

School Profiles

for the 2002-03 School Year

Leesville Road High

School ID Number: 473

8409 Leesville Road Raleigh, NC 27613

Phone: (919) 870-4250 Fax: (919) 870-4278

Current Principal: Richard Murphy

This profile contains information from the 2002-03 school year describing the school environment, student population, and accountability program results. Current information may be obtained by contacting the school.


2002-03 Principal: Richard Murphy

Grade Levels Served: 9-12 **Calendar:** Traditional

Facility Data

Original Construction Date:1993Most Recent Renovation:1994Building Square Footage:250,133Size of Property (acres):47.7Permanent Classrooms:81Portable Classrooms:15Campus Capacity:100%

(See the introduction to the profiles for further explanation of items in this report.)



Mission Statement/Program Description

Mission Statement: Leesville Road High School is a community of students, teachers, staff and parents working together with other stakeholders to educate each student to be a responsible and productive citizen who can effectively manage future challenges.

Program Description: Leesville Road High School is a high-performing comprehensive high school with nationally ranked academic, athletic, and arts programs. The curriculum offers a full array of advanced and advanced placement courses in all core subject areas, foreign language, and the arts. Our vocational department offers a broad selection of career-related electives. Using seminars, Leesville's Paideia offerings encourage integrated learning. The entire curriculum is enhanced by the efforts of our business partners: Huntington Learning Center, Golden Corral, ECPI Technical College, Marriott International, REX HeathCare, Nation Exchange, School of Communication Arts, Mira-Stat Acne Treatment Center, United Parcel Service and HSBC Mortgage Corporation (USA).

Leesville offers a broad program of extracurricular opportunities, many of which are direct extensions of the classroom experience. Our school organizations, clubs, and athletic teams have accumulated many honors, and this success speaks to the "Pride" of the entire community.

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 1 of 8

Fall 2002-03 Student Population Characteristics

	Male									Female			
	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Multi	AmInd	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Multi	AmInd	
Grade 9	178	68	10	8	7	1	196	60	9	8	3	0	548
Grade 10	191	61	9	10	3	0	187	44	10	6	2	0	523
Grade 11	212	28	5	0	2	0	188	38	7	8	9	1	498
Grade 12	184	32	6	5	3	0	162	27	10	6	5	0	440
TOTAL	765	189	30	23	15	1	733	169	36	28	19	1	2,009

Note: Counts of students refer to the membership on the 20th day of the school year. Membership means the number of students being served in a school at a particular time.

Students Identified for Special Programs

	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Academically Gifted	539	569	609	617
Students with Disabilities	N/A	218	222	196
Limited English Proficiency	N/A	1	1	0
	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03

	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Total Membership at End of First 20 Days	1990	1989	2012	2009
Percent Receiving Free/Reduced Lunch	5%	7%	7%	8%
Average Daily Attendance (calc. at end of school year)	95.0%	95.0%	95.1%	95.6%

Student Mobility

Student Mobility describes how much students move into, out of, and between schools. Mobility can impact classroom planning and student performance when students leave and are replaced by other students whose needs must be assessed.

One measure of mobility is "stability"-- the percentage of students at a school at the end of the year who were continually enrolled in the school since the first week. The higher the percentage, the more "stable" the student population has been.

Another measure of mobility is "turbulence"— the movement in and out of a school during the year after the first 20 days. This is calculated by adding all entries and withdrawals and then dividing by the cumulative enrollment (all students who enter the school during the year). The lower the percentage, the lower the impact of entries and withdrawals on school operation.

