470

8301 Old Leadmine Road

Raleigh, NC 27615

This profile contains 2001-02 information describing the school environment, student population, and accountability program results. Access current information by visiting the school's website at the link above.

Current Principal: Gregory Decker Current Phone: (919) 870-4120 Current Fax: (919) 870-4122

Information for 2001-02 School Year

Principal: Gregory Decker **Grade Levels Served:** K-5

Calendar: Traditional

Original Construction Date: 1990

Most Recent Renovation: 2002

Building Square Footage: 69,805

Size of Property (acres): 20.0

Permanent Classrooms: 35

Portable Classrooms: 1 (as of 12-12-01)

Shows Approximate School Location

Shows Approximate School Location

Shows Approximate School Location

School Location

FALLS LAKE

Mission/Program Description for the 2001-02 School Year

US 6

We believe:

Campus Capacity:

1. All children are different and have different needs.

93%

- 2. Parents, teachers, and the community must work together to nurture the total child.
- 3. All children need to be offered varied learning opportunities.
- 4. Schools should foster and encourage individual differences among students while providing opportunities for intellectual, emotional, and social growth .
- 5. Schools should model a cooperative, friendly, and respectful atmosphere.
- 6. Learning opportunities should challenge children on the appropriate levels.
- 7. The process of education involves risk-taking by students and staff.
- 8. Technology is important for enhancing the learning process.
- 9. Enthusiastic, competent, caring, and professional staff members are essential to quality education.

10. Professional development is an essential element in school improvement.

Vision Statement

Lead Mine Elementary School will be a dynamic model for the highest student achievement.

Mission Statement

We are a community that will provide a learning environment in which each child is able to develop the skills necessary to be responsible citizens who can effectively meet future challenges in their school, community, and world.

11/20/2002 Page 1 of 6

470

Fall 2001-02 Student Population Characteristics

Male				Female				Total			
	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Other	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Other	
Kindergarten	23	23	1	6	2	27	18	3	10	3	116
Grade 1	27	11	2	9	3	16	12	2	10	1	93
Grade 2	15	13	1	8	2	16	12	2	7	4	80
Grade 3	29	9	2	6	2	20	16	0	6	4	94
Grade 4	26	7	1	3	0	36	18	1	8	2	102
Grade 5	33	13	2	7	4	29	16	2	3	2	111
TOTAL	153	76	9	39	13	144	92	10	44	16	596

Note: Counts of students refer to the 20th day of the school year.

Students Identified for Special Programs as of October 2001

Academically Gifted: 61

Special Education (not AG): 53

ESL (English as a Second Language): 60

	2001-02	2000-01	1999-00	1998-99
Total Membership at End of First 20 Days	596	616	793	767
Percent Receiving Free/Reduced Lunch	37%	30%	26%	19%
Average Daily Attendance (calc. at end of school year)	96.0%	95.6%	95.4%	96.1%

2001-02 Student Mobility

Student Mobility measures describe how much students move into, out of, and between schools. Mobility can impact classroom planning and student performance when students leave and are replaced by other students whose needs must be assessed.

One measure of mobility is "stability"-- the percentage of students at a school at the end of the year who were continually enrolled in the school since the first week. The higher the percentage, the more "stable" the student population has been.

Another measure of mobility is "turbulence"-- the movement in and out of a school during the year after the first 20 days. This is calculated by adding all entries and withdrawals and then dividing by the cumulative enrollment (all students who enter the school during the year). A lower percentage shows less mobility.

Stability:

This school in 2001-02: **90%**

All elementary schools in 2001-02: 92%

Turbulence:

This school in 2001-02: 26%

All elementary schools in 2001-02: 17%

11/20/2002 Page 2 of 6

470

School Effectiveness Over Time

The Effectiveness Index is a method for comparing the achievement of students in a particular school with the achievement of similar students across the entire school district. Variables considered in the model include the prior year's achievement scores for each student, each student's special education status, and two measures of socioeconomic status. Achievement test scale scores are analyzed for all of the students in a school who take an end-of-year test, and schoolwide performance is summarized by comparing how students in that school perform to how all similar students perform across the school district. If schoolwide performance is similar to what occurs districtwide in 75-80% of schools, the category is labeled "Expected." If performance varies significantly, the category is labeled "Below" or "Above" to indicate that achievement on end-of-year tests was below or above what might be expected based upon the characteristics of the students in the school. The indices are based upon North Carolina End-of-Grade Tests administered in grades 3-8.

