Specification of Source §3 Type Inference—2021 edition

Martin Henz, K Muruges, Raynold Ng, Daryl Tan, Tse Hiu Fung

National University of Singapore School of Computing

July 14, 2021

1 Notation

1.1 The language Source §3

The set of expressions E is the least set that satisfies the following rules, where x ranges over a set of names V, n ranges over the positive integers, p_1 ranges over the set of unary primitive operations $P_1 = \{!\}$, and p_2 ranges over the set of binary primitive operations $P_2 = \{|\cdot|, \&\&, +, -, *, /, \&, ===, !==, >, <, <=, >=\}$.

$\frac{}{x}$ i	- <u> </u>	true	false	undefined
E	E_1 E_2	$E \qquad E_1$	E_2	$E E_1 \cdots E_n$
$p_1[E]$	$p_2[E_1, E_2]$	$E ? E_1 : I$	E_2	$E (E_1, \cdots, E_n)$
E_1 E_2 \cdots E_n	\imath			E E_1
$[E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_n]$	_			$\overline{E[E_1]}$

The letters x, i and s stand for names, numbers, strings, respectively. The set of possible assignments assign is the least set that satisfies the two following rules, representing variable and array assignment.

$$E = E_1 E_2$$

$$E[E_1] = E_2$$

Let the set of all expressions (the union of R and E) be denoted by U. Also let the term **decl** denote the two keywords for variable declaration: **let** and **const**. The set of all statements S is then the least set that satisfies the following rules.

E	E	E	assign	S
$\mathbf{decl}\ x = E \ ;$	return E ;	E ;	assign ;	function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$

The identifiers x_1, \ldots, x_n must be pairwise distinct.

$$S_1$$
 S_2 S E S_1 S_2 E S S S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4 S_4 S_5 S_5 S_6 S_7 S_8 S_8 S_8 S_9 $S_$

continue;

We introduce the following additional rule for expressions, in order to define functions.

```
S
(x_1, \dots, x_n) \implies \{ S \}
```

We treat function declaration statements of the form

function
$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \{ S \}$$

as abbreviations for constant declaration statements as follows

const
$$f = (x_1, \dots, x_n) => \{ S \};$$

function definitions of the form

$$(x_1,\cdots,x_n) \implies E$$

as abbreviations for the following

$$(x_1,\cdots,x_n) \Longrightarrow \{ \text{ return } E ; \}$$

Conditional statements of the form

```
if (x1) {
    const x = 1;
} else if (x2) {
    const y = 3;
} else if (x3) {
    const a = 3;
} else {
    const b = 3;
}
```

are treated as abbreviations for the following

```
if (x1) {
    const x = 1;
} else {
    if (x2) {
      const y = 3;
    } else {
       if (x3) {
         const a = 3;
    } else {
         const b = 3;
    }
}
```

For statements in the following form (having a declaration as the initialization)

```
for (let x = 4; x < 20; x = x + 1) {
    ...
}
are treated as abbreviations for the following
{
    let x = 4;
    for (x = x; x < 20; x = x + 1) {
        ...
    }
}</pre>
```

1.2 A Language of Types

We introduce the following language of types for type inference:

where $n \geq 1$, and T_i and A_i represent type variables. We will capitalize type variables, as in T_1, A_2 . We will also refer to the types in the second row (i.e. bool, undefined, number, string) as base types. The symbols t_i in the rules above are meta-variables that stand for types and must not be confused with type variables that are types. As usual, parentheses can be used in practice for grouping. Examples of valid types are number and (number, () \rightarrow bool, undefined, T_1) \rightarrow (bool \rightarrow A_2). Types of the form $\forall (t)$ are called polymorphic types, whereas all other are called monomorphic types.

We distinguish two kinds of type variables, T_i and A_i , to be able to handle the overloading of operators such as + (for numbers and strings). A type variable A_i can only represent "addable" types, i.e. number or string, and a type variable T_i can represent any type.

