Validation-Onboarding Match for Fund Oversight



... hypothesis statement

- presumed relationship "The number of matches between fund oversight validations and onboarding data that are required for such validations (dependent variable) increases with the greater amount and diversity of data provided by fund managers (independent varia
- anticipated change "If the onboarding data change, the validation-onboarding match changes as well."
- example "Fund managers can run only few oversight validations (from all validations available) when they have only limited amount of onboarding data for the oversight of their funds."

Data Mapping to System Configuration

phenomenon	observation	data	ap pr oa ch
correlation of raw data to fund oversight validations	commercial use clients ask which fund validations they can run with their data (at the onboarding stage) and what data they need for turning on specific validations (when they are already using the fund oversight solution) making fund validations data-driven by asset classes (including even-driven validations) is also important for pControl Oversight and NAV Control solutions finding appropriate checks for the particular fund pre-compiling the fund for clients we want to prove we can make such correlations (proving the signal) and only then use them to automate the client onboarding into fund oversight (using the signal to update solutions) user experience there is no way currently to tell on the fly which validations clients can run based on their raw data (during their onboarding meetings, etc.) funds with discrepancies between fundamental data and validations difference between fundamental data and validations	results of validations • p299_validation_detail • once validation is finished, we can find the validation (once finished) by pcontrol_code from input data in table p299	