416 806 Calloway Drive Raleigh, NC 27610

This profile contains 2001-02 information describing the school environment, student population, and accountability program results. Access current information by visiting the school's website at the link above.

Current Principal: Pamela Peters Current Phone: (919) 856-7625 Current Fax: (919) 856-7633

Information for 2001-02 School Year

Principal: Pamela Peters **Grade Levels Served:** K-5

Calendar: Traditional

Original Construction Date: 1961

Most Recent Renovation: 1990

Building Square Footage: 65,492

Size of Property (acres): 15.0

Permanent Classrooms: 34

Portable Classrooms: 0 (as of 12-12-01)

NC 98

FALLS LAKE
US 1

WAKE FOREST

US 401

ROLESVILLE

US 44

MORRASVILLE

RALEIGH

AFEX

KNIGHTRALE

US 70

KNIGHTRALE

US 70

Shows Approximate
School Location

NC 42

FUQUAY VARINA

NC 55

Campus Capacity: 79% (as calculated by the WCPSS Facilities Department)

Mission/Program Description for the 2001-02 School Year

Fuller Math, Science, Technology Gifted and Talented Magnet Elementary School will successfully meet the needs of children preparing them for a changing mathematical, scientific and technological world. We believe experiential learning is the foundation for successful school experiences. Our goal is for every student to have the opportunity to use up-to-date technology in all aspect of learning so that

*each chid has common opportunities,

An extensive choice of electives in the areas of academics, visual and performing arts and technology allows students to focus on strengths and weaknesses and to develop new interests. In fourth and fifth grade, state-identified Academically Gifted students receive A.G. services through the AG basics model. All students are instructed by a certified academically gifted teacher. Those students that are identified as having strong or very strong need for differentiation (performing 2 to 3 years ahead) are blocked together for math and language arts. Students that are identified as having moderate needs are grouped together in the other math and language arts block. By offering a challenging program geared to the interest of each student, Fuller is dedicated to educating each student to successfully meet the challenges of the future.

11/20/2002 Page 1 of 6

^{*}each child's needs are met through differentiated instruction,

^{*}each child has a strong basic/electives program.

416

Fall 2001-02 Student Population Characteristics

	Male				Female				Total		
	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Other	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Other	
Kindergarten	12	14	4	1	1	8	19	5	0	1	65
Grade 1	12	14	2	0	3	11	13	2	0	2	59
Grade 2	14	18	7	1	0	16	16	2	0	0	74
Grade 3	21	15	3	1	1	18	18	7	1	3	88
Grade 4	19	11	4	1	0	10	15	9	0	0	69
Grade 5	19	16	8	0	3	15	14	5	0	0	80
TOTAL	97	88	28	4	8	78	95	30	1	6	435

Note: Counts of students refer to the 20th day of the school year.

Students Identified for Special Programs as of October 2001

Academically Gifted: 59

Special Education (not AG): 72

ESL (English as a Second Language): 0

	2001-02	2000-01	1999-00	1998-99
Total Membership at End of First 20 Days	435	456	430	432
Percent Receiving Free/Reduced Lunch	29%	25%	21%	26%
Average Daily Attendance (calc. at end of school year)	97.0%	95.8%	96.2%	96.8%

2001-02 Student Mobility

Student Mobility measures describe how much students move into, out of, and between schools. Mobility can impact classroom planning and student performance when students leave and are replaced by other students whose needs must be assessed.

One measure of mobility is "stability"-- the percentage of students at a school at the end of the year who were continually enrolled in the school since the first week. The higher the percentage, the more "stable" the student population has been.

Another measure of mobility is "turbulence"-- the movement in and out of a school during the year after the first 20 days. This is calculated by adding all entries and withdrawals and then dividing by the cumulative enrollment (all students who enter the school during the year). A lower percentage shows less mobility.

