

Compilers

Predictive Parsers

- Like recursive-descent but parser can "predict" which production to use
 - By looking at the next few tokens
 - No backtracking

Predictive parsers accept LL(k) grammars

- In recursive descent,
 - At each step, many choices of production to use
 - Backtracking used to undo bad choices

- In LL(1),
 - At each step, only one choice of production

Recall the grammar

```
E \rightarrow T + E \mid T

T \rightarrow int \mid int * T \mid (E)
```

- Hard to predict because
 - For T two productions start with int
 - For E it is not clear how to predict
- We need to left-factor the grammar

Recall the grammar

$$E \rightarrow T + E \mid T$$

 $T \rightarrow int \mid int * T \mid (E)$

Choose the alternative that correctly left factors "if" statements in the given grammar

EXPR \rightarrow if true then { EXPR }

Predictive Parsing

EXPR \rightarrow if BOOL then { EXPR } if BOOL then { EXPR } else { EXPR } $BOOL \rightarrow true \mid false$

if false then { EXPR } | if true then { EXPR } else { EXPR } if false then { EXPR } else { EXPR }

 $EXPR' \rightarrow if BOOL then \{ EXPR \}$ $BOOL \rightarrow true \mid false$

 $EXPR \rightarrow EXPR' \mid EXPR' \text{ else } \{ EXPR \}$

 $EXPR \rightarrow if BOOL EXPR'$ \bigcirc EXPR' \rightarrow then { EXPR } then { EXPR } else { EXPR } $BOOL \rightarrow true \mid false$

 $EXPR' \rightarrow else \{ EXPR \} \mid \varepsilon$ $BOOL \rightarrow true \mid false$

 $EXPR \rightarrow if BOOL then { EXPR } EXPR'$

Left-factored grammar

$$E \rightarrow T X$$
 $X \rightarrow + E \mid \varepsilon$
 $T \rightarrow (E) \mid int Y$ $Y \rightarrow * T \mid \varepsilon$

• The LL(1) parsing table: next input token

	int	*	+	()	\$
Е	ΤX			ΤX		
X			+ E		3	3
T	int Y			(E)		
У		* T	3		3	3

leftmost non-terminal

rhs of production to use

- Consider the [E, int] entry
 - "When current non-terminal is E and next input is int, use production $E \to T X$ "

	int	*	+	()	\$
Ε	ΤX			ΤX		
X			+ E		3	3
Т	int Y			(E)		
У		* T	3		3	3

- Consider the [Y,+] entry
 - "When current non-terminal is Y and current token is +, get rid of Y"
 - Y can be followed by + only if Y $\rightarrow \epsilon$

	int	*	+	()	\$
E	ΤX			ΤX		
Х			+ E		3	3
T	int Y			(E)		
У		* T	3		3	3

- Consider the [E,*] entry
 - "There is no way to derive a string starting with * from non-terminal E"

	int	*	+	()	\$
Ε	ΤX			ΤX		
X			+ E		3	3
Т	int Y			(E)		
У		* T	3		3	3

- Method similar to recursive descent, except
 - For the leftmost non-terminal S
 - We look at the next input token a
 - And choose the production shown at [S,a]
- A stack records frontier of parse tree
 - Non-terminals that have yet to be expanded
 - Terminals that have yet to matched against the input
 - Top of stack = leftmost pending terminal or non-terminal
- Reject on reaching error state
- Accept on end of input & empty stack

```
initialize stack = <S $> and next
repeat
  case stack of
      \langle X, \text{ rest} \rangle : if T[X,*\text{next}] = Y_1...Y_n
                           then stack \leftarrow <Y<sub>1</sub>... Y<sub>n</sub> rest>;
                           else error ();
      \langle t, rest \rangle : if t == *next ++
                           then stack \leftarrow <rest>;
                           else error ();
until stack == < >
```

Stack	Input	<u>Action</u>
E \$	int * int \$	ΤX
T X \$	int * int \$	int Y
int Y X \$	int * int \$	terminal
Y X \$	* int \$	* T
* T X \$	* int \$	terminal
T X \$	int \$	int Y
int Y X \$	int \$	terminal
Y X \$	\$	3
X \$	\$	3
\$	\$	ACCEPT

Choose the next parse state given the grammar, parse table, and current state below. The initial string is:

Stack

Predictive Parsing

if true then { true } else { if false then { false } } \$

	if	then	else	{	}	true	false	\$
Е	if B then { E } E'				3	В	В	3
E'			else { E }		3			3
В						true	false	

Input

	Stack	mpat
Current	E' \$	else { if false then { false } } \$
\bigcirc	\$	\$
0	else { E } \$	else { if false then { false } } \$
0	E } \$	if false then { false } } \$
\bigcirc	else {if B then { E } E' } \$	else { if false then { false } } \$

