

School Profiles

for the 2002-03 School Year

Lacy Elementary

School ID Number: 468

1820 Ridge Road Raleigh, NC 27607

Phone: (919) 881-4920 Fax: (919) 881-1434

Current Principal: Marcia Alford

This profile contains information from the 2002-03 school year describing the school environment, student population, and accountability program results. Current information may be obtained by contacting the school.


2002-03 Principal: Marcia Alford

Grade Levels Served: K-5 **Calendar:** Traditional

Facility Data

Original Construction Date:1953Most Recent Renovation:1997Building Square Footage:69,972Size of Property (acres):12.0Permanent Classrooms:32Portable Classrooms:3Campus Capacity:92%

(See the introduction to the profiles for further explanation of items in this report.)



Mission Statement/Program Description

MISSION STATEMENT

Frances Lacy Elementary School will inspire and prepare students to achieve their potential.

STATEMENT OF BELIEFS

- 1. Student' best interests, staff observations, and data should guide decision-making at all levels throughout the school community.
- 2. Responsibility for one's actions and respect for authority, others, self, and property should guide behavior.
- 3. Students learn best when home, school, and community work together.
- 4. Staff members should model continuous improvement and lifelong learning.

VISION STATEMENT

At Lacy Elementary School, responsibility for each student's success is shared by students, home, school and community. This shared responsibility ensures a safe, nurturing, disciplined, and stimulating environment. Individual differences are appreciated and accepted, which encourages continued growth and learning. Differentiated instruction is an integral part of the instructional program, and targeted staff development enhances the professional growth of all staff members. Lacy is a dynamic place of learning where dialogue among children, staff, parents, and community contributes to academic excellence.

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 1 of 6

Fall 2002-03 Student Population Characteristics

	Male				Female				1 otai				
	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Multi	AmInd	White	Black	Asian	Hisp	Multi	AmInd	
Kindergarten	20	13	3	0	3	0	32	12	1	0	1	0	85
Grade 1	30	8	2	1	3	0	25	13	1	0	1	0	84
Grade 2	35	15	2	0	1	0	15	9	3	0	0	0	80
Grade 3	21	8	1	0	2	0	25	8	1	0	0	0	66
Grade 4	27	5	3	0	0	0	32	4	2	0	0	0	73
Grade 5	29	9	1	0	0	0	32	4	4	0	0	0	79
TOTAL	162	58	12	1	9	0	161	50	12	0	2	0	467

Note: Counts of students refer to the membership on the 20th day of the school year. Membership means the number of students being served in a school at a particular time.

Students Identified for Special Programs

	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Academically Gifted	72	66	68	69
Students with Disabilities	N/A	97	83	74
Limited English Proficiency	N/A	0	0	0
	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Total Membership at End of First 20 Days	563	542	502	467
Percent Receiving Free/Reduced Lunch	33%	33%	30%	25%

Student Mobility

Student Mobility describes how much students move into, out of, and between schools. Mobility can impact classroom planning and student performance when students leave and are replaced by other students whose needs must be assessed.

One measure of mobility is "stability"-- the percentage of students at a school at the end of the year who were continually enrolled in the school since the first week. The higher the percentage, the more "stable" the student population has been.

Average Daily Attendance (calc. at end of school year)

Another measure of mobility is "turbulence"— the movement in and out of a school during the year after the first 20 days. This is calculated by adding all entries and withdrawals and then dividing by the cumulative enrollment (all students who enter the school during the year). The lower the percentage, the lower the impact of entries and withdrawals on school operation.

Stability:

95.4%

This school in 2002-03: 94%

All elementary schools in 2002-03: 91%

95.2%

95.8%

96.5%

Total

Turbulence: Not Yet Available

This school in 2002-03:

All elementary schools in 2001-02:

2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 2 of 6

School Effectiveness Over Time

The Effectiveness Index is a method for comparing the achievement of students in a particular school with the achievement of similar students across the entire school district. Variables considered in the model include the prior year's achievement scores for each student, each student's special education status, and two measures of socio-economic status. Achievement test scale scores are analyzed for all of the students in a school who take an end-of-year test, and schoolwide performance is summarized by examining how students in that school perform compared to how all similar students perform across the school district. If schoolwide performance is similar to what occurs districtwide in 75-80% of schools, the category is labeled "Expected." If performance varies significantly, the category is labeled "Below" or "Above" to indicate that achievement on end-of-year tests was below or above what might be expected based upon the characteristics of the students in the school. The indices are based upon North Carolina End-of-Grade Tests administered in grades 3-8.