1.3 Type Environments

For Source, well-typedness of an statement depends on the context in which the statement appears. The expression x+3 within a statement may or may not be well-typed, depending on the type of x. Thus in order to formalize the notion of a context, we define a *type environment*, denoted by Γ , that keeps track of the type of names appearing in the statement. More formally, the partial function Γ from names to types expresses a context, in which a name x is associated with type $\Gamma(x)$.

We define a relation $\Gamma[x \leftarrow t]\Gamma'$ on type environments Γ , names x, types t, and type environments Γ' , which constructs a type environment that behaves like the given one, except that the type of x is t. More formally, if $\Gamma[x \leftarrow t]\Gamma'$, then $\Gamma'(y)$ is t, if y = x and $\Gamma(y)$ otherwise. Obviously, this uniquely identifies Γ' for a given Γ , x, and t, and thus the type environment extension relation is functional in its first three arguments.

The set of names, on which a type environment Γ is defined, is called the domain of Γ , denoted by $dom(\Gamma)$.

For each nonoverloaded primitive operator, we add a binding to our initial type environment Γ_0 as follows:

```
\begin{split} &\emptyset[-_2 \leftarrow (\text{number}, \text{number}) \rightarrow \text{number}] \\ &[* \leftarrow (\text{number}, \text{number}) \rightarrow \text{number}] \\ &[/ \leftarrow (\text{number}, \text{number}) \rightarrow \text{number}] \\ &[\% \leftarrow (\text{number}, \text{number}) \rightarrow \text{number}] \\ &[\&\& \leftarrow \forall ((\text{bool}, T) \rightarrow T)] \\ &[|| \leftarrow \forall ((\text{bool}, T) \rightarrow T)] \\ &[! \leftarrow \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}] \\ &[-_1 \leftarrow \text{number} \rightarrow \text{number}] \Gamma_{-2} \end{split}
```

The overloaded binary primitive are handled as follows:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{-2}[+ &\leftarrow \forall ((A,A) \to A)] \\ [=== &\leftarrow \forall ((T1,T2) \to \texttt{bool})] \\ [!== &\leftarrow \forall ((T1,T2) \to \texttt{bool})] \\ [> &\leftarrow \forall ((A,A) \to \texttt{bool})] \\ [>= &\leftarrow \forall ((A,A) \to \texttt{bool})] \\ [< &\leftarrow \forall ((A,A) \to \texttt{bool})] \\ [< &\leftarrow \forall ((A,A) \to \texttt{bool})] \\ [<= &\leftarrow \forall ((A,A) \to \texttt{bool})] \\ \Gamma_{-1} \end{split}$$

```
\Gamma_{-1}
                                      \forall (T)
           display
           error
                                   \forall (T)
           Infinity
                                     number
                                \leftarrow \quad \forall (T
           is_boolean
                                                              \rightarrow bool)
                                \leftarrow \forall (T
           is_function
                                                              \rightarrow bool)
           is_number
                                \leftarrow \forall (T
                                                              \rightarrow bool)
                                \leftarrow \forall (T
           is string
                                                              \rightarrow bool)
           is_undefined \leftarrow \ \forall (T
                                                              \rightarrow bool)
                                ← number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math_abs

ightarrow number
                                \leftarrow \quad \texttt{number}
           math_acos
          math_acosh
                                \leftarrow number

ightarrow number
          math_asin
                                \leftarrow number

ightarrow number
          math_asinh
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math_atan
          math_atan2
                                \leftarrow (number, number)
                                                             \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math_atanh
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
           math_cbrt
          math_ceil
                              \leftarrow number

ightarrow number
           \verb|math_clz32| \leftarrow \verb|number|

ightarrow number
                              \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math_cos
           math_cosh
                                ← number
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math exp
          math_expm1
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          \verb|math_floor| \leftarrow \verb|number|
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow number
          math_fround
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math_hypot
                                \leftarrow \forall (T)
                                \leftarrow (number, number) \rightarrow number
          math_imul
          math LN2
                                \leftarrow number
          math_LN10
                                \leftarrow number
          math_log
                                \leftarrow number
                                                                  number
                                \leftarrow number
          math_log1p
                                                                 number
                                \leftarrow number
           math_log2
                                                                   number
           math_LOG2E
                                \leftarrow number
                                \leftarrow \quad \texttt{number}
           math_log10
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow \quad \texttt{number}
           math_LOG10E
                                \leftarrow \forall (T)
           math_max
                                \leftarrow \forall (T)
          math_min
          math_PI
                                \leftarrow number
          math_pow
                                ← (number, number)
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow ()
          math_random
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                ← number
          math round
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow number
          math_sign

ightarrow number
          math_sin
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math_sinh
                                ← number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          math_sqrt
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
          \texttt{math\_SQRT1\_2} \ \leftarrow \ \texttt{number}
                                \leftarrow number
          math_SQRT2
                                \leftarrow number
                                                              \rightarrow number
           math_tan
                                                                   number
           math_tanh
                                \leftarrow number
                                \leftarrow \quad \texttt{number}
           math_trunc
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow number
                                ← (string, number)
                                                              \rightarrow number
           parse_int
          prompt
                                \leftarrow string
                                                              \rightarrow string
                                \leftarrow ()
           runtime
                                                              \rightarrow number
                                \leftarrow \forall (T
           stringify
                                                                   string)
                                undefined
          null
           pair
                               \leftarrow \forall ((T_1, T_2))
                                                              \rightarrow Pair(T_1, T_2)
                               \leftarrow \forall (Pair(T_1, T_2))
                                                              \rightarrow T_1
          head
           tail
                               \leftarrow \forall (Pair(T_1, T_2))
                                                              \rightarrow T_2
           list
                                \leftarrow \forall (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)
                                                              \rightarrow List(T_{n+1})
           set_head
                                \leftarrow \forall (Pair(T_1, T_2))
                                                              \rightarrow undefined)
                                \leftarrow \forall (Pair(T_1, T_2))
                                                              → undefined)
           set_tail
```

