Numeric examples. (To be moved to the Introduction Section.) In the Numerics section, we develop several examples of robust pricing problems designed to show the improvement allowed by our result. We consider cost functions based on the the european call option applied to a basket of assets and a theoretical covariance (or cross-product) contract. The first two examples use hypothetical uniform and normal marginal distributions, while the third uses real-world marginal distributions implied by option prices of individual assets at two distinct future points in time.

In this section, we solve several versions of the problem of robust pricing of a financial contract involving the prices of a pair of assets at two distinct points in time. We apply the framework of [1]—EcksteinKupper21—, further developed in—EcksteinGuoLimObloj21— and analyze potential performance improvements allowed by our result about the geometry of the optimal couplings. Our tests run across various dimensions, cost functions and marginal shapes, including marginals derived from actual stock market option prices, as detailed below.

The model introduced in –EcksteinKupper2021– uses penalization and neural network optimization to solve the dual problem of Optimal Transport. More precisely, the model approximates the potential functions $(\phi_i, \psi_i, h_i)_i$ of equation 2.6 using neural networks and use penalization to impose condition 2.7. –EcksteinGuoLimObloj21– introduces the martingale constraint to the optimal transport problem, providing a dual solution to VMOT. The novelty of our method is in the improvement of the sampling measure θ , used for penalization: since we know that a solution (unique in the case of strict submodularity) is attained with monotone coupling of the X marginals $(\vec{\mu}_i)$, we determine θ^1 , the X-side of θ , by those marginals. When possible, we improve θ a little further by applying some additional knowledge about the full coupling of the marginals, including the Y side. Further research on VMOT can reveal ways to improve θ for more general cases. Here, for the sake of comparison, we run tests with and without these known improvements. For simplicity, we fix the penalization function as L_2 and the penalization parameter as $\gamma = 1000$.

Example 1. (Basket option cost function, uniform marginals.) Our first cost function mimics the payoff of a contract similar to an European call option applied to a basket composed of assets. That is, a cost function with general form

$$c(X,Y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i X_i - k_1\right)^{+} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i Y_i - k_2\right)^{+}$$

Our marginals are uniform distributions centered at zero. Set d=2 and

$$c(X_1, Y) = (X_1 + 2X_2 - 1)^+ + (Y_1 + 2Y_2 - 1)^+$$
$$X_1, X_2 \sim U[-1, 1]$$
$$Y_1, Y_2 \sim U[-2, 2]$$

We are interested in both upper and lower bounds. It is useful to calculate p^+ and p^- as references for the numerical output [check conditions for mono-

tone coupling on Y]. For the maximum (minimum) we take $\hat{\pi}$ with positive (negative) monotone coupling on both $\hat{\pi}^1$ and $\hat{\pi}^2$. We calculate the bounds as

$$p_{\hat{\pi}}^{+} = p_{X,\hat{\pi}}^{+} + p_{Y,\hat{\pi}}^{+}$$
$$p_{\hat{\pi}}^{-} = p_{X,\hat{\pi}}^{-} + p_{X,\hat{\pi}}^{-}$$

where, using the formula on A1 below,

$$\begin{split} p_{X,\hat{\pi}}^+ &= \frac{1}{3}; & p_{Y,\hat{\pi}}^+ &= \frac{25}{24} \\ p_{Y,\hat{\pi}}^- &= 0; & p_{Y,\hat{\pi}}^- &= \frac{1}{8} \end{split}$$

Thus, our theoretical reference bounds for the numerical approximation are $p^+ = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{25}{24} = \frac{11}{8} = 1.375$ and $p^- = \frac{1}{8} = 0.125$. Our first step in the computation process is to build two samples from the theoretical distributions μ_0 and θ in equation 2.3 of –EcksteinKupper21–. We repeat the target function to be optimized here, with adapted notation. We want to (min)maximize

$$\int \left(\sum_{i} f_{i} + \sum_{i} g_{i}\right) d\mu_{0} + \int \beta_{\gamma} (c - \varphi) d\theta$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left(\int f_{i} d\mu_{i} + \int g_{i} d\nu_{i}\right) + \int \beta_{\gamma} (c - \varphi) d\theta$$