Measuring This School's EOG Achievement Against Similar Students Across Wake County

	2000	2000-01		-02	2002-03		
	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	Reading	Math	
3rd Grade	Expected	Above	Above	Above	Expected	Above	
4th Grade	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	Expected	
5th Grade	Expected	Expected	Above	Expected	Expected	Below	

Results from the North Carolina ABC Accountability Program

In 1996, the State Board of Education was given the authority to implement a plan that sets student achievement goals for every North Carolina school. The "ABC" Plan sets school level standards for students' growth and performance in Reading, Writing, and Mathematics. All elementary and middle schools in the state are evaluated annually on the results of the statewide End-of-Grade (EOG) Reading and Mathematics Tests. Growth standards ("Expected" and "High") were set by a formula developed for the State Board of Education.

Performance: The "Performance Composite" was defined in 2002 as the percentage of EOG tests and alternative assessments administered in the school on which students achieved scores equal to or greater than established grade-level standards. Schools with more than 80% of test scores at or above grade-level were designated as "Schools of Distinction," and schools with more than 90% of test scores at or above grade-level were designated as "Schools of Excellence" by the State Board of Education if the school achieved Expected or High growth.

	<u>2000-01</u>	<u>2001-02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
The Performance Composite scores for this school:	86.6%	91.0%	93.3%

<u>Growth:</u> The Expected and High Growth targets for every school were based upon EOG pretests administered at the beginning of third grade or the end of the previous school year for students in grades 4-8. Average student growth was calculated and compared to the standards. Prior to 2002, "High Growth" was called "Exemplary Growth" by the State Board of Education.

In 2000-01 this school: Met High Growth Standard
In 2001-02 this school: Met High Growth Standard
In 2002-03 this school: Met High Growth Standard

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

AYP is based upon a set of performance targets that schools must achieve each year to meet the requirements of the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. The ten student subgroups that must meet prescribed targets in reading and math are: the school as a whole, American Indian, Asian, Black, Hispanic, Multiracial, White, Economically Disadvantaged (FRL students), Limited English Proficient, and Students with Disabilities. Schools are identified as meeting AYP if both reading and math targets are met for all student subgroups comprised of 40 or more students. North Carolina statewide targets in 2003 were 68.9% in reading and 74.6% in math for grades 3-8

For the 2002-03 School Year: This school MET AYP

It Met: 15 out of 15 targets, or 100% of all its targets.

For more in-depth information on AYP, please see the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction AYP website at: http://ayp.ncpublicschools.org

Writing Test Results

The design of the North Carolina Writing Test was changed in 2002 and was given as a pilot test in grades 4, 7, and 10 in the winter of 2003. No summary reports are available. The revised tests will be administered in 2004 using a new scoring system.

End-Of-Grade Test Results

End-of-Grade tests are given statewide in North Carolina at the end of grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. EOG tests use a multiple-choice format and measure Reading and Mathematics skills, using multiple forms of the test in each classroom. Results are reported as scale scores that can range from approximately 200 to 300 across the six grade levels. (Note: Reading scale scores prior to 2003 ranged from 100 to 200.) Progress is measured from year to year as scores move up the scale. The following table shows the mean (average) scale scores for students in this school for the past three years. Reading across a line compares the performance of three different cohorts of students at a grade level for three years. Reading diagonally (as indicated by the arrows) follows a cohort of students across years.

		Reading			Mathematic	es	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
)	150.4	153.0	252.3	256.2	258.7	258.7	
•	154.4	156.5	257.3	259.3	262.9	263.6	
;	161.8	161.7	262.6	267.9	266.9	267.1	

In August, 1998, the WCPSS Board of Education adopted the following goal for the school system:


"The Wake County Public School System is committed to academic excellence. By 2003, 95% of students tested will be at or above grade level as measured by NC EOG testing at grades 3 and 8."


The progress of students in this school toward the systemwide goal is shown in the following figures. The tables report the percentage of students whose scale score on EOG tests was at or above grade level. The graphs compare the May 2003 percentages of the school (bars) and the system (dots) for each subgroup. If a subgroup has fewer than 10 students, the percentage is blank and the bar is missing on the graph.

