multivariate t4

December 12, 2024

1 Multivariate Statistics Test 4

Student: Aleksandr Jan Smoliakov, VU MIF Data Science MSc year 1

Date: 2024-12-12

Note: We are assuming 0.05 significance level for all tests in this task.

```
[1]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  import pyreadstat
  import pandas as pd
  import pingouin as pg
  import statsmodels.formula.api as smf
  import statsmodels.multivariate.manova as manova
  import statsmodels.stats.anova as anova
  import statsmodels.stats.multicomp as multicomp
  from scipy.stats import levene

pd.options.display.float_format = "{:.4f}".format
```

1.1 Task 1: Science and Math

Data: File scmath.sav, variables

- group school's prestige (1-high, 3-low)
- math mean school's Math score
- science mean school's Science score

First of all, let's load the data and take a look.

```
[2]: df_scmath, metadata_scmath = pyreadstat.read_sav("data/scmath.sav")

df_scmath.describe()
```

```
[2]:
            group
                     math science
    count 74.0000 74.0000 74.0000
           2.1081 13.3784 19.8198
    mean
    std
           0.8204 4.4566
                            9.5067
           1.0000 6.6667
                            3.3333
    min
           1.0000 10.0000 13.3333
    25%
           2.0000 13.3333 20.0000
    50%
```

```
75% 3.0000 16.6667 26.6667
max 3.0000 26.6667 36.6667
```

1.1.1 Perform ANOVA for Science scores for all groups

We will fit a linear model with group as a factor and science as a dependent variable to test if the group has a significant effect on the science score.

- Null hypothesis: the group has no significant effect on the science score.
- Alternative hypothesis: the group has a significant effect on the science score.

```
[3]: anova_model = smf.ols("science ~ C(group)", data=df_scmath).fit() anova_results = anova.anova_lm(anova_model, typ=2) anova_results
```

```
[3]: sum_sq df F PR(>F)
    C(group) 336.1923 2.0000 1.9061 0.1562
    Residual 6261.4053 71.0000 NaN NaN
```

The F-statistic of the group is 1.91, and the p-value is 0.156. Since the p-value is greater than 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

1.1.2 Levene tests for equality of science variances in all three samples

Null hypothesis: the variances of the science scores in all three groups are equal. Alternative hypothesis: the variances of the science scores in all three groups are not equal.

```
[4]: levene_results = levene(
    df_scmath.loc[df_scmath["group"] == 1, "science"],
    df_scmath.loc[df_scmath["group"] == 2, "science"],
    df_scmath.loc[df_scmath["group"] == 3, "science"],
)

print("Levene test p-value:", levene_results.pvalue)
```

Levene test p-value: 0.11552903683721506

The Levene test shows that the p-value is 0.116, which means that we cannot reject the null hypothesis that the variances are equal.

We can assume that the variances of the science scores in all three groups are equal.

1.1.3 Perform ANCOVA for Science scores controlling for Math scores

Null hypothesis: the group has no significant effect on the science score after controlling for the math score

Alternative hypothesis: the group has a significant effect on the science score after controlling for the math score.

```
[5]: ancova_model = smf.ols("science ~ C(group) + math", data=df_scmath).fit() ancova_results = anova.anova_lm(ancova_model, typ=2) ancova_results
```

```
[5]:
                                             PR(>F)
                               df
                  sum_sq
     C(group)
                924.5442
                           2.0000
                                             0.0000
                                   17.8991
                           1.0000 172.4408
     math
               4453.5482
                                             0.0000
     Residual 1807.8571 70.0000
                                        NaN
                                                NaN
```

With math as a covariate:

- the p-values for the group and the math are both under 0.0001
- which means that both variables have a significant effect on the science score, and the null hypothesis is rejected

1.1.4 Post-hoc Tukey test for ANCOVA model

Sadly, Python doesn't seem to have a built-in function for Tukey's post-hoc test for ANCOVA models.

Instead, we're going to remove the effect of the math score from the science score and then perform the Tukey test on the residuals.

Null hypothesis: the means of the groups are equal.

