

# Lecture 29/30: Stochastic Search Algorithms

BT 3051 – Data Structures and Algorithms for Biology

Karthik Raman

Department of Biotechnology  
Indian Institute of Technology Madras

# DIRECT SEARCH METHODS

# Why Direct Search?

Courtesy: Prof. Alonso, Stanford AA222

Many real life applications involve major challenges:

- ▶ **non-differentiable objective functions (also constraints?)**
- ▶ non-convex search spaces
- ▶ discrete search spaces
- ▶ mixed variables (discrete, continuous)
- ▶ very high dimensionality
- ▶ many local minima

# Why Direct Search?

Courtesy: Prof. Alonso, Stanford AA222

Many real life applications involve major challenges:

- ▶ non-differentiable objective functions (also constraints?)
- ▶ non-convex search spaces
- ▶ discrete search spaces
- ▶ mixed variables (discrete, continuous)
- ▶ very high dimensionality
- ▶ many local minima

# Why Direct Search?

Courtesy: Prof. Alonso, Stanford AA222

Many real life applications involve major challenges:

- ▶ non-differentiable objective functions (also constraints?)
- ▶ non-convex search spaces
- ▶ discrete search spaces
- ▶ mixed variables (discrete, continuous)
- ▶ very high dimensionality
- ▶ many local minima

# Why Direct Search?

Courtesy: Prof. Alonso, Stanford AA222

Many real life applications involve major challenges:

- ▶ non-differentiable objective functions (also constraints?)
- ▶ non-convex search spaces
- ▶ discrete search spaces
- ▶ mixed variables (discrete, continuous)
- ▶ very high dimensionality
- ▶ many local minima

# Why Direct Search?

Courtesy: Prof. Alonso, Stanford AA222

Many real life applications involve major challenges:

- ▶ non-differentiable objective functions (also constraints?)
- ▶ non-convex search spaces
- ▶ discrete search spaces
- ▶ mixed variables (discrete, continuous)
- ▶ very high dimensionality
- ▶ many local minima

# Why Direct Search?

Courtesy: Prof. Alonso, Stanford AA222

Many real life applications involve major challenges:

- ▶ non-differentiable objective functions (also constraints?)
- ▶ non-convex search spaces
- ▶ discrete search spaces
- ▶ mixed variables (discrete, continuous)
- ▶ very high dimensionality
- ▶ many local minima



# Methods for Optimisation

- ▶ Therefore, methods cannot compute/use gradient information
- ▶ Such methods broadly categorised as *Direct Search Methods*
- ▶ Central aspect of direct search methods

# Methods for Optimisation

- ▶ Therefore, methods cannot compute/use gradient information
- ▶ Such methods broadly categorised as *Direct Search Methods*
- ▶ Central aspect of direct search methods
  - Strategy to vary *parameter vector*
  - Strategy to select *best* or *new* parameter vector

# Methods for Optimisation

- ▶ Therefore, methods cannot compute/use gradient information
- ▶ Such methods broadly categorised as *Direct Search Methods*
- ▶ Central aspect of direct search methods
  - ▶ Strategy to vary *parameter vector*
  - ▶ Strategy to accept/reject a *new parameter vector*

# Methods for Optimisation

- ▶ Therefore, methods cannot compute/use gradient information
- ▶ Such methods broadly categorised as *Direct Search Methods*
- ▶ Central aspect of direct search methods
  - ▶ Strategy to vary *parameter vector*
  - ▶ Strategy to accept/reject a *new parameter vector*

# Methods for Optimisation

- ▶ Therefore, methods cannot compute/use gradient information
- ▶ Such methods broadly categorised as *Direct Search Methods*
- ▶ Central aspect of direct search methods
  - ▶ Strategy to vary *parameter vector*
  - ▶ Strategy to accept/reject a *new parameter vector*

