Chapter 12, Problem 6: A Type for Existential Be

A. The type orv-lxm has an ARG-ST with three elements, the second of which is tagged as identical to the SPR of the third. This much is the same as exist-be-lxm from Chapter 11.

However, there is one constraint on *orv-lxm* as it is presented in Chapter 12 which is inconsistent the lexical entry for existential *be*, namely the requirement that the INDEX value of the third ARG-ST element be an argument of the predication in the RESTR value. There is no such predication in the type *exist-be-lxm*, since the existential *be* is semantically empty (i.e. it has an empty RESTR list).

There are also some constraints on the existential be (such as the requirement that its subject be there) that are not shared by object raising verbs in general. These will have to go into the lexical entry.

B. If we want the existential be to be of type orv-lxm, we must make the RESTR value defeasible. That is, the type constraints on orv-lxm must now be the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{ARG-ST} & \left\langle \text{NP}, \square, \begin{bmatrix} \text{SPR} & \left\langle \square \right\rangle \\ \text{COMPS} & \left\langle \right\rangle \\ \text{INDEX} & s_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\ \text{SEM} & \begin{bmatrix} \text{RESTR} & \left\langle \left[\text{ARG} & s_2 \right] \right\rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

C.
$$\left\langle \text{be ,} \begin{bmatrix} \textit{orv-lxm} \\ \textit{ARG-ST} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \textit{FORM there} \end{bmatrix}, \textit{X ,} \begin{bmatrix} \textit{PRED } + \\ \textit{SEM} & \begin{bmatrix} \textit{INDEX } & 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$