We have the following domains

- 'const: Any constant value. Can be put anywhere
- 'async: A value with no associated clock, cannot be stored in registers
- T: a named domain. Two named domains cannot be mixed unless there is an explicit T1 <: T2 constraint
- (t_1,t_2) : a tuple. Things are a lot easier to reason about if we only have two-tuples so we'll have to do some conversion of n-tuples in the domain inferer
- $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$: Function from one domain to another

This is something we should account for when we do more complex pipelines

- enabled (t_1): A domain with the same clock as t_1 but with a more restrictive enable.

In addition, we need to have constraints

- !SyncReset
- !AsyncReset
- !Initial
- !Enable

The default domain unless anything else is specified is {}. A unit or language construct can refine this with constraints

Subtyping rules

$$\label{eq:const} \begin{split} & \frac{\Gamma}{\text{'const}} <: t_1 \\ & \frac{\tau_1 <: \text{'async}}{\tau_1 <: \text{'async}} \\ & \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_3 : \min(t_1, t_2)}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1, t_2) <: t_3} \\ & \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \{c_1 ... c_n\} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 \{c_1, ... c_n, d_1 ...\}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 <: t_2} \\ & \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \{c_1 ... c_n\} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 \{c_1, ... c_n, d_1 ...\}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 <: t_2} \end{split}$$

Normal rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{0: \text{'const}} & \frac{1}{0 \Rightarrow \text{'const}} & \frac{1}{0 \Rightarrow \text{'const}} \\ \\ \frac{t_1 = \text{lookup} \left(\Gamma, x \right)}{\Gamma \vdash x : t_1} & \frac{t_1 = \text{lookup} \left(\Gamma, x \right)}{\Gamma \vdash x \Rightarrow t} & \text{name} \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : t_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (t_1, t_2)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow (t_1, t_2)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow (t_1, t_2)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow t_1}{\Gamma \vdash x \Leftrightarrow \text{has_clock} \left(t_1 \right)} & \frac{\Gamma e_1 \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : t_1 & \Gamma \vdash e_2 : t_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \oplus e_2 : t_1} \text{binop} \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : t_2 & \Gamma \vdash e_2 : t_u}{\Gamma \vdash \text{set } e_1 = e_2, t_2 <: t_1} \text{set} \end{split}$$

The tuple issue

This should domaincheck

```
entity test<'a, 'b>(a: 'a T1, b: 'a T2) {
  reg x = (a, b);
}
and this
entity test<'a, 'b>(a: 'a T1, b: 'a T2) {
  reg x = (a, 0);
}
This should not
entity test<'a, 'b>(a: 'a T1, b: 'b T2) {
  reg x = (a, b);
}
```

Case one should be fairly simple, we want to show x: 'a

$$\frac{1 \text{ookup } (\Gamma, a)}{ \text{lookup } (\Gamma, a)}^{\text{name}} \frac{ \frac{}{\text{lookup } (\Gamma, a)}^{\text{name}}}{ \text{b} : 'a}_{\text{tuple_union}}$$

$$\frac{ \Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : ('a, 'a)}{(a, b) : 'a}_{\text{tuple_sub}}$$

Case 2 (a, 0)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{lookup}\ (\Gamma, a)}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : 'a}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash (a, b) : ('a, \mathsf{'const})}{\Gamma \vdash (a, 0) : 'a}}} \underset{\mathsf{tuple_sub}}{\underbrace{\mathsf{const}}}$$

The final path fails for the (a, b) case, because tuple_sub requires the subtyping judgement

Set

a: T, b: 'async; set a = b is not allowed

Issues

has_clock feels sketchy, essentially i'm imagining this:

```
match t {
  'async => false,
  // We could go with false here too, but we can fall back on the annonymous
  // later domain to allow reg x = x + 1; without specifying the domain
  'const => true,
  // Named types must have a HasClock constraint which is implicit unless otherwise
  // stated
  T => T.constraints.contains(HasClock),
  // Tuples have a clock if t1 and t2 are in compatible domains. For example
  // (a, 0) can be registered but its domain is (t_1, 'const). Therefore we need
  // a subtyping thing here.
  (t1, t2) \Rightarrow {
    let domain = min(t1, t2); // The smallest subdomain that contains both t1 and t2
   has clock(domain)
 }
}
```