1.4 Preparing Programs for Type Inference

To facilitate the process of type inference, we annotate each component of the given program with unique type variables and introduce a simple transformation at the toplevel.

A *toplevel transformation* clarifies the nature of the names declared outside of function definitions, and the type of the overall statement. The toplevel transformation wraps the given program into a block, and introduces **return** keywords in front of expression statements, when these are the last statements in a sequence to be evaluated, even when they occur within conditional statements.

Examples:

```
const x = 1;
x + 2;
becomes
    const x = 1;
    return x + 2;
and
if (true) {
    const x = 1;
    x + 2;
} else {
    const y = 3;
    v + 4;
}
becomes
{
    if (true) {
        const x = 1;
        return x + 2;
    } else {
        const y = 3;
        return y + 4;
}
```

To facilitate the process of type inference, we annotate each component of the given program with unique type variables. We write the type variable as a superscript after the component, and use parentheses for clarification. For example, the Source §1 program

```
{ const x = 1; return x + 2; }
```

is represented by the annotated program

const
$$x^{T_1} = 1^{T_2}$$
; return $(x^{T_3} + 2^{T_4})^{T_5}$;

1.5 Type Constraints

We introduce type constraints Σ as conjunctions of type equations:

We require that constraints are kept in *solved form*:

$$t_1 = t'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge t_i = t'_i \wedge \cdots \wedge t_n = t'_n$$

where:

- all t_i are type variables,
- for any type variable T_i , there is at most one equation $T_i = \cdots$,
- no variable t_i occurs in any equation $t_j = t'_i$ if j > i.

