# **Code Assessment**

# of the XChain SSR Oracle Smart Contracts

January 20, 2025

Produced for



S CHAINSECURITY

# **Contents**

1	1 Executive Summary	3
2	2 Assessment Overview	5
3	3 Limitations and use of report	10
4	4 Terminology	11
5	5 Findings	12
6	6 Resolved Findings	13
7	7 Notes	15



2

# 1 Executive Summary

Dear all,

Thank you for trusting us to help SparkDAO with this security audit. Our executive summary provides an overview of subjects covered in our audit of the latest reviewed contracts of XChain SSR Oracle according to Scope to support you in forming an opinion on their security risks.

SparkDAO implements cross-chain oracles for the Sky Savings Rate where update messages are sent to L2s from Ethereum Mainnet.

The most critical subjects covered in our audit are functional correctness, access control and message passing.

The general subjects covered are code complexity and specification.

In summary, we find that the codebase provides a high level of security.

It is important to note that security audits are time-boxed and cannot uncover all vulnerabilities. They complement but don't replace other vital measures to secure a project.

The following sections will give an overview of the system, our methodology, the issues uncovered and how they have been addressed. We are happy to receive questions and feedback to improve our service.

Sincerely yours,

ChainSecurity



# 1.1 Overview of the Findings

Below we provide a brief numerical overview of the findings and how they have been addressed.

Critical -Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	0



# 2 Assessment Overview

In this section, we briefly describe the overall structure and scope of the engagement, including the code commit which is referenced throughout this report.

# 2.1 Scope

The assessment was performed on the source code files inside the XChain SSR Oracle repository based on the documentation files. The table below indicates the code versions relevant to this report and when they were received.

V	Date	Commit Hash	Note
1	02 April 2024	01481b10aabb6b8a2c6afacec3cad19 a90ecd7b1	Initial Version
2	05 April 2024	ccd09c8cf122200dc66affee7c07f884 3cb4c684	After Intermediate Report
3	06 April 2024	463e012c51d50cd24d96fc5738e26f7 ca624c94c	Added Base Support
4	24 April 2024	4361224e734be86e5666f49cd238ea 183fa0902d	Added Arbitrum Support
5	03 July 2024	b868f15aca6b69d19f2f3005aa435cb a9e5aee40	Architecture Changes and Zero max DSR mode
6	30 July 2024	2228ded849f963dbe90153d6c47ec0 513a3513a1	Additional Event & README changes
7	07 September 2024	8f98580c3c48fdfcc64f65078e04d7d7 0ec291d4	Renaming and minor changes
8	11 September 2024	1938a3dffbb48f34b167541bf35c85b9 5fba61d4	Rename pot to sUSDS
9	10 January 2025	9158116221178287a445ad5972f06cf 315c09d07	Add Chainlink Adapter
1	15 January 2025	6f2fa4e777013d9a77014d7cd5a5839 f768ca5af	Adapt Chainlink Decimals

For the solidity smart contracts, the compiler version 0.8.20 was chosen.

The following files were in scope:

```
src/DSRAuthOracle.sol
src/receivers/DSROracleReceiverOptimism.sol
src/receivers/DSROracleReceiverGnosis.sol
src/adapters/DSRBalancerRateProviderAdapter.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderGnosis.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderBase.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderOptimism.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarder.sol
```



```
src/DSRMainnetOracle.sol
src/DSROracleBase.sol
```

#### In Version 4, the following contracts were added to the scope:

```
src/receivers/DSROracleReceiverArbitrum.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderArbitrumOne.sol
```

#### Note that the following renaming occurred in Version 4:

```
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarder.sol -> src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderBase.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderBase.sol -> src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderBaseChain.sol
```

#### In version 5, the following contracts were removed:

```
src/receivers/DSROracleReceiverOptimism.sol
src/receivers/DSROracleReceiverGnosis.sol
src/receivers/DSROracleReceiverArbitrum.sol
```

#### In version 7, the following renamings occurred:

```
src/DSRAuthOracle.sol -> src/SSRAuthOracle.sol
src/adapters/DSRBalancerRateProviderAdapter.sol -> src/adapters/SSRBalancerRateProviderAdapter.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderGnosis.sol -> src/forwarders/SSROracleForwarderGnosis.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderOptimism.sol -> src/forwarders/SSROracleForwarderOptimism.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderBase.sol -> src/forwarders/SSROracleForwarderBase.sol
src/DSRMainnetOracle.sol -> src/SSRMainnetOracle.sol
src/DSROracleBase.sol -> src/SSROracleBase.sol
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderArbitrumOne.sol -> src/forwarders/SSROracleForwarderArbitrum.sol
```

#### In version 7, the following contracts were removed:

```
src/forwarders/DSROracleForwarderBaseChain.sol
```

#### In version 9, the following contracts were added:

```
src/adapters/SSRChainlinkRateProviderAdapter.sol
```

## 2.1.1 Excluded from scope

All other files including tests and helpers. The correctness of the external systems (i.e. bridges) is out of scope. Of the xchain-helpers, only the functions used have been reviewed. Note that the correct usage of the contracts is out of scope.

