



# Compilers

---

## Self Type Checking

- **SELF\_TYPE's** meaning depends on the enclosing class

$$O, M, C \vdash e : T$$

*An expression **e** occurring in the body of **C** has static type **T** given a variable type environment **O** and method signatures **M***

- The next step is to design type rules using **SELF\_TYPE**
- Most of the rules remain the same
  - But use the new  $\leq$  and **lub**

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O(\text{Id}) = T_0 \\ O, M, C \vdash e_1 : T_0 \\ T_1 \leq T_0 \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash \text{Id} \leftarrow e_1 : T_1}$$

- Recall the old rule for dispatch

$$\begin{array}{c}
 O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\
 \vdots \\
 O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\
 M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', T_{n+1}') \\
 T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE} \\
 T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \\
 \hline
 O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_{n+1}'
 \end{array}$$

- If the return type of the method is **SELF\_TYPE** then the type of the dispatch is the type of the dispatch expression:

$$O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0$$
$$\vdots$$
$$O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n$$
$$M(T_0, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', \text{SELF\_TYPE})$$
$$T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

---

$$O, M, C \vdash e_0.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_0$$

- Formal parameters cannot be `SELF_TYPE`
- Actual arguments can be `SELF_TYPE`
  - The extended  $\leq$  relation handles this case
- The type  $T_0$  of the dispatch expression could be `SELF_TYPE`
  - Which class is used to find the declaration of `f`?
  - Answer: it is safe to use the class where the dispatch appears

- Recall the original rule for static dispatch

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \leq T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', T_{n+1}') \\ T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE} \\ T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}}{O, M, C \vdash e_0 @ T.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_{n+1}'}$$

- If the return type of the method is **SELF\_TYPE** we have:

$$\begin{array}{c} O, M, C \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \vdots \\ O, M, C \vdash e_n : T_n \\ T_0 \leq T \\ M(T, f) = (T_1', \dots, T_n', \text{SELF\_TYPE}) \\ \frac{T_i \leq T_i' \quad 1 \leq i \leq n}{O, M, C \vdash e_0 @ T.f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : T_0} \end{array}$$



- Why is this rule correct?
- If we dispatch a method returning `SELF_TYPE` in class `T`, don't we get back a `T`?
- No. `SELF_TYPE` is the type of the self parameter, which may be a subtype of the class in which the method appears

- There are two new rules using **SELF\_TYPE**

---

$$O, M, C \vdash \text{self} : \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$$

---

$$O, M, C \vdash \text{new SELF\_TYPE} : \text{SELF\_TYPE}_C$$

Choose the static/dynamic type pairs that are correct. For dynamic type, assume execution has halted at line 15.

	<u>Var</u>	<u>Static Type</u>	<u>Dynamic Type</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	w	Animal	Pet
<input type="checkbox"/>	x	Animal	Lion
<input type="checkbox"/>	y	Pet	Pet
<input type="checkbox"/>	z	Animal	Dog

## Self Type Checking

```
1  class Animal {  
2      clone() : SELF_TYPE { new SELF_TYPE }  
3  }  
4      class Pet inherits Animal {  
5          clone() : Pet { new SELF_TYPE }  
6      }  
7      class Cat inherits Pet { ... }  
8      class Dog inherits Pet { ... }  
9      class Lion inherits Animal { ... }  
10 class Main {  
11     w:Animal <- (new Animal).clone();  
12     x:Animal <- (new Lion).clone();  
13     y:Pet <- (new Cat).clone();  
14     z:Animal <- (new Dog).clone();  
→ 15     ...  
16 }
```

- The extended  $\leq$  and **lub** operations can do a lot of the work.
- **SELF\_TYPE** can be used only in a few places. Be sure it isn't used anywhere else.
- A use of **SELF\_TYPE** always refers to any subtype of the current class
  - The exception is the type checking of dispatch. The method return type of **SELF\_TYPE** might have nothing to do with the current class

- SELF\_TYPE is a research idea
  - It adds more expressiveness to the type system
- SELF\_TYPE is itself not so important
  - except for the project
- Rather, SELF\_TYPE is meant to illustrate that type checking can be quite subtle
- In practice, there should be a balance between the complexity of the type system and its expressiveness