Stability:

This school in 2002-03: **96%** All High schools in 2002-0 95%

Turbulence: Not Yet Available

This school in 2002-03: All high schools in 2001-02

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 2 of 8

Results from the North Carolina ABC Accountability Program

In 1996, the State Board of Education was given the authority to implement a plan which sets student achievement goals for every North Carolina school. The "ABC" Plan for high schools in 2002-03 set goals for student performance in ten courses in which students take End-of-Course (EOC) multiple-choice standardized tests. Two levels of gain ("Expected" and "High") were set by a formula developed for the State Board of Education. The formula generated predicted EOC scale scores for each course at each school based upon the prior achievement of the students in that school. The actual average scores achieved by the students were then compared to the Expected and High target scores. In addition to comparing average EOC scores to predicted target scores, the formulas examined change over time across cohorts of students in dropout rates and the percentage of graduates meeting requirements for entry into the state university system and Tech-Prep programs.

Performance:

The "Performance Composite" for every high school was defined as the percentage of all EOC tests administered in the school on which students achieved Level III or Level IV scores. (See pages 5 and 6 of this profile.) Schools with 80-89% of test scores at or above Level III were designated as "Schools of Distinction," and schools with 90% or more of test scores at or above Level III were designated as "Schools of Excellence" by the State Board of

	<u>2000-01</u>	2001-02	2002-03
The Performance Composite score for this school:	85.0%	87.4%	89.3%

Growth:

The differences between the average scores shown above and "Expected" and "High" standards for each course were weighted by course participation and then added together to determine whether or not schools met the state's Growth criteria. (Note: A different set of criteria was used prior to 2000-01and results should not be compared to the results shown below.)

In 2000-01 this school: Met High Growth Standard
In 2001-02 this school: Met High Growth Standard
In 2002-03 this school: Met High Growth Standard

Average EOC Scales Scores in this School in 2002-03

Courses Required Students	Courses Required for All Students		rses
Algebra 1	67.9	Geometry	66.8
Biology	61.5	Algebra II	73.3
ELPS	60.0	Chemistry	68.1
English I	61.9	Physical Science	58.0
US History	62.4	Physics	62.1

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 3 of 8

Other Accountability Measures

Dropout Rates

2000-01: 2.0% **2001-02:** 2.3% **2002-03:** N/A

The Dropout Rate is finalized several months after the end of the school year. Therefore, the latest rate does not show in this report.

College Preparation:

The percentage of graduating seniors who successfully completed all courses required for entry into the University of North Carolina system are shown below.

2000: 81.4% **2001:** 85.5% **2002:** 89.4% **2003:** 85.9%

Tech/Prep Graduates:

Beginning in 1998-99, WCPSS graduates were identified as "Tech/Prep Completers" if their course of study included specific courses that prepared them for entry into technical jobs. Below are the percentage of graduates completing Tech/Prep requirements at this school.

2001: 3.7% **2002:** 6.3% **2003:** 9.0%

SAT

SAT scores are not used when calculating state recognition awards but they are reported as part of the accountability program. The following table shows average SAT scores for students who took the test and indicated that they would graduate at the end of the indicated school year. The participation rate is the percentage of the graduating class that took the test.

	Math	Verbal	Total	Participation
2000-01:	541	525	1066	87.3%
2001-02:	559	538	1097	94.0%
2002-03:	557	535	1092	86.0%

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

AYP is based upon a set of performance targets that high schools must achieve each year to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. AYP for high schools is based upon reading and math tests given to all tenth-grade students. The ten student subgroups that must meet prescribed targets in reading and math are: the school as a whole, American Indian, Asian, Black, Hispanic, Multiracial, White, Economically Disadvantaged (FRL students), Limited English Proficient, and Students with Disabilities. Schools are identified as meeting AYP if both reading and math targets are met for all student subgroups comprised of 40 or more students. North Carolina statewide targets in 2003 for tenth-grade were 52.0% in reading and 54.2% in math for grades 3-8.

For the 2002-03 School Year: This school DID NOT MEET AYP

It Met: 13 out of 17 targets, or 76% of all its targets.