Alternative hypothesis: the means of the groups are not equal.

```
[]: tukey_results = multicomp.pairwise_tukeyhsd(
          df_scmath["science"] - ancova_model.params["math"] * df_scmath["math"],
          df_scmath["group"],
)
print(tukey_results)
```

```
Multiple Comparison of Means - Tukey HSD, FWER=0.05
```

_____ group1 group2 meandiff p-adj upper reject lower 1.0 2.0 -1.359 0.6413 -4.9684 2.2504 False 0.0 -11.5328 -4.6104 1.0 3.0 -8.0716True -6.71260.0 -10.046 -3.3793 2.0 True

We can see the following results:

- The p-value for groups 1 (prestigious) vs 2 (very prestigious) is 0.641, which means we fail to reject the null hypothesis that the means are equal when controlling for the math score.
- The other two p-values (prestigious / v. prestigions vs not prestigious) are <0.0001, which means we reject the null hypothesis that the means are equal when controlling for the math score.

1.2 Task 2: Preferred time-spending

Data: File Activity.sav, variables

- family preferred time-spending with family
- social preferred time-spending with friends
- work preferred time-spending with co-workers

First of all, let's load the data and take a look.

```
[6]: df_activity, metadata_activity = pyreadstat.read_sav("data/Activity.sav")

df_activity.describe()
```

```
[6]:
           family social
                              work
     count 66.0000 66.0000 66.0000
    mean 15.5758 15.4545 13.2424
           4.1103 3.7670 3.6922
    std
           4.0000 7.0000 4.0000
    min
     25%
           13.2500 13.0000 11.2500
          16.0000 15.5000 13.0000
     50%
          18.7500 18.0000 16.0000
     75%
          25.0000 26.0000 20.0000
    max
```

1.2.1 Data preparation in correct format

We will convert the data to the long format, where the columns will be transformed into separate rows.

```
[7]: df_activity["ID"] = df_activity.index

df_activity_long = pd.melt(
         df_activity,
         id_vars=["ID"],
         value_vars=["family", "social", "work"],
)

df_activity_long
```

```
[7]:
          ID variable
                         value
               family 19.0000
     0
           0
     1
           1
               family 17.0000
               family 8.0000
     2
               family 13.0000
     3
               family 14.0000
                 work 18.0000
     193
          61
     194
          62
                 work 12.0000
     195
                 work 16.0000
          63
```

-	F Value	Num DF	Den DF	Pr > F
variable	8.0916	2.0000	130.0000	0.0005

```
196 64 work 13.0000
197 65 work 10.0000
[198 rows x 3 columns]
```

1.2.2 Test Sphericity assumption

We will perform Mauchly's test of sphericity to test if the data is spherically distributed.

Null hypothesis: the data is spherically distributed.

Alternative hypothesis: the data is not spherically distributed.

```
[8]: mauchly_test = pg.sphericity(
    df_activity_long,
    dv="value",
    within="variable",
    subject="ID",
)

print("P-value of Mauchly's test:", mauchly_test.pval)
```

P-value of Mauchly's test: 0.9598403034007936

The Mauchly's test shows that the p-value is 0.960, which means that we cannot reject the null hypothesis that the data is spherically distributed.

We can proceed with the repeated measures ANOVA.

1.2.3 Test statistical significance

We will perform the repeated measures ANOVA to check if there are any significant differences between the three preferred time-spending types.

Null hypothesis: there are no significant differences between preference for family, social, and work time-spending types.

Alternative hypothesis: there are significant differences between preference for family, social, and work time-spending types.

```
[9]: anova_results = anova.AnovaRM(
    df_activity_long,
    depvar="value",
    subject="ID",
    within=["variable"],
).fit()
anova_results.summary()
```

[9]:

The p-value is 0.0005, which means that we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there are significant differences between family, social, and work time-spending types.

1.2.4 Post hoc tests

We'll run Tukey's post hoc test to determine which pairs of variables have significantly different means.

Null hypothesis: the means of the variables are equal.

Alternative hypothesis: the means of the variables are not equal.

The p-value for family vs social is 0.982, which means that we fail to reject the null hypothesis that their means are equal.

The p-value for family vs work is 0.002 and social vs work is 0.003, which means that we reject the null hypothesis that the means are equal.

