CIS 520, Machine Learning, Fall 2018: Assignment 1

Shubhankar Patankar

September 16, 2018

Collaborators:

1 Conditional independence in probability models

- 1. $p(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j(x_i) \pi_j$
- 2. The formula for $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ can be derived as follows: Assuming x_1, \ldots, x_n are independent,

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{m=1}^n p(x_m)$$

$$\therefore p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{m=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^k f_j(x_m) \pi_j \right)$$

3. The formula for $p(z_u = v \mid x_1, \dots, x_n)$ can be derived as follows: It is known that $p(x_u \mid z_u = v) = f_v(x_u)$. Therefore, the probability of the *u*-th data point $p(x_u)$ can be uniquely determined given the knowledge that it is generated by function v. Similarly, the probability that the *u*-th data point is generated by the *v*-th function is dependent solely on the knowledge of the data point x_u itself, not the entire data set.

$$\therefore p(z_n = v \mid x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(z_n = v \mid x_n)$$

Using Bayes Rule,

$$p(z_u = v \mid x_u) = \frac{p(x_u \mid z_u = v)p(z_u = v)}{p(x_u)}$$

$$\therefore p(z_u = v \mid x_u) = \frac{f_v(x_u)\pi_v}{\sum_{j=1}^k f_j(x_u)\pi_j}$$

Alternatively,

$$p(z_{u} = v \mid x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) = \frac{p(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n} \mid z_{u} = v)p(z_{u} = v)}{p(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n})}$$

$$p(z_{u} = v \mid x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) = \frac{p(x_{u} \mid z_{u} = v)p(z_{u} = v) \left[\prod_{i=1, i \neq u}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(x_{i})\pi_{j}\right)\right]}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(x_{u})\pi_{j} \left[\prod_{i=1, i \neq u}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(x_{i})\pi_{j}\right)\right]}$$

$$\therefore p(z_{u} = v \mid x_{u}) = \frac{f_{v}(x_{u})\pi_{v}}{\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(x_{u})\pi_{j}}$$

2 Non-Normal Norms


1. For the given vectors, the point closest to x_1 under each of the following norms is


$$||x_1 - x_2|| = [0.1, -0.6, -0.3, -0.4]$$


$$||x_1 - x_3|| = [0.2, -0.9, 0.1, 0]$$


$$||x_1 - x_4|| = [0, 2.6, 0, 0.9]$$

- a) L_0 : x_4 with distance = 2
- b) L_1 : x_3 with distance = 1.2
- c) L_2 : x_2 with distance = 0.787
- d) L_{inf} : x_2 with distance = 0.6
- 2. Draw the 1-Nearest Neighbor decision boundaries with the given norms and lightly shade the o region: In all figures below, blue refers to 'x' and yellow to 'o'. For the L-0 norm, most of the space is unclassifiable with the exception of the lines marked. Points (4,1) and (5,4) are not classifiable. The code used to generate decision boundaries for norms L_1 , L_2 and $L_{\rm inf}$ is included in the Appendix.









3 Decision trees

3.1 Part 1

The sample entropy H(Y) can be written as:

$$H(Y) = -P(Y = +) \log_2(P(Y = +)) - P(Y = -) \log_2(P(Y = -))$$

 $\therefore H(Y) = (16/30) \log_2(16/30) - (14/30) \log_2(14/30) = 0.9968$

The information gains are given by $IG(X_i) = H(Y) - H(Y \mid X_i)$.

