

Data and Applications

Assignment 3

Functional Dependencies and Normalization

TEAM : Up To Data

- **Khushi Agarwal - 2020101092**
- **Soveet Kumar Nayak - 2020101086**
- **Naimeesh Narayan Tiwari - 2020101074**

2.1 Question 1

Consider $R(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ to be a relation with functional dependencies defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 &\rightarrow A_2 A_3 \dots A_n (i = 1) \\A_2 A_3 &\rightarrow A_4 A_5 \dots A_n A_1 (i = 2) \\A_4 A_5 A_6 &\rightarrow A_7 A_8 \dots A_n A_1 A_2 A_3 (i = 3)\end{aligned}$$

Functional dependencies of the sequence,

$$A_{\frac{(i-1)(i)}{2}+1} A_{\frac{(i-1)(i)}{2}+2} \dots A_{\frac{(i-1)(i)}{2}+i} \rightarrow A_{\frac{(i-1)(i)}{2}+i+1} \dots A_n A_1 \dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}$$

For $i > 3$ and till $\frac{(i-1)(i)}{2} + i = n$.

Question 1.1 For what values of n is the above set of functional dependencies possible?

Solution:

Since,

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 &\rightarrow A_2 A_3 \dots A_n (i = 1) \\A_2 A_3 &\rightarrow A_4 A_5 \dots A_n A_1 (i = 2) \\A_4 A_5 A_6 &\rightarrow A_7 A_8 \dots A_n A_1 A_2 A_3 (i = 3)\end{aligned}$$

and so on,

we should have $n = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots$

hence n should be of the form $m(m+1)/2$, where $m > 2$

where m = no. of functional dependencies

for $m \leq 2$, the FD set is not possible as atleast one of the FDs do not exist.

Question 1.2 How many keys does the relation R have and what are they?

Solution:

The keys are : $A_1, A_2 A_3, A_4 A_5 A_6, \dots$

Hence, there are m keys where $\frac{m(m+1)}{2} = n$ [m being the number of functional dependencies]

as all attributes are prime attributes and the LHS of every functional dependency is a key.

Question 1.3 State the normal form of the above relation and normalize it to BCNF (if valid) using decomposition rules.

Solution:

- Assuming all attributes are simple and indivisible in R, the relation is in 1NF.
- Since the relation is 1NF and all attributes are prime attributes, hence the relation is in 2NF.
- Since the relation is in 1NF and 2NF and all attributes are prime attributes, hence there is no non-prime attribute which is transitively dependent on the primary key, the relation R is in 3NF.
- Since every functional dependency has a key in it hence in any functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$, X is a superkey, therefore, the relation R is in BCNF.

Question 1.4 Find the minimal cover of the above relation and use it to normalize it to BCNF (if valid).

Solution:

To get minimal cover,

Step 1: First reduce the RHS of all functional dependencies to a single attribute

$$A_1 \rightarrow A_i \forall 2 \leq i \leq n$$

$$A_2 A_3 \rightarrow A_1$$

$$A_2 A_3 \rightarrow A_i \forall 4 \leq i \leq n, \dots$$

$$A_{((i-1)(i)/2)+1} A_{((i-1)(i)/2)+2} \dots A_{((i-1)(i)/2)+i} \rightarrow A_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq (i-1)(i)/2$$

Step 2: remove the redundant functional dependencies

$$A_1 \rightarrow A_i \forall 2 \leq i \leq n$$

$$A_2 A_3 \rightarrow A_1$$

$$A_4 A_5 A_6 \rightarrow A_1$$

$$A_{((i-1)(i)/2)+1} A_{((i-1)(i)/2)+2} \dots A_{((i-1)(i)/2)+i} \rightarrow A_1$$

2.2 Question 2

Consider $R(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ be a relation R with functional dependencies as follows:

$$A_i \rightarrow A_j \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

and,

$$A_i \rightarrow A_j \quad \forall 1 \leq i > j \leq n$$

Given the premise, answer the following questions.

Question 2.1 How many keys does the relation R have and what are they?

here, $A_i \rightarrow A_j \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n$

that implies that,

[after applying the Union property, that states that if $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X \rightarrow Z$ then $X \rightarrow YZ$]

$$A_1 \rightarrow A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$$

$$A_2 \rightarrow A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$$

$$A_3 \rightarrow A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$$

and so on..

now, $A_i \rightarrow A_j \quad \forall 1 \leq i > j \leq n$, implies, similarly,

$$A_n \rightarrow A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-1}$$

$$A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-2}$$

and so on,

this means that $A_i \rightarrow A_1 A_2 A_3 \dots A_{i-1} A_{i+1} \dots A_n$

hence, every attribute is a key in R.

Hence there are n keys.

Question 2.2 State the normal form of the above relation and normalize it to BCNF (if valid) using decomposition rules.

Solution:

- Assuming all attributes are simple and indivisible in R, the relation is in 1NF.
- Since the relation is in 1NF and all attributes are prime attributes, hence the relation is in 2NF.
- Since the relation is in 1NF and 2NF and all attributes are prime attributes, hence there is no non-prime attribute which is transitively dependent on the primary key, the relation R is in 3NF.
- Since every functional dependency has a key in it hence in any functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$, X is a superkey, therefore, the relation R is in BCNF.

Question 2.3 Find the minimal cover of the above relation and use it to normalize it to BCNF (if valid).

Solution:

To get minimal cover,

Step 1: First reduce the RHS of all functional dependencies to a single attribute

But here, all the functional dependencies here have single attribute present.

Step 2: remove the redundant functional dependencies

here for a functional dependency to be redundant we have the condition :

$X \rightarrow Y$ is redundant X^+ remains the same even after removing the functional dependency $X \rightarrow Y$.

$$A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1} \forall 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

$$A_n \rightarrow A_1$$

Hence, Any cyclic functional dependencies satisfy minimal cover here.

There are $(n-1)!$ possible minimal covers. [permutations]

A_1 has $n-1$ options to determine

A_2 has $n-2$ options to determine

A_3 has $n-3$ options

and similarly we get $(n-1)!$ minimal covers.