Notice that we are using the fact that $\int \sum_i h_i\left(x\right).\left(y_i-x_i\right)d\mu_0=0$ to eliminate this term from the first integral in each side of the the equation above. Given the separation in the first term of the RHS, μ_0 can be simply taken as the independent coupling of the marginals. As for θ , the only requirement besides the marginals is that a true solution π^* be absolutely convex with respect to it. In its most general form, θ is composed of the independent coupling of the marginals. A more elaborate version comes from using our main result, when we set θ^1 to be determined by the monotonic coupling of $(\vec{\mu}_i)$ (positive or negative according to the objective). We use both choices of θ and compare the outputs. Sample sizes are 100k in both cases. The graph below shows the convergence of the numeric value for the number of iterations in the horizontal axis. The shaded grey area covers ± 1 standard deviations of the numeric outputs.

[graph: convergence max] [graph: convergence min]

The following table summarizes the results after 200 iterations.

Maximization.

Coupling	Independent	Positive θ^1
Target	1.375	
Dual approx.value	1.2807	1.3170
Standard deviation	0.0254	0.0288
Penalty	0.0174	0.0055

Minimization.

Coupling	Independent	Negative θ^1	
Target	0.125		
Dual approx.value	0.1433	0.1311	
Standard deviation	0.0230	0.0251	
Penalty	0.0082	0.0075	

Example 2. (Covariance cost function, normal marginals.) For our second example, we consider a cost function inspired by a theoretical contract with payoff proportional to the covariance of two assets. The general form is

$$c(X,Y) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j>i} a_{ij} X_i X_j + b_{ij} Y_i Y_j$$

Now our marginals follow normal distributions with parameters

$$X_i \sim N\left(0, \sigma_i^2\right)$$

 $Y_i \sim N\left(0, \rho_i^2\right)$

We start with d=2 and set

$$c(X, Y) = Y_1 Y_2$$

 $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (2, 1)$
 $(\rho_1, \rho_2) = (3, 4)$

By symmetry, we only need to look at the maximization problem. Proposition 3.7 gives us the exact solution to be used as a reference for the maximum price

$$p^+ = 2 * 1 + \sqrt{3^2 - 2^2} \sqrt{4^2 - 1^2} \sim 10.6603$$

To avoid noisy behavior in the potential functions, we sample from normal distributions clipped at $\pm 4\sigma$. As before, we run our optimization with the most general sampling measure θ given by the independent coupling of the marginals and with an improved measure that uses our result, namely, with θ^1 determined by the monotone coupling of $(\vec{\mu}_i)$. A futher improvement is allowed by the fact that we are using normal marginals, see (REF), which gives us a third, potentially even more efficient θ where θ^1 is given as before and θ^2 follows a joint normal with parameters given by the reference (develop). The value convergence using the three sampling measures is shown below. Sample sizes are 100k.

[graph: convergence]

The convergence graph shows similar pattern between independent and monotone coupling on θ^1 . (comment)

To provide a visual illustration of the coupling process, we construct examples of distributions from the potential functions generated in the numerical procedure, according to equation 2.6 of –EcksteinKupper2021–[1].

[graphs: $\hat{\pi}$ for both cases]

Results after 2000 iterations.

Cupling	Independent	Positive θ^1	Positive θ^1 and optimal θ^2
Target	10.6603		
Dual approx.value	10.3836	10.5351	10.7753
Standard deviation	0.4413	0.4465	0.3814
Penalty	0.4135	0.1684	0.0132

Higher dimensions. To compare performance in higher dimensions, we also run examples with d=3 and d=5 (to do: change second to d=10). Our cost is simplified as

$$c(x,y) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j>i} b_{ij} y_i y_j$$

For d = 3, the cost, marginals and target maximum values are given by

$$c(x,y) = y_1 y_2 + 2y_1 y_3 + \frac{1}{2} y_2 y_3$$
$$(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = (1, 2, 3)$$
$$(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (2, 3, 8)$$
$$p^+ \approx 48.855$$

Below is a graph of the convergence

[graph: convergence]

[comment]

Results after 2000 iterations. (update)

Cupling	Independent	Positive θ^1
Target	48.855	
Dual approx.value		
Standard deviation		
Penalty		

For the d = 5, our setting is

$$c(x,y) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j>i} y_i y_j$$
$$(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5) = (1, 2, 2, 3, 3)$$
$$(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4, \rho_5) = (2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$$
$$p^+ \approx 153.751$$

Below is a graph of the convergence

[graph: convergence]

[comment]

Results after 2000 iterations. (update)

Cupling	Independent	Positive θ^1
Target	153.751	
Dual approx.value		
Standard deviation		
Penalty		

Example 3. (Covariance cost function with real stock market marginals.) To be developed. Include graphs of dual functions and discussion.