# 2.2 System Overview

This system overview describes the initially received version (Version 1) of the contracts as defined in the Assessment Overview.

SparkDAO offers a framework to report values related to the DAI Savings Rate (DSR) from Ethereum Mainnet to various chains. The set of contracts consists of forwarders on L1 (Ethereum Mainnet) and receivers on L2. Currently, Gnosis, Optimism and Base are supported L2s. Oracle contracts are provided for users to access these cached values.



#### 2.2.1 Oracles

#### **DSROracleMainnetOracle**

This oracle is deployed on mainnet and pulls its data directly from the Pot, the DAI Savings Rate contract. The values cached locally can be updated permissionlessly using the function refresh().

#### **DSRAuthOracle**

Authenticated oracle which receives updates from Mainnet through the bridge. Exposes setPotData() for the cross-chain message receiver to update the data. The oracle only accepts increasing rho values that are in the past, DSR rates greater than one and less than the upper bound maxDSR which is defined by governance with setMaxDSR(), and increasing chi values that are upper bounded by a maximum chi that could have occurred assuming that the DSR never exceeded its maximum. Note that a maxDSR being zero implements a special case where no maximum for the DSR is set so that no maximum value checks on the DSR and chi are applied.

All Oracle contracts, through inheritance of DSROracleBase, expose the following public functionality to access the data:

- getPotData(): Returns the struct PotData which consists of: uint96 dsr, the DAI Savings Rate in per second value (in the unit of ray), uint120 chi, the last computed conversion rate (to DAI) in ray and rho the timestamp of the last update (computation of chi) in seconds.
- getDSR(): returns the current DSR value stored.
- getChi(): returns the current Chi value stored.
- getRho(): returns the current Rho value stored.
- getAPR(): calculates and returns the Annual Percentage Rate calculated using the stored DSR.
- getConversionRate(): returns the conversion rate at the current timestamp.
- getConversionRate(uint256 timestamp): returns the conversion rate at the given timestamp (now or in the future).
- getConversionRateBinomialApprox(): returns the binomial approximated conversion rate at the current timestamp.
- getConversionRateBinomialApprox(uint256 timestamp): returns the binomial approximated conversion rate that the given timestamp (now or in the future)
- $\bullet$  getConversionRateLinearApprox(): returns the linear approximated conversion rate at the current timestamp.
- getConversionRateLinearApprox(uint256 timestamp): returns the linear approximated conversion rate at the given timestamp (now or in the future).

All values returned are based on the cached values which might be outdated. Updates must be triggered when the DSR changes, in between updates of the DSR the current chi can be calculated accurately based on the cached data. Calculating values for timestamps in the future may be inaccurate if the DSR changes.

## 2.2.2 Bridging framework

To publish data to the authorized oracle, cross-chain messages are sent from Ethereum mainnet to the supported chains. The implemented contracts leverage the xchain-helpers library (its review is part of another report).

Forwarder contracts are implemented to send messages while Receiver contracts are implemented to receive messages so that they can call the corresponding DSRAuthOracle.

#### **Forwarders on Mainnet**



For each supported chain, a corresponding Forwarder exists. Each exposes refresh(), allowing any caller to push current data to the respective chain.

Each forwarder leverages the corresponding Forwarder library from xchain-helpers to perform sending the message. More specifically, the following setup is expected:

- 1. Gnosis: DSROracleForwarderGnosis uses
  AMBForwarder.sendMessageEthereumToGnosisChain
- 2. Optimism: DSROracleForwarderOptimism uses OptimismForwarder.sendMessageL1toL2 (with the Optimism cross-domain messenger)
- 3. Base: DSROracleForwarderBaseChain uses OptimismForwarder.sendMessageL1toL2 (with the Base cross-domain messenger)
- 4. Arbitrum: DSROracleForwarderArbitrumOne uses
  ArbitrumForwarder.sendMessageL1toL2 (note any overhead gas will be burned)

All of them inherit from the base contract DSROracleForwarderBase which handles message packing, stores the last seen Pot data and emits a corresponding event. It implements functions getLastSeenPotData(), getLastSeenDSR(), getLastSeenChi() and getLastSeenRho() to query the respective data. Note that the contract requires that the