For more in-depth information on AYP, please see the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction AYP website at: http://ayp.ncpublicschools.org

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 4 of 8

End-of-Course (EOC) Test Results from Courses Required for Graduation

Proficiency on End-of-Course tests is defined as the percentage of students scoring in Levels III and IV. Test scores for these students indicate that they are well prepared for more advanced coursework in the subject area. The following tables show the number of students taking the tests in courses required for graduation at this school and the percentage of scores in Levels III and IV. If fewer than 10 students are in a group, the entry is blank.

A	lgebra 1	All Stu	ıdents	Ma	les	Fem	ales	W	hite	Bla	ack	Otl	her
	2000-01	341	91%	187	89%	154	94%	256	96%	70	74%	15	87%
	2001-02	362	93%	200	93%	162	93%	258	95%	74	87%	30	97%
	2002-03	386	95%	191	96%	195	93%	264	97%	87	86%	35	94%
В	iology	All Stu	ıdents	Ma	les	Fem	ales	W	hite	Bla	ack	Ot	her
	2000-01	504	86%	256	84%	248	88%	408	93%	74	54%	22	77%
	2001-02	555	91%	282	90%	273	93%	434	96%	88	68%	33	97%
	2002-03	398	85%	196	87%	202	84%	287	93%	80	58%	31	90%
E	LP	All Stı	ıdents	Mal	les	Fem	ales	W	hite	Bla	ack	Otl	her
	2000-01	530	88%	266	88%	264	88%	409	94%	92	62%	29	90%
	2001-02	553	88%	273	87%	280	89%	397	95%	101	60%	55	87%
	2002-03	540	87%	251	90%	289	85%	375	94%	113	73%	52	73%
F	nglish I	All Stı	rdonts	Mal	los	Fem	alas	VX /1	hite	DL	ack	O41	her
	2000-01	513	86%	256	84%	257	87%	395	92%	93	58%	25	88%
	2001-02 2002-03	522	86%	268	85%	254	88% 94%	375	94%	104 99	59% 77%	43	84%
	2002-03	507	92%	247	89%	260	94%	361	96%	99	/ / %0	47	91%
T	S History	. II G.	•	3.5	_		_	***		ъ.		0.11	_
ı	5 mistory	All Stu		Ma		Fem	ales	W	hite	Bla	ack	Ot	her
	2000-01	478	78%	242	79%	236	76%	366	83%	78	49%	34	82%
	2001-02	460	74%	243	74%	217	73%	355	82%	65	32%	40	65%
	2002-03	476	80%	238	80%	238	79%	381	84%	60	55%	35	77%

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 5 of 8

EOC Test Results from Five Elective Math and Science Courses

Students taking five elective math and science courses (Algebra II, Chemisty, Geometry, Physics, and Physical Science) are also required to take End-of-Course tests. As with other EOC tests, scale scores fall into four levels. The following tables show the number of students who took the test and the percentage who achieved a Level III or IV score. If fewer than 10 students are in a group, the entry is blank.

Algebra II All Students		Ma	Males Females		White		Black		Other			
2000-01	413	93%	194	94%	219	92%	332	94%	48	79%	33	97%
2001-02	407	93%	208	90%	199	96%	341	94%	39	82%	27	93%
2002-03	413	94%	188	94%	225	94%	341	96%	44	80%	28	93%
Chemistry All Students		Ma	ales	Fem	ales	WI	hite	Bla	ack	Ot	her	
2000-01	349	84%	165	89%	184	79%	281	87%	31	55%	37	84%
2001-02	364	89%	171	92%	193	86%	314	90%	25	84%	25	80%
2002-03	288	97%	188	97%	158	96%	242	98%	23	87%	23	96%
Geometry	All St	udents	Males Females		White		Black		Other			
2000-01	413	84%	215	85%	198	83%	344	90%	50	52%	19	68%
2001-02	439	84%	214	92%	193	84%	353	88%	58	60%	28	79%
2002-03	445	89%	219	90%	226	89%	342	93%	61	70%	42	86%
Physics	All St	udents	Ma	ales	Fem	ales	WI	hite	Bla	ack	Ot	her
2000-01	160	89%	85	92%	75	85%	136	89%			16	88%
2001-02	174	97%	95	97%	79	96%	147	98%			21	95%
2002-03	95	94%	59	95%	36	92%	83	93%			10	100%
Physical	A.11. G.		-		-	_		•.	ъ.	_		
Science	All St	udents	Ma	ales	Fem		WI	nite	Bla	ack	Ot	her
2000-01	195	78%	105	81%	90	76%	144	89%	43	42%		
2001-02	159	77%	93	74%	66	80%	105	87%	41	54%		
2002-03	158	77%	88	78%	70	74%	95	91%	51	51%	12	75%