# Methods of Optimisation

## Acceptance/Rejection

- ▶ Once a variation is generated, a decision must then be made whether or not to accept the newly derived parameters
- ▶ Most standard direct search methods use the greedy criterion to make this decision
  - ▶ a new parameter vector is accepted iff it reduces the value of the cost function
- ▶ Greedy decision process converges fairly fast — runs the risk of becoming trapped in a local minimum
- ▶ Inherently parallel search techniques like GAs and ESs have some built-in safeguards to forestall misconvergence
- ▶ By running several vectors simultaneously, superior parameter configurations can help other vectors escape local minima

Also see **Storn R & Price K (1997)** *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods of Optimisation

## Acceptance/Rejection

- ▶ Once a variation is generated, a decision must then be made whether or not to accept the newly derived parameters
- ▶ Most standard direct search methods use the greedy criterion to make this decision
  - ▶ a new parameter vector is accepted iff it reduces the value of the cost function
- ▶ Greedy decision process converges fairly fast — runs the risk of becoming trapped in a local minimum
- ▶ Inherently parallel search techniques like GAs and ESs have some built-in safeguards to forestall misconvergence
- ▶ By running several vectors simultaneously, superior parameter configurations can help other vectors escape local minima

Also see **Storn R & Price K (1997)** *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods of Optimisation

## Acceptance/Rejection

- ▶ Once a variation is generated, a decision must then be made whether or not to accept the newly derived parameters
- ▶ Most standard direct search methods use the greedy criterion to make this decision
  - ▶ a new parameter vector is accepted iff it reduces the value of the cost function
- ▶ Greedy decision process converges fairly fast — runs the risk of becoming trapped in a local minimum
- ▶ Inherently parallel search techniques like GAs and ESs have some built-in safeguards to forestall misconvergence
- ▶ By running several vectors simultaneously, superior parameter configurations can help other vectors escape local minima

Also see **Storn R & Price K (1997)** *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359



# Methods of Optimisation

## Acceptance/Rejection

- ▶ Once a variation is generated, a decision must then be made whether or not to accept the newly derived parameters
- ▶ Most standard direct search methods use the greedy criterion to make this decision
  - ▶ a new parameter vector is accepted iff it reduces the value of the cost function
- ▶ Greedy decision process converges fairly fast — runs the risk of becoming trapped in a local minimum
- ▶ Inherently parallel search techniques like GAs and ESs have some built-in safeguards to forestall misconvergence
- ▶ By running several vectors simultaneously, superior parameter configurations can help other vectors escape local minima

Also see **Storn R & Price K (1997)** *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods of Optimisation

## Acceptance/Rejection

- ▶ Once a variation is generated, a decision must then be made whether or not to accept the newly derived parameters
- ▶ Most standard direct search methods use the greedy criterion to make this decision
  - ▶ a new parameter vector is accepted iff it reduces the value of the cost function
- ▶ Greedy decision process converges fairly fast — runs the risk of becoming trapped in a local minimum
- ▶ Inherently parallel search techniques like GAs and ESs have some built-in safeguards to forestall misconvergence
- ▶ By running several vectors simultaneously, superior parameter configurations can help other vectors escape local minima

Also see **Storn R & Price K (1997)** *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods of Optimisation

## Acceptance/Rejection

- ▶ Once a variation is generated, a decision must then be made whether or not to accept the newly derived parameters
- ▶ Most standard direct search methods use the greedy criterion to make this decision
  - ▶ a new parameter vector is accepted iff it reduces the value of the cost function
- ▶ Greedy decision process converges fairly fast — runs the risk of becoming trapped in a local minimum
- ▶ Inherently parallel search techniques like GAs and ESs have some built-in safeguards to forestall misconvergence
- ▶ By running several vectors simultaneously, superior parameter configurations can help other vectors escape local minima

Also see **Storn R & Price K** (1997) *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods for Optimisation