A constraint in solved form does not have any cycles $t^{(0)} = t^{(1)}, t^{(1)} = t^{(2)}, \dots, t^{(k)} = t^{(0)}$. We apply a type constraint Σ in solved form to a type t as follows:

$$\Sigma(t_i) = t_i \qquad \qquad \text{if } t_i = t_i' \text{ occurs in } \Sigma$$

$$\Sigma(t_i) = t_i \qquad \qquad \Sigma(t_i) = \Sigma(t_i')$$

$$\underline{t' = \Sigma(t) \qquad t_1' = \Sigma(t_1) \qquad \cdots \qquad t_n' = \Sigma(t_n) \qquad \qquad t' = \Sigma(t)}$$

$$\Sigma((t_1, \dots, t_n) \to t) = (t_1', \dots, t_n') \to t' \qquad \qquad \Sigma(\text{List}(t)) = t'$$

$$\underline{t'_{head}} = \Sigma(t_{head}) \qquad t'_{tail} = \Sigma(t_{tail}) \qquad t'_{tail} = \text{List}(t'_{head})$$

$$\Sigma(Pair(t_{head}, t_{tail})) = t'_{tail}$$

$$\Sigma(Pair(t_{head}, t_{tail})) = Pair(t'_{head}, t'_{tail})$$

Example: If $\Sigma = (T_1 = \text{number} \land T_2 = T_3 \rightarrow \text{bool} \land T_3 = \text{number} \rightarrow \text{bool})$, we have $\Sigma(\text{number} \rightarrow T_2) = \text{number} \rightarrow ((\text{number} \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool})$.

Note that in our framework, type constraints never contain any polymorphic types. Thus you will never see " \forall " an a type constraint.

We add a constraint t = t' to a solved form Σ by applying the following rules in the given order:

- If t is a base type and t' is also a base type of the same kind, do nothing.
- If t is not a type variable and t' is a type variable, then we now try to add t' = t to Σ , following the same rules.
- If t is a type variable and $\Sigma(t')$ is a type variable with the same name as t, do nothing.
- If t is a type variable and $\Sigma(t') = Pair(t'', t)$, we now try to add the equation t = List(t'') to Σ , following the same rules.
- If t is a type variable and $\Sigma(t') = Pair(t'', List(t'''))$, we now try to add the equations t'' = t''' and t = List(t'') to Σ , following the same rules.
- If t is a type variable, $\Sigma(t')$ is a function type, list type, or pair type, and t is contained in $\Sigma(t')$, then stop with a type error as we will have an infinite type. (e.g. A = B \rightarrow A)
- If t is A_i and $\Sigma(t')$ is not a type variable and not number or string, then stop with a type error.
- If t is a type variable and there is an equation t = t'' in Σ , then we now try to add the equation t' = t'' to Σ , following the same rules.
- If t is a type variable that does not occur on the left in any equation in Σ , then add $t = \Sigma(t')$ in the front of Σ . In addition, if $\Sigma(t)$ is an "addable" type variable A_i and $\Sigma(t')$ is a regular type variable T_j , we must convert $\Sigma(t')$ into an "addable" type A_j .
- If t is $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \to t''$ and t' is $(t'_1, \ldots, t'_n) \to t'''$, then add n constraints $t_1 = t'_1, \cdots, t_n = t'_n, t'' = t'''$ to Σ , each time going through the above set of rules.

- If t is $Pair(t_{head}, t_{tail})$ and t' is $Pair(t'_{head}, t'_{tail})$, then add 2 constraints $t_{head} = t'_{head}, t_{tail} = t'_{tail}$ to Σ , both times going through the above set of rules.
- If t is $List(t_{el})$ and t' is $List(t'_{el})$, then add the constraint $t_{el} = t'_{el}$ to Σ going through the above set of rules.
- If t is $List(t_{el})$ and t' is a pair type, then try to add the constraint $t' = Pair(t_{el}, t)$ to Σ going through the above set of rules.
- If t' is $\textit{List}(t_{el})$ and t is a pair type, then try to add the constraint $t = \textit{Pair}(t_{el}, t')$ to Σ going through the above set of rules.
- If t is $Array(t_1)$ and $\Sigma(t') = Array(t_2)$, add the constraint $t_1 = t_2$ to Σ going through the above set of rules.
- Any other case (e.g. bool = string) stops with a type error.

This process is guaranteed to terminate either with a type error or with a new solved form.

