

# **Sky: Spark ALM Controller** Security Review

Cantina Managed review by:

Christoph Michel, Lead Security Researcher

Mario.eth, Lead Security Researcher

# Contents

| 1 | Intr     | roduction   | 2 |  |  |
|---|----------|---|---|--|--|
|   | 1.1      | About Cantina   | 2 |  |  |
|   | 1.2      | Disclaimer  | 2 |  |  |
|   | 1.3      | Risk assessment   | 2 |  |  |
|   |          | 1.3.1 Severity Classification   | 2 |  |  |
| 2 | Sec      | urity Review Summary  | 3 |  |  |
| 3 | Findings |   |   |  |  |
|   | 3.1      | Medium Risk   | 4 |  |  |
|   |          | 3.1.1 ForeignController.transferTokenLayerZero is missing approval to the Sky OFT           |   |  |  |
|   |          | adapter   | 4 |  |  |
|   |          | Low Risk  |   |  |  |
|   |          | 3.2.1 Compromised relayer can use transferTokenLayerZero to burn ETH in ALM Proxy           |   |  |  |
|   |          | 3.2.2 _approve ignores the success bool return value  |   |  |  |
|   | 3.3      |   |   |  |  |
|   | 0.0      | 3.3.1 _approve can re-use approveData in third call ,                                       |   |  |  |
|   | 3.4      |   |   |  |  |
|   | ٥. ١     | 3.4.1 Quirks in OFT implementations   |   |  |  |
|   |          | 3.4.2 Minor issues  | 8 |  |  |
|   |          | 3.4.3 Deployment scripts set mintRecipients (for CCTP bridging) but no LayerZero recipients | _ |  |  |
|   |          | 3.4.4 ILayerZero interface improvements   |   |  |  |
|   |          |   | C |  |  |
|   |          | 3.4.5 Consistent IRateLimits rateLimits parameter order                                     | ٦ |  |  |

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 About Cantina

Cantina is a security services marketplace that connects top security researchers and solutions with clients. Learn more at cantina.xyz

#### 1.2 Disclaimer

Cantina Managed provides a detailed evaluation of the security posture of the code at a particular moment based on the information available at the time of the review. While Cantina Managed endeavors to identify and disclose all potential security issues, it cannot guarantee that every vulnerability will be detected or that the code will be entirely secure against all possible attacks. The assessment is conducted based on the specific commit and version of the code provided. Any subsequent modifications to the code may introduce new vulnerabilities that were absent during the initial review. Therefore, any changes made to the code require a new security review to ensure that the code remains secure. Please be advised that the Cantina Managed security review is not a replacement for continuous security measures such as penetration testing, vulnerability scanning, and regular code reviews.

### 1.3 Risk assessment

| Severity         | Description   |  |  |  |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Critical         | Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed).   |  |  |  |
| High             | Leads to a loss of a significant portion (>10%) of assets in the protocol, or significant harm to a majority of users.                |  |  |  |
| Medium           | Global losses <10% or losses to only a subset of users, but still unacceptable.   |  |  |  |
| Low              | Losses will be annoying but bearable. Applies to things like griefing attacks that can be easily repaired or even gas inefficiencies. |  |  |  |
| Gas Optimization | Suggestions around gas saving practices.  |  |  |  |
| Informational    | Suggestions around best practices or readability.   |  |  |  |

#### 1.3.1 Severity Classification

The severity of security issues found during the security review is categorized based on the above table. Critical findings have a high likelihood of being exploited and must be addressed immediately. High findings are almost certain to occur, easy to perform, or not easy but highly incentivized thus must be fixed as soon as possible.

Medium findings are conditionally possible or incentivized but are still relatively likely to occur and should be addressed. Low findings a rare combination of circumstances to exploit, or offer little to no incentive to exploit but are recommended to be addressed.

Lastly, some findings might represent objective improvements that should be addressed but do not impact the project's overall security (Gas and Informational findings).

# **2 Security Review Summary**

Sky Protocol is a decentralised protocol developed around the USDS stablecoin.