## Desiderata

- ▶ Ability to handle non-differentiable, non-linear and multi-modal cost functions
- ▶ Parallelisability to cope with computation intensive cost functions
- ▶ Ease of use, i.e. few control variables to steer the minimisation
- ▶ These variables should also be robust and easy to choose
- ▶ Good convergence properties, i.e. consistent convergence to the global minimum in consecutive independent trials

Also see **Storn R & Price K** (1997) *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods for Optimisation

## Desiderata

- ▶ Ability to handle non-differentiable, non-linear and multi-modal cost functions
- ▶ Parallelisability to cope with computation intensive cost functions
- ▶ Ease of use, i.e. few control variables to steer the minimisation
- ▶ These variables should also be robust and easy to choose
- ▶ Good convergence properties, i.e. consistent convergence to the global minimum in consecutive independent trials

Also see **Storn R & Price K** (1997) *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods for Optimisation

## Desiderata

- ▶ Ability to handle non-differentiable, non-linear and multi-modal cost functions
- ▶ Parallelisability to cope with computation intensive cost functions
- ▶ Ease of use, i.e. few control variables to steer the minimisation
  - ▶ These variables should also be robust and easy to choose
  - ▶ Good convergence properties, i.e. consistent convergence to the global minimum in consecutive independent trials

Also see **Storn R & Price K** (1997) *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods for Optimisation

## Desiderata

- ▶ Ability to handle non-differentiable, non-linear and multi-modal cost functions
- ▶ Parallelisability to cope with computation intensive cost functions
- ▶ Ease of use, i.e. few control variables to steer the minimisation
- ▶ These variables should also be robust and easy to choose
- ▶ Good convergence properties, i.e. consistent convergence to the global minimum in consecutive independent trials

Also see **Storn R & Price K** (1997) *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359

# Methods for Optimisation

## Desiderata

- ▶ Ability to handle non-differentiable, non-linear and multi-modal cost functions
- ▶ Parallelisability to cope with computation intensive cost functions
- ▶ Ease of use, i.e. few control variables to steer the minimisation
- ▶ These variables should also be robust and easy to choose
- ▶ Good convergence properties, i.e. consistent convergence to the global minimum in consecutive independent trials

Also see **Storn R & Price K** (1997) *J. of Global Optimization* **11**:341–359



# DIRECT SEARCH METHODS:

## CLASSIC METHODS

# Classic Methods of Direct Search

- ▶ **Hooke–Jeeves Pattern Search**
- ▶ Nelder–Mead Simplex / Downhill Simplex Method (`fminsearch`)
- ▶ Grid Search
- ▶ Random Search
- ▶ Hill-climbing

# Classic Methods of Direct Search

- ▶ Hooke–Jeeves Pattern Search
- ▶ Nelder–Mead Simplex / Downhill Simplex Method (`fminsearch`)
- ▶ Grid Search
- ▶ Random Search
- ▶ Hill-climbing

# Classic Methods of Direct Search

- ▶ Hooke–Jeeves Pattern Search
- ▶ Nelder–Mead Simplex / Downhill Simplex Method (`fminsearch`)
- ▶ Grid Search
- ▶ Random Search
- ▶ Hill-climbing

# Classic Methods of Direct Search

- ▶ Hooke–Jeeves Pattern Search
- ▶ Nelder–Mead Simplex / Downhill Simplex Method (`fminsearch`)
- ▶ Grid Search
- ▶ Random Search
- ▶ Hill-climbing

# Classic Methods of Direct Search

- ▶ Hooke–Jeeves Pattern Search
- ▶ Nelder–Mead Simplex / Downhill Simplex Method (`fminsearch`)
- ▶ Grid Search
- ▶ Random Search
- ▶ Hill-climbing

# OVERVIEW

# Direct/Stochastic Search Algorithms

- ▶ **Simulated Annealing**
- ▶ Evolutionary Algorithms
  - ▶ Genetic Algorithms
  - ▶ Evolutionary Strategies
- ▶ Swarm Algorithms