Measuring This School's EOG Achievement Against Similar Students Across Wake County

	2001-02		2000	-01	1999-00		
	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	
3rd Grade	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	
4th Grade	Above	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	
5th Grade	Expected	Above	Above	Expected	Expected	Expected	

Results from the North Carolina ABC Accountability Program

In 1996, the State Board of Education was given the authority to implement a plan that sets student achievement goals for every North Carolina school. The "ABC" Plan sets school level standards for students' growth and performance in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics. All elementary and middle schools in the state are evaluated annually on the results of the statewide End-of-Grade (EOG) Reading and Mathematics Tests. Growth standards ("Expected" and "High") were set by a formula developed for the State Board of Education.

Performance: The "Composite Performance" was defined in 2002 as the percentage of EOG tests and alternative assessments administered in the school on which students achieved scores equal to or greater than established grade level standards. Schools with more than 80% of test scores at or above grade level were designated as "Schools of Distinction," and schools with more than 90% of test scores at or above grade level were designated as "Schools of Excellence" by the State Board of Education if the school achieved Expected or High growth.

2001-02
2000-01
1999-00

The Composite Performance scores for this school: 91.2% 86.7% 87.2%

Growth: The Expected and High Growth targets for every school were based upon EOG pretests administered at the beginning of third grade or the end of the previous school year for students in grades 4-8. Average student growth was calculated and compared to the standards. Prior to 2002, "High Growth" was called "Exemplary Growth" by the State Board of Education.

In 2001-02 this school: Met High Growth Standard

In 2000-01 this school: Met the exemplary growth standard

In 1999-00 this school: Met the exemplary growth standard

11/20/2002 Page 3 of 6

470

Writing Test Results

The North Carolina Writing Test was administered in grades 4 and 7 in 2002. However, after tests were scored by an independent contractor, the State Board of Education determined the results were invalid and would not be used in the accountability program for the 2001-02 school year. Charts comparing writing results over time are not included in this volume of School Profiles because of the State Board's decision. A new writing test with a more detailed scoring system will be piloted statewide in North Carolina during the 2002-03 school year.

End-Of-Grade Test Results

End-of-Grade tests are given statewide in North Carolina at the end of grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. EOG tests use a multiple-choice format and measure Reading and Mathematics skills, using multiple forms of the test in each classroom. Results are reported as scale scores that can range from approximately 100 to 200 across the six grade levels. Progress is measured from year to year as scores move up the scale. The following table and figure show the mean (average) scale scores for students in this school for the past three years. Reading across a line compares the performance of three different cohorts of students at a grade level for three years. Reading diagonally (as indicated by the arrows) follows a cohort of students across years.

A new set of EOG mathematics tests were administered in the 2000-2001 school year. The North Carolina Dept. of Public Instruction (NCDPI) used a new scale ranging from 200 to 300 for these tests.

3rd Grade
4th Grade
5th Grade

		Reading]	Mathematic	s
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
•	151.6	150.2	150.4	149.4	252.9	253.5
;	153.0	154.8	154.8	155.6	259.6	260.4
, [158.9	160.3	160.1	163.5	265.7	267.1

11/20/2002 Page 4 of 6

470

In August, 1998, the WCPSS Board of Education adopted the following goal for the school system:

"The Wake County Public School System is committed to academic excellence. By 2003, 95% of students tested will be at or above grade level as measured by NC EOG testing at grades 3 and 8."

The progress of students in this school toward the systemwide goal is shown in the following figures. The tables report the percentage of students whose scale score on EOG tests was at or above grade level. The graphs compare the May 2002 percentages of the school (bars) and the system (dots) for each subgroup. If a subgroup has fewer than 10 students, the percentage is blank and the bar is missing on the graph.