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 6 of 8

School Effectiveness Over Time

The Effectiveness Index is a method for comparing the achievement of students in a particular school with the achievement of similar students across the entire school district. The indices are based upon North Carolina End-of-Course (EOC) Tests administered at the conclusion of each of five Core and five Elective high school courses. Variables considered in the model include EOC test scores for each student, each student's special education status, and two measures of socio-economic status. EOC test scale scores are analyzed for all of the students in a school who take an EOC test, and schoolwide performance is summarized by comparing how students in that school perform to how all similar students perform on that test across the school district. If schoolwide performance is similar to what occurs districtwide in 75-80% of schools, the category is labeled "Expected." If performance varies significantly, the category is labeled "Below" or "Above" to indicate that achievement on end-of-year tests was below or above what might be expected based upon the characteristics of the students in the school.

A Table Comparing This School's EOC Test ResultsTo Other WCPSS High Schools

Core Courses	2001-02	2002-03
Algebra 1	Expected	Expected
Biology	Expected	Expected
ELP	Expected	Expected
English 1	Expected	Below
US History	Expected	Expected

Elective Courses

Algebra 2	Expected	Expected
Chemistry	Expected	Above
Geometry	Expected	Expected
Physical Science	Expected	Expected
Physics	Expected	Below

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 7 of 8

WCPSS Survey Results

WCPSS conducts annual surveys of parents and high school students using randomly chosen samples of participants. Some of the items on the surveys are kept constant over time so that schools can measure improvement. The following two tables show the percentage of respondents "agreeing" or "strongly agreeing" with selected statements from the Spring 2002 and the Spring 2003 surveys. Detailed summaries of districtwide responses to all survey questions are available on the Evaluation and Research Department website.

2002

61.5 %

68.0 %

2002

2003

Parent Survey Results

Tarent Survey Results	2002	2003
My child's school is a safe place to learn.	96.1 %	98.5 %
My child's school provides a high quality educational program.	93.3 %	94.9 %
My child is given challenging work in all classes.	87.6 %	83.8 %
Students at my child's school are well behaved overall.	85.6 %	84.7 %
The rules of this school are fair.	87.5 %	82.3 %
Teachers in this school really seem to care about the students.	86.4 %	78.6 %
This school promotes understanding among students from various backgrounds	89.1 %	79.6 %
The percentage of Parents returning the survey at this school was:	45%	54%
Student Survey Results	2002	2003
This school is a safe place to learn.	92.8 %	95.4 %
The students at this school get along with each other.	64.0 %	58.4 %
The rules of this school are fair.	63.1 %	44.7 %
My school provides many ways to help me learn.	N/A	79.7 %

This table compares the percentage of Parents and Students rating this school	20	JU3
in 2003 as "good" or "excellent" on helping students learn certain skills.	Parents	Students
Reading Skills	90.8 %	78.0 %
Writing Skills	83.2 %	71.4 %
Math Skills	89.8 %	81.5 %
Social Studies Skills	85.1 %	78.2 %
Science Skills	84.0 %	69.9 %
Science Skins	01.0	70

В \mathbf{C} D \mathbf{F} A Grades given to this school on the 2003 Parent Survey: 35.4% 52.6% 9.4% 2.1% 0.5% Grades given to this school on the 2003 Student Survey: 20.4% 50.3 % 22.6% 4.3% 2.4%

I like my school.