# Direct/Stochastic Search Algorithms

- ▶ Simulated Annealing
- ▶ Evolutionary Algorithms
  - ▶ Genetic Algorithms
  - ▶ Evolutionary Strategies
- ▶ Swarm Algorithms

# Direct/Stochastic Search Algorithms

- ▶ Simulated Annealing
- ▶ Evolutionary Algorithms
  - ▶ Genetic Algorithms
  - ▶ Evolutionary Strategies
- ▶ Swarm Algorithms

# Direct/Stochastic Search Algorithms

- ▶ Simulated Annealing
- ▶ Evolutionary Algorithms
  - ▶ Genetic Algorithms
  - ▶ Evolutionary Strategies
- ▶ Swarm Algorithms

# Direct/Stochastic Search Algorithms

- ▶ Simulated Annealing
- ▶ Evolutionary Algorithms
  - ▶ Genetic Algorithms
  - ▶ Evolutionary Strategies
- ▶ Swarm Algorithms

# SIMULATED ANNEALING

# Simulated Annealing

Metropolis N et al. (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 21:1087–1092

- ▶ Borrowed idea from condensed matter physics – annealing of metals
- ▶ Perturb configuration of system
- ▶ Accept all moves that reduce cost
- ▶ Accept those that increase cost with low probability (*Metropolis Criterion*)

# Simulated Annealing

**Metropolis N et al.** (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 21:1087–1092

- ▶ Borrowed idea from condensed matter physics – annealing of metals
- ▶ Perturb configuration of system
- ▶ Accept all moves that reduce cost
- ▶ Accept those that increase cost with low probability (*Metropolis Criterion*)

# Simulated Annealing

**Metropolis N et al.** (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **21**:1087–1092

- ▶ Borrowed idea from condensed matter physics – annealing of metals
- ▶ Perturb configuration of system
- ▶ Accept all moves that reduce cost
- ▶ Accept those that increase cost with low probability (*Metropolis Criterion*)



# Simulated Annealing

**Metropolis N et al.** (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **21**:1087–1092

- ▶ Borrowed idea from condensed matter physics – annealing of metals
- ▶ Perturb configuration of system
- ▶ Accept all moves that reduce cost
- ▶ Accept those that increase cost with low probability (*Metropolis Criterion*)

# Simulated Annealing: Metropolis Criterion

**Metropolis N et al.** (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **21**:1087–1092

- ▶ In particular, the transition probability  $P(e_i, e_j, T)$  from energy  $e_i$  to  $e_j$  at temperature  $T$  is given by

$$P(e_i, e_j, T) = e^{\frac{e_i - e_j}{k_B T}}$$

## Key Annealing Parameters

- ▶ Initial temperature
- ▶ Temperature factor
- ▶ Annealing schedule
- ▶ Length of run
- ▶ Stopping conditions
- ▶ Often decided by trial-and-error

# Simulated Annealing: Metropolis Criterion

**Metropolis N et al.** (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **21**:1087–1092

- ▶ In particular, the transition probability  $P(e_i, e_j, T)$  from energy  $e_i$  to  $e_j$  at temperature  $T$  is given by

$$P(e_i, e_j, T) = e^{\frac{e_i - e_j}{k_B T}}$$

## Key Annealing Parameters

- ▶ Initial temperature
- ▶ Temperature factor
- ▶ Annealing schedule
- ▶ Length of run
- ▶ Stopping conditions
- ▶ Often decided by trial-and-error

# Simulated Annealing: Metropolis Criterion

Metropolis N et al. (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 21:1087–1092

- ▶ In particular, the transition probability  $P(e_i, e_j, T)$  from energy  $e_i$  to  $e_j$  at temperature  $T$  is given by

$$P(e_i, e_j, T) = e^{\frac{e_i - e_j}{k_B T}}$$

## Key Annealing Parameters

- ▶ Initial temperature
- ▶ Temperature factor
- ▶ Annealing schedule
- ▶ Length of run
- ▶ Stopping conditions
- ▶ Often decided by trial-and-error