Percentage of Students in Levels III or IV by Race and by Gender Reading Mathematics

	Reading						
	Al	l Stude	nts	Whi	te Stud	lents	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
3rd	89	84	83	98	91	91	
4th	84	86	88	98	97	93	
5th	90	91	99	100	100	98	
	Blac	ck Stud	lents	Other Students			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
3rd	72	72	76	94	64	75	
4th	63	70	82		80	80	
5th	68	73	100			100	
		Males		1	Female	s	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
3rd	87	78	88	91	87	79	
4th	78	82	90	92	92	88	
5th	91	88	98	89	95	100	

		Muth	iiiatics				
Al	l Stude	nts	Whi	White Students			
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002		
88	82	83	96	91	98		
90	90	96	98	100	97		
92	95	98	98	100	98		
Black Students			Other Students				
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002		
72	66	56	88	64	88		
74	67	91		95	100		
78	85	96			100		
	Males		Females				
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002		
86	80	93	90	83	74		
85	87	97	96	94	95		
95	95	98	90	95	98		
	2000 88 90 92 Blac 2000 72 74 78 2000 86 85	2000 2001 88 82 90 90 92 95 Black Stud 2000 2001 72 66 74 67 78 85 Males 2000 2001 86 80 85 87	All Stude+s 2000 2001 2002 88 82 83 90 96 96 92 95 98 Black Students 2000 2001 2002 72 66 56 74 67 91 78 85 96 Males 2000 2001 2002 86 80 93 85 87 97	2000 2001 2002 2000 88 82 83 96 90 90 96 98 92 95 98 98 Black Students Oth 2000 2001 2002 2000 72 66 56 88 74 67 91 78 85 96 Males 2000 2001 2002 2000 86 80 93 90 85 87 97 96	All Students White Students 2000 2001 2002 2000 2001 88 82 83 96 91 90 90 96 98 100 92 95 98 98 100 Black Students Other Students 2000 2001 2002 2000 2001 72 66 56 88 64 74 67 91 95 78 85 96 95 Males 2002 2000 2001 86 80 93 90 83 85 87 97 96 94		

2001-02 Percentage of Students in Levels III or IV by Race and by Gender Reading **Mathematics**

□ Total

XXX White

Black

Other

⊠ Female

SSSSS Male

2001-02 Lead Mine Road Elementary 100 :

TWBOMF

— 4th —

TWBOMF

— 5th —

80 70

60

50


40

30

20

TWBOMF

— 3rd —



□ Total XXX White Black Other SSSSS Male ⊠ Female TWBOMF TWBOMF TWBOMF — 3rd — — 4th — — 5th —

2001-02

Lead Mine Road Elementary

11/20/2002 Page 5 of 6

Parents Students

Lead Mine Elementary

470

WCPSS Survey Results

During the 1990s WCPSS conducted periodic surveys of parents and high school students. Beginning with the 2000-01 school year, the district is surveying samples of parents and students annually at all schools. Some of the items on the surveys will be kept constant over time so that schools can measure improvement. The following two tables show the percentage of respondents "agreeing" or "strongly agreeing" with selected statements from the surveys. When comparing percentages across years, note that the 2001 surveys included an option to mark "Uncertain." This option was not included in the 2002 surveys.

Parent Survey Results (Approximately 150 parents surveyed.)	2002	2001
My child's school is a safe place to learn.	100.0 %	89.2 %
My child's school provides a high quality educational program.	94.4 %	91.7 %
My child is given challenging work in all classes.	86.1 %	83.3 %
If I call the school, I receive courteous attention.	94.4 %	89.2 %
Students at my child's school are well behaved overall.	84.3 %	63.9 %
The rules of this school are fair.	95.8 %	97.3 %
Teachers in this school really seem to care about the students.	98.6 %	89.2 %
This school promotes understanding among students from various backgrounds.	97.1 %	N/A
The percentage of Parents returning the survey at this school was:	49%	84%

Student Survey Results (Approximately 150 students surveyed.)	2002	2001
This school is a safe place to learn.	97.8 %	83.7 %
The students at this school get along with each other.	59.4 %	6.8 %
The rules of this school are fair.	87.1 %	74.4 %
It is easy to get help from the adults in this school.	83.5 %	62.8 %
I like my school.	86.4 %	N/A

This table compares the percentage of Parents and Students rating this school in 2002 as "good" or "excellent" on helping students learn certain skills.

1 0		
Reading Skills	90.4 %	91.4 %
Writing Skills	82.2 %	89.1 %
Math Skills	86.3 %	91.3 %
Social Studies Skills	85.1 %	81.2 %
Science Skills	75.8 %	80.6 %

11/20/2002 Page 6 of 6