2 Typing Relation

The set of well-typed programs is defined by the binary typing relation written $S:\Sigma$, where S is a toplevel-transformed, type-annotated program. The relation is defined using the quaternary typing relation $\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash S:\Sigma'$, as follows: $S:\Sigma$ holds if and only if $\top, \Gamma_0 \vdash S:\Sigma$ where Γ_0 is the intial type environment described above and \top is the empty type constraint. The constraint Σ can be called the constraint *inferred from* S.

We define the typing relation for expressions and statements inductively with the following rules.

2.1 Typing Relation on Expressions

The type of a name needs to be provided by the type environment. The first rule applies when $\Gamma(x)$ is monomorphic, i.e. $\Gamma(x) \neq \forall t'$.

$$\Gamma(x) \neq \forall t'$$
 $(\Sigma \land t = \Gamma(x)) = \Sigma'$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash x^t : \Sigma'$$

If $\Gamma(x)$ is polymorphic, i.e. $\Gamma(x) = \forall t'$, we replace all type variables in t' with fresh type variables:

$$\Gamma(x) = \forall t' \qquad (\Sigma \land t = \mathit{fresh}(t')) = \Sigma'$$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash x^t : \Sigma'$$

where fresh(t') results from t' by replacing all type variables consistently with fresh type variables. Example: $fresh(bool \rightarrow (T_1 \rightarrow (T_2 \rightarrow T_2)))$ might return $bool \rightarrow (T_{77} \rightarrow (T_{88} \rightarrow T_{88})))$.

If $\Gamma(x)$ is not defined, then neither rule is applicable. In this case, we say that there is no type for x derivable from the type environment Γ .

Constants get the following types.

$$(\Sigma \wedge t = \text{number}) = \Sigma' \qquad \qquad (\Sigma \wedge t = \text{string}) = \Sigma'$$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash n^t : \Sigma' \qquad \qquad \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash s^t : \Sigma'$$

where n denotes any literal number s denotes any literal string.

$$(\Sigma \wedge t = \texttt{bool}) = \Sigma' \qquad (\Sigma \wedge t = \texttt{bool}) = \Sigma'$$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash \texttt{true}^t : \Sigma' \qquad \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash \texttt{false}^t : \Sigma'$$

Important for typing conditionals is that the consequent and alternative expressions get the same type.

$$(\Sigma_0 \wedge t = t_1), \Gamma \vdash E_0^{t_0} : \Sigma_1 \quad (\Sigma_1 \wedge t_0 = \texttt{bool}) = \Sigma_2 \quad \Sigma_2, \Gamma \vdash E_1^{t_1} : \Sigma_3 \quad \Sigma_3, \Gamma \vdash E_2^{t_2} : \Sigma_4 \quad (\Sigma_4 \wedge t_1 = t_2) = \Sigma_5$$

$$\Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash (E_0^{t_0} ? E_1^{t_1} : E_2^{t_2})^t : \Sigma_5$$

We have the following rule for function application.

$$\Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash E_0^{t_0} : \Sigma_1 \quad \cdots \quad \Sigma_n, \Gamma \vdash E_n^{t_n} : \Sigma_{n+1} \quad (\Sigma_{n+1} \land t_0 = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \to t) = \Sigma_{n+2}$$

$$\Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash (E_0^{t_0} \ (E_1^{t_1}, \dots, E_n^{t_n}))^t : \Sigma_{n+2}$$

Application of the binary and unary operators are treated in the same way, with the operator being treated as an identifier expression. The type of the operator needs to be a function type with the right number of parameters, and the type of every argument needs to coincide with the corresponding parameter type of the function type. If all these conditions are met, the type of the function application is the same as the return type of the function type that is the type of the operator.