On Jul 7th the Cantina team conducted a review of spark-alm-controller v1.5.0-beta.0 on commit hash 9f24f17f. The team identified a total of **9** issues in the following risk categories:

#### **Issues Found**

| Severity          | Count | Fixed | Acknowledged |
|-------------------|-------|-------|--------------|
| Critical Risk     | 0     | 0     | 0            |
| High Risk         | 0     | 0     | 0            |
| Medium Risk       | 1     | 1     | 0            |
| Low Risk          | 2     | 2     | 0            |
| Gas Optimizations | 1     | 1     | 0            |
| Informational     | 5     | 4     | 1            |
| Total             | 9     | 8     | 1            |

The Cantina team reviewed Sky's spark-alm-controller changes holistically on commit hash 38da4568 (corresponding to tag v1.5.0-rc.1) and determined that all findings were resolved and no new issues were identified.



# 3 Findings

#### 3.1 Medium Risk

3.1.1 ForeignController.transferTokenLayerZero is missing approval to the Sky OFT adapter

**Severity:** Medium Risk

Context: ForeignController.sol#L239

**Description:** The ForeignController.transferTokenLayerZero function is supposed to be compatible with:

- 1. The official USDT0 adapter.
- 2. The L2 Sky OFT adapters (mint-and-burn) for USDS, sUSDS, (SKY?).

While the USDTO adapter does not require approvals as the adapter has special burn rights in the USDTO token, the Sky OFT adapter does not have special burn privileges for USDS/sUSDS/Sky as these tokens technically do not support burn privileges.

Whenever, burn (or transferFrom) from the Sky OFT adapter is called, the caller must have approved the Sky OFT adapter to burn their tokens.

This approval is missing in the ForeignController.transferTokenLayerZero.

```
// USDS burn
function burn(address from, uint256 value) external {
    uint256 balance = balanceOf[from];
    require(balance >= value, "Usds/insufficient-balance");

// calling burn always requires approval as msg.sender (Sky OFT adapter) != from (almProxy)
if (from != msg.sender) {
    uint256 allowed = allowance[from][msg.sender];
    if (allowed != type(uint256).max) {
        require(allowed >= value, "Usds/insufficient-allowance");

        unchecked {
            allowance[from][msg.sender] = allowed - value;
        }
    }
}
// ...
```

**Recommendation:** Similar to MainnetController.transferTokenLayerZero, consider adding the approval call to ForeignController.transferTokenLayerZero:

```
_approve(ILayerZero(oftAddress).token(), oftAddress, amount);
```

Note that LayerZero OFT adapters also implement an approvalRequired() function that returns true in case the caller has to approve the contract for sending:

```
/**
  * @notice Indicates whether the OFT contract requires approval of the 'token()' to send.
  * @return requiresApproval Needs approval of the underlying token implementation.
  */
function approvalRequired() external pure virtual returns (bool) {
    return true;
}
```

This function could also be checked and the approval only performed if it returns true.

- This would correctly skip the approvals for L2 USDTO Adapter.
- This would correctly **set** the approvals for L1 USDT0 Adapter.
- This would correctly **set** the approvals for both Sky MintAndBurnOFTAdapter (L2) and escrow-type OFTAdapter (L1) adapters.

This could be implemented on both MainnetController and ForeignController.

Sky: Added approvalRequired in both MainnetController and ForeignController in PR 123.

**Spearbit:** Fix verified.

#### 3.2 Low Risk

#### 3.2.1 Compromised relayer can use transferTokenLayerZero to burn ETH in ALM Proxy

**Severity:** Low Risk

Context: MainnetController.sol#L813

**Description:** A compromised relayer can use the transferTokenLayerZero function to bridge 1 wei of one of the allowed tokens. Each LayerZero bridge action burns a fixed gas fee from the ALM Proxy. This can be repeated several times.

**Recommendation:** If this attack is a concern (should depend on how much native tokens are kept in the ALM Proxy), consider adding a second "rate limit" to transferTokenLayerZero that restricts the number of calls that can be made to that function. Each transferTokenLayerZero invocation would consume 1.0 units (for example 1e18) of this rate limit.

