# Searching

Algorithm	Data Structure	Time Complexity		Space Complexity
		Average	Worst	Worst
Depth First Search (DFS)	Graph of  V  vertices and   E  edges	-	O( E  +  V )	0( V )
Breadth First Search (BFS)	Graph of  V  vertices and   E  edges	1	O( E  +  V )	0( V )
Binary search	Sorted array of n elements	O(log(n))	O(log(n))	0(1)
Linear (Brute Force)	Array	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)
Shortest path by Dijkstra, using a Min-heap as priority queue	Graph with  V  vertices and  E  edges	0(( V  +  E ) log  V )	0(( V  +  E ) log  V )	
Shortest path by Dijkstra, using an unsorted array as priority queue	Graph with  V  vertices and  E  edges	0( V ^2)	0( V ^2)	0( V )
Shortest path by Bellman-Ford	Graph with  V  vertices and  E  edges	O( V  E )	O( V  E )	0( V )

# **Sorting**

Algorithm	Data Structure Time Complexity				Worst Case Auxiliary Space Complexity
		Best	Average	Worst	Worst
Quicksort	Array	0(n log(n))	0(n log(n))	0(n^2)	0(n)
Mergesort	Array	0(n log(n))	0(n log(n))	0(n log(n))	0(n)
Heapsort	Array	0(n log(n))	0(n log(n))	0(n log(n))	0(1)
Bubble Sort	Array	0(n)	O(n^2)	0(n^2)	0(1)
Insertion Sort	Array	0(n)	O(n^2)	0(n^2)	0(1)
Select Sort	Array	0(n^2)	O(n^2)	O(n^2)	0(1)
Bucket Sort	Array	0(n+k)	0(n+k)	O(n^2)	0(nk)
Radix Sort	Array	0(nk)	0(nk)	0(nk)	O(n+k)

#### **Data Structures**

<b>Data Structure</b>	Lime Complexity					<b>Space Complexity</b>			
	Average				Worst				Worst
	Indexing	Search	Insertion	Deletion	Indexing	Search	Insertion	Deletion	
Basic Array	0(1)	0(n)	_	_	0(1)	0(n)	_	-	0(n)
Dynamic Array	0(1)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)
Singly-Linked List	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)	0(1)	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)	0(1)	0(n)
Doubly-Linked List	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)	0(1)	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)	0(1)	0(n)
Skip List	0(log(n) )	0(log( n))	0(log(n) )	0(log(n ))	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	O(n log(n))
Hash Table	_	0(1)	0(1)	0(1)	_	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)
Binary Search Tree	0(log(n)	0(log( n))	0(log(n) )	0(log(n	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)
Cartresian Tree	-	0(log( n))	0(log(n) )	0(log(n	_	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)	0(n)
B-Tree	0(log(n)	0(log( n))	0(log(n) )	0(log(n	0(log(n)	0(log( n))	O(log(n)	0(log(n ))	0(n)
Red-Black Tree	0(log(n)	0(log( n))	0(log(n) )	0(log(n	0(log(n)	0(log( n))	0(log(n)	0(log(n ))	0(n)
Splay Tree	-	0(log( n))	0(log(n) )	0(log(n ))	_	0(log( n))	O(log(n)	0(log(n ))	0(n)
AVL Tree	0(log(n)	0(log( n))	0(log(n)	0(log(n ))	0(log(n)	0(log( n))	0(log(n)	0(log(n	0(n)

## Heaps

Heaps	Time Co	mplexity					
	Heapify	Find Max	Extract Max	<b>Increase Key</b>	Insert	Delete	Merge
Linked List (sorted)	-	0(1)	0(1)	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)	O(m+n)
Linked List (unsorted)	-	0(n)	0(n)	0(1)	0(1)	0(1)	0(1)
Binary Heap	<mark>0(n)</mark>	0(1)	O(log(n))	O(log(n))	0(log(n)	O(log(n))	0(m+n)
Binomial Heap	-	O(log(n))	O(log(n))	O(log(n))	0(log(n)	O(log(n))	0(log(n)
Fibonacci Heap	_	0(1)	0(log(n))*	0(1)*	0(1)	0(log(n)) *	0(1)

### **Graphs**

Node / Edge Management	Storage	Add Vertex	Add Edge	Remove Vertex	Remove Edge	Query
Adjacency list	O( V + E )	0(1)	0(1)	O( V  +  E )	O( E )	0( V )
Incidence list	O( V + E )	0(1)	0(1)	O( E )	O( E )	O( E )
Adjacency matrix	0( V ^2)	0( V ^2)	0(1)	0( V ^2)	0(1)	0(1)
Incidence matrix	O( V  ·   E )	O( V  ·   E )	O( V  ·   E )	O( V  ·  E )	O( V  ·  E )	O( E )

### Notation for asymptotic growth

letter	bound	growth
(theta) $\Theta$	upper and lower, tight[1]	equal[2]
(big-oh) O	upper, tightness unknown	less than or equal[3]
(small-oh) o	upper, not tight	less than
(big omega) $\Omega$	lower, tightness unknown	greater than or equal
(small omega) $\omega$	lower, not tight	greater than

[1] Big O is the upper bound, while Omega is the lower bound. Theta requires both Big O and Omega, so that's why it's referred to as a tight bound (it must be both the upper and lower bound). For example, an algorithm taking Omega(n log n) takes at least n log n time but has no upper limit. An algorithm taking Theta(n log n) is far preferential since it takes AT LEAST n log n (Omega n log n) and NO MORE THAN n log n (Big O n log n).so

[2]  $f(x) = \Theta(g(n))$  means f (the running time of the algorithm) grows exactly like g when n (input size) gets larger. In other words, the growth rate of f(x) is asymptotically proportional to g(n).

[3] Same thing. Here the growth rate is no faster than g(n). big-oh is the most useful because represents the worst-case behavior.

In short, if algorithm is \_\_ then its performance is \_\_

algorithm	performance
o(n)	< n
O(n)	$\leq$ n
$\Theta(n)$	= n
$\Omega(n)$	$\geq$ n
$\omega(n)$	> n