

## Author Response to Reviews of

# A Loop Quantum Gravity Inspired Action for the Bosonic String and Emergent Dimensions at Large Scales

Deepak Vaid and Lin Teixeira de Sousa  
*Annals of Physics*, doi:AOP 81029

---

**RC:** *Reviewer Comment*,    **AR:** *Author Response*,     Manuscript text

August 25, 2025

We thank the editor for his patience through the whole process and the referee for taking the time to review the revised manuscript.

### 1. Reviewer #1

#### 1.1. Clarifications and Enhancements

- RC:** The discussion on the duality between  $h_{ab}$  and  $h^{ab}$  (Section 2) is now clearer, but a brief mention of how this duality might manifest in observable string dynamics (e.g., through measurable spectra or scattering amplitudes) would provide a wider physical interpretation.
- AR:** We have added the following paragraph right after “*and the string tension inversion is reminiscent of S-duality’s strong-weak coupling correspondence.*” to explain this point:

*Physically, one way in which this duality could manifest in the real world is in the mass spectrum of string excitations which when computed in the strong-coupling regime should exhibit a precise correspondence with spectra in the weak-coupling limit under the transformation rules (2.7a)-(2.7c), while world-sheet correlation functions should satisfy similar duality relations, potentially providing calculable predictions for string phenomenology at both energy scales.*

- RC:** The connection to the Kalb-Ramond field (Section 3.2) is well-justified, but a brief comment on whether this implies any constraints on the Kalb-Ramond field’s dynamics (e.g., torsionless backgrounds) would be insightful.
- AR:** We have added the following para at the end of Sec 4A, right after “*since the co-efficient in eq (4.16) is a true scalar and the Levi-Civita symbol is itself a density.*”

*This pullback relationship would suggest a restriction on the allowed bulk configurations. Since the worldsheet area spectrum is discrete due to the underlying LQG quantization, the Kalb-Ramond field strength must be correspondingly quantized. This is analogous to flux quantization in the quantum Hall effect, where the magnetic flux through the 2D electron system is constrained to be integer multiples of  $h/e$ . In our case, the integrated field strength  $\int H_{\mu\nu\rho}$  over appropriate 3-cycles in the bulk should be quantized in units related to the fundamental area quantum  $\Delta$ , restricting the allowed background field configurations to those compatible with the discrete worldsheet area spectrum.*

## **1.2. Open Questions and Future Directions (Section 8.C)**

**RC:** Open Questions and Future Directions (Section 8.C): The discussion on emergent dimensions and their cosmological implications (Section 6) is somewhat speculative. A brief acknowledgment of the phenomenological challenges (e.g., observational constraints on extra dimensions) would provide more support.

AR:

**RC:** The suggestion of a (2+1)-dimensional fundamental spacetime (Section 6) requires more evidence or references to support this claim. You might provide references to recent work on lower-dimensional gravity or holography that could bolster this argument.

AR:

## **1.3. Technical Details**

**RC:** The derivation of the relation between  $\Delta_{ab}$  and the Kalb-Ramond field (Eq. 3.21) is now clearer, but a step-by-step derivation in an appendix or supplementary material would provide reproducibility.

AR:

## **1.4. Cosmological Implications (Section 7)**

**RC:** The interpretation of the emergent dimension  $X^r$  as a "scaling dimension" is compelling. However, the link to the cosmological horizon scale (Page 30) is tentative. A brief discussion of how this might align or conflict with current cosmological data would be valuable.

AR: