

# Recent advances in homogeneous nickel catalysis

Sarah Z. Tasker<sup>1\*</sup>, Eric A. Standley<sup>1\*</sup> & Timothy F. Jamison<sup>1</sup>

**Tremendous advances have been made in nickel catalysis over the past decade. Several key properties of nickel, such as facile oxidative addition and ready access to multiple oxidation states, have allowed the development of a broad range of innovative reactions. In recent years, these properties have been increasingly understood and used to perform transformations long considered exceptionally challenging. Here we discuss some of the most recent and significant developments in homogeneous nickel catalysis, with an emphasis on both synthetic outcome and mechanism.**

To the uninitiated, nickel might seem like just the impoverished younger sibling of palladium in the field of transition metal catalysis. After all, the use of palladium-catalysed cross-coupling has skyrocketed over the past half-century: it was honoured with the 2010 Nobel Prize in Chemistry, and is ubiquitous in applications that range from complex natural product synthesis to drug discovery to manufacturing. Nickel lies just above palladium in the periodic table, and as a group 10 metal, it can readily perform many of the same elementary reactions as palladium or platinum. Because of these commonalities, nickel is often viewed solely as a low-cost replacement catalyst for cross-coupling reactions. However, this common misconception is clearly refuted by the numerous and diverse nickel-catalysed reactions reported in the literature. Indeed, homogeneous nickel catalysis is currently experiencing a period of intensified interest. In this Review, we aim to use recent developments in organonickel chemistry to illustrate how the intrinsic properties of nickel have enabled its use as an effective catalyst for many intriguing, valuable and difficult transformations.

Historically, the use of nickel in organometallic reactions pre-dates many other examples of transition metal catalysis<sup>1,2</sup>. Nickel was isolated in 1751; its name is derived from the German *Kupfernickel*, the name given to a nickel ore originally believed by miners to contain copper, but which did not yield copper on extraction (hence use of *Nickel*, a mischievous demon). In the 1890s, Mond observed one of the unusual reactivity patterns of nickel: elemental nickel and CO reacted at room temperature to form Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub>, an extremely toxic, low-boiling liquid, which could be used to purify the metal. Shortly thereafter, Sabatier performed the first hydrogenation of ethylene using nickel, for which he was awarded the 1912 Nobel Prize in Chemistry. But undoubtedly, one of the most prominent and prolific early contributors to organonickel chemistry was Wilke<sup>1</sup>. Wilke made seminal contributions to the structure and reactivity of nickel complexes, including the synthesis of Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> (a ubiquitous source of complexed zero-valent nickel, Ni(0); cod, 1,5-cyclooctadiene) and investigation of olefin oligomerization reactions.

Beginning in the 1970s, nickel found extensive use both for cross-coupling and reactions of alkenes and alkynes, such as nucleophilic allylation, oligomerization, cycloisomerization and reductive coupling. Many excellent books and reviews of organonickel chemistry in general<sup>3</sup>, as well as of specific transformations (for example, reductive coupling<sup>3</sup> and cross-coupling<sup>4</sup>), already exist. Consequently, we have chosen to focus on key advances in nickel-catalysed reactions since 2005 and to highlight how researchers can take advantage of nickel's characteristic properties and reactivity to perform innovative and useful transformations. Whereas applications of nickel chemistry span materials science, polymer synthesis and biocatalysis, this Review

encompasses only homogeneous nickel catalysis relevant to small molecule synthesis. Additionally, owing to the short nature of this Review and the breadth of nickel chemistry, we are unable to include discussions of all the exemplary methods developed in the past decade. However, we hope that the selected reactions and mechanistic studies presented herein spark further investigation into the full range of nickel-catalysed reactions.

## Mechanism and elementary steps

Before discussing each class of transformation, a survey of nickel's characteristic modes of reactivity, particularly in regard to some of the elementary steps of transition metal catalysis (Fig. 1) is needed. Nickel is a relatively electropositive late transition metal. Therefore, oxidative addition<sup>5</sup>, which results in loss of electron density around nickel, tends to occur quite readily (though, conversely, reductive elimination is correspondingly more difficult)<sup>6</sup>. This facile oxidative addition allows for the use of cross-coupling electrophiles that would be considerably less reactive under palladium catalysis, such as phenol derivatives<sup>7–9</sup>, aromatic nitriles<sup>10</sup> or even aryl fluorides<sup>11</sup>.


Nickel also has a number of readily available oxidation states commonly invoked in catalysis. The majority of palladium-catalysed reactions are based on a Pd(0)/Pd(II) catalytic cycle, and most often proceed through polar (that is, non-radical) mechanisms. Likewise, Ni(0)/Ni(II) catalytic cycles are widespread, but the easy accessibility of Ni(I) and Ni(III) oxidation states allows different modes of reactivity and radical mechanisms. As a result, many transformations are based on Ni(I)/Ni(III), Ni(0)/Ni(II)/Ni(I), or even cycles in which nickel remains in the Ni(I) state for the entire catalytic cycle<sup>12</sup>.

Many nickel complexes have long been known as privileged catalysts for reactions of alkenes and alkynes, such as oligomerization<sup>13</sup> or reductive coupling. Nickel readily donates *d*-electrons to  $\pi$ -acceptors, so olefin bonding is generally strong<sup>14</sup>.  $\beta$ -Hydride elimination tends to be slower with nickel relative to palladium; specifically, the energy barrier to Ni–C bond rotation prior to  $\beta$ -hydride elimination is often significantly higher for nickel than comparable palladium species<sup>15</sup>.

Finally, there are a few more obvious differences between nickel and its group 10 counterparts. Practically speaking, the cost of nickel in its elemental form is roughly 2,000 times lower than palladium and 10,000 times lower than platinum on a mole-for-mole basis, though the price of commonly used nickel sources for catalysis can be less favourable. As a first-row transition metal, nickel has a small atomic radius, and Ni–ligand bond lengths are often relatively short<sup>16</sup>. Researchers have been taking advantage of all of the above features to develop new reactions, which are demonstrated in the specific examples that follow.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA.

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.




**Figure 1 | Nickel fundamentals.** **a**, Comparison of basic characteristics of nickel and palladium, including accessible oxidation states (top row, states in bold are more commonly involved in catalysis) and trends in reactivity. **b**, Prototypical examples of elementary organometallic reaction steps, highlighting changes in oxidation state at nickel. Additional ligands bound to nickel not involved in each transformation are omitted for clarity. Ar, aryl; M, metal; Me, methyl.

## Cross-coupling

Building on discoveries and developments from the 1970s, nickel has proved to be an extremely effective catalyst for cross-coupling. Cross-coupling reactions are transition-metal-catalysed reactions originally developed as a means to synthesize biaryls from arylmetal species and aryl halides or pseudohalides. Alongside palladium, nickel has been used extensively for Suzuki–Miyaura (organoboron reagents) and Negishi (organozinc reagents) cross-coupling reactions, in particular<sup>17</sup>. In more recent years, the scope of cross-coupling reactions has expanded far beyond simple biaryl synthesis to include many other types of coupling partner. Nickel catalysis has been at the forefront of this expansion, as will be demonstrated in the following sections.

### Cross-coupling of aryl halides

The ability of nickel to oxidatively insert into carbon–heteroatom bonds with ease is of particular advantage in the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction of heteroaromatic boronic acids, which readily undergo protodeboronation under the basic (and usually hydrous) reaction conditions, particularly at elevated reaction temperatures. One useful contribution comes from Hartwig and Ge, who have developed a method for the synthesis of heterobiaryls that takes advantage of this rapid oxidative addition by combining a lowered reaction temperature with readily activated precatalyst **3** to afford the traditionally difficult-to-access heterobiaryls<sup>18</sup> (Fig. 2a). Additionally, precatalyst **3** possesses adequate stability to be handled in air, and only 0.5 mol% of the precatalyst is required, making this a highly efficient reaction. This method is applicable to both heteroaryl chlorides and bromides and gives high yields




**Figure 2 | Recent nickel-catalysed Suzuki–Miyaura arylations.**

**a**, Cross-coupling of heteroaryl boronic acids (**1**) with heteroaryl halides (**2**) to form heterobiaryls (**4**) is a long-standing challenge. This method, employing an air-stable nickel catalyst precursor **3**, provides the desired heterobiaryls in excellent yields. **b**, Cross-couplings developed for small-scale use are often carried out in solvents poorly suited to industrial or large-scale use. As such, the adaptation of the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling to form (hetero)biaryls such as **7** using ‘green’ solvents while still obtaining the products in high yield is a valuable development. dppf, 1,1’-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene; cinnamyl, *trans*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CHCHCH<sub>2</sub>–; (Het)Ar, heteroaryl; THF, tetrahydrofuran; Cy, cyclohexyl; Ms, methanesulphonate; Piv, pivaloyl.

of products across an impressive range of substrates. A related method for the synthesis of heterobiaryls was disclosed by Garg and co-workers in 2013<sup>19</sup> (Fig. 2b). This method focused on further improving the efficiency of Suzuki–Miyaura couplings as well as employing ‘green’ solvents such as 2-MeTHF and *t*-amyl alcohol.

### Cross-coupling of phenol derivatives

Although using aryl halides as cross-coupling partners is the de facto standard, accessing the desired halide coupling partner is not always trivial and can sometimes be extremely challenging. One well-established solution is the use of aryl triflates (Fig. 3a). They typically possess extremely high reactivity in cross-coupling reactions and, because they are obtained by reaction of a phenol with triflic anhydride, are derived from a pool of materials entirely separate from aryl halides. For these two reasons, triflates are valuable coupling partners. However, triflates are prone to hydrolysis, especially under basic conditions, making their use for certain reactions challenging. Tosylates and mesylates (Fig. 3b), close relatives of triflates, have found use in cross-coupling reactions, as their greater stability reduces or eliminates the problem




**Figure 3 | Halogen alternatives used in cross-coupling reactions.** **a**, Aryl triflates have long been used as replacements for halogens in cross-coupling reactions. Aryl nonaflates were developed later to address some of the issues encountered when working with aryl triflates, but their use is less widespread. **b**, The use of aryl mesylates, tosylates and sulphamates presents many advantages over triflates and related fluorinated sulphonates owing to their increased stability. **c**, Like sulphonate derivatives, the use of carboxylic esters, carbonates, carbamates, ethers and silyl ethers can be advantageous in many situations. *p*-Tol, *para*-tolyl (4-methylphenyl); TMS, trimethylsilyl.

of hydrolysis. However, their reactivity towards oxidative addition by metals is also considerably reduced, often leading to the need for harsher reaction conditions. For these reasons, catalyst systems capable of activating the C–O bonds of functional groups other than triflates—such as ethers, esters, carbamates and carbonates (Fig. 3c)—are highly desired. Reactions based on such catalyst systems would represent an ideal combination of ready access to coupling partners that can be mildly and selectively activated by nickel, yet are robust enough to withstand many conditions that would degrade or decompose the analogous triflate.

Nickel has been known to activate C–O bonds since as early as 1977<sup>20</sup>, and since this time, a number of advances in this field have been reported. For example, Kocienski and Dixon developed the first effective Ni(0)-catalysed cross-coupling of vinyl carbamates with organomagnesium reagents in 1989<sup>21</sup>, and several years later Snieckus and co-workers described the Ni(0)-catalysed cross-coupling of aryl carbamates and organomagnesium reagents in concert with further functionalization by directed *ortho*-metallation<sup>22</sup>. Subsequently, Snieckus also reported the Ni(0)-catalysed cross-coupling of tertiary aryl sulphamates with organomagnesium reagents<sup>23</sup>.

Around this time, the field experienced an increased interest in the nickel-mediated activation of these ‘inert’ C–O bonds. A seminal development in the field came from Dankwardt<sup>24</sup>, who described the cross-coupling of aryl ethers (**8**) with arylmagnesium reagents (**9**) in a Kumada–Corriu-type coupling (Fig. 4a). Another critical advance in this area of research came from Chatani and co-workers in 2008, when they reported the first Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling using aryl ethers (**8**) and boronic esters (**10**)<sup>25</sup> (Fig. 4b). Previously, all couplings of this type had employed Grignard reagents, which are generally poorly compatible with many common functional groups; the change to boronic esters, however, provided a considerable improvement to the substrate scope and allowed much easier implementation of this chemistry.

In 2008, in nearly simultaneous reports, Shi and co-workers reported the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl acetates and pivalates with boroxines (**13**)<sup>26</sup>, while Garg and co-workers reported the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl pivalates (**11**) with boronic acids (**12**)<sup>27</sup> (Fig. 3c). Both methods are capable of producing a wide variety of biaryls, demonstrating their viability as valuable alternatives to the use of traditional aryl halides and sulphamate esters. Following these initial reports, it was demonstrated that organozinc reagents<sup>28</sup> and aryl alkoxides<sup>29,30</sup> can be used, further expanding the range of available coupling partners. Additional advances were realized through a collaborative experimental and theoretical investigation carried out by Houk, Snieckus, Garg and co-workers<sup>31</sup>.



**Figure 4 | Milestones in cross-coupling reactions of aryl ethers and esters.** **a**, Kumada–Corriu-type, nickel-catalysed biaryl formation from aryl ethers (**8**) and organomagnesium (Grignard) reagents (**9**). **b**, Suzuki–Miyaura-type, nickel-catalysed biaryl synthesis from aryl ethers (**8**) and boronic esters (**10**). **c**, Suzuki–Miyaura-type, nickel-catalysed biaryl synthesis using aryl esters (**11**) and aryl boronic acids (**12**) or aryl boroxines (**13**). Ph, phenyl; *t*-Bu, *tert*-butyl; Et, ethyl; cod, 1,5-cyclooctadiene; *i*-Pr, isopropyl; Mes, 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl.

In the period since these reports, many fruitful developments have been made to allow the transformation of many types of readily accessible phenol derivative into valuable biaryls<sup>32</sup>, and other types of reaction beginning from phenol derivatives have since followed, such as the Mizoroki–Heck reaction reported by Watson and co-workers<sup>33</sup>. In addition to C–C bond forming reactions, methods for the amination<sup>34,35</sup> and reduction<sup>12,36</sup> of phenol derivatives have been disclosed.

### Benzylic cross-coupling


Another mode of bond activation that has come to prominence, at least in part thanks to nickel catalysis, is the activation of benzylic C–O bonds<sup>37</sup>. Benzylic ethers, esters, carbonates, carbamates and, in some instances, even free alcohols (via the corresponding magnesium alkoxide) can be activated by low-valent nickel. With proper choice of starting material and organometallic reagent, the reaction products can be di- or triarylmethanes, both of which are ubiquitous motifs in drug targets, natural products and materials applications. Perhaps the most significant feature of these transformations is their high stereospecificity, which allows access to these products in highly enantioenriched form from the (readily available) corresponding enantioenriched mono- or diarylmethanol.

In 2011, Jarvo and co-workers disclosed the first stereospecific nickel-catalysed alkyl–alkyl cross-coupling reaction<sup>38</sup> (Fig. 5a). This method, in contrast to previous nickel-catalysed cross-couplings using *sp*<sup>3</sup> electrophiles, does not racemize the alkyl electrophile and in this instance provides clean inversion of the starting stereochemistry. Therefore, the existing stereochemistry of the starting material (**14**) can be used as the only source of chiral information, rather than relying on catalyst control with or without a directing group that must be later removed from the molecule. Jarvo demonstrated the synthesis of several interesting and useful molecules using this method, including the anti-cancer agent **16** from the corresponding methyl ether. The product was obtained with 96% enantiomeric excess (e.e.) beginning from material of 98% e.e., which demonstrates the high stereospecificity of this method. A subsequent publication demonstrated the use of the 2-methoxyethyl ether moiety as a directing/activating group, which greatly increases the ease with which oxidative addition into the C–O bond takes place<sup>39</sup>.

Furthermore, in a subsequent publication, the Jarvo group demonstrated the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of benzylic esters, carbonates and carbamates with arylboronic esters<sup>40</sup> (Fig. 5b). A striking feature of this method is that it affords retention (**17a**) or inversion (**17b**) of the starting stereochemistry based on the ligand employed—the use of tricyclohexylphosphine (PCy<sub>3</sub>) provides retention of stereochemistry, whereas using an *N*-heterocyclic carbene ligand (SIMes; Fig. 5b) provides inversion. In both cases, the enantiospecificity is greater than 97% across a wide range of substrates. Simultaneously with the disclosure from the Jarvo group, Watson and co-workers published a closely related method for the synthesis of diarylmethanes and triarylmethanes beginning from benzylic pivalates (**18**) and boroxines (**13**)<sup>41</sup> (Fig. 5c). In contrast to Jarvo’s method, however, it was found that no external phosphine or carbene ligand was necessary to obtain good stereospecificity—Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> alone was demonstrated to be an effective catalyst and provided inversion of configuration at the benzylic position.

In 2012, Shi and co-workers reported the direct cross-coupling of benzylic alcohols (**20**) with Grignard reagents (**21**) to provide diarylmethanes or alkyl arenes with a catalyst system composed of (PCy<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NiCl<sub>2</sub> and PCy<sub>3</sub> (ref. 42; Fig. 5d). The magnesium alkoxide, the active coupling partner, is pre-formed by addition of MeMgBr, which is also used to activate the Ni(II) precatalyst by reducing it to Ni(0) via a sequence of two successive transmetalations and reductive elimination of ethane. Subsequent addition of the Grignard reagent initiates the reaction to form the desired coupling product.

Whereas all reactions so far described involve cleavage of C–O bonds, benzylic halides are also useful substrates for this type of activation. One clever example is from Martin and co-workers, who transformed benzylic halides to the corresponding phenylacetic acids using carbon dioxide as the carbon source<sup>43</sup>. Subsequently, this methodology was extended to aryl and benzylic pivalates to synthesize benzoates and phenylacetic acids<sup>44</sup>. Additionally, the activation of benzylic ammonium salts has recently been demonstrated<sup>45</sup>.



**Figure 5 | Reactions of benzylic alcohols and alcohol derivatives.**

**a**, Stereospecific methylation of benzylic ethers. A nickel catalyst comprising  $\text{Ni}(\text{cod})_2$  and *rac*-BINAP was found to catalyse the methylation of benzylic methyl ethers (**14**) to form alkyl-substituted arenes (**15**). A modification for the synthesis of diarylethanes was also devised, allowing the synthesis of the anti-cancer agent **16** in 69% yield and 96% e.e. **b**, A Suzuki–Miyaura-type arylation of benzylic esters, carbonates and carbamates. The synthesis of triarylmethanes (**17**) can be achieved by catalytic  $\text{Ni}(\text{cod})_2$  and  $\text{PCy}_3$  or SIMes—the stereoselectivity (retention or inversion, respectively **17a** or **17b**) is

determined by the identity of the ligand. **c**, A phosphine- and carbene-free nickel catalyst was also developed, yielding inversion of the stereochemistry of benzylic pivalates (**18**) to provide access to diarylalkanes (**19**). **d**, Cross-coupling of free benzylic alcohols. An excess of organomagnesium reagent (**21**) can be added to form a magnesium alkoxide, which is then a competent coupling partner for the Kumada-type coupling with organomagnesium reagents. *rac*, racemic; BINAP, 2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl; SIMes, 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-4,5-dihydroimidazol-2-ylidene; Bu, butyl.

### Cross-coupling of aziridines

The activation of C–N bonds, specifically those of aziridines, by zero-valent nickel has been known for a number of years<sup>46</sup>. This activation is facile, and, intriguingly, it can be reversed on exposure to molecular oxygen to again afford the original aziridine. However, it was not until a full decade later that this mode of activation was successfully incorporated into a catalytic coupling reaction. Doyle and co-workers succeeded in coupling alkyl and arylzinc halides (**23**) to styrene-derived *N*-tosyl aziridines (**22**) to yield aziridine ring opening with excellent specificity for addition at the more substituted position of the aziridine (**24**)<sup>47</sup> (Fig. 6a). Critical to the success of this approach was the use of dimethyl fumarate as an additive in place of the phosphine, carbene and/or amine ligands often used in nickel catalysts. Dimethyl fumarate is believed to accelerate reductive elimination through  $\pi$ -coordination to nickel. Alkyl-substituted aziridines, unfortunately, were found to be unsuitable substrates for this set of conditions. However, the use of the dual-purpose cinsyl group, which functions both as a removable protecting group and a directing group, enabled the use of aliphatic aziridines


(**25**)<sup>48</sup>. In this way, good selectivity (2.5:1 to 4.9:1) for substitution at the less substituted position could be achieved with moderate to high yields (Fig. 6b).

### Cross-coupling of $sp^3$ halides

The utility of cross-coupling of aryl or vinyl electrophiles is unquestioned with regard to scope, functional group compatibility, and relevance to the arene-rich pharmacophores ubiquitous in modern drug molecules. However, the number of  $\text{C}(sp^3)\text{--C}(sp^3)$  linkages found in natural products and other complex organic molecules far outstrips the number of arene–carbon linkages. But  $\text{C}(sp^3)\text{--C}(sp^3)$  bond forming reactions, particularly those involving tertiary or quaternary stereocentres, can be challenging, even with modern methods. In the past decade, a large amount of progress has been made towards an ultimate goal of the capability to form aliphatic carbon–carbon bonds stereoselectively at will, just as the formation of biaryl or aryl–heteroatom bonds has already been revolutionized by the field of cross-coupling.

Some key challenges are associated with any cross-coupling in which the electrophile (that is, the component that undergoes oxidative addition to the metal catalyst) is  $sp^3$  hybridized<sup>49,50</sup>. First, the activation energy for oxidative addition can be large, given that  $\text{C}(sp^3)\text{--X}$  bonds are more electron-rich than  $\text{C}(sp^2)\text{--X}$  bonds. For primary electrophiles, oxidative addition can proceed by an  $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ -like inversion pathway, but for secondary or tertiary electrophiles, this pathway is very slow<sup>51</sup>. Then, once the carbon–metal bond has been formed, the challenge is to suppress intramolecular  $\beta$ -hydride elimination, which would produce an alkene product. Transmetalation and/or reductive elimination, therefore, must proceed more rapidly than  $\beta$ -hydride elimination. Cases in which  $\beta$ -hydrogens are not available or not of the correct geometry for elimination, such as in benzyl or adamantyl electrophiles, as discussed previously, are also known but do not represent the same level of difficulty.

Despite these obstacles, reactions of  $sp^3$  electrophiles were investigated in the early days of cross-coupling<sup>52</sup>. Suzuki reported the first Pd- or Ni-catalysed  $\text{C}(sp^3)\text{--C}(sp^3)$  cross-coupling reaction, primary alkyl iodides with alkyl boranes using  $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ , but significant amounts of reduction and elimination products were also formed (reduction:elimination:desired coupling, 27:9:50)<sup>53</sup>. Knochel then showed that nickel could be used to successfully couple primary iodides with organozinc reagents using either an intramolecularly tethered alkene<sup>54</sup> or exogenous electron-poor alkene<sup>55</sup>



**Figure 6 | Nickel-catalysed Negishi-type cross-coupling of aromatic and aliphatic aziridines.** **a**, Nickel-catalysed addition of organozinc halides to styrenyl aziridines (**22**) occurs with incorporation of the nucleophile (**23**) at the substituted position of the aziridine to furnish  $\beta,\beta$ -disubstituted sulphonamides (**24**). **b**, Nickel-catalysed addition of organozinc halides (**23**) to aliphatic aziridines (**25**) directed by the cinsyl group (Cn, shown in dashed box), which imparts a preference for addition at the less substituted position of the aziridine. Ts, (4-methyl)phenylsulfonyl; DME, 1,2-dimethoxyethane; DMA, dimethylacetamide.



to facilitate reductive elimination. Kambe reported an olefin-assisted Kumada coupling of primary alkyl halides and tosylates, proposed to proceed via a bis( $\eta^3$ -allyl)nickel catalyst formed by the coupling of two equivalents of butadiene<sup>56</sup>.

However, the modern era of  $C(sp^3)$ – $C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling began with a paper by Fu and co-workers in 2003, in which the coupling of secondary alkyl bromides with  $\beta$ -hydrogens and organozinc reagents was reported<sup>57</sup> (Fig. 7a). The transition from previously used primary electrophiles to secondary electrophiles was ground-breaking, because it opened the way to asymmetric synthesis of tertiary or quaternary all-carbon stereocentres. The chelating tridentate PyBOX ligand (**28**) was found to be essential, probably in order to slow the rate of  $\beta$ -hydride elimination, which would require a vacant coordination site. In addition to the Negishi reaction, the cross-coupling of secondary electrophiles with aryl and vinyl boronic acids<sup>58</sup>, aryl silicon reagents<sup>59</sup> and aryl tin reagents<sup>60</sup> has been disclosed. Cross-coupling reactions with a variety of  $C(sp^3)$ –metal reagents can also be accomplished

using this chemistry; for example, the mild Suzuki coupling carried out at room temperature shown in Fig. 7b<sup>61</sup>. Finally, Fu and co-workers provided the first examples of the cross-coupling of a tertiary alkyl electrophile (for example, **32**) with  $B_2pin_2$  (pin, pinacolato; Fig. 7c)<sup>62</sup> and later in a Suzuki reaction<sup>63</sup>. In stark contrast, palladium has only been reported to cross-couple secondary  $sp^3$  electrophiles in a handful of specialized cases<sup>64</sup>.

Preliminary mechanistic investigations of these reactions are consistent with an inner-sphere electron-transfer pathway for oxidative addition. For these coupling reactions, Fu proposes<sup>62,63</sup> a Ni(I)/Ni(III) cycle with a radical oxidative addition pathway (Fig. 8a), although the Vicic group has demonstrated<sup>65</sup> that with redox-active ligands such as terpyridine, the transmetalated species before oxidative addition is perhaps better thought of as Ni(II) cationic species **39** bound to reduced radical anion ligands (Fig. 8a, in square brackets). However, the first isolable and well-characterized Ni(III) complex arising from a Ni(I)/Ni(III) oxidative addition was also recently reported<sup>66</sup>. In any case, these mechanistic manifolds clearly demonstrate the ability of Ni to access various oxidation states in order to facilitate reactions otherwise inaccessible with group 10 metals.

Another prominent contributor to the field of  $C(sp^3)$ – $C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling has been Hu, who developed Ni-pincer complex **34**<sup>67</sup>. This complex has proven catalytically active for  $C(sp^3)$ – $C(sp^3)$  Kumada cross-couplings<sup>68</sup> (Fig. 7d) as well as the Sonogashira coupling of alkynes with primary alkyl iodides, bromides and chlorides<sup>69</sup> (Fig. 7e). Extensive mechanistic investigation has been conducted with the former reaction (Fig. 8b), revealing a number of interesting features<sup>70</sup>. The active complex for the turnover-limiting step of transmetalation appears to be the Ni-pincer complex coordinated to the Grignard reagent (**34'**). A bimetallic oxidative addition of the primary alkyl halide occurs via generation of an alkyl radical (**43**), which reacts with a different Ni(II)-alkyl complex (**41**), and then undergoes reductive elimination to form the product. The remaining unstable Ni(I) complex (**45**) transfers an electron to a Ni(III) complex (**42**) to form two Ni(II) complexes (**41**, **46**), which can rejoin the catalytic cycle.


These examples of cross-coupling are impressive, but the promise of secondary  $C(sp^3)$ – $C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling lies in asymmetric reactions providing tertiary and quaternary stereocentres with high enantioselectivity. The Fu group has published numerous examples of this type of reactivity. These approaches rely on a tethered directing group that can coordinate to the nickel catalyst on oxidative addition to form a rigid complex such that, on reductive elimination, enantioenriched products are generated (Fig. 9). Because the electrophile probably undergoes a radical oxidative addition, both enantiomers of the starting alkyl halide are converted through a common planar radical intermediate. Therefore, a racemic alkyl halide can be used to produce a highly enantioenriched product.

The first example of an asymmetric secondary  $C(sp^3)$ – $C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling was published in 2005: a Negishi reaction of  $\alpha$ -bromo amides (**47**) with organozinc reagents<sup>71</sup> (Fig. 9a). The use of an (*R*)-PyBOX ligand (**33**) provided good yields and excellent enantioselectivities (>90% e.e. in nearly all cases). These conditions not only conferred good enantioselectivity, but also provided selectivity for oxidative addition to the  $\alpha$ -bromide in the presence of other primary or secondary alkyl bromides.


Although amides are useful building blocks for further synthetic elaboration, the need for a directing group does limit the generality of this approach. The Fu group has shown that a wide variety of directing groups can be used (Fig. 9b). Not only do various coordinating functional groups such as amides, esters, sulphones and alkenes work well in these reactions, but the tether length can vary as well. In fact, the Fu group has shown that an amide in conjunction with the chiral nickel catalyst can confer high levels of enantioselectivity even when the halide is in the  $\delta$ -position, a full five atoms away from the amide nitrogen<sup>72</sup>. Doubtless, as it develops, the asymmetric cross-coupling reaction will become more and more general and be used for challenging bond formation in the synthesis of natural products and other more complex molecules<sup>73,74</sup>.

## Reductive cross-coupling

Traditional cross-coupling reactions join electrophilic (for example, aryl bromide) and nucleophilic (for example, aryl zinc) components. However,



**Figure 7 | Key representative examples of cross-coupling reactions involving oxidative addition to  $sp^3$  carbon electrophiles.** **a**, The first example of a  $C(sp^3)$ – $C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling reaction using a secondary electrophile. Secondary bromides and iodides, such as **26**, were coupled in a Negishi reaction with primary alkyl zinc reagents, such as **27**. It was proposed the chelating PyBOX ligand (**28**) blocks the open coordination site needed for undesired  $\beta$ -hydride elimination. **b**, A mild (room temperature) Suzuki reaction of secondary bromides (**29**) and primary alkylboranes (**30**). Previously used bipyridyl or PyBOX ligands were unable to promote the transformation, so diamino ligand **31** was used. **c**, The first cross-coupling reaction to use an unactivated tertiary electrophile (**32**). In contrast to previous results, tertiary electrophiles reacted with faster rates than secondary or primary electrophiles. **d**, The Kumada coupling of primary alkyl bromides and iodides, and some secondary alkyl iodides, with Grignard reagents was accomplished with the Ni-pincer complex **34** with an amidobis(amine) ligand. Low temperatures allow a wide range of functional groups (such as ketones and esters) to be tolerated. **e**, The first example of a Ni-catalysed Sonogashira reaction of alkyl electrophiles.  $\beta$ -Hydrogen-containing alkyl iodides, bromides and chlorides could be used as the electrophile with a variety of terminal alkynes (**35**). 9-BBN, 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane; pin, pinacolato; glyme, bis(2-methoxyethyl) ether; Pr, propyl; Hex, hexyl.



**Figure 8 | Proposed mechanisms for  $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling.**


**a**, Mechanism proposed for Fu-type cross-coupling reactions in which ligands are typically PyBOX or similar as shown (36). A Ni(I) complex (37) undergoes transmetalation, then a radical oxidative addition of the electrophile, to eventually form a Ni(III) complex (38), which can reductively eliminate the coupled product. Nickel complexes with redox active ligands have been shown to be perhaps better thought of as the species in brackets (39, 40), in which the oxidative addition to the electrophile proceeds through ligand-centred rather than metal-centred redox. Ni(II) compounds are used as precatalysts, allowing

for reactions to be set up outside the glove box. Reduction to Ni(I) presumably occurs before the beginning of the catalytic cycle via reduction of Ni(II) to Ni(0) (transmetalation/reductive elimination), then comproportionation of Ni(0)/Ni(II). **b**, Mechanism proposed for the  $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$  Kumada cross-coupling with Ni-pincer 34. Extensive mechanistic studies have shown that a more complex bimetallic oxidative addition is operative, in which a Ni(II) complex bound to an equivalent of Grignard reagent (34') is the active complex for the turnover-limiting transmetalation. SET, single electron transfer;  $R^2\cdot$ , alkyl radical; • indicates a coordination complex.

nucleophilic reagents can be unstable, costly or cause undesired side reactions. In contrast, reductive cross-coupling reactions join two electrophilic components without the formation of a stoichiometric organometallic species. The challenge inherent in reductive cross-coupling processes is the differentiation between the two electrophiles in order to suppress homodimerization of either component.


Recently, Weix and co-workers demonstrated an impressive solution to these difficulties by performing the first catalytic reductive cross-coupling to show high selectivities for cross-coupled products over dimerization

without resorting to the use of a large excess of one of the components<sup>75</sup> (Fig. 10a). By coupling an aryl iodide (49) and an alkyl iodide (50) electrophile with  $NiI_2 \cdot xH_2O$  and both a bipyridyl and a phosphine ligand, as well as a stoichiometric manganese reducing agent, high reactivity and selectivity for cross-coupled products were observed. Later, a range of aryl bromides and chlorides and alkyl bromides were shown to be reactive with zinc as the reducing agent<sup>76</sup>. Though Zn(0) is present, no organozinc species are formed; rather, zinc (or manganese) acts as a reductant directly to the nickel centre, accounting for the excellent functional group compatibility.



**Figure 9 | Asymmetric  $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling reactions.** **a**, The first example of an asymmetric  $C(sp^3)-C(sp^3)$  cross-coupling between a racemic  $\alpha$ -bromoamide (47) and aliphatic organozinc reagent. **b**, Proposed mechanism for the coupling reaction. A racemic aliphatic halide adjacent to a directing group (in large dashed box) undergoes oxidative addition. Since it is proposed to proceed via an unligated aliphatic radical (48) as shown in Fig. 8a, the overall

oxidative addition is stereoconvergent, and thus the chiral ligand on nickel dictates the stereochemistry of the product. Many classes of directing groups and transmetalating reagents (dashed box at top right) have been successfully reacted, generally relying on one of the three chiral ligand classes shown in the dashed box at bottom right. Bn, benzyl; DMI, 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone.



**Figure 10 | Reductive cross-coupling reactions.** **a**, The reductive cross-coupling reaction of an aryl halide (**49**) with an alkyl halide (**50**) without the intermediacy of an organozinc or organomanganese species. Extensive mechanistic studies have suggested that this method combines both polar (aryl halide) and radical chain (alkyl halide) formal oxidative addition mechanisms. Because the oxidation state of nickel is matched to each electrophile, homodimerization is suppressed. For details on possible methods of radical chain initiation, see ref. 77. **b**, First asymmetric acyl reductive cross-coupling. High enantioselectivities are obtained with bisoxazoline ligands such as **53**. DMPU, 1,3-dimethyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2(1H)-pyrimidinone; DMBA, 2,6-dimethylbenzoic acid; MS, molecular sieves.


After extensive mechanistic investigation, Weix proposed<sup>77</sup> the mechanism in Fig. 10a. Nickel undergoes a polar oxidative addition to the aryl halide followed by a radical chain generation of an alkyl radical. This radical then undergoes coordination to form Ni(III) species **51** competent for reductive elimination. In this way, the full potential of readily available nickel oxidation states (see above) is realized, and the use of alkyl electrophiles is allowed. Additionally, the properties of the halides are matched to the capabilities of the catalyst to avoid side reactions: aryl halides more readily undergo polar oxidative addition (Ni(0)/Ni(II)), and alkyl radicals are more stable than aryl radicals (Ni(I)/Ni(III)). Close comparison of this mechanism with the one shown in Fig. 8b also demonstrates that a number of complex elementary steps are available with radical pathways, and the factors that lead to certain patterns of reactivity have not been fully understood.

The Reisman group has also developed an enantioselective reductive coupling that affords  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted ketones (**52**) from the coupling of acyl chlorides and secondary benzylic chlorides<sup>78</sup> (Fig. 10b). Although extensive mechanistic investigations have not been carried out, Reisman also proposes a mechanism in which Mn(0) acts as a direct reductant to Ni. In any case, further developments of asymmetric reductive cross-coupling would be a valuable alternative to the use of traditional transmetallating agents for cross-coupling.

### C–H activation


C–H activation, the direct functionalization of a hydrocarbon, presents several potential advantages over traditional cross-coupling in that pre-functionalization of the substrate, for example with a halogen, is not necessary. At the same time, however, controlling site-selectivity with C–H activation can be very challenging, as molecules often contain many C–H bonds with similar chemical properties. Though examples of nickel-mediated C–H activation date to at least 1963<sup>79</sup>, the development of catalytic C–H activation methods using nickel are more recent.

One such example, from the research group of Itami, describes the decarbonylative coupling of aryl and heteroaryl esters (**55**) and (benz)oxazoles (**54**)<sup>80,81</sup> (Fig. 11a). This reaction provides an unusual means of arylating



**Figure 11 | Selected examples of nickel-catalysed C–H activation reactions.** **a**, Benzoxazoles and benzothiazoles (**54**) are useful substrates for this nickel-catalysed C–H activation reaction, which uses aryl esters (**55**) as the electrophilic coupling partners to produce (hetero)biaryls (**57**). This methodology was applied to the formal synthesis of muscoride A (**58**) to great effect. **b**, Nickel-catalysed, chelation-assisted C–H activation reactions have

recently been developed. These reactions rely on a directing group to facilitate addition of nickel into the C–H bond in the *ortho* position of a benzamide (**59**, **61**) or into the C–H bond of an adjacent aliphatic substituent (**63**). dcype, 1,2-bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane; OTf, triflate (trifluoromethanesulphonate); DMF, dimethylformamide.




**Figure 12 | Nickel-catalysed Heck reactions.** **a**, Coupling of aryl triflates (**64**) with electron-rich enol ethers (**65**) to obtain high selectivity for branched products, which on acidic hydrolysis form ketones. Computational work supports a cationic Heck pathway with catalyst regeneration as turnover-limiting. **b**, The first Heck reaction highly selective for branched (br) products with electronically unbiased (aliphatic) and non-chelating alkenes (**67**). Again, it was proposed to proceed through a cationic Ni species (**69**; left dashed box) to give high regioselectivity, and an air-stable precatalyst (**68**; left dashed box) was developed to eliminate the need for an air-free technique. **c**, Branch-selective Heck reaction for aryl electrophiles (**70**) with aliphatic olefins (**67**). Bidentate ligand **71** (right dashed box) was key to both reactivity of aryl electrophiles and suppression of undesired isomerization. Aryl chlorides and other phenol-derived electrophiles can be used with the addition of TESOTf, which is proposed to perform a counterion exchange in order to enter the cationic Heck pathway. TESOTf, triethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulphonate; br/ln, branched-to-linear product ratio; DABCO, 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane; r.r., regioisomeric ratio—the ratio of desired product to all other isomers.

azoles, and adds to the existing decarbonylative/decarboxylative approaches for C–H arylation. The yields of the isolated products are good to excellent across a wide range of substituted esters and azoles, and, furthermore, the air-stable precatalyst Ni(dcppe)(CO)<sub>2</sub> (**56**) can be used in place of Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> and dcppe, making this reaction operationally simple (dcppe, 1,2-bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane). Itami used this method to execute an expedient formal synthesis of muscoride A (**58**) in excellent yield. Furthermore, a subsequent report from Itami and co-workers describes the isolation of the key arylnickel(II) pivalate intermediate formed in couplings of this type<sup>82</sup>.

In 2011, Chatani and co-workers reported the first example of a nickel-catalysed, chelation-assisted C–H activation reaction<sup>83</sup>. The reaction, an oxidative cycloaddition of alkynes to aromatic amides to form 1-isoquinolones **60** (Fig. 11b), relies on a 2-pyridylmethyl group on the amide nitrogen to function as a directing group. Subsequently, in 2013, Chatani and Tobisu published the *ortho* C–H activation of a similar aryl amide system<sup>84</sup> (**61**) and the arylation of aliphatic C–H substituents<sup>85</sup> (**62**), further expanding the repertoire of nickel-catalysed C–H activation reactions. All three reactions are hypothesized to occur by pre-coordination of nickel to the 1,2-diamine moiety of the directing group, followed by metallation to form **62**, after which the reaction paths diverge.

## Heck reaction

Many of the advantages of nickel catalysis can also be applied to the Heck reaction<sup>86</sup>. The Heck reaction is similar to cross-coupling reactions, but rather than undergo transmetalation, the oxidative addition complex coordinates an alkene. Subsequent migratory insertion and  $\beta$ -hydride elimination then furnishes a more substituted alkene. Computational work comparing Ni



**Figure 13 | Prototypical reductive coupling reactions and use of new reducing agents.** **a**, Standard reductive coupling reaction. Oxidative cyclization of two  $\pi$ -components forms a nickelacycle (**72**), which on formation of a Ni–H bond with a reducing agent, undergoes reductive elimination to form a new C–C  $\sigma$ -bond and a new C–H bond overall (**73**). **b**, Use of methanol as a mild reducing agent via the intermediacy of a hemiacetal. **c**, Use of isopropanol as a mild, external reducing agent, allowing for the use of air-stable Ni(II) salts as precatalysts. IPr, 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene.

and Pd for use in the Heck reaction has been carried out, with Guo and co-workers proposing lower energy barriers for oxidative addition and migratory insertion for Ni in contrast to faster  $\beta$ -hydride elimination and catalyst regeneration for Pd (ref. 15). However, relatively little has been done to truly capitalize on these features until quite recently.


Questions of regioselectivity are critical in the Heck reaction, because in theory migratory insertion can occur at either end of the alkene coupling partner. Traditionally, electron-poor alkenes such as styrenes and acrylates have been used, which confer high selectivity for addition to the terminal position of the olefin. Conditions under which a cationic Pd or Ni species is formed by dissociation of the halide or triflate component, rather than the phosphine, after oxidative addition can provide high selectivity for electron-rich alkenes<sup>87</sup>. An example of the latter using Ni catalysis is given by Skrydstrup and co-workers, who demonstrated good selectivity for coupling of aryl triflates (**64**) and enol ethers (**65**), which following subsequent hydrolysis formed methyl ketones (**66**)<sup>88</sup> (Fig. 12a).

However, electronically unbiased olefins had always given mixtures of branched and linear product isomers arising from addition of the electrophile to the internal and terminal positions of the alkene, respectively. In 2011, Jamison and co-workers reported the first highly selective Heck reaction for these olefins including ethylene and terminal aliphatic alkenes (**67**)<sup>89</sup> (Fig. 12b). This reaction is proposed to proceed via a cationic Heck pathway; it is suggested that the shorter Ni–ligand bond lengths make steric differentiation between the H and alkyl substituents of the alkene feasible. Later, an air-stable nickel precatalyst (**68**) was developed for this reaction, which obviates the need for Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> (and therefore the use of a glove box) and increases reaction rates<sup>90</sup>. Finally, a branch-selective Heck reaction of the more typically used aryl electrophiles (**70**) with electronically unbiased olefins has recently been reported<sup>91</sup> (Fig. 12c). A wide range of electrophiles including aryl triflates, chlorides and other less reactive sulphonates (mesylates, tosylates, sulphamates) can be used, underscoring the ability of nickel to undergo oxidative addition to a broad range of cheap, stable, traditionally unreactive electrophiles.

## Reductive coupling

In addition to cross-coupling, one of the prototypical nickel-catalysed reactions is reductive coupling. Reductive coupling involves the joining of two  $\pi$ -components with a reducing agent to form a new  $\sigma$ -bond between the coupling partners and a new C–H  $\sigma$ -bond arising from the reducing agent (Fig. 13a). The reaction is generally accepted to proceed via a concerted oxidative cyclization, followed by either coordination of the reducing agent to Ni or  $\sigma$ -bond metathesis to provide a nickel hydride, and terminated by





**Figure 14 | Two strategies for regiocontrol in reductive coupling reactions.**

**a**, A tethered alkene can be used to control regioselectivity in coupling an alkyne with an aldehyde. The alkyne oxidatively adds to Ni to produce nickelacyclopentene **76**. Then, by selective displacement by added phosphine ligands, or with no additive, the binding and orientation of the aldehyde is controlled to produce either linear (A) or branched (B) products. **b**, The steric profile of carbene ligands (small substituents, S, compared to large substituents, L)

can be used to control the regioselectivity of an alkyne–aldehyde reductive coupling. Computational work suggests that unfavourable steric interactions between the groups on the alkyne with either the group on the aldehyde or the groups on the ligand dictate the orientation of the forming five-membered nickelacycle intermediate (shown in brackets). EtOAc, ethyl acetate; Cyp, cyclopentyl.

reductive elimination. Several excellent reviews<sup>3</sup> of the state of the field before 2005 exist including couplings of both alkenes<sup>92</sup> and alkynes<sup>93</sup>.

In more recent years, there have been two key developments in this field. The first development involves the search for milder reducing agents. Traditionally, reactive hydride donors such as alkyl silanes or trialkyl boranes have been used. However, in 2008, Montgomery and co-workers reported that methanol could be used to facilitate  $\beta$ -hydride elimination and provide the nickel hydride directly from an aldehyde in internal redox to form **74**<sup>94</sup> (Fig. 13b). A few years later, Jamison and Beaver reported that by using alcohols directly as the source of the requisite hydride, air-stable Ni(II) salts (reduced under the reaction conditions) could be used to carry out reductive couplings between epoxides and tethered alkynes (**75**)<sup>95</sup> (Fig. 13c).

The second development has addressed a major challenge inherent in reductive coupling reactions: the question of regioselectivity (Fig. 14). For strongly electronically biased coupling components, such as aryl–alkyl alkynes, regioselectivity is controlled by these elements. However, dialkyl alkynes pose a much tougher problem. The first high levels of regiocontrol were achieved by the use of alkynes with a pendant alkene, which could act as a ligand to Ni after coordination to the alkyne<sup>96</sup> (Fig. 14a). Then, by adjusting the ligand sphere around Ni by the addition of phosphine ligands, either position of the alkyne (A or B in Fig. 14a) could undergo reaction with the aldehyde<sup>97</sup>. Montgomery and co-workers subsequently discovered that by alteration of the steric bulk of carbene ligand substituents, the selectivity in the reductive coupling of internal dialkyl alkynes and aldehydes could be reversed<sup>98</sup> (Fig. 14b). Subsequent computational studies suggested that the orientation of the groups bound to nitrogen was important, particularly in filling the quadrant proximal to the alkyne below the plane of the Ni–ligand bond<sup>99</sup>. These impressive results demonstrate the fine-tuned control over these systems that can now be achieved.

## Looking forward

Although the field of nickel catalysis has rapidly expanded over the last decade, there are many challenges that remain to be overcome. Through extensive mechanistic studies, including those described above, researchers now understand a great deal more about the elementary steps and oxidation states of nickel

in a number of varying reaction manifolds. In many instances, the picture presented is more complex than originally envisioned, often due to the easy access of nickel to multiple oxidation states, and thus catalytic pathways. With this increased understanding, we expect future efforts to be directed towards the design of new catalysts and the development of new transformations that accomplish even more complex bond-forming reactions. The activation of simple electrophiles for cross-coupling, such as C–H bonds or phenol derivatives, the formation of bonds difficult to access with current methodology, such as carbon–fluorine bonds, and the use of coupling partners currently considered challenging, such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), are all areas that will benefit from further development and mechanistic understanding.

In addition, we expect to see further developments in the area of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–C(sp<sup>3</sup>) bond formation, particularly in expansion of substrate scope and application to the synthesis of complex molecules. Although there are a few examples of the cross-coupling of sp<sup>3</sup> electrophiles used in total synthesis, the adjustment of synthetic strategy has not perhaps yet occurred to enable their use as a foundational tool in organic chemistry. Another conspicuous absence is a general method for the Heck reaction of sp<sup>3</sup> electrophiles<sup>100</sup>. This reaction is particularly challenging because  $\beta$ -H elimination of the electrophile before coupling must be suppressed, but in order to form the desired alkene product, the measures taken to do so must not prohibit a  $\beta$ -H elimination after C–C bond formation.

Finally, we expect great strides in the development of low-cost, air-stable, and easier-to-handle sources of nickel for catalysis. The use of the most common Ni(0) source, Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub>, requires the use of a glove box, and although in some reactions inexpensive nickel halide sources can be used with subsequent reduction accomplished *in situ*, the development of different modes of activation for nickel precatalysts could lead to wider adoption of nickel catalysis, in both academic and industrial laboratories. All in all, we expect that nickel catalysis will prove a fertile field of study well into the future as chemists continue to address even more challenging problems of reactivity and rapid assembly of complex molecules. We also hope that nickel will continue to gain recognition, not as an inexpensive substitute for palladium, but rather as possessing a number of inherent properties that provide a complement to catalysis by other metals.

Received 17 October 2013; accepted 18 March 2014.

1. Wilke, G. Contributions to organo-nickel chemistry. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn Engl.* **27**, 185–206 (1988).
2. Tamaru, Y. (ed.) *Modern Organonickel Chemistry* (Wiley-VCH, 2005).
3. Montgomery, J. Nickel-catalyzed reductive cyclizations and couplings. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **43**, 3890–3908 (2004).
4. Diederich, F. & Stang, P. J. (eds) *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions* (Wiley-VCH, 1998).
5. Tsou, T. T. & Kochi, J. K. Mechanism of oxidative addition. Reaction of nickel(0) complexes with aromatic halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **101**, 6319–6332 (1979).
6. Lanni, E. L. & McNeil, A. J. Mechanistic studies on Ni(dppe)Cl<sub>2</sub>-catalyzed polymerizations: evidence for rate-determining reductive elimination. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 16573–16579 (2009).
7. Li, B.-J., Yu, D.-G., Sun, C.-L. & Shi, Z.-J. Activation of “inert” alkenyl/aryl C–O bond and its application in cross-coupling reactions. *Chemistry* **17**, 1728–1759 (2011).
8. Rosen, B. M. *et al.* Nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings involving carbon–oxygen bonds. *Chem. Rev.* **111**, 1346–1416 (2011).
9. Mesganaw, T. & Garg, N. K. Ni- and Fe-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of phenol derivatives. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **17**, 29–39 (2013).
10. Garcia, J. J., Brunkan, N. M. & Jones, W. D. Cleavage of carbon–carbon bonds in aromatic nitriles using nickel(0). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 9547–9555 (2002).
11. Tobisu, M., Xu, T., Shimasaki, T. & Chatani, N. Nickel-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura reaction of aryl fluorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 19505–19511 (2011).
12. Cornella, J., Gómez-Bengoa, E. & Martín, R. Combined experimental and theoretical study on the reductive cleavage of inert C–O bonds with silanes: ruling out a classical Ni(0)/Ni(II) catalytic couple and evidence for Ni(I) intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 1997–2009 (2013).
13. O'Connor, C. T. & Kojima, M. Alkene oligomerization. *Catal. Today* **6**, 329–349 (1990).
14. Massera, C. & Frenking, G. Energy partitioning analysis of the bonding in L<sub>2</sub>TM–C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> and L<sub>2</sub>TM–C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (TM = Ni, Pd, Pt; L<sub>2</sub> = (PH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, (PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>PH<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PH<sub>2</sub>). *Organometallics* **22**, 2758–2765 (2003).
15. Lin, B.-L. *et al.* Comparing nickel- and palladium-catalyzed Heck reactions. *Organometallics* **23**, 2114–2123 (2004).
16. Cordero, B. *et al.* Covalent radii revisited. *Dalton Trans.* 2832–2838 (2008).
17. Yamaguchi, J., Muto, K. & Itami, K. Recent progress in nickel-catalyzed biaryl coupling. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 19–30 (2013).
18. Ge, S. & Hartwig, J. F. Highly reactive, single-component nickel catalyst precursor for Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of heteroaryl boronic acids with heteroaryl halides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **51**, 12837–12841 (2012).
19. Ramgren, S. D., Hie, L., Ye, Y. & Garg, N. K. Nickel-catalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura couplings in green solvents. *Org. Lett.* **15**, 3950–3953 (2013).
20. Chuit, C., Felkin, H., Frajerman, C., Roussi, G. & Swierczewski, G. Action des organomagnésiens sur les alcools allyliques en présence de complexes du nickel: I. Synthèse d'oléfines. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **127**, 371–384 (1977).
21. Kocienski, P. & Dixon, N. J. Stereoselective synthesis of homoallylic alcohols by migratory insertion reactions of higher-order cyanocuprates and nickel-catalysed coupling reactions involving enol carbamates. *Synlett* **1989**, 52–54 (1989).
22. Sengupta, S., Leite, M., Raslan, D. S., Quesnelle, C. & Snieckus, V. Nickel(0)-catalyzed cross coupling of aryl O-carbamates and aryl triflates with Grignard reagents. Directed ortho metalation-aligned synthetic methods for polysubstituted aromatics via a 1,2-dipole equivalent. *J. Org. Chem.* **57**, 4066–4068 (1992).
23. Milburn, R. R. & Snieckus, V. The tertiary sulfonamide as a latent directed-metalation group: Ni<sup>0</sup>-catalyzed reductive cleavage and cross-coupling reactions of aryl sulfonamides with Grignard reagents. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **43**, 888–891 (2004).
24. Dankwardt, J. W. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl Grignard reagents with aromatic alkyl ethers: an efficient synthesis of unsymmetrical biaryls. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **43**, 2428–2432 (2004).
25. Tobisu, M., Shimasaki, T. & Chatani, N. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl methyl ethers with aryl boronic esters. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **47**, 4866–4869 (2008).
26. Guan, B.-T., Wang, Y., Li, B.-J., Yu, D.-G. & Shi, Z.-J. Biaryl construction via Ni-catalyzed C–O activation of phenolic carboxylates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 14468–14470 (2008).
27. Quasdorf, K. W., Tian, X. & Garg, N. K. Cross-coupling reactions of aryl pivalates with boronic acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 14422–14423 (2008).
28. Li, B.-J. *et al.* Cross-coupling of aryl/alkenyl pivalates with organozinc reagents through nickel-catalyzed C–O bond activation under mild reaction conditions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **47**, 10124–10127 (2008).
29. Yu, D.-G. *et al.* Direct application of phenolic salts to nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with aryl Grignard reagents. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **49**, 4566–4570 (2010).
30. Yu, D.-G. & Shi, Z.-J. Mutual activation: Suzuki–Miyaura coupling through direct cleavage of the sp<sup>2</sup> C–O bond of naphtholate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **50**, 7097–7100 (2011).
31. Quasdorf, K. W. *et al.* Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl carbamates and sulfamates: experimental and computational studies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 6352–6363 (2011).
32. Antoft-Finch, A., Blackburn, T. & Snieckus, V. *N,N*-diethyl O-carbamate: directed metalation group and orthogonal Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling partner. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 17750–17752 (2009).
33. Ehle, A. R., Zhou, Q. & Watson, M. P. Nickel(0)-catalyzed Heck cross-coupling via activation of aryl C–OPiv bonds. *Org. Lett.* **14**, 1202–1205 (2012).
34. Tobisu, M., Yasutome, A., Yamakawa, K., Shimasaki, T. & Chatani, N. Ni(0)/NHC-catalyzed amination of *N*-heteroaryl methyl ethers through the cleavage of carbon–oxygen bonds. *Tetrahedron* **68**, 5157–5161 (2012).
35. Hie, L., Ramgren, S. D., Mesganaw, T. & Garg, N. K. Nickel-catalyzed amination of aryl sulfamates and carbamates using an air-stable precatalyst. *Org. Lett.* **14**, 4182–4185 (2012).
36. Álvarez-Bercedo, P. & Martín, R. Ni-catalyzed reduction of inert C–O bonds: a new strategy for using aryl ethers as easily removable directing groups. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 17352–17353 (2010).
37. Guan, B.-T. *et al.* Direct benzylic alkylation via Ni-catalyzed selective benzylic sp<sup>3</sup> C–O activation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3268–3269 (2008).
38. Taylor, B. L. H., Swift, E. C., Waetzig, J. D. & Jarvo, E. R. Stereospecific nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of alkyl ethers: enantioselective synthesis of diarylethanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 389–391 (2011).
39. Greene, M. A., Yonova, I. M., Williams, F. J. & Jarvo, E. R. Traceless directing group for stereospecific nickel-catalyzed alkyl–alkyl cross-coupling reactions. *Org. Lett.* **14**, 4293–4296 (2012).
40. Harris, M. R., Hanna, L. E., Green, M. A., Moore, C. E. & Jarvo, E. R. Retention or inversion in stereospecific nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of benzylic carbamates with arylboronic esters: control of absolute stereochemistry with an achiral catalyst. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 3303–3306 (2013).
41. Zhou, Q., Srinivas, H. D., Dasgupta, S. & Watson, M. P. Nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings of benzylic pivalates with arylboroxines: stereospecific formation of diarylalkanes and triarylmethanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 3307–3310 (2013).
42. Yu, D.-G. *et al.* Direct arylation/alkylation/magnesiation of benzylic alcohols in the presence of Grignard reagents via Ni-, Fe-, or Co-catalyzed sp<sup>3</sup> C–O bond activation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 14638–14641 (2012).
43. León, T., Correa, A. & Martín, R. Ni-catalyzed direct carboxylation of benzylic halides with CO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 1221–1224 (2013).
44. Correa, A., León, T. & Martín, R. Ni-catalyzed carboxylation of C(sp<sup>2</sup>)– and C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–O bonds with CO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 1062–1069 (2014).
45. Maity, P., Shacklady-McAtee, D. M., Yap, G. P. A., Sirianni, E. R. & Watson, M. P. Nickel-catalyzed cross couplings of benzylic ammonium salts and boronic acids: stereospecific formation of diarylethanes via C–N bond activation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 280–285 (2013).
46. Lin, B. L., Clough, C. R. & Hillhouse, G. L. Interactions of aziridines with nickel complexes: oxidative-addition and reductive-elimination reactions that break and make C–N bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 2890–2891 (2002).
47. Huang, C.-Y. & Doyle, A. G. Nickel-catalyzed Negishi alkylations of styrenyl aziridines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 9541–9544 (2012).
48. Nielsen, D. K., Huang, C.-Y. & Doyle, A. G. Directed nickel-catalyzed Negishi cross coupling of alkyl aziridines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 13605–13609 (2013).
49. Frisch, A. C. & Beller, M. Catalysts for cross-coupling reactions with non-activated alkyl halides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **44**, 674–688 (2005).
50. Hu, X. Nickel-catalyzed cross coupling of non-activated alkyl halides: a mechanistic perspective. *Chem. Sci.* **2**, 1867–1886 (2011).
51. Hills, I. D., Netherton, M. R. & Fu, G. C. Toward an improved understanding of the unusual reactivity of Pd<sup>0</sup>/trialkylphosphane catalysts in cross-couplings of alkyl electrophiles: quantifying the factors that determine the rate of oxidative addition. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **42**, 5749–5752 (2003).
52. Netherton, M. R. & Fu, G. C. Nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings of unactivated alkyl halides and pseudohalides with organometallic compounds. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **346**, 1525–1532 (2004).
53. Ishiyama, T., Abe, S., Miyaura, N. & Suzuki, A. Palladium-catalyzed alkyl–alkyl cross-coupling reaction of 9-alkyl-9-BBN derivatives with iodoalkanes possessing β-hydrogens. *Chem. Lett.* **21**, 691–694 (1992).
54. Devasagayaraj, A., Stüdemann, T. & Knochel, P. A new nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction between sp<sup>3</sup> carbon centers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn Engl.* **34**, 2723–2725 (1996).
55. Giovannini, R. & Knochel, P. Ni(II)-catalyzed cross-coupling between polyfunctionalized arylzinc derivatives and primary alkyl iodides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **120**, 11186–11187 (1998).
56. Terao, J., Watanabe, H., Ikumi, A., Kuniyasu, H. & Kambe, N. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of Grignard reagents with alkyl halides and tosylates: remarkable effect of 1,3-butadienes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **124**, 4222–4223 (2002).
57. Zhou, J. & Fu, G. C. Cross-couplings of unactivated secondary alkyl halides: room-temperature nickel-catalyzed Negishi reactions of alkyl bromides and iodides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **125**, 14726–14727 (2003).
58. Zhou, J. & Fu, G. C. Suzuki cross-couplings of unactivated secondary alkyl bromides and iodides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 1340–1341 (2004).

59. Powell, D. A. & Fu, G. C. Nickel-catalyzed cross-couplings of organosilicon reagents with unactivated secondary alkyl bromides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 7788–7789 (2004).
60. Powell, D. A., Maki, T. & Fu, G. C. Stille cross-couplings of unactivated secondary alkyl halides using monoorganotin reagents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 510–511 (2005).
61. Saito, B. & Fu, G. C. Alkyl–alkyl Suzuki cross-couplings of unactivated secondary alkyl halides at room temperature. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 9602–9603 (2007).
62. Dudnik, A. S. & Fu, G. C. Nickel-catalyzed coupling reactions of alkyl electrophiles, including unactivated tertiary halides, to generate carbon–boron bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 10693–10697 (2012).
63. Zultanski, S. L. & Fu, G. C. Nickel-catalyzed carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions of unactivated tertiary alkyl halides: Suzuki arylations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 624–627 (2013).
64. Rudolph, A. & Lautens, M. Secondary alkyl halides in transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **48**, 2656–2670 (2009).
65. Jones, G. D. *et al.* Ligand redox effects in the synthesis, electronic structure, and reactivity of an alkyl–alkyl cross-coupling catalyst. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 13175–13183 (2006).
66. Lipschutz, M. I., Yang, X., Chatterjee, R. & Tilley, T. D. A structurally rigid bis(amido) ligand framework in low-coordinate Ni(I), Ni(II), and Ni(III) analogues provides access to a Ni(III) methyl complex via oxidative addition. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 15298–15301 (2013).
67. Csok, Z., Vechorkin, O., Harkins, S. B., Scopelliti, R. & Hu, X. Nickel complexes of a pincer NN<sub>2</sub> ligand: multiple carbon–chloride activation of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CHCl<sub>3</sub> leads to selective carbon–carbon bond formation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 8156–8157 (2008).
68. Vechorkin, O. & Hu, X. Nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of non-activated and functionalized alkyl halides with alkyl Grignard reagents. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **48**, 2937–2940 (2009).
69. Vechorkin, O., Barmaz, D., Proust, V. & Hu, X. Ni-catalyzed Sonogashira coupling of nonactivated alkyl halides: orthogonal functionalization of alkyl iodides, bromides, and chlorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 12078–12079 (2009).
70. Breitenfeld, J., Ruiz, J., Wodrich, M. D. & Hu, X. Bimetallic oxidative addition involving radical intermediates in nickel-catalyzed alkyl–alkyl Kumada coupling reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 12004–12012 (2013).
71. Fischer, C. & Fu, G. C. Asymmetric nickel-catalyzed Negishi cross-couplings of secondary  $\alpha$ -bromo amides with organozinc reagents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 4594–4595 (2005).
72. Zultanski, S. L. & Fu, G. C. Catalytic asymmetric  $\gamma$ -alkylation of carbonyl compounds via stereoconvergent Suzuki cross-couplings. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 15362–15364 (2011).
73. Gong, H. & Gagné, M. R. Diastereoselective Ni-catalyzed Negishi cross-coupling approach to saturated, fully oxygenated C-alkyl and C-aryl glycosides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 12177–12183 (2008).
74. Son, S. & Fu, G. C. Nickel-catalyzed asymmetric Negishi cross-couplings of secondary allylic chlorides with alkylzincs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 2756–2757 (2008).
75. Everson, D. A., Shrestha, R. & Weix, D. J. Nickel-catalyzed reductive cross-coupling of aryl halides with alkyl halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 920–921 (2010).
76. Everson, D. A., Jones, B. A. & Weix, D. J. Replacing conventional carbon nucleophiles with electrophiles: nickel-catalyzed reductive alkylation of aryl bromides and chlorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 6146–6159 (2012).
77. Biswas, S. & Weix, D. J. Mechanism and selectivity in nickel-catalyzed cross-electrophile coupling of aryl halides with alkyl halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 16192–16197 (2013).
78. Cherney, A. H., Kadunce, N. T. & Reisman, S. E. Catalytic asymmetric reductive acyl cross-coupling: synthesis of enantioenriched acyclic  $\alpha,\alpha$ -disubstituted ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 7442–7445 (2013).
79. Kleiman, J. P. & Dubeck, M. The preparation of cyclopentadienyl [o-(phenylazo)phenyl]nickel. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **85**, 1544–1545 (1963).
80. Muto, K., Yamaguchi, J. & Itami, K. Nickel-catalyzed C–H/C–O coupling of azoles with phenol derivatives. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 169–172 (2012).
81. Amaike, K., Muto, K., Yamaguchi, J. & Itami, K. Decarbonylative C–H coupling of azoles and aryl esters: unprecedented nickel catalysis and application to the synthesis of muscoride A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 13573–13576 (2012).
82. Muto, K., Yamaguchi, J., Lei, A. & Itami, K. Isolation, structure, and reactivity of an arylnickel(II) pivalate complex in catalytic C–H/C–O biaryl coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 16384–16387 (2013).
83. Shiota, H., Ano, Y., Aihara, Y., Fukumoto, Y. & Chatani, N. Nickel-catalyzed chelation-assisted transformations involving ortho C–H bond activation: regioselective oxidative cycloaddition of aromatic amides to alkynes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 14952–14955 (2011).
84. Aihara, Y. & Chatani, N. Nickel-catalyzed direct alkylation of C–H bonds in benzamides and acrylamides with functionalized alkyl halides via bidentate-chelation assistance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 5308–5311 (2013).
85. Aihara, Y. & Chatani, N. Nickel-catalyzed direct arylation of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H bonds in aliphatic amides via bidentate-chelation assistance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 898–901 (2014).
86. Oestreich, M. (ed.) *The Mizoroki–Heck Reaction* (Wiley, 2009).
87. Cabri, W. & Candiani, I. Recent developments and new perspectives in the Heck reaction. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **28**, 2–7 (1995).
88. Gøsgig, T. M. *et al.* Mild and efficient nickel-catalyzed Heck reactions with electron-rich olefins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 443–452 (2012).
89. Matsubara, R., Gutierrez, A. C. & Jamison, T. F. Nickel-catalyzed Heck-type reactions of benzyl chlorides and simple olefins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 19020–19023 (2011).
90. Standley, E. A. & Jamison, T. F. Simplifying nickel(0) catalysis: an air-stable nickel precatalyst for the internally selective benzylation of terminal alkenes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 1585–1592 (2013).
91. Tasker, S. Z., Gutierrez, A. C. & Jamison, T. F. Nickel-catalyzed Mizoroki–Heck reaction of aryl sulfonates and chlorides with electronically unbiased terminal olefins: high selectivity for branched products. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edn* **53**, 1858–1861 (2014).
92. Ng, S.-Z., Ho, C.-Y., Schleicher, K. D. & Jamison, T. F. Nickel-catalyzed coupling reactions of alkenes. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **80**, 929–939 (2008).
93. Moslin, R. M., Miller-Moslin, K. & Jamison, T. F. Regioselectivity and enantioselectivity in nickel-catalysed reductive coupling reactions of alkynes. *Chem. Commun.* 4441–4449 (2007).
94. Herath, A., Li, W. & Montgomery, J. Fully intermolecular nickel-catalyzed three-component couplings via internal redox. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 469–471 (2008).
95. Beaver, M. G. & Jamison, T. F. Ni(II) salts and 2-propanol effect catalytic reductive coupling of epoxides and alkynes. *Org. Lett.* **13**, 4140–4143 (2011).
96. Miller, K. M. & Jamison, T. F. Ligand-switchable directing effects of tethered alkenes in nickel-catalyzed additions to alkynes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 15342–15343 (2004).
97. Moslin, R. M. & Jamison, T. F. Mechanistic implications of nickel-catalyzed reductive coupling of aldehydes and chiral 1,6-enynes. *Org. Lett.* **8**, 455–458 (2006).
98. Malik, H. A., Sormunen, G. J. & Montgomery, J. A general strategy for regiocontrol in nickel-catalyzed reductive couplings of aldehydes and alkynes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 6304–6305 (2010).
99. Liu, P., Montgomery, J. & Houk, K. N. Ligand steric contours to understand the effects of *N*-heterocyclic carbene ligands on the reversal of regioselectivity in Ni-catalyzed reductive couplings of alkynes and aldehydes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 6956–6959 (2011).
100. Firmansjah, L. & Fu, G. C. Intramolecular Heck reactions of unactivated alkyl halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 11340–11341 (2007).

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the NIGMS (GM62755) and the NSF (Graduate Research Fellowship to S.Z.T. and E.A.S.).

**Author Contributions** S.Z.T. and E.A.S. worked together to outline and write the content, and T.F.J. helped edit the manuscript, references and figures.

**Author Information** Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints). The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Correspondence should be addressed to T.F.J. (tfj@mit.edu).

## ERRATUM

doi:10.1038/nature13463

### **Erratum: Recent advances in homogeneous nickel catalysis**

Sarah Z. Tasker, Eric A. Standley & Timothy F. Jamison

*Nature* **509**, 299–309 (2014); doi:10.1038/nature13274

In the first paragraph of this Review, the words ‘such as facile oxidative addition and ready access to multiple oxidation states’ were inadvertently repeated in the print version. The paper is correct online.