**Sky:** Fixed in PR 127. **Spearbit:** Fix verified.

#### 3.2.2 \_approve ignores the success bool return value

**Severity:** Low Risk

Context: MainnetController.sol#L846-L857

**Description:** The \_approve logic was changed such that it retries a failed approve call by a sequence of **two** approve calls, the first resetting the approval to 0, and the second one retrying the original approval.

```
function _approve(address token, address spender, uint256 amount) internal {
   bytes memory approveData = abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, amount));
    // Call doCall on proxy to approve the token
    ( bool success, bytes memory data )
        = address(proxy).call(abi.encodeCall(IALMProxy.doCall, (token, approveData)));
    // Decode the first 32 bytes of the data, ALMProxy returns 96 bytes
   bytes32 result;
   assembly { result := mload(add(data, 32)) }
    // Decode the result to check if the approval was successful
   bool decodedSuccess = (data.length == 0) || result != bytes32(0);
    // If call succeeded with expected calldata, return
   if (success && decodedSuccess) return;
    // If call reverted, set to zero and try again
   proxy.doCall(token, abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, 0)));
   proxy.doCall(token, abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, amount)));
}
```

This is done to support tokens like USDT that require resetting the approval to 0 first before being able to approve non-zero values.

However, the check if the first approval succeeded or not is incorrect.

When the low-level call to the almProxy via address(proxy).call(abi.encodeCall(IALMProxy.doCall, (token, approveData))) is performed, the data is the **abi encoding** of the approve-call-return-data, not the approve-call-return-data itself.

Meaning, the actual bytes approve-call-return-data is encoded again, such that data consists of the following fields offset ++ data.length ++ data.bytes:

An approve call that does not fail returns 96 data bytes. By reading add(data, 32) into result, the **offset** is read, not the actual approve-call-return-boolean.

This leads to a false positive for tokens that return false on approve, the offset 0x20 is interpreted as a successful result and the approval-retry code does not run.

#### **Proof of Concept**:

Here's an ERC20 token having the same USDT-like approval behavior but returns false instead of reverting on the initial check. Add the code to spark-alm-controller/test/mainnet-fork/Approve.t.sol:

```
import { ERC20 } from "openzeppelin-contracts/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol";

contract ERC20ApproveFalse is ERC20 {
    constructor(string memory name_, string memory symbol_) ERC20(name_, symbol_) {}

    function approve(address spender, uint256 value) public virtual override returns (bool) {
        // USDT-like resetting to 0 required. but returns false instead of reverting
        if ((value != 0) && (allowance(msg.sender, spender) != 0)) {
            return false;
        }

        return super.approve(spender, value);
    }
}

contract CantinaApproveTest is MainnetControllerApproveSuccessTests {
    function test_approveCustom() public {
            ERC20ApproveFalse mock = new ERC20ApproveFalse("Mock", "MOCK");
            _approveTest(address(mock), harness);
    }
}
```

#### **Recommendation:**

The same issue also applies to ForeignController.\_approve, CurveLib.\_approve.

Consider changing to an implementation that checks the actual success boolean instead of the offset:

```
function _approve(address token, address spender, uint256 amount) internal {
    bytes memory approveData = abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, amount));
    // Call doCall on proxy to approve the token
    ( bool success, bytes memory data )
        = address(proxy).call(abi.encodeCall(IALMProxy.doCall, (token, approveData)));
    if (success) {
        // data is the ABI-encoding of the approve call bytes return data, need to decode it first
        bytes memory approveCallReturnData = abi.decode(data, (bytes));
        // approve was successful if 1) no return value or 2) true return value
        if (approveCallReturnData.length == 0 | abi.decode(approveCallReturnData, (bool))) {
            return;
   }
    // If call was unsuccessful, set to zero and try again
   proxy.doCall(token, abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, 0)));
    // 1. either this
   proxy.doCall(token, abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, amount)));
    // 2. Alternatively, if the _approve function should revert on a final failed approve for tokens that

    → return false:

   bytes memory approveCallReturnData = proxy.doCall(token, abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, amount)));
   require(approveCallReturnData.length == 0 | abi.decode(approveCallReturnData, (bool)),
       "MainnetController/approve-failed");
```

**Sky:** Fixed in PR 126. **Spearbit:** Fix verified.

## 3.3 Gas Optimization

#### 3.3.1 \_approve can re-use approveData in third call

Severity: Gas Optimization

Context: MainnetController.sol#L861

**Description:** The \_approve logic was changed such that it retries a failed approve call by a sequence of **two** approve calls, the first resetting the approval to 0, and the second one retrying the original approval.

