

### **School Profiles**

# for the 2002-03 School Year

### Middle Creek High

School ID Number: 495

123 Middle Creek Park Avenuenue Apex, NC 27539

Phone: (919) 773-3838 Fax: (919) 773-3880

**Current Principal:** John Williams

This profile contains information from the 2002-03 school year describing the school environment, student population, and accountability program results. Current information may be obtained by contacting the school.

.....

2002-03 Principal: John Williams Grade Levels Served: 9-12 Calendar: Traditional

#### **Facility Data**

Original Construction Date: Most Recent Renovation:

**Building Square Footage:** 


Size of Property (acres):

**Permanent Classrooms:** 

**Portable Classrooms:** 

Campus Capacity: 42%

(See the introduction to the profiles for further explanation of items in this report.)



#### Mission Statement/Program Description

The mission of Middle Creek High School is to create a youth center community for the purpose of engaging students in individual acts of discovery so that they may prosper in an ever changing society.

NOTE: Middle Creek High School opened in 2002-03. Therefore many of the cells in tables on the following pages will be blank.

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 1 of 8

#### Fall 2002-03 Student Population Characteristics

		Male							Female				1 otal
	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Multi	AmInd	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Multi	AmInd	
Grade 9	161	60	1	8	7	0	157	52	2	10	1	1	460
Grade 10	94	36	1	4	1	1	80	32	1	3	0	0	253
Grade 11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	255	96	2	12	8	1	237	84	3	13	1	1	713

Note: Counts of students refer to the membership on the 20th day of the school year. Membership means the number of students being served in a school at a particular time.

#### **Students Identified for Special Programs**

	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Academically Gifted				100
Students with Disabilities	N/A			127
Limited English Proficiency	N/A			0
	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Total Membership at End of First 20 Days	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	<b>2002-03</b> 713
Total Membership at End of First 20 Days  Percent Receiving Free/Reduced Lunch	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	1

#### **Student Mobility**

Student Mobility describes how much students move into, out of, and between schools. Mobility can impact classroom planning and student performance when students leave and are replaced by other students whose needs must be assessed.

One measure of mobility is "stability"-- the percentage of students at a school at the end of the year who were continually enrolled in the school since the first week. The higher the percentage, the more "stable" the student population has been.

Another measure of mobility is "turbulence"— the movement in and out of a school during the year after the first 20 days. This is calculated by adding all entries and withdrawals and then dividing by the cumulative enrollment (all students who enter the school during the year). The lower the percentage, the lower the impact of entries and withdrawals on school operation.

#### **Stability:**

This school in 2002-03: **92%** All High schools in 2002-0 95%

Turbulence: Not Yet Available

This school in 2002-03: All high schools in 2001-02

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 2 of 8

#### Results from the North Carolina ABC Accountability Program

In 1996, the State Board of Education was given the authority to implement a plan which sets student achievement goals for every North Carolina school. The "ABC" Plan for high schools in 2002-03 set goals for student performance in ten courses in which students take End-of-Course (EOC) multiple-choice standardized tests. Two levels of gain ("Expected" and "High") were set by a formula developed for the State Board of Education. The formula generated predicted EOC scale scores for each course at each school based upon the prior achievement of the students in that school. The actual average scores achieved by the students were then compared to the Expected and High target scores. In addition to comparing average EOC scores to predicted target scores, the formulas examined change over time across cohorts of students in dropout rates and the percentage of graduates meeting requirements for entry into the state university system and Tech-Prep programs.

#### **Performance:**

The "Performance Composite" for every high school was defined as the percentage of all EOC tests administered in the school on which students achieved Level III or Level IV scores. (See pages 5 and 6 of this profile.) Schools with 80-89% of test scores at or above Level III were designated as "Schools of Distinction," and schools with 90% or more of test scores at or above Level III were designated as "Schools of Excellence" by the State Board of

	<u>2000-01</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
The Performance Composite score for this school:			84.2%

#### **Growth:**

The differences between the average scores shown above and "Expected" and "High" standards for each course were weighted by course participation and then added together to determine whether or not schools met the state's Growth criteria. (Note: A different set of criteria was used prior to 2000-01and results should not be compared to the results shown below.)

