370 Main Campus Drive Raleigh, NC 27606

This profile contains 2001-02 information describing the school environment, student population, and accountability program results. Access current information by visiting the school's website at the link above.

Current Principal: Ken Branch Current Phone: (919) 233-4217 Current Fax: (919) 233-4268

Information for 2001-02 School Year

Principal: Ken Branch

Grade Levels Served: 6-8

Calendar: Traditional

Original Construction Date: 2000

Most Recent Renovation:


Building Square Footage: 131,600

Size of Property (acres):

Permanent Classrooms: 40

Portable Classrooms: 0 (as of 12-12-01)

Campus Capacity: 135% (as calculated by the WCPSS Facilities Department)



Mission/Program Description for the 2001-02 School Year

Our mission is to provide an exemplary educational community that encourages students and staff to actively discover, integrate, and apply knowledge in a dynamic global and technological environment.

11/19/2002 Page 1 of 6

370

Fall 2001-02 Student Population Characteristics

Male **Female Total** White **Black** Asian Hisp Other White **Black** Other Asian Hisp Grade 6 55 42 1 5 5 56 31 3 11 1 210 55 Grade 7 62 45 3 43 2 0 215 0 4 1 Grade 8 38 26 0 4 1 37 41 3 2 0 152 7 9 **TOTAL** 155 113 1 13 148 115 15 1 577

Note: All counts of students refer to the 20th day of the school year.

Students Identified for Special Programs in October 2001

Academically Gifted: 113

Special Education (not AG): 120

ESL (English as a Second Language): 0

	2001-02	2000-01	1999-00	1998-99
Total Membership at End of First 20 Days	577	462		
Percent Receiving Free/Reduced Lunch	31%	28%		
Average Daily Attendance (calc. at end of school year)	94.6%	94.5%		

2001-02 Student Mobility

Student Mobility measures describe how much students move into, out of, and between schools. Mobility can impact classroom planning and student performance when students leave and are replaced by other students whose needs must be assessed.

One measure of mobility is "stability" -- the percentage of students at a school at the end of the year who were continually enrolled in the school since the first week. The higher the percentage, the more "stable" the student population has been.

Another measure of mobility is "turbulence" -- the movement in and out of a school during the year after the first 20 days. This is calculated by adding all entries and withdrawals and then dividing by the cumulative enrollment (all students who enter the school during the year). A lower percentage shows less mobility.

Stability

This school in 2001-02: **89%**

All middle schools in 2001-02: 93%

Turbulence

This school in 2001-02: 16%

All middle schools in 2001-02: 10%

11/19/2002 Page 2 of 6

370

School Effectiveness Over Time

The Effectiveness Index is a method for comparing the achievement of students in a particular school with the achievement of similar students across the entire school district. Variables considered in the model include the prior year's achievement scores for each student, each student's special education status, and two measures of socio-economic status. Achievement test scale scores are analyzed for all of the students in a school who take an end-of-year test, and schoolwide performance is summarized by comparing how students in that school perform to how all similar students perform across the school district. If schoolwide performance is similar to what occurs districtwide in 75-80% of schools, the category is labeled "Expected." If performance varies significantly, the category is labeled "Below" or "Above" to indicate that achievement on end-of-year tests was below or above what might be expected based upon the characteristics of the students in the school. The indices are based upon North Carolina End-of-Grade Tests administered in grades 3-8.

Measuring This School's EOG Achievement Against Similar Students Across Wake County

	2001-02		2000-01		1999-00	
	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math
6th Grade	Expected	Expected	Above	Expected		
7th Grade	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected		
8th Grade	Expected	Below	Below	Below		

Results from the North Carolina ABC Accountability Program

In 1996, the State Board of Education was given the authority to implement a plan that sets student achievement goals for every North Carolina school. The "ABC" Plan sets school level standards for students' growth and performance in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics. All elementary and middle schools in the state are evaluated annually on the results of the statewide End-of-Grade (EOG) Reading and Mathematics Tests. Growth standards ("Expected" and "High") were set by a formula developed for the State Board of Education.

Performance: The "Composite Performance" was defined in 2002 as the percentage of EOG tests and alternative assessmentsadministered in the school on which students achieved scores equal to or greater than established grade level standards. Schools with more than 80% of test scores at or above grade level were designated as "Schools of Distinction," and schools with more than 90% of test scores at or above grade level were designated as "Schools of Excellence" by the State Board of Education if the school achieved Expected or High growth.

2001-02 2000-01 1999-00

The Composite Performance scores for this school: 84.4% 81.9%

Growth: The Expected and High Growth targets for every school were based upon EOG pretests administered at the beginning of third grade or the end of the previous school year for students in grades 4-8. Average student growth was calculated and compared to the standards. Prior to 2002, "High Growth" was called "Exemplary Growth" by the State Board of Education.

In 2001-02 this school: **Did Not Meet Expected Growth Standard**

In 2000-01 this school: **Did not meet the expected growth standard**

In 1999-00 this school:

11/19/2002 Page 3 of 6

370

Writing Test Results

The North Carolina Writing Test was administered in grades 4 and 7 in 2002. However, after tests were scored by an independent contractor, the State Board of Education determined the results were invalid and would not be used in the accountability program for the 2001-02 school year. Charts comparing writing results over time are not included in this volume of School Profiles because of the State Board's decision. A new writing test with a more detailed scoring system will be piloted statewide in North Carolina during the 2002-03 school year.

