### **Course Evaluation Summary WangS ECON10102 F2016**

#### For me this course was a

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1.50	
Requirement	13	41.94		
Elective	13	41.94		
No Response	5	16.13		

#### Cohort

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 1.37
First-year	23	74.19	
Sophomore	5	16.13	
Junior	0	0.00	
Senior	2	6.45	
Visiting	0	0.00	
No Response	1	3.23	

#### Gained a deeper understanding of the subject

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.35
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	20	64.52	
Strongly Agree	11	35.48	

### Gained ability to think critically about course subject matter

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.26
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	3.23	
Agree	21	67.74	
Strongly Agree	9	29.03	

#### Gained a new or increased interest in this subject

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.26
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	2	6.45	
Agree	19	61.29	
Strongly Agree	10	32.26	

### Impr ability to consider varying perspectives or approaches

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.06
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	4	12.90	
Agree	21	67.74	
Strongly Agree	6	19.35	

### Impr ability to apply skills required for the course

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.35
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree		г	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	20	64.52	
Strongly Agree	11	35.48	

### Impr ability to think independently and creatively

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.84
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
Disagree	1	3.23	
Neutral	6	19.35	
Agree	21	67.74	
Strongly Agree	3	9.68	

### Impr ability to think collaboratively

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.68
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
Disagree	1	3.23	
Neutral	12	38.71	
Agree	14	45.16	
Strongly Agree	4	12.90	

### Impr ability to express my ideas effectively

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.81
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	3.23	
Neutral	8	25.81	
Agree	18	58.06	
Strongly Agree	4	12.90	

### Course goals and requirements were clearly explained

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.29
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	3.23	
Agree	20	64.52	
Strongly Agree	10	32.26	

#### The course was appropriately challenging

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.06
Strongly	1	3.23	
Disagree			
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	2	6.45	
Agree	21	67.74	
Strongly Agree	7	22.58	

#### Course materials were effective

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.23
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	2	6.45	
Agree	20	64.52	
Strongly Agree	9	29.03	

### Class time was organized and used effectively

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.29
Strongly	0	0.00	
Disagree			
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	3	9.68	
Agree	16	51.61	
Strongly Agree	12	38.71	

### Projects and assignments contributed significantly to learning

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.10
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	3.23	
Neutral	5	16.13	
Agree	15	48.39	
Strongly Agree	10	32.26	
No Response	0	0.00	

### Students' ideas and contributions were encouraged

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.37
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	3.23	
Agree	17	54.84	
Strongly Agree	12	38.71	
No Response	1	3.23	

#### Mv work was evaluated fairly

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.39
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	3.23	
Agree	17	54.84	
Strongly Agree	13	41.94	
Invalid	0	0.00	

### The instructor gave me timely feedback on my work

			•
Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.40
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	2	6.45	
Agree	14	45.16	
Strongly Agree	14	45.16	
Invalid	1	3.23	

# The instructor made helpful suggestions for improvement

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.23
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	3.23	
Neutral	3	9.68	
Agree	15	48.39	
Strongly Agree	12	38.71	

## The instructor was available during office hours and for appts

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.39
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	3.23	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	16	51.61	
Strongly Agree	14	45.16	

### The teaching techniques were effective in helping me learn

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.43
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	1	3.23	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	14	45.16	
Strongly Agree	15	48.39	
No Response	1	3.23	

### Labs contributed significantly to my learning

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.00
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	1	3.23	
Agree	0	0.00	
Strongly Agree	0	0.00	
No Response	30	96.77	

### Overall, this course was valuable to my academic/personal growth

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.48
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	0	0.00	
Agree	16	51.61	
Strongly Agree	15	48.39	

### Overall, this course was

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.39
Poor	0	0.00	
Fair	0	0.00	
Good	3	9.68	
Very Good	13	41.94	
Excellent	15	48.39	

## Service-Learning contributed significantly to my learning

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 3.33
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	2	6.45	
Agree	1	3.23	
Strongly Agree	0	0.00	
No Response	28	90.32	

### Overall, I put considerable effort into this course

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.29
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00	
Disagree	0	0.00	
Neutral	2	6.45	
Agree	18	58.06	
Strongly Agree	11	35.48	
No Response	0	0.00	

### Overall, this instructor's teaching was

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.52
Poor	0	0.00	
Fair	1	3.23	
Good	2	6.45	
Very Good	8	25.81	
Excellent	20	64.52	