Stability:

This school in 2001-02: 96%

All elementary schools in 2001-02: 92%

Turbulence:

This school in 2001-02: 8%

All elementary schools in 2001-02: 17%

11/20/2002 Page 2 of 6

416

School Effectiveness Over Time

The Effectiveness Index is a method for comparing the achievement of students in a particular school with the achievement of similar students across the entire school district. Variables considered in the model include the prior year's achievement scores for each student, each student's special education status, and two measures of socioeconomic status. Achievement test scale scores are analyzed for all of the students in a school who take an end-of-year test, and schoolwide performance is summarized by comparing how students in that school perform to how all similar students perform across the school district. If schoolwide performance is similar to what occurs districtwide in 75-80% of schools, the category is labeled "Expected." If performance varies significantly, the category is labeled "Below" or "Above" to indicate that achievement on end-of-year tests was below or above what might be expected based upon the characteristics of the students in the school. The indices are based upon North Carolina End-of-Grade Tests administered in grades 3-8.

Measuring This School's EOG Achievement Against Similar Students Across Wake County

	2001-02		2000	2000-01		-00
	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math
3rd Grade	Expected	Expected	Expected	Below	Expected	Below
4th Grade	Expected	Below	Below	Below	Below	Below
5th Grade	Below	Below	Below	Below	Expected	Below

Results from the North Carolina ABC Accountability Program

In 1996, the State Board of Education was given the authority to implement a plan that sets student achievement goals for every North Carolina school. The "ABC" Plan sets school level standards for students' growth and performance in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics. All elementary and middle schools in the state are evaluated annually on the results of the statewide End-of-Grade (EOG) Reading and Mathematics Tests. Growth standards ("Expected" and "High") were set by a formula developed for the State Board of Education.

Performance: The "Composite Performance" was defined in 2002 as the percentage of EOG tests and alternative assessments administered in the school on which students achieved scores equal to or greater than established grade level standards. Schools with more than 80% of test scores at or above grade level were designated as "Schools of Distinction," and schools with more than 90% of test scores at or above grade level were designated as "Schools of Excellence" by the State Board of Education if the school achieved Expected or High growth.

2001-02
2000-01
1999-00

The Composite Performance scores for this school: 88.0% 79.8% 72.9%

Growth: The Expected and High Growth targets for every school were based upon EOG pretests administered at the beginning of third grade or the end of the previous school year for students in grades 4-8. Average student growth was calculated and compared to the standards. Prior to 2002, "High Growth" was called "Exemplary Growth" by the State Board of Education.

In 2001-02 this school: **Did Not Meet Expected Growth Standard**

In 2000-01 this school: **Did not meet the expected growth standard**

In 1999-00 this school: Met the expected growth standard

11/20/2002 Page 3 of 6

416

Writing Test Results

The North Carolina Writing Test was administered in grades 4 and 7 in 2002. However, after tests were scored by an independent contractor, the State Board of Education determined the results were invalid and would not be used in the accountability program for the 2001-02 school year. Charts comparing writing results over time are not included in this volume of School Profiles because of the State Board's decision. A new writing test with a more detailed scoring system will be piloted statewide in North Carolina during the 2002-03 school year.

End-Of-Grade Test Results

End-of-Grade tests are given statewide in North Carolina at the end of grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. EOG tests use a multiple-choice format and measure Reading and Mathematics skills, using multiple forms of the test in each classroom. Results are reported as scale scores that can range from approximately 100 to 200 across the six grade levels. Progress is measured from year to year as scores move up the scale. The following table and figure show the mean (average) scale scores for students in this school for the past three years. Reading across a line compares the performance of three different cohorts of students at a grade level for three years. Reading diagonally (as indicated by the arrows) follows a cohort of students across years.

A new set of EOG mathematics tests were administered in the 2000-2001 school year. The North Carolina Dept. of Public Instruction (NCDPI) used a new scale ranging from 200 to 300 for these tests.

		Reading]	Mathematic	es
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
9	148.6	150.3	150.7	143.7	252.1	253.1
•	151.6	154.7	154.7	152.8	257.7	258.0
;	156.6	158.8	159.6	158.3	260.3	263.6

11/20/2002 Page 4 of 6

416

In August, 1998, the WCPSS Board of Education adopted the following goal for the school system:

"The Wake County Public School System is committed to academic excellence. By 2003, 95% of students tested will be at or above grade level as measured by NC EOG testing at grades 3 and 8."


The progress of students in this school toward the systemwide goal is shown in the following figures. The tables report the percentage of students whose scale score on EOG tests was at or above grade level. The graphs compare the May 2002 percentages of the school (bars) and the system (dots) for each subgroup. If a subgroup has fewer than 10 students, the percentage is blank and the bar is missing on the graph.

