_k=i, a_k=j) (1 - E(S_k)) \theta'$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K S(b_k=i, a_k=j) E(S_k) = \theta' \sum_{k=1}^K E(S_k) S(b_k=i, a_k=j)$$

$$= \theta' \sum_{k=1}^K S(b_k=i, a_k=j) - \theta' \sum_{k=1}^K E(S_k) S(b_k=i, a_k=j)$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta' = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K S(b_k=i, a_k=j) E(S_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K S(b_k=i, a_k=j)}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K S(b_k=i, a_k=j)$$

1.2)

A) ~~prob~~

$$P(f, a, s, h, n) = P(f) P(a) P(s|f, a) P(h|s) P(n|s)$$

No. of parameters to estimate for each term

$$P(f) \rightarrow P(f=1) \rightarrow 1 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(a) \rightarrow P(a=1) \rightarrow 1 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(s|f, a) \rightarrow P(s=1|f=1, a=1) \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(s=1|f=0, a=1)$$

$$P(s=1|f=1, a=0)$$

$$P(s=1|f=0, a=0) \rightarrow 4$$

$$P(h|s) \rightarrow P(h=1|s=1)$$

$$P(h=1|s=0) \rightarrow 2 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(n|s) \rightarrow P(n=1|s=1) \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(n=1|s=0) \rightarrow 2$$

Total no. of parameters to estimate:

$$1 + 1 + 4 + 2 + 2 = 10 \quad \checkmark$$

B) For example 1, we calculate

$$P(h=1|f=1, a=1, s=1, N=1)$$

$$= P(h=1, f=1, s=1, n=1, a=1)$$

$$P(h=1, f=1, s=1, n=1, a=1) + P(h=0, f=1, s=1, n=1, a=1)$$

$$= \frac{p(\beta=1)p(\alpha=1)p(s=1|\alpha=1, \beta=1)p(h=1|s=1)p(n=1|s=1)}{p(\beta=1)p(\alpha=1)p(s=1|\alpha=1, \beta=1)p(h=1|s=1)p(n=1|s=1)} \\ + p(\beta=1)p(\alpha=1)p(s=1|\alpha=1, \beta=1)p(h=0|s=1)p(n=1|s=1)$$

$$= \frac{0.5^5}{2 \times 0.5^5} = 0.5 \quad \checkmark$$

For example 8,

$$p(n=1|\beta=1, \alpha=1, s=1, h=1)$$

$$= \frac{p(n=1, \beta=1, \alpha=1, s=1, h=1)}{p(n=1, \beta=1, \alpha=1, s=1, h=1) + p(n=0, \beta=1, \alpha=1, s=1, h=1)}$$

$$= \frac{p(\beta=1)p(\alpha=1)p(s=1|\alpha=1, \beta=1)p(h=1|s=1)p(n=1|s=1)}{p(\beta=1)p(\alpha=1)p(s=1|\alpha=1, \beta=1)p(h=1|s=1)p(n=1|s=1)} \\ + p(\beta=1)p(\alpha=1)p(s=1|\alpha=1, \beta=1)p(h=1|s=1)p(n=0|s=1)$$

$$= \frac{0.5^5}{2 \times 0.5^5} = 0.5 \quad \checkmark$$

$$c) P(b=1) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(b=1)}{\sum_k \delta(1)} = \frac{4}{8} = 0.5 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(a=1) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(a=1)}{\sum_k \delta(1)} = \frac{4}{8} = 0.5 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(s=1|f=1, a=1) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(s=1, f=1, a=1)}{\sum_k \delta(f=1, a=1)} = \frac{3}{3} = 1.0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(s=1|f=1, a=0) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(s=1, f=1, a=0)}{\sum_k \delta(f=1, a=0)} = \frac{1}{1} = 1.0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(s=1|f=0, a=1) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(s=1, f=0, a=1)}{\sum_k \delta(f=0, a=1)} = \frac{1}{1} = 1.0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(s=1|f=0, a=0) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(s=1, f=0, a=0)}{\sum_k \delta(f=0, a=0)} = \frac{0}{3} = 0.0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(h=1|s=1) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(h=1, s=1) E(h_k=1)}{\sum_k \delta(s=1)} \quad \checkmark$$

$$= \frac{4+0.5}{5} = \frac{9}{10} = 0.9 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(h=1|s=0) = \frac{1}{3} = 0.33 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(n=1|s=1) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(n=1, s=1) E(n_k=1)}{\sum_k \delta(s=1)} = \frac{3+0.5}{5} = 0.7 \quad \checkmark$$

$$P(n=1|s=0) = \frac{\sum_k \delta(n=1, s=0)}{\sum_k \delta(s=0)} = \frac{1}{3} = 0.33 \quad \checkmark$$

0> Using the updated values.

$$P(n=1 | \beta=1, a=1, s=1, \eta=1)$$

$$= \frac{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 0.7}{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 0.7 + 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.1 \times 0.7}$$

$$= \frac{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 0.7}{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 1 \times 0.7}$$

$$= 0.9$$



$$P(n=1 | \beta=1, a=1, s=1, h=1)$$

$$= \frac{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 0.7}{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 0.7 + 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 0.3}$$

$$= \frac{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 0.7}{0.5 \times 0.5 \times 1 \times 0.9 \times 1} = 0.7$$



PROBLEM 2

54
60

1>

(a) We might prefer Decision trees when the data is not conditionally independent, or when we want a non-linear decision boundary, or when we want to generate new examples (i.e. calculate $P(x, y)$).

(b) We might prefer Logistic Regression over Naive Bayes because logistic regression does not make assumptions about conditional independence and the variances of distributions of $P(x_i | y_k)$ being independent of k .