The typing of function definition statements is defined as follows.

$$\Sigma \wedge (t' = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \to t), \Gamma[x_1 \leftarrow t_1] \cdots [x_n \leftarrow t_n] \vdash S^t : \Sigma'$$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash ((x_1^{t_1}, \dots, x_n^{t_n}) \Rightarrow \{ S^t \})^{t'} : \Sigma'$$

For literal array definitions, we require all members of the array to be of the same type.

$$\Sigma_{1}, \Gamma \vdash E_{1}^{t_{1}} : \Sigma_{2} \dots \Sigma_{n}, \Gamma \vdash E_{n}^{t_{n}} : \Sigma_{n+1}$$

$$(\Sigma_{n+1} \land t = Array(t') \land t' = t_{1} \land t' = t_{2} \land \dots \land t' = t_{n}) = \Sigma_{n+2}$$

$$\Sigma_{1}, \Gamma \vdash ([E_{1}^{t_{1}}, \dots, E_{n}^{t_{n}}])^{t} : \Sigma_{n+2}$$

where t' is a fresh type variable. If the array is empty, we simply get:

$$\Sigma_2 = (\Sigma_1 \wedge t = Array(t'))$$

$$\Sigma_1, \Gamma \vdash ([\])^t : \Sigma_2$$

Finally, for array member access, we need to infer the property and the object first. We expect the object to be an *Array* and the property to a number. One possible type error could occur here at runtime where we try to access an array with a non-integer index. This error cannot be caught by the type inferencer as we do not infer integer types. If we successfully add the two constraints, we then add a constraint that the type of the entire expression is the element type of the array.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_1, \Gamma \vdash E_1^{t_1} : \Sigma_2 & \Sigma_2, \Gamma \vdash E^{t_2} : \Sigma_3 \\ (\Sigma_3 \land t_1 = \mathsf{number} \land t_2 = \mathit{Array} \land t = t_2.elementType) = \Sigma_4 \\ \\ & \Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash (E^{t_2}[E_1^{t_1}])^t : \Sigma_3 \end{array}$$

2.2 Typing Relation on Assignments

For the typing of assignments, the key idea is to infer the types of the LHS and RHS and simply add a constraint that the two are equal. The same rule can be applied for assignment to an

identifier and assignment to an array member expression. Collectively, we will just denote the LHS as E_1 to represent both scenarios.

$$(\Sigma_1 \wedge t = t_0), \Gamma \vdash E^{t_0} : \Sigma_2 \quad \Sigma_2, \Gamma \vdash E_1^{t_1} : \Sigma_3 \quad (\Sigma_3 \wedge t_0 = t_1) = \Sigma_4$$

$$\Sigma_1, \Gamma \vdash (E_1^{t_1} = E^{t_0};)^t : \Sigma_4$$

2.3 Typing Relation on Statements

The following rule deals with the typing of sequences. We assume that whenever there is a return statement or a conditional statement with a return statement within a sequence, it is the last statement in the sequence. (One could consider a "dead code" error otherwise.)

$$(\Sigma_1 \wedge t_3 = t_2), \Gamma \vdash S_1^{t_1} : \Sigma_2 \qquad \Sigma_2, \Gamma \vdash S_2^{t_2} : \Sigma_3$$

$$\Sigma_1, \Gamma \vdash (S_1^{t_1} S_2^{t_2})^{t_3} : \Sigma_3$$

Return statements are typed as follows.

$$(\Sigma \wedge t' = t), \Gamma \vdash E^t : \Sigma'$$
 $\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash (extbf{return } E^t;)^{t'} : \Sigma'$

The type of conditional statements is similar to the type of conditional expressions.

$$(\Sigma_0 \wedge t = t_1), \Gamma \vdash E^{t_0} : \Sigma_1 \quad (\Sigma_1 \wedge t_0 = \texttt{bool}) = \Sigma_2 \quad \Sigma_2, \Gamma \vdash \{S_1\}^{t_1} : \Sigma_3 \quad \Sigma_3, \Gamma \vdash \{S_2\}^{t_2} : \Sigma_4 \quad (\Sigma_4 \wedge t_1 = t_2) = \Sigma_5$$

 $\Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{if} \ (E^{t_0}) \ \{S_1\}^{t_1} \ \mathsf{else} \ \{S_2\}^{t_2})^t : \Sigma_5$

The type of expression statements is undefined. Note that expression statements at toplevel get a return placed in front of them by the toplevel-transformation described above.