#### Receivers on L2

While the receiver remains undefined in the repository in scope, the receivers intended for use are the "native" receivers defined in xchain-helpers. These perform bridge-specific access control for the received message and forward the call to a target contract. In the context of XChain SSR Oracle, it is expected that the target contract is the authorized oracle. Further, it is expected that the following contracts a deployed on the respective chains:

- 1. Gnosis: AMBReceiver
- 2. Optimism: OptimismReceiver
- 3. Base: OptimismReceiver
- 4. Arbitrum: ArbitrumReceiver

#### 2.2.3 Adapter

Furthermore, DSRBalancerRateProviderAdapter is provided which exposes <code>getRate()</code>, a function returning the value of sDAI in terms of DAI.

### 2.2.4 Changes in Version 7

In version 7, the codebase has been adapted to work with the new Sky Savings Rate (SSR) instead of the the Dai Savings Rate (DSR). Ultimately, the codebase is now intended to be used with sUSDS instead of the Pot and the sDAI contract.

Additionally, the Arbitrum and Optimism forwarders' constructors have been generalized to receive the cross-domain contract as an argument (e.g. same contract can be used for Optimism and Base now, however, with different constructor arguments).

### 2.2.5 Changes in Version 8

In version 8, pot related namings have been updated to sUSDS.

## 2.2.6 Changes in Version 9 and 10

The adapter SSRChainlinkRateProviderAdapter wraps the SSR oracles so that they partially adhere to the ChainLink price feed interface. Below is a list of implemented functions:

• description(): Returns sUSDS / USDS.



- version(): Returns 1.
- decimals(): Returns 27.
- latestAnswer(): Returns a scaled down getConversionRate() according to decimals().
- latestTimestamp(): Returns block.timestamp.
- latestRoundData(): Returns the values accordingly. However, note that the roundId, startedAt and answeredInRound are always 0.

#### 2.3 Trust Model & Roles

- Pot: DAI Savings Rate contract. Fully trusted, source of Data. As of version 7, this contract corresponds to the sUSDS contract.
- Bridges: Fully trusted. Transmit the message, they must not alter any data nor censor any message. However, note that there are some limitations put in place to reduce the trust in bridges.
- Governance: Fully trusted. The governance can replace the callers of setPotData() which could lead to oracle manipulations. As of version 8, this function has been renamed to setSUSDSData().

Receiver contracts update DSRAuthOracle based on received messages, which must originate from the designated contract on the L1 chain. At the deployment of these receiver contracts, the messaging contract and L1 origin parameters are initialized, and the correct configuration is essential.



# 3 Limitations and use of report

Security assessments cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities; even an assessment in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee of a secure system. However, code assessments enable the discovery of vulnerabilities that were overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary. In most cases, applications are either fully protected against a certain type of attack, or they are completely unprotected against it. Some of the issues may affect the entire application, while some lack protection only in certain areas. This is why we carry out a source code assessment aimed at determining all locations that need to be fixed. Within the customer-determined time frame, ChainSecurity has performed an assessment in order to discover as many vulnerabilities as possible.

The focus of our assessment was limited to the code parts defined in the engagement letter. We assessed whether the project follows the provided specifications. These assessments are based on the provided threat model and trust assumptions. We draw attention to the fact that due to inherent limitations in any software development process and software product, an inherent risk exists that even major failures or malfunctions can remain undetected. Further uncertainties exist in any software product or application used during the development, which itself cannot be free from any error or failures. These preconditions can have an impact on the system's code and/or functions and/or operation. We did not assess the underlying third-party infrastructure which adds further inherent risks as we rely on the correct execution of the included third-party technology stack itself. Report readers should also take into account that over the life cycle of any software, changes to the product itself or to the environment in which it is operated can have an impact leading to operational behaviors other than those initially determined in the business specification.



# 4 Terminology

For the purpose of this assessment, we adopt the following terminology. To classify the severity of our findings, we determine the likelihood and impact (according to the CVSS risk rating methodology).

- Likelihood represents the likelihood of a finding to be triggered or exploited in practice
- Impact specifies the technical and business-related consequences of a finding
- · Severity is derived based on the likelihood and the impact

We categorize the findings into four distinct categories, depending on their severity. These severities are derived from the likelihood and the impact using the following table, following a standard risk assessment procedure.