Percentage of Students in Levels III or IV by Race and by Gender Reading Mathematics

	Reading						
	Al	l Stude	nts	White Students			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
3rd	80	82	87	97	100	100	
4th	74	88	87	84	100	100	
5th	75	85	94	96	94	100	
•	Black Students			Other Students			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
3rd	52	52	67	100	100	100	
4th	36	64	63	94	100	92	
5th	31	61	79		100	100	
		Males		Females			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
3rd	76	83	91	86	82	85	
4th	74	82	87	73	97	87	
5th	76	86	95	73	84	93	

			_			
All Students White Students				lents		
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
73	77	79	93	100	100	
77	87	92	91	97	100	
73	73	93	93	95	100	
Blac	Black Students C			Other Students		
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
37	36	50	100	100	93	
39	64	74	94	100	100	
31	30	75		100	100	
Males]	Female	S	
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
68	83	82	79	71	77	
75	83	90	81	93	93	
74	70	90	73	78	97	
	2000 73 77 73 Blace 2000 37 39 31 2000 68 75	2000 2001 73 77 77 87 73 73 Black Stud 2000 2001 37 36 39 64 31 30 Males 2000 2001 68 83 75 83	2000 2001 2002 73 77 79 77 87 92 73 73 93 Black Students 2000 2001 2002 37 36 50 39 64 74 31 30 75 Males 2000 2001 2002 68 83 82 75 83 90	2000 2001 2002 2000 73 77 79 93 77 87 92 91 73 73 93 93 Black Students Oth 2000 2001 2002 2000 37 36 50 100 39 64 74 94 31 30 75 Males 2000 2001 2002 2000 68 83 82 79 75 83 90 81	2000 2001 2002 2000 2001 73 77 79 93 100 77 87 92 91 97 73 73 93 93 95 Black Students Other Students 2000 2001 2002 2000 2001 37 36 50 100 100 39 64 74 94 100 31 30 75 100 Males Female 2000 2001 2002 68 83 82 79 71 75 83 90 81 93	

2001-02 Percentage of Students in Levels III or IV by Race and by Gender



11/20/2002 Page 5 of 6

416

WCPSS Survey Results

During the 1990s WCPSS conducted periodic surveys of parents and high school students. Beginning with the 2000-01 school year, the district is surveying samples of parents and students annually at all schools. Some of the items on the surveys will be kept constant over time so that schools can measure improvement. The following two tables show the percentage of respondents "agreeing" or "strongly agreeing" with selected statements from the surveys. When comparing percentages across years, note that the 2001 surveys included an option to mark "Uncertain." This option was not included in the 2002 surveys.

Parent Survey Results (Approximately 150 parents surveyed.)	2002	2001
My child's school is a safe place to learn.	90.0 %	83.3 %
My child's school provides a high quality educational program.	89.7 %	77.6 %
My child is given challenging work in all classes.	76.9 %	71.6 %
If I call the school, I receive courteous attention.	96.2 %	91.0 %
Students at my child's school are well behaved overall.	76.3 %	62.1 %
The rules of this school are fair.	85.9 %	80.6 %
Teachers in this school really seem to care about the students.	89.9 %	73.1 %
This school promotes understanding among students from various backgrounds.	92.0 %	N/A
The percentage of Parents returning the survey at this school was:	58%	71%

Student Survey Results (Approximately 150 students surveyed.)	2002	2001
This school is a safe place to learn.	86.9 %	72.2 %
The students at this school get along with each other.	48.1 %	6.3 %
The rules of this school are fair.	72.1 %	72.6 %
It is easy to get help from the adults in this school.	76.2 %	61.5 %
I like my school.	88.4 %	N/A

This table compares the percentage of Parents and Students rating this school in 2002 as "good" or "excellent" on helping students learn certain skills.

in 2002 as "good" or "excellent" on helping students learn certain skills.	Parents	Students
Reading Skills	75.0 %	83.7 %
Writing Skills	77.5 %	79.1 %
Math Skills	81.3 %	89.0 %
Social Studies Skills	79.7 %	77.3 %
Science Skills	83.5 %	87.5 %

11/20/2002 Page 6 of 6