In 2000-01 this school: In 2001-02 this school:

In 2002-03 this school: Met Expected Growth Standard

#### Average EOC Scales Scores in this School in 2002-03

Courses Required Students	for All	Elective Courses			
Algebra 1	62.0	Geometry	65.7		
Biology	61.3	Algebra II	75.1		
ELPS	52.8	Chemistry	70.4		
English I	59.6	Physical Science	55.0		
US History	55.3	Physics			

#### **Other Accountability Measures**

#### **Dropout Rates**

**2000-01: 2001-02: 2002-03:** N/A

The Dropout Rate is finalized several months after the end of the school year. Therefore, the latest rate does not show in this report.

#### **College Preparation:**

The percentage of graduating seniors who successfully completed all courses required for entry into the University of North Carolina system are shown below.

2000: 2001: 2002: 2003:

#### **Tech/Prep Graduates:**

Beginning in 1998-99, WCPSS graduates were identified as "Tech/Prep Completers" if their course of study included specific courses that prepared them for entry into technical jobs. Below are the percentage of graduates completing Tech/Prep requirements at this school.

2001: 2002: 2003:

#### **SAT**

SAT scores are not used when calculating state recognition awards but they are reported as part of the accountability program. The following table shows average SAT scores for students who took the test and indicated that they would graduate at the end of the indicated school year. The participation rate is the percentage of the graduating class that took the test.

Math Verbal Total Participation

2000-01:

2001-02:

2002-03:

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

AYP is based upon a set of performance targets that high schools must achieve each year to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. AYP for high schools is based upon reading and math tests given to all tenth-grade students. The ten student subgroups that must meet prescribed targets in reading and math are: the school as a whole, American Indian, Asian, Black, Hispanic, Multiracial, White, Economically Disadvantaged (FRL students), Limited English Proficient, and Students with Disabilities. Schools are identified as meeting AYP if both reading and math targets are met for all student subgroups comprised of 40 or more students. North Carolina statewide targets in 2003 for tenth-grade were 52.0% in reading and 54.2% in math for grades 3-8.

**For the 2002-03 School Year:** This school DID NOT MEET AYP

It Met: 12 out of 15 targets, or 80% of all its targets.

For more in-depth information on AYP, please see the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction AYP website at: http://ayp.ncpublicschools.org

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 4 of 8

#### **End-of-Course (EOC) Test Results from Courses Required for Graduation**

Proficiency on End-of-Course tests is defined as the percentage of students scoring in Levels III and IV. Test scores for these students indicate that they are well prepared for more advanced coursework in the subject area. The following tables show the number of students taking the tests in courses required for graduation at this school and the percentage of scores in Levels III and IV. If fewer than 10 students are in a group, the entry is blank.

A	algebra 1	All St	udents	Ma	les	Fem	ales	WI	nite	Bla	ack	Otl	ner
	2000-01												
	2001-02	277	0.407	100	920/	107	0.50/	257	000/	0.4	(00/	26	010/
Į	2002-03	377	84%	190	82%	187	85%	257	89%	94	69%	26	81%
В	Biology	All St	udents	Mal	les	Fem	ales	Wl	nite	Bla	ack	Otl	ner
	2000-01												
	2001-02												
	2002-03	222	73%	108	69%	114	76%	169	79%	40	45%	13	77%
E	LP	All St	udents	Ma	les	Fem	ales	WI	nite	RI	ack	Otl	her
ſ	2000-01	7 KH St	udents	17141	ics	Tem	arcs	***	III I	1010	иск	Oti	
	2001-02												
	2002-03	25	48%	11	45%	14	50%	18	61%	5	20%	2	0%
T.													
E	nglish I	All St	udents	Ma	les	Fem	ales	WI	nite	Bl	ack	Otl	ner
	2000-01												
	2001-02												
	2002-03	417	88%	211	84%	206	91%	295	94%	93	67%	29	97%
-													
U	S History	All St	udents	Ma	les	Fem	ales	Wl	nite	Bl	ack	Otl	ner
	2000-01												
	2001-02												
	2002-03	17	47%	12	50%			6	83%	11	27%		

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 5 of 8

#### **EOC Test Results from Five Elective Math and Science Courses**

Students taking five elective math and science courses (Algebra II, Chemisty, Geometry, Physics, and Physical Science) are also required to take End-of-Course tests. As with other EOC tests, scale scores fall into four levels. The following tables show the number of students who took the test and the percentage who achieved a Level III or IV score. If fewer than 10 students are in a group, the entry is blank.