1.3 Task 3: Training and Test scores

Data: File ABk.sav, variables

- T hours trained before the test
- school school location (1=small town, 2=capital, 3=rural)
- reading reading test score
- math math test score

First of all, let's load the data and take a look.

```
[11]: df_abk, metadata_abk = pyreadstat.read_sav("data/ABk.sav")

df_abk.describe()
```

```
[11]: T school reading math count 75.0000 75.0000 75.0000
```

```
2.4133 2.0933 13.5556 10.3111
mean
       1.1751 0.8248
                       4.6848 10.3566
std
min
       1.0000 1.0000
                       6.6667 -6.6667
       1.0000 1.0000 10.0000 3.3333
25%
50%
       2.0000 2.0000 13.3333 10.0000
75%
       3.0000 3.0000 16.6667 16.6667
       5.0000 3.0000 26.6667 46.6667
max
```

1.3.1 ANOVAs for reading and math

```
[12]: for var in ["reading", "math"]:
    anova_model = smf.ols(f"{var} ~ C(school)", data=df_abk).fit()
    anova_results = anova.anova_lm(anova_model, typ=2)
    # tukey_results = multicomp.pairwise_tukeyhsd(df_abk[var], df_abk["group"])

    print(f"ANOVA Results for {var}:")
    print(anova_results)
    print()
    # print(tukey_results)
    # print()
```

ANOVA Results for reading:

```
sum_sq
                         df
                                    PR(>F)
C(school)
            56.9905 2.0000 1.3092
                                    0.2764
Residual 1567.0836 72.0000
                               NaN
                                        NaN
ANOVA Results for math:
                                    PR(>F)
             sum_sq
                         df
                                 F
C(school)
           414.5726 2.0000 1.9840
                                    0.1450
Residual 7522.6126 72.0000
                                        NaN
                               NaN
```

The p-values for the categorical variable school and other variables are the following:

- reading: 0.276, i.e. not significant
- math: 0.145, i.e. not significant

1.3.2 Box test

Null hypothesis: the covariance matrices of the groups are equal. Alternative hypothesis: at least one of the covariance matrices of the groups is different.

```
[19]: pg.box_m(
          df_abk,
          group="school",
          dvs=["reading", "math"],
)
```

```
[19]: Chi2 df pval equal_cov
box 10.7721 6.0000 0.0957 True
```

The p-value is 0.096, which means that we fail to reject the null hypothesis that the covariance matrices of the groups are equal.

We can assume homogeneity of covariances, and we can proceed with MANOVA.

1.3.3 Perform MANOVA with reading and math

Null hypothesis: the school location has no significant effect on the reading and math test scores. Alternative hypothesis: the school location has a significant effect on the reading and math test scores.

```
[13]: manova_model = manova.MANOVA.from_formula("reading + math ~ C(school)", u odata=df_abk)
manova_results = manova_model.mv_test()

print(manova_results)
```

The Wilks' Lambda test shows that the p-value is <0.0001, which means that we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the school location has a significant effect on the reading and math test scores.

1.3.4 MANCOVA, controlling for T (hours trained)

We will incorporate the hours trained variable as a covariate in the MANOVA model, and run a MANCOVA.

Null hypothesis: the school location has no significant effect on the reading and math test scores after controlling for the hours trained.

Alternative hypothesis: the school location has a significant effect on the reading and math test scores after controlling for the hours trained.

```
Multivariate linear model
Intercept Value Num DF Den DF F Value Pr > F
 -----
        Wilks' lambda 0.3748 2.0000 70.0000 58.3746 0.0000
       Pillai's trace 0.6252 2.0000 70.0000 58.3746 0.0000
 Hotelling-Lawley trace 1.6678 2.0000 70.0000 58.3746 0.0000
   Roy's greatest root 1.6678 2.0000 70.0000 58.3746 0.0000
                  Value Num DF Den DF F Value Pr > F
     C(school)
       Wilks' lambda 0.8922 4.0000 140.0000 2.0542 0.0901
      Pillai's trace 0.1082 4.0000 142.0000 2.0299 0.0934
Hotelling-Lawley trace 0.1204 4.0000 82.9711 2.0974 0.0884
  Roy's greatest root 0.1168 2.0000 71.0000 4.1465 0.0198
______
                   Value Num DF Den DF F Value Pr > F
-----
        Wilks' lambda 0.3595 2.0000 70.0000 62.3455 0.0000
       Pillai's trace 0.6405 2.0000 70.0000 62.3455 0.0000
 Hotelling-Lawley trace 1.7813 2.0000 70.0000 62.3455 0.0000
   Roy's greatest root 1.7813 2.0000 70.0000 62.3455 0.0000
```

After controlling for the hours trained, the Wilks' Lambda test shows that the p-value is 0.090, which means that we fail to reject the null hypothesis that the school location has no significant effect on the reading and math test scores after controlling for the hours trained.