End-Of-Grade Test Results

End-of-Grade tests are given statewide in North Carolina at the end of grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. EOG tests use a multiple-choice format and measure Reading and Mathematics skills, using multiple forms of the test in each classroom. Results are reported as scale scores that can range from approximately 100 to 200 across the six grade levels. Progress is measured from year to year as scores move up the scale. The following table and figure show the mean (average) scale scores for students in this school for the past three years. Reading across a line compares the performance of three different cohorts of students at a grade level for three years. Reading diagonally (as indicated by the arrows) follows a cohort of students across years.

A new set of EOG mathematics tests were administered in the 2000-2001 school year. The North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI) used a new scale ranging from 200 to 300 for these tests.

6th Grade

7th Grade

8th Grade

Reading			Mathematics			
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
	160.8	158.7		265.1	265.0	
	160.2	163.2		266.6	270.2	
	163.0	163.6		269.3	269.9	

11/19/2002 Page 4 of 6

370

In August, 1998, the WCPSS Board of Education adopted the following goal for the school system:

"The Wake County Public School System is committed to academic excellence. By 2003, 95% of students tested will be at or above grade level as measured by NC EOG testing at grades 3 and 8."

The progress of students in this school toward the systemwide goal is shown in the following figures. The tables report the percentage of students whose scale score on EOG tests was at or above grade level. The graphs compare the May 2002 percentages of the school (bars) and the system (dots) for each subgroup. If a subgroup has fewer than 10 students, the percentage is blank and the bar is missing on the graph.


Percentage of Students in Levels III or IV by Race and by Gender Reading Mathematics

	Reading						
	All Students			Whi	lents		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
6th		83	76		96	94	
7th		76	87		93	96	
8th		89	86		100	93	
,	Black Students			Other Students			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
6th		67	57			59	
7th		59	76		75		
8th		79	81		82	70	
		Males		Females			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
6th		83	73		83	81	
7th		76	86		76	88	
8th		83	85		94	87	

	<u> </u>						
	All Students			White Students			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
6th		87	86		99	95	
7th		79	88		93	97	
8th		81	80		96	93	
·	Black Students			Other Students			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
6th		71	75			82	
7th		65	76		75		
8th		67	65		73	80	
		Males		Females			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
6th		85	86		90	87	
7th		77	85		80	91	
8th		78	84		83	77	

2001-02 Percentage of Students in Levels III or IV by Race and by Gender Reading Mathematics

2001—02 Centennial Campus Middle 2001—02 Centennial Campus Middle



11/19/2002 Page 5 of 6

2002

2001

Centennial Middle

370

WCPSS Survey Results

During the 1990s WCPSS conducted periodic surveys of parents and high school students. Beginning with the 2000-01 school year, the district is surveying samples of parents and students annually at all schools. Some of the items on the surveys will be kept constant over time so that schools can measure improvement. The following two tables show the percentage of respondents "agreeing" or "strongly agreeing" with selected statements from the surveys. When comparing percentages across years, note that the 2001 surveys included an option to mark "Uncertain." This option was not included in the 2002 surveys.

Parent Survey Results (Approximately 150 parents surveyed.)

	2002	2001
My child's school is a safe place to learn.	93.9 %	89.7 %
My child's school provides a high quality educational program.	89.8 %	87.2 %
My child is given challenging work in all classes.	74.0 %	83.1 %
If I call the school, I receive courteous attention.	97.9 %	89.7 %
Students at my child's school are well behaved overall.	72.3 %	50.6 %
The rules of this school are fair.	76.6 %	85.9 %
Teachers in this school really seem to care about the students.	85.1 %	84.4 %
This school promotes understanding among students from various backgrounds.	88.4 %	N/A
The percentage of Parents returning the survey at this school was:	37%	77%
Student Survey Results (Approximately 150 students surveyed.)	2002	2001
		ì
This school is a safe place to learn.	92.0 %	70.3 %
This school is a safe place to learn. The students at this school get along with each other.	92.0 %	70.3 % 18.5 %
<u> </u>		
The students at this school get along with each other.	30.9 %	18.5 %
The students at this school get along with each other. The rules of this school are fair.	30.9 % 43.5 %	18.5 % 46.2 %
The students at this school get along with each other. The rules of this school are fair. It is easy to get help from the adults in this school.	30.9 % 43.5 % 79.5 % 62.6 %	18.5 % 46.2 % 71.4 % N/A
The students at this school get along with each other. The rules of this school are fair. It is easy to get help from the adults in this school. I like my school. This table compares the percentage of Parents and Students rating this school	30.9 % 43.5 % 79.5 % 62.6 %	18.5 % 46.2 % 71.4 %
The students at this school get along with each other. The rules of this school are fair. It is easy to get help from the adults in this school. I like my school. This table compares the percentage of Parents and Students rating this school in 2002 as "good" or "excellent" on helping students learn certain skills.	30.9 % 43.5 % 79.5 % 62.6 %	18.5 % 46.2 % 71.4 % N/A Students

Reading Skills	88.0 %	82.9 %
Writing Skills	81.6 %	81.5 %
Math Skills	92.0 %	82.9 %
Social Studies Skills	91.8 %	82.0 %
Science Skills	83.3 %	80.5 %

A B C D F Grades given to this school on the 2002 Parent Survey: 28.6% 49.0% 16.3% 6.1% 0.0%

11/19/2002 Page 6 of 6