Algebra II	II All Students		All Students Males Females			nales	W	hite	Black		Ot	her
2000-01												
2001-02												
2002-03	56	100%	32	100%	24	100%	53	100%				
Chemistry	All St	udents	Ma	ales	Fem	nales	W	hite	Bla	ıck	Ot	her
2000-01 2001-02												
2002-03	39	97%	32	100%	13	92%	36	100%				
Geometry	All St	udents	Males Fen		Fem	nales	White		Black		Other	
2000-01												
2001-02												
2002-03	216	87%	106	90%	110	85%	162	91%	42	74%	12	75%
Physics	All St	udents	Ma	ales	Fem	ales	W	hite	Bla	ick	Ot	her
2000-01												
2001-02												
2002-03												
Physical Science	All St	udents	Ma	ales	Fem	nales	W	hite	Bla	nck	Ot	her
2000-01												
2001-02												
2002-03	31	52%	20	65%	11	27%	17	71%	14	29%		

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 6 of 8

#### **School Effectiveness Over Time**

The Effectiveness Index is a method for comparing the achievement of students in a particular school with the achievement of similar students across the entire school district. The indices are based upon North Carolina End-of-Course (EOC) Tests administered at the conclusion of each of five Core and five Elective high school courses. Variables considered in the model include EOC test scores for each student, each student's special education status, and two measures of socio-economic status. EOC test scale scores are analyzed for all of the students in a school who take an EOC test, and schoolwide performance is summarized by comparing how students in that school perform to how all similar students perform on that test across the school district. If schoolwide performance is similar to what occurs districtwide in 75-80% of schools, the category is labeled "Expected." If performance varies significantly, the category is labeled "Below" or "Above" to indicate that achievement on end-of-year tests was below or above what might be expected based upon the characteristics of the students in the school.

# A Table Comparing This School's EOC Test ResultsTo Other WCPSS High Schools

2002-03

2001-02

**Core Courses** 

Algebra 1	Expected
Biology	Above
ELP	
English 1	Below
US History	
Elective Courses	
Algebra 2	Expected
Chemistry	Above
Geometry	Expected
Physical Science	
Physics	

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 7 of 8

#### **WCPSS Survey Results**

WCPSS conducts annual surveys of parents and high school students using randomly chosen samples of participants. Some of the items on the surveys are kept constant over time so that schools can measure improvement. The following two tables show the percentage of respondents "agreeing" or "strongly agreeing" with selected statements from the Spring 2002 and the Spring 2003 surveys. Detailed summaries of districtwide responses to all survey questions are available on the Evaluation and Research Department website.

Parent Survey Results	2002	2003
My child's school is a safe place to learn.	%	93.3 %
My child's school provides a high quality educational program.	%	89.2 %
My child is given challenging work in all classes.	%	79.7 %
Students at my child's school are well behaved overall.	%	76.7 %
The rules of this school are fair.	%	90.5 %
Teachers in this school really seem to care about the students.	%	86.5 %
This school promotes understanding among students from various backgrounds	%	81.4 %
The percentage of Parents returning the survey at this school was:		42%

Student Survey Results	2002	2003
This school is a safe place to learn.	%	90.1 %
The students at this school get along with each other.	%	50.3 %
The rules of this school are fair.	%	28.1 %
My school provides many ways to help me learn.	N/A	73.1 %
I like my school.	%	58.5 %

This table compares the percentage of Parents and Students rating this school in 2003 as "good" or "excellent" on helping students learn certain skills.		003 Students
Reading Skills	89.0 %	81.3 %
Writing Skills	86.3 %	84.8 %
Math Skills	77.0 %	71.3 %
Social Studies Skills	83.3 %	78.1 %
Science Skills	90.4 %	75.9 %

В  $\mathbf{C}$ D  $\mathbf{F}$ A Grades given to this school on the 2003 Parent Survey: 29.3% 50.7% 16.0% 4.0% 0.0%Grades given to this school on the 2003 Student Survey: 14.8% 44.4% 25.4% 9.5% 5.9%