$$(\Sigma \wedge t' = \texttt{undefined}), \Gamma \vdash E^t : \Sigma'$$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash (E^t;)^{t'} : \Sigma'$$

Similarly, the type of break and continue statements is undefined.

$$(\Sigma \wedge t = \texttt{undefined}) = \Sigma' \qquad (\Sigma \wedge t = \texttt{undefined}) = \Sigma'$$

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash (\texttt{break};)^t : \Sigma' \qquad \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash (\texttt{continue};)^t : \Sigma'$$

For blocks (including the bodies of function definitions), we discern whether the block contains **const** or **let** declarations. If it does not contain any, the typing is easy:

$$S$$
 does not contain **const** or **let** $(\Sigma \wedge t' = t), \Gamma \vdash S^t : \Sigma'$ $\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash \{ S^t \}^{t'} : \Sigma_3$

Blocks (including the bodies of function definitions) that contain declarations introduce polymorphism. In the following rule we assume that S does not have any further declarations. The rule is a simplification of the general case, because statements other than declarations can appear before and between the declarations. The rule applies analogously in this case, without re-arranging the statements. This means that the body of a block has two parts:

- the part up to and including the last declaration, where all declared names are monomorphically typed, and
- the part after the last declaration, where all declared names are polymorphically typed.

$$\Gamma[x_1 \leftarrow t_1] \cdots [x_n \leftarrow t_n] \Gamma'$$

$$(\Sigma_0 \wedge t = t' \wedge t'_1 = undefined \wedge \cdots \wedge t'_n = undefined) = \Sigma_1$$

$$\Sigma_1, \Gamma' \vdash E_1^{t_1} : \Sigma_2 \cdots \Sigma_n, \Gamma' \vdash E_n^{t_n} : \Sigma_{n+1}$$

$$\Gamma'[x_1 \leftarrow \forall \Sigma_{n+1}(t_1)] \cdots [x_n \leftarrow \forall \Sigma_{n+1}(t_n)] \Gamma''$$

$$\Sigma_{n+1}, \Gamma'' \vdash S^t : \Sigma_{n+2}$$

$$\Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash \{ \text{ (decl } x_1 = E_1^{t_1};)^{t_1'} \cdots \text{ (decl } x_n = E_n^{t_n};)^{t_n'} S^t \}^{t'} : \Sigma_{n+2} \}$$

For **while** statements, we simply have to add a constraint that the test condition evaluates to a bool type. After that we treat the while statement as a block statement.

$$(\Sigma_0 \wedge t = t_1), \Gamma \vdash E^{t_0} : \Sigma_1 \quad (\Sigma_1 \wedge t_0 = \texttt{bool}) = \Sigma_2 \quad \Sigma_2, \Gamma \vdash \Set{S}^{t_1} : \Sigma_3$$

$$\Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash (\texttt{while} \ (E^{t_0}) \quad \Set{S}^{t_1})^t : \Sigma_3$$

For **for** statements, the typing rule is the same as typing a **while** statement, with the addition of checking the init and update nodes before checking the body.

$$\begin{split} &(\Sigma_0 \wedge t = t_3), \Gamma \vdash assign_1^{t_0} : \Sigma_1 \\ &\Sigma_1, \Gamma \vdash E^{t_1} : \Sigma_2 \\ &(\Sigma_2 \wedge t_1 = \texttt{bool}) = \Sigma_3 \\ &\Sigma_3, \Gamma \vdash assign_2^{t_2} : \Sigma_4 \\ &\Sigma_4, \Gamma \vdash \Set{S}^{t_3} : \Sigma_5 \end{split}$$

$$\Sigma_0, \Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{for} \ (\ assign_1^{t_0};\ E^{t_1};\ assign_2^{t_2}) \ \{\ S\ \}^{t_3})^t : \Sigma_5$$

3 Type Safety of Source

Now we can define what it means for a statement to be well-typed.

Definition 3.1 A statement S is well-typed, if there is a consistent type constraint Σ such that $S:\Sigma$.

Note that this definition of well-typedness requires that a well-typed statement has no free names.