**Recommendation:** For the third call, consider re-using the same calldata as for the first call, to save on additional calldata encoding cost.

```
proxy.doCall(token, abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, 0)));
- proxy.doCall(token, abi.encodeCall(IERC20.approve, (spender, amount)));
+ proxy.doCall(token, approveData);
```

**Sky:** Fixed in PR 131. **Spearbit:** Fix verified.

#### 3.4 Informational

#### 3.4.1 Quirks in OFT implementations

Severity: Informational

Context: MainnetController.sol#L810-L814, ForeignController.sol#L270-L274

**Description:** When integrating LayerZero OFTs we currently assume uniform logic across all the implementations but that is not always true, some implementations have quirks that needs to be assessed. For example in the USDTO the \_credit logic does not redirect the amount to Oxdead if \_to is address(0) or token itself:

Address 0xcd979b10a55fcdac23ec785ce3066c6ef8a479a4 implementation:

```
function _credit(
    address _to,
    uint256 _amountLD,
    uint32 /*_srcEid*/
) internal virtual override returns (uint256 amountReceivedLD) {
    // @dev Unlock the tokens and transfer to the recipient.
    innerToken.safeTransfer(_to, _amountLD);
    // @dev In the case of NON-default OFTAdapter, the amountLD MIGHT not be == amountReceivedLD.
    return _amountLD;
}
```

In the SKY's implementation we redirect to 0xdead:

**Recommendation:** Treat every new OFT as bespoke. During onboarding, review the logic and add an integration tests asserting expected behavior.

Sky: Acknowledged.

**Spearbit:** Acknowledged.

#### 3.4.2 Minor issues

Severity: Informational

**Context:** See each case below

**Description:** The following issues are minor and we aggregated them into one informational issue:

- Unused import IMetaMorpho: MainnetController.sol#L11.
- Unused import AccessControl: CurveLib.sol#L5.
- Unused import RateLimitHelpers: PSMLib.sol#L9.
- The IATokenWithPool interface is defined in both the Mainnet and Foreign controllers. Consider extracting it into a designated file.
- Typo multipled ⇒ multiplied: CurveLib.sol#L97.
- MainnetController.sol#L7-9 It is recommended to not use files that are meant as production to be used for production code, as stated in the forge README:

Forge Standard Library is a collection of helpful contracts and libraries for use with Forge and Foundry. It leverages Forge's cheatcodes to make writing tests easier and faster, while improving the UX of cheatcodes.

Consider using OpenZeppelin interfaces for IERC20/ IERC4626 and for IERC7540 consider using just defining it in your interfaces directory

**Recommendation:** Consider resolving the aforementioned issues.

**Sky:** Fixed in commit c90f7baf.

**Spearbit:** Fix verified.

## 3.4.3 Deployment scripts set mintRecipients (for CCTP bridging) but no LayerZero recipients

Severity: Informational

Context: MainnetControllerInit.sol#L155-L157

**Description:** The init deployment scripts set the controller's mintRecipients for CCTP bridge transfers. However, the recipients for the similar LayerZero bridge transfers are not set.

**Recommendation:** Consider allow-listing LayerZero recipients by calling setLayerZeroRecipient in the init scripts after setMintRecipient.

The same issue applies to ForeignControllerInit.

**Sky:** PR 129.

**Spearbit:** Fix verified.

#### 3.4.4 ILayerZero interface improvements

Severity: Informational

Context: ILayerZero.sol#L61

#### **Description:**

- 1. The ILayerZero interface uses uint types for the MessagingFee instead of the standard uint256 type name.
- 2. The quoteSend function is not declared view even though it is view in OFT adapters. Currently, when using this interface, no staticcalls might be performed.

**Recommendation:** Consider addressing the mentioned inconsistencies.

**Sky:** Fixed in PR 124. **Spearbit:** Fix verified.

#### 3.4.5 Consistent IRateLimits rateLimits parameter order

**Severity:** Informational

Context: PSMLib.sol#L156-L162

**Description:** Most internal helper functions for the library contracts in /libraries (\_approve, \_rateLim-

ited) take the controller state variables as the first parameter.

**Recommendation:** For consistency, consider changing PSMLib's \_rateLimited(bytes32 key, uint256 amount, IRateLimits rateLimits) and \_cancelRateLimit(bytes32 key, uint256 amount, IRateLimits rateLimits) functions to take IRateLimits rateLimits as the first parameter. This would match CCTPLib.

**Sky:** Fixed in PR 122. **Spearbit:** Fix verified.