A1. Portfolio option price - direct calculation. Let

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 - k)^+$$

 $X_i \sim \mu_i \equiv U[-m, m], i = 1, 2$

with $a_i \geq 0; m > 0$. We are interested in the maximum and minimum of the expected value of f over all possible couplings of μ_1 and μ_2 . Denote

$$p^{+} = \max_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2})} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} f(x)$$
$$p^{-} = \min_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2})} \mathbb{E}_{\pi} f(x)$$

By [REF], the maximum is attained at the positive-diagonal monotone coupling of μ_1, μ_2 , named π^+ . Since $x_1 = x_2$ in the support of π^+ , we have

$$p^{+} = \int f(x) \mathbb{P}(x) d\pi^{+}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-m}^{m} f((t,t)) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-m}^{\frac{k}{a_{1}+a_{2}}} f((t,t)) dt + \frac{1}{2m} \int_{\frac{k}{a_{1}+a_{2}}}^{m} f((t,t)) dt$$

Notice that the first integral is zero, and so is the second one if $m \le \frac{k}{a_1 + a_2}$. If $m \ge \frac{k}{a_1 + a_2}$ then we have

$$p^{+} = \frac{1}{2m} \int_{\frac{k}{a_{1} + a_{2}}}^{m} f((t, t)) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \int_{\frac{k}{a_{1} + a_{2}}}^{m} \left[(a_{1} + a_{2}) t - k \right] dt$$

$$= \frac{a_{1} + a_{2}}{4m} \left[m^{2} - \left(\frac{k}{a_{1} + a_{2}} \right)^{2} \right] - k \left(m - \frac{k}{a_{1} + a_{2}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{a_{1} + a_{2}}{4m} \left[m^{2} - 2m \frac{k}{a_{1} + a_{2}} + \left(\frac{k}{a_{1} + a_{2}} \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{a_{1} + a_{2}}{4m} \left(m - \frac{k}{a_{1} + a_{2}} \right)^{2}$$

Similarly, for the minimum we consider the negative-diagonal monotone coupling π^- in whose support $x_2 = -x_1$. Thus we have

$$p^{-} = \int f(x) \mathbb{P}(x) d\pi^{-}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-m}^{m} f((t, -t)) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-m}^{\frac{k}{a_{1} - a_{2}}} f((t, -t)) dt + \frac{1}{2m} \int_{\frac{k}{a_{1} - a_{2}}}^{m} f((t, -t)) dt$$

Now the second integral is zero and so is the first if $-m \ge \frac{k}{a_1-a_2}$, or $m \le \frac{k}{a_2-a_1}$. If $m \ge \frac{k}{a_2-a_1}$ then

$$p^{-} = \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-m}^{\frac{k}{a_{1} - a_{2}}} f\left((t, -t)\right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-m}^{\frac{k}{a_{1} - a_{2}}} \left[(a_{1} - a_{2}) t - k \right] dt$$

$$= \frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{4m} \left[\left(\frac{k}{a_{1} - a_{2}} \right)^{2} - m^{2} \right] - k \left(\frac{k}{a_{1} - a_{2}} + m \right)$$

$$= \frac{a_{1} - a_{2}}{4m} \left[-\left(\frac{k}{a_{1} - a_{2}} \right)^{2} - m^{2} - 2km \right]$$

$$= \frac{a_{2} - a_{1}}{4m} \left(m - \frac{k}{a_{2} - a_{1}} \right)^{2}$$

References

[1] S. Eckstein and M. Kupper. Computation of optimal transport and related hedging problems via penalization and neural networks. *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, April 2021.