(c) We minimize sum of squared error because this is mathematically equivalent to a MLE estimate, or maximizing $P(\text{data} | w)$ when we assume the value of y to be normally distributed around $\vec{w}^T \vec{x}$.

2> Gaussian Naive Bayes: Yes.

From Conditional independence,

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3 | y) = P(x_1 | y) P(x_2 | y) P(x_3 | y).$$

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, y) = P(x_1, x_2, x_3 | y) P(y)$$

$$= P(x_1 | y) P(x_2 | y) P(x_3 | y) P(y)$$

From training examples, we can calculate MLE or MAP estimates of $P(x_i | y)$. and we can calculate

$$P(Y = y_k) = \frac{s(Y = y_k)}{\text{Total no. of examples}}$$

6) Logistic Regression: No.

Logistic regression only calculates

$$P(Y = y_k | x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

It does not give information about $P(Y)$ ✓
or $P(x_1, x_2, x_3 | Y)$

(c) Linear Regression: No.

Linear Regression also calculates only $P(Y | x)$ ✓

It does not tell $P(X | Y)$ and $P(Y)$.

3)

(a) True. ✓

The no. of real examples constitute the exponent of $P(x_i | Y)$. This becomes very large as data points tend to infinity. Hence the value of exponents from priors become ~~are~~ immaterial, as they are much smaller. So, MLE and MAP estimates converge

(b) False.

In an extreme case, at each level, there is one example for $(Y = y_k)$ and all other examples for $(Y \neq y_k)$. If we keep going down the tree, for n examples, after level n , we will have only 1 example, and tree cannot grow further, and must be terminated. ✓

(2) false. ✓

Decision tree has a non linear decision boundary which is a superset of the ^{linear} boundary generated by logistic regression. So, it is possible that they have the same linear boundary for a given set of training examples. *example??*

$$\begin{aligned} 6) \quad P(x, y|z) &= P(x, y, z) / P(z) \\ &= \frac{P(x|y, z) P(y, z)}{P(z)} \\ &= P(x|y, z) P(y|z) \end{aligned}$$

If it is given that

$$P(x|y, z) P(y|z) = P(x|z) P(y|z)$$

$$P(x|y, z) = P(x|z)$$

Hence proved that x is conditionally independent of y , given z . ✓

5) (a) We can write

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = P(x_1) P(x_2|x_1) P(x_3|x_1) P(x_4|x_2, x_3)$$

$$P(x_1) \rightarrow 1$$

$$P(x_2|x_1) \rightarrow 2$$

$$P(x_3|x_1) \rightarrow 2$$

$$P(x_4|x_2, x_3) \rightarrow 4$$

Total parameters = 9 ✓

$$(b) \quad P(X_1=1, X_2=0, X_3=1, X_4=0) \\ = P(X_1=1) P(X_2=0|X_1=1) P(X_3=1|X_1=1) P(X_4=0|X_2=0, X_3=1)$$

$$(c) \quad P(X_1=1, X_4=0)$$

$$= P(X_1=1, X_2=0, X_3=0, X_4=0)$$

$$+ P(X_1=1, X_2=1, X_3=0, X_4=0)$$

$$+ P(X_1=1, X_2=0, X_3=1, X_4=0)$$

$$+ P(X_1=1, X_2=0, X_3=1, X_4=0)$$

$$= P(X_1=1) \left[P(X_2=0|X_1=1) P(X_3=0|X_1=1) P(X_4=0|X_2=0, X_3=0) \right.$$

$$+ P(X_2=1|X_1=1) P(X_3=0|X_1=1) P(X_4=0|X_2=1, X_3=0)$$

$$+ P(X_2=1|X_1=1) P(X_3=1|X_1=1) P(X_4=0|X_2=1, X_3=1)$$

$$+ P(X_2=0|X_1=1) P(X_3=1|X_1=1) P(X_4=0|X_2=0, X_3=1) \left. \right]$$

$$(d) \quad P(X_2=1|X_3=0) = \frac{P(X_2=1, X_3=0)}{P(X_3=0)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X_2=1, X_3=0)}{P(X_2=1, X_3=0) + P(X_2=0, X_3=0)}$$

$$P(X_2=1, X_3=0)$$

$$= \cancel{P(X_1=1)} \cancel{P(X_2=1|X_1=1)} \cancel{P(X_3=0|X_1=1)} \cancel{P(X_4=0)}$$

$$= P(X_1=0, X_2=1, X_3=0, X_4=0)$$

$$+ P(X_1=1, X_2=1, X_3=0, X_4=0)$$

$$+ P(X_1=0, X_2=1, X_3=0, X_4=1)$$

$$+ P(X_1=1, X_2=1, X_3=0, X_4=1)$$

$$= P(X_1=1) \left[P(X_2=1|X_1=1) P(X_3=0|X_1=1) \left[P(X_4=0|X_2=1, X_3=0) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + P(X_4=1|X_2=1, X_3=0) \right] \right]$$

$$+ P(X_1=0) P(X_2=1|X_1=0) P(X_3=0|X_1=0)$$

$$\left[P(X_4=0|X_2=1, X_3=0) + P(X_4=1|X_2=1, X_3=0) \right]$$

$$= P(X_1=1) P(X_2=1|X_1=1) P(X_3=0|X_1=1)$$

$$+ P(X_1=0) P(X_2=1|X_1=0) P(X_3=0|X_1=0)$$

$$P(X_2=0, X_3=0)$$

$$= P(X_1=1) P(X_2=0|X_1=1) P(X_3=0|X_1=1)$$

$$+ P(X_1=0) P(X_2=0|X_1=0) P(X_3=0|X_1=0)$$