# Simulated Annealing: Metropolis Criterion

Metropolis N et al. (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 21:1087–1092

- ▶ In particular, the transition probability  $P(e_i, e_j, T)$  from energy  $e_i$  to  $e_j$  at temperature  $T$  is given by

$$P(e_i, e_j, T) = e^{\frac{e_i - e_j}{k_B T}}$$

## Key Annealing Parameters

- ▶ Initial temperature
- ▶ Temperature factor
- ▶ Annealing schedule
- ▶ Length of run
- ▶ Stopping conditions
- ▶ Often decided by trial-and-error

# Simulated Annealing: Metropolis Criterion

**Metropolis N et al.** (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **21**:1087–1092

- ▶ In particular, the transition probability  $P(e_i, e_j, T)$  from energy  $e_i$  to  $e_j$  at temperature  $T$  is given by

$$P(e_i, e_j, T) = e^{\frac{e_i - e_j}{k_B T}}$$

## Key Annealing Parameters

- ▶ Initial temperature
- ▶ Temperature factor
- ▶ Annealing schedule
- ▶ Length of run
- ▶ Stopping conditions
- ▶ Often decided by trial-and-error

# Simulated Annealing: Metropolis Criterion

**Metropolis N et al.** (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **21**:1087–1092

- ▶ In particular, the transition probability  $P(e_i, e_j, T)$  from energy  $e_i$  to  $e_j$  at temperature  $T$  is given by

$$P(e_i, e_j, T) = e^{\frac{e_i - e_j}{k_B T}}$$

## Key Annealing Parameters

- ▶ Initial temperature
- ▶ Temperature factor
- ▶ Annealing schedule
- ▶ Length of run
- ▶ Stopping conditions
- ▶ Often decided by trial-and-error

# Simulated Annealing: Metropolis Criterion

Metropolis N et al. (1953) *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 21:1087–1092

- ▶ In particular, the transition probability  $P(e_i, e_j, T)$  from energy  $e_i$  to  $e_j$  at temperature  $T$  is given by

$$P(e_i, e_j, T) = e^{\frac{e_i - e_j}{k_B T}}$$

## Key Annealing Parameters

- ▶ Initial temperature
- ▶ Temperature factor
- ▶ Annealing schedule
- ▶ Length of run
- ▶ Stopping conditions
- ▶ Often decided by trial-and-error



# EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHMS

# Evolutionary Algorithms

## Definition

Tom Mitchell:

*“Computational procedures patterned on biological evolution”*

*“Search procedure that probabilistically applies search operators to a set of points in the search space”*

# Evolutionary Algorithms

## Definition

Tom Mitchell:

*“Computational procedures patterned on biological evolution”*

*“Search procedure that probabilistically applies search operators to a set of points in the search space”*

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms

• Population

• Chromosome

• Allele

• Genotype

• Fitness function

• Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Allele
  - ▶ Genotype
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection



# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

# Genetic Algorithms

- ▶ Evolves populations of solutions!
- ▶ Binary string representation of solutions
- ▶ Key terms
  - ▶ Population
  - ▶ Chromosome
  - ▶ Mutation
  - ▶ Crossover
  - ▶ Fitness function
  - ▶ Selection

*How do we choose/tune parameters such as mutation probability, crossover probability, population size etc.?*

# Evolution Strategies

- ▶ Popular for solving complex optimisation problems
- ▶ Individuals: Real numbers, rather than data structures
- ▶ Strategy parameters – genes that affect the evolutionary process for a particular individual (probability distribution/random process rate)
- ▶ All parameters evolve
- ▶ Self-adaptation — genotype adapts to alter the evolutionary process

# Evolution Strategies

- ▶ Popular for solving complex optimisation problems
- ▶ Individuals: Real numbers, rather than data structures
- ▶ Strategy parameters – genes that affect the evolutionary process for a particular individual (probability distribution/random process rate)
- ▶ All parameters evolve
- ▶ Self-adaptation — genotype adapts to alter the evolutionary process