Likelihood	Impact		
	High	Medium	Low
High	Critical	High	Medium
Medium	High	Medium	Low
Low	Medium	Low	Low

As seen in the table above, findings that have both a high likelihood and a high impact are classified as critical. Intuitively, such findings are likely to be triggered and cause significant disruption. Overall, the severity correlates with the associated risk. However, every finding's risk should always be closely checked, regardless of severity.



# 5 Findings

In this section, we describe any open findings. Findings that have been resolved have been moved to the Resolved Findings section. The findings are split into these different categories:

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

Critical-Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	0



# 6 Resolved Findings

Here, we list findings that have been resolved during the course of the engagement. Their categories are explained in the Findings section.

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

Critical -Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	0
Informational Findings	3

- Outdated IPot Interface Code Corrected
- Inconsistencies Code Corrected
- Redundant Getters Code Corrected

## 6.1 Outdated IPot Interface

Informational Version 7 Code Corrected

CS-XDSR-003

The initial version of the system is expected to work with sDAI, hence the dss.pot contract is used, where the state variables dsr, chi, and rho are of type uint256. After the rebranding, the new oracle will integrate with sUSDS, where ssr is uint256 but chi is uint192 and rho is uint64. However, the interface is not updated and still expects uint256.

#### Code corrected:

The outdated IPot.sol interface has been replaced by ISUSDS.sol.

### 6.2 Inconsistencies

Informational Version 1 Code Corrected

CS-XDSR-002

The codebase is inconsistent in style across files and functions. Below is an incomplete list:

- 1. The DSRAuthOracle explicitly uses 1e27. However, in other places, the ray constant RAY is used.
- 2. The getConversionRate function does not perform the time-delta computation
   timestamp rho in an unchecked block while getConversionRateLinearApprox() and
   getConversionRateBinomialApprox() do.

#### Code corrected:

The code has been changed accordingly.



## 6.3 Redundant Getters

Informational Version 1 Code Corrected

CS-XDSR-001

\_lastSeenPotData is a public variable and thus has an autogenerated public getter. Nevertheless, there is a second getter getLastSeenPotData(). Ultimately, both getters return the same since no complex data types are used in PotData.

#### **Code corrected:**

\_lastSeenPotData is now private.



## 7 Notes

We leverage this section to highlight further findings that are not necessarily issues. The mentioned topics serve to clarify or support the report, but do not require an immediate modification inside the project. Instead, they should raise awareness in order to improve the overall understanding.

## 7.1 Chainlink Adapter Considerations

## Note Version 9

The SSRChainlinkRateProviderAdapter offers a Chainlink-like interface for querying the rate. Below is a list of considerations for integrators of the price feed:

- 1. The roundId returned in latestRoundData() is zero. While this is a valid value according to Chainlink documentation, it might be uncommon. When reading the price feed, integrators should ensure that roundId == 0 should not lead to a revert. Similarly, startedAt is zero. Note that the deprecated answeredInRound is zero, too.
- 2. The updatedAt returned in latestRoundData() as well as latestTimestamp() return block.timestamp. While that corresponds to SSROracleBase.getConversionRate() computing the rate for the current time stamp, it does correspond to rho which could be considered as the update time as well.
- 3. Further, not all functions defined by the AggregatorV2V3Interface are implemented. Namely these functions are:
  - AggregatorInterface.latestRound: There is no actual rounds.
  - AggregatorInterface.getAnswer: There is no rounds to query historic values for.
  - AggregatorInterface.getTimestamp: There is no rounds to query historic values for
  - Aggregator V3 Interface.getRoundData: There is no rounds to query historic values for.

Ultimately, integrators should ensure that the oracle returns the data as expected.

# 7.2 Delayed Oracle Consequences

## Note Version 1

Users of the crosschain DSR oracle should be aware that the oracles could hold outdated values. They should ensure that the oracle is kept alive when changes in the DSR occur. Otherwise, the impact of the scenarios below could be more impactful to their application than expected.

Namely, the following scenarios could occur:

- 1. The DSR decreased on Mainnet. However, an L2 oracle has not been updated. Consequently, the L2 oracle will overvalue chi and thus will overvalue sDAI.
- 2. The DSR increased on Mainnet. However, an L2 oracle has not been updated. Consequently, the L2 oracle will undervalue chi and thus will undervalue sDAI.



# 7.3 Oracle Functions May Behave Differently

Note (Version 1)

Users and integrators of the DSR oracles should be aware that DSR functions <code>getConversionRate()</code>, <code>getConversionRateLinearApprox()</code> and <code>getConversionRateBinomialApprox()</code> do not differ only in accuracy but could also differ in terms of reverting behaviour of overflows. For example, there is a set of numbers for which the third function would revert values whereas the second would not.