Percentage of Students Scoring At or Above Grade Level By Race and By Gender Reading Mathematics

		IXC	uing				
Al	l Stude	nts	Whi	White Students			
2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003		
79	85	94	93	95	100		
81	95	89	97	98	97		
95	94	99	98	98	98		
Blac	ek Stud	ents	Oth	er Stud	ents		
2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003		
48	40	69					
40	80						
85	71						
	Males]	Female	s		
2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003		
78	82	87	80	88	100		
77	97	84	83	93	95		
97	96	100	94	93	97		
	2001 79 81 95 Blace 2001 48 40 85 2001 78 77	2001 2002 79 85 81 95 95 94 Black Stud 2001 2002 48 40 40 80 85 71 Males 2001 2002 78 82 77 97	All Stude-IIS 2001 2002 2003 79 85 94 81 95 89 95 94 99 Black Students 2001 2002 2003 48 40 69 40 80 8 85 71 71 2001 2002 2003 78 82 87 77 97 84	2001 2002 2003 2001 79 85 94 93 81 95 89 97 95 94 99 98 Black Students Oth 2001 2002 2003 2001 48 40 69 40 80 85 71 71 71 72 2003 2001 78 82 87 80 83 83 83 83	All Students White Students 2001 2002 2003 2001 2002 79 85 94 93 95 81 95 89 97 98 95 94 99 98 98 Black Students 2001 2002 2003 2001 2002 48 40 69 69 40 80 85 71 7 7 2002 2003 2001 2002 2002 2001 2002 2002 2001 2002 2002 2003 2001 2002 2002 2003 2001 2002 2002 2003 2001 2002 2002 2003 2001 2002 2003 2001 2002 2003 2001 2002 2003 2001 2002 2003 2001 2002 2003 2001 2002 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2004 2003 2003		

			Mathe	ematics			
	Al	l Stude	nts	Whi	te Stud	lents	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
3rd	92	90	96	98	100	100	
4th	88	98	89	100	98	97	
5th	99	99	100	100	100	100	
	Blac	ek Stud	ents	Oth	er Stud	lents	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
3rd	78	47	77				
4th	56	93					
5th	92	93					
		Males]	Female	s	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
3rd	95	84	90	89	95	100	
4th	90	100	84	88	95	95	
5th	100	100	100	98	98	100	
				•			_





2002-03 School Year Profiles Page 5 of 6

WCPSS Survey Results

WCPSS conducts annual surveys of parents and high school students using randomly chosen samples of participants. Some of the items on the surveys are kept constant over time so that schools can measure improvement. The following two tables show the percentage of respondents "agreeing" or "strongly agreeing" with selected statements from the Spring 2002 and the Spring 2003 surveys. Detailed summaries of districtwide responses to all survey questions are available on the Evaluation and Research Department website.

Parent Survey Results	2002	2003
My child's school is a safe place to learn.	100.0%	100.0%
My child's school provides a high quality educational program.	98.3 %	96.3 %
My child is given challenging work in all classes.	93.1 %	97.5 %
Students at my child's school are well behaved overall.	96.5 %	96.2 %
The rules of this school are fair.	98.3 %	98.8 %
Teachers in this school really seem to care about the students.	98.3 %	97.5 %
This school promotes understanding among students from various backgrounds	94.6 %	93.8 %
The percentage of Parents returning the survey at this school was:	43%	44%
Student Survey Results	2002	2003
This school is a safe place to learn.	98.4 %	100.0%
The students at this school get along with each other.	70.4 %	79.1 %
The rules of this school are fair.	95.2 %	97.7 %
My school provides many ways to help me learn.	N/A	96.9 %
I like my school.	95.2 %	96.1 %
This table compares the percentage of Parents and Students rating this school in 2003 as "good" or "excellent" on helping students learn certain skills.	20 Parents)03 Student
Reading Skills	95.0 %	96.0 %
Writing Skills	96.3 %	86.7 %
Math Skills	92.5 %	96.8 %
Social Studies Skills	92.0 %	92.1 %
Science Skills	86.8 %	84.3 %
A B 80.0% 17.5%	C 0.0%	D 2.5%

66.9%

5.5%

25.2%

0.8%

Grades given to this school on the 2003 Student Survey:

1.6%