Homework 1

Daniel Gargiullo (ID: 24277715)

CSCI366 - Natural Language Processing | Prof. Alla Rozovskaya | Spring 2024

Part I

Q3.4: We are given the following corpus: <s> I am Sam </s>

<s> Sam I am </s>

<s>I am Sam </s>

<s> I do not like green eggs and Sam </s>

Using a bigram language model with add-one smoothing, what is P(Sam| am)?

Include <s> and </s> in your counts just like any other token.

P(Sam | am)

= count(am Sam) + 1 / count(am) + number of unique words

$$=(2)+1/(3)+(10)$$

= 3 / 13

Part II

Q1: There are $\frac{41,738}{}$ unique words (word types) in the training corpus (excluding <s>). This number is determined at the time of training the UnigramModel. When the UnigramModel is trained, the number of unique words are stored in the dict \lor (See code snippet below).

Q2: There are a total of $\underline{2,468,210}$ words (tokens) in the training corpus. This number is determined at the time of training the UnigramModel. When the UnigramModel is trained, the total number of words are stored in list \mathbb{W} (See code snippet below):

Snippet for Q1, Q2:

Q3: Percentage of unseen word tokens in test data: 3.6114%.

Percentage of unseen word types in test data: 1.6612%.

These two figures are determined by main's missing_unigrams_ratio() and missing_uni_token_ratio() functions, which calculate the percentage of unique word types and total word tokens in the test corpus that did not appear in the training corpus:

```
return '%.4f' % (missing_unigrams / total_unigrams * 100)
return '%.4f' % (missing_tokens / total_tokens * 100)
```

Q4: Percentage of unseen bigram tokens in test data: 30.1192%.

Percentage of unseen bigram types in test data: 27.5009%

These two figures are determined by main's missing_bigrams_ratio() and missing_bi_token_ratio() functions, which calculate the percentage of unique bigram types and total bigram tokens in the test corpus that did not appear in the training corpus:

```
return '%.4f' % (missing_bigrams / total_bigrams * 100)
return '%.4f' % (missing_bigrams / total_bigrams * 100)
```

Q5:

I look forward to hearing your reply.

To compute the logarithmic probabilities, the above sentence is passed into the compute_probability_log() function in each of the instances of the three model types created in main.py. The raw probability is then calculated using the compute_probability_raw() function, the base-2 logarithm of which is the resulting logarithmic probability. See the probability values by running the program and viewing the console output, or see the output file.

Q6: To compute the perplexity of the sentence, I created a compute_perplexity_of_sentence() function in the UnigramModel and BigramModel classes that takes the raw probabilities of the individual words (in the case of the UnigramModel) and the raw probabilities of the combinations of bigrams (in the case of the BigramModel), as well as an additional

compute_perplexity_smoothed_of_sentence() function in the BigramModel for calculating the smoothed perplexity of a sentence, the base-2 logarithms of which are then use in their perplexity formula:

```
Unigram:
```

```
p = self.compute probability raw(w)
      log_2_p += math.log_2(p)
PPL = 2**(-log_2_p / len(s))
return PPL
Result: 756.9920623085402
Bigram:
p = self.compute_probability_raw(w_0, w_1)
try:
      log 2 p += math.log2(p)
      except ValueError:
      return "UNDEFINED! ..."
PPL = 2**(-log_2_p / len(s) - 1)
return PPL
Result: UNDEFINED! There exists a bigram tuple with a 0 value (cannot
divide by zero)!
Bigram (smooth):
      p = self.compute probability smooth(w 0, w 1)
      try:
             log_2p += math.log_2(p)
      except ValueError:
             return "UNDEFINED! ..."
      PPL = 2**(-log 2 p / len(s) - 1)
       return PPL
```

Result: 658.8564401431914

Q7: To compute the perplexity of the file, I created a compute_perplexity_of_corpus() function in each of the models' respective classes, as well as an additional

compute_perplexity_smoothed_of_corpus() function that each take either the raw probabilities of the

individual words and bigrams (in the cases of the UnigramModel and BigramModel, respectively) and the smoothed probabilities of the combinations of bigrams (in the case of the BigramModel with smoothing), the base-2 logarithms of which are then used in the respective perplexity formulas, which compute the perplexity for an entire file as opposed to just a sentence. See below.

Output perplexity values:

Unigram: 802.0839563494246

Bigram: UNDEFINED! There exists a bigram tuple with a 0 value (cannot

divide by zero)!

Bigram: 1357.0366087733726

A lower perplexity corresponds to a better fit for the language model. The UnigramModel has the lower perplexity of the three, which makes sense since the text being passed to it is almost 3,000 words long. Once again (as in Q6 above), the standard Bigram encounters a tuple with a zero value, and an exception is thrown, with no PPL (perplexity) being calculated, as it is undefined. The Bigram using Laplace (Add-One) Smoothing resolves this issue by giving a value of 1 to all tuples with a 0 value, however, it does not prove to be a better fit for the model in the case of the entire corpus. It *is*, however, a better fit for the model in the case of the sentence "I look forward to hearing your reply .", as we've seen in Q6 above.