workflow for myama

```
library(mvama)
set.seed(12)
```

Set up simulated data

We have a small 3 group problem with 5 variables and 150 samples

generate mean matrix

```
a<-as.numeric(gl(3,50,150))
```

The three groups are observations 1-50, 51-100, and 101-150

```
b<-c(0,1,0,1,1)
M<-outer(a,b,"*")
```

variables 2,4 and 5 have nonzero and unequal group means

the design matrix

```
D<-matrix(0,nrow=150,ncol=3)
D[1:50,1]<-1
D[51:100,2]<-1
D[101:150,3]<-1
```

contrast matrix

for testing equality of group 2 and 1 and group 3 and group 1 means

```
C<-matrix(c(1,-1,0,1,0,-1)/2^0.5,ncol=2)
```

get estimated sigma inverse

this will typically be obtained by using the package sparse.inv.cov but we make one up here for illustrative purposes.

```
sinv<-diag(5)/2
sinv[1,2]=sinv[2,3]=sinv[4,5]=0.1
sinv<-sinv+t(sinv)
sinv<-as(sinv,"dsCMatrix")</pre>
```

generate data

```
with this mean and (inverse) covariance structure
```

```
res<-Simulate(sigma.inv=sinv,M=M,nsamp=150,nsim=1,seed=123)
X<-res$data[[1]]
```

define gene names for graphs later

```
gn<-c("a","b","c","d","e")
colnames(sinv)<-gn</pre>
```

get sinv

If we know the pattern of zeroes a relatively fast estimate of sigma.inv can be computed from the data by using

```
a<-(sinv!=0)*1 # known pattern say
a<-as(a,"dsCMatrix")
R<-lm(X~D)$residuals
sinv1<-sigma.inv.approx(R,a,symmetric=TRUE)</pre>
```

symmetric=FALSE might be useful also.

In this example we use the theoretical known value of sigma inverse. To do both steps of finding the zero pattern and estimating the inverse covariance matrix see the R library sparse.inv.cov available at www.bioinformatics.csiro.au/sparse.inv.cov/index.shtml

2. Generate Null distributions by permutation

D is the class indicator matrix (the target) 150 by 3 (n r) X is the data 150 by 5 (n p) C is the contrast matrix 3 by 2 (r s)

```
res<-perms(C,X,D,nperm=200,seed=123)

#check some components
length(res$CTB)
dim(res$CTB[[1]])
#observed contrast values
res$ctb0
# Get summaries of permutation distributions (pval=.05) for later testing
permSummary<-perm.summary(res,sigma.inv=sinv,pval=.05,nval=NULL,double.sided=TRUE,tails=FALSE)</pre>
```

 than nval is computed from the TOTAL number of permutations, and pval is the same for both runs. The seeds must be different for the different runs!! on processor 1 say

```
nval<-trunc(200*.05/2+0.5)+2
res1<-perms(C,X,D,nperm=100,seed=123)
a1<-perm.summary(res1,sigma.inv=sinv,pval=.05,nval=nval,double.sided=TRUE,
tails=TRUE)
save(a1,file="perm1.RData")

on processor 2
nval<-trunc(200*.05/2+0.5)+2
res2<-perms(C,X,D,nperm=100,seed=456)
a2<-perm.summary(res2,sigma.inv=sinv,pval=.05,nval=nval,double.sided=TRUE,
tails=TRUE)
save(a2,file="perm2.RData")

start R in the directory with perm1.RData and perm2.RData</pre>
```

```
a<-list()
load("perm1.RData")
a[[1]]<-a1
load(perm2.RData)
a[[2]]<-a2
combine the results
permSummary<-combine.quantiles(a)</pre>
```

Note - results from the two methods above will not be identical because the set of permutations produced is not the same (different seed(s) are used in the second method). This is less noticeable with large numbers of permutations. In practice the number of permutations needs to be much larger than used in this toy example.

3. Significance tests

Get observed test statistic values

```
stat<-get.statistics(permSummary,sinv)</pre>
```

4. Perform significance tests

```
first do overall tests for contrasts
to<- significance.tests(stat,permSummary, type = c("T"), top = 3, gn)</pre>
```

then test for contributions of individual genes

```
tc<- significance.tests(stat,permSummary, type = c("cT"), top = 3, gn)</pre>
```

5. Plot graphs of interest

plot components of T to identify possible interesting connections

```
q<-permSummary$Tcq[[1]] # quantiles of NULL distribution of components of T v<-stat$cT[,1] # observed components of T for contrast 1
```

```
## plot components of T (black) and significance levels (in red)
plot(q[1,],ty="1",lty=3,col=2,ylim=c(-.1,1.1),ylab="cT value",xlab="variable")
lines(q[5,],col=2,lty=3)
lines(v)
points(v)

## as expected variables 2, 4 and 5 stand out

## break down further into differential expression
tde<- significance.tests(stat,permSummary, type = c("de"), top = 3, gn)
tde[[1]]$topids #ids of top differentially expressed genes for contrast 1
tde[[1]]$allids # ids of all differentially expressed genes for contrast 1

## and differential connection
tdc<- significance.tests(stat,permSummary, type = c("dc"), top = 3, gn)
tdc[[2]]$topids #ids of top differentially connected genes for contrast 2
tdc[[2]]$allids # ids of all differentially connected genes for contrast 2</pre>
```

For this artificial example all genes are known to be differentially connected. Detection depends on the noise level and observed values of the neighbour corrected contrasts.

make graph object and plot colour with differentially expressed genes for contrast 1

```
k<-tde[[1]]$allids
de < -rep(0,5)
de[k]<-1
g<-make.graph(sinv,gn=gn,, ide=de)
# plot
netplot(g,vertex.label.color="black")
# warning - do not attempt to plot a very large graph
# find neighbourhoods of size one of each of the genes b and d and plot them
resp<-graph.neighb(g,order=1, c("b","d"))
# plot neighbourhood of gene b
netplot(resp[[1]])
#plot neighbourhood of gene d
netplot(resp[[2]])
# do a prediction plot for gene b
prediction.plot(gene.id="c",sinv,obj=res,contrast=1)
# find clusters of the subgraph restricted to nodes "a", "b", "d", "e".
# for real data more interesting for subgraph defined by differentially expressed genes
resc<-find.clusters(g, c("a", "b", "d", "e"))
resc$csize # cluster sizes
resc$cno # number of clusters
#look at results
netplot(g) # plot original graph
netplot(resc$gs) # plot subgraph
netplot(resc$clust.list[[1]]) # plot cluster 1 graph
netplot(resc$clust.list[[2]]) # plot cluster 2 graph
# get paths from a to d,e
resg<-get.paths(g,from="a",to=c("d","e"))
# print out paths
resg
```