# Evolution Strategies

- ▶ Popular for solving complex optimisation problems
- ▶ Individuals: Real numbers, rather than data structures
- ▶ Strategy parameters – genes that affect the evolutionary process for a particular individual (probability distribution/random process rate)
- ▶ All parameters evolve
- ▶ Self-adaptation — genotype adapts to alter the evolutionary process



# Evolution Strategies

- ▶ Popular for solving complex optimisation problems
- ▶ Individuals: Real numbers, rather than data structures
- ▶ Strategy parameters – genes that affect the evolutionary process for a particular individual (probability distribution/random process rate)
- ▶ All parameters evolve
- ▶ Self-adaptation — genotype adapts to alter the evolutionary process

# Evolution Strategies

- ▶ Popular for solving complex optimisation problems
- ▶ Individuals: Real numbers, rather than data structures
- ▶ Strategy parameters – genes that affect the evolutionary process for a particular individual (probability distribution/random process rate)
- ▶ All parameters evolve
- ▶ Self-adaptation — genotype adapts to alter the evolutionary process

# Evolvable Hardware

- ▶ Evolving digital/analogue circuits
- ▶ Adders and other circuits have been evolved
- ▶ Fitness evaluations are often done by simulations, except for FPGAs
- ▶ Evolved circuits are often more robust!

# Evolvable Hardware

- ▶ Evolving digital/analogue circuits
- ▶ Adders and other circuits have been evolved
- ▶ Fitness evaluations are often done by simulations, except for FPGAs
- ▶ Evolved circuits are often more robust!

# Evolvable Hardware

- ▶ Evolving digital/analogue circuits
- ▶ Adders and other circuits have been evolved
- ▶ Fitness evaluations are often done by simulations, except for FPGAs
- ▶ Evolved circuits are often more robust!

# Evolvable Hardware

- ▶ Evolving digital/analogue circuits
- ▶ Adders and other circuits have been evolved
- ▶ Fitness evaluations are often done by simulations, except for FPGAs
- ▶ Evolved circuits are often more robust!

# Representation Paradigms

- ▶ Simple binary chromosomes
- ▶ Trees and complex data structures
- ▶ Cartesian Genetic Programming (for Evolvable Hardware)
- ▶ ...

# Operators

- ▶ Macro-mutation: Large change in alleles without recombination
- ▶ Hybrid operators (not typical of evolution at all), e.g. Hill climbing
- ▶ Operators for permutations (e.g. Travelling Salesman)
- ▶ Learning — individuals alter their chromosomes before replication
- ▶ Evolving operators (e.g. by encoding probabilities into the chromosomal representations)
- ▶ ...



# Selection

- ▶ Steady state (replace few)
  - ▶ replace worst
  - ▶ replace random
  - ▶ ...
- ▶ Tournament selection
- ▶ Fitness proportionate selection (Roulette Wheel)
- ▶ Elitism
- ▶ ...

# Applications

- ▶ Complex multi-objective optimisation problems
- ▶ Exam/course timetables!
- ▶ Computational biology
  - ▶ Phylogenetic trees
  - ▶ Multiple sequence alignment
  - ▶ Protein folding
  - ▶ Identifying coding regions
  - ▶ Clustering microarray data
  - ▶ Parameter optimisation (kinetic models)
- ▶ Electrical circuit design
- ▶ ...

# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations

# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations

# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations

# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations

# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations

# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations



# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations

# Conclusions

## When to use Evolutionary Computation?

- ▶ Often quite useful
- ▶ Careful choice of representation, operators etc. is crucial
- ▶ Especially useful, when structure of search space is poorly understood
- ▶ *Might help understand the problem better*
- ▶ No reason to believe that a GA approach would be any better than any other optimisation technique!

## Challenges

- ▶ Choice of representation
- ▶ ~ Black box behaviour
- ▶ Computationally expensive, esp. fitness calculations