$$\therefore H(Y \mid X_1) = P(X_1 = T) \left[-P(Y = + \mid X_1 = T) \log_2(-P(Y = + \mid X_1 = T)) - P(Y = - \mid X_1 = T) \log_2(-P(Y = - \mid X_1 = T)) \right]$$

$$+ P(X_1 = F) \left[-P(Y = + \mid X_1 = F) \log_2(-P(Y = + \mid X_1 = F)) - P(Y = - \mid X_1 = F) \log_2(-P(Y = - \mid X_1 = F)) \right]$$

$$= (13/30) \left[(-6/13) \log_2(6/13) - (7/13) \log_2(7/13) \right]$$

$$+ (17/30) \left[(-10/17) \log_2(10/17) - (7/17) \log_2(7/17) \right]$$

$$= 0.9852$$

$$IG(X_1) = 0.9968 - 0.9852 = 0.0115$$

$$H(Y \mid X_2) = P(X_2 = T) \left[-P(Y = + \mid X_2 = T) \log_2(-P(Y = + \mid X_2 = T)) - P(Y = - \mid X_2 = T) \log_2(-P(Y = - \mid X_2 = T)) \right]$$

$$+ P(X_2 = F) \left[-P(Y = + \mid X_2 = F) \log_2(-P(Y = + \mid X_2 = F)) - P(Y = - \mid X_2 = F) \log_2(-P(Y = - \mid X_2 = F)) \right]$$

$$= (11/30) \left[(-4/11) \log_2(4/11) - (7/11) \log_2(7/11) \right]$$

$$+ (19/30) \left[(-12/19) \log_2(12/19) - (7/19) \log_2(7/19) \right]$$

$$= 0.9480$$

$$\therefore IG(X_2) = 0.9968 - 0.9480 = 0.0488$$




Figure 1: Decision Tree

3.2 Part 2

1. If variables X and Y are independent, is IG(x,y) = 0? If yes, prove it. If no, give a counter example.

$$X \perp Y \implies p(x,y) = p(x)p(y)$$

$$\therefore IG(x,y) = -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log \left(\frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)} \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log \left(\frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x)p(y)} \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log (1) = 0$$

2. Proof that $IG(x,y) = H[x] - H[x \mid y] = H[y] - H[y \mid x]$, starting from the definition in terms of KL-divergence:

$$\begin{split} IG(x,y) &= KL\left(p(x,y)||p(x)p(y)\right) \\ &= -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log \left(\frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x,y)}\right) \\ &= -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \left[\log p(x) + \log p(y) - \log p(x,y)\right] \\ &= -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} \left[p(x,y) \log p(x) + p(x,y) \log p(y) - p(x,y) \log p(x,y)\right] \\ &= -\sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log p(x) - \sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log p(y) + \sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log p(x,y) \\ &= -\sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x) - \sum_{y} p(y) \log p(y) + \sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x,y) \log p(x,y) \\ &= H[x] + H[y] - H[x,y] \\ &= H[x] + H[y] - (H[y \mid x] + H[x]) \\ &= H[y] - H[y \mid x] \\ &= H[x] - H[y \mid x] \end{split}$$

4 High dimensional hi-jinx

1. Intra-class distance.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[(X - X')^2] &= \mathbf{E}[X^2 - 2XX' + X'^2] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X^2] - 2\mathbf{E}[XX'] + \mathbf{E}[X'^2] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X^2] - 2\mathbf{E}[X]\mathbf{E}[X'] + \mathbf{E}[X'^2] \\ &= (\mu_1^2 + \sigma^2) - 2\mu_1^2 + (\mu_1^2 + \sigma^2) \\ &= 2\sigma^2 \end{split}$$

2. Inter-class distance.

$$\mathbf{E}[(X - X')^{2}] = \mathbf{E}[X^{2} - 2XX' + X'^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[X^{2}] - 2\mathbf{E}[XX'] + \mathbf{E}[X'^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[X^{2}] - 2\mathbf{E}[X]\mathbf{E}[X'] + \mathbf{E}[X'^{2}]$$

$$= (\mu_{1}^{2} + \sigma^{2}) - 2\mu_{1}\mu_{2} + (\mu_{2}^{2} + \sigma^{2})$$

$$= \mu_{1}^{2} - 2\mu_{1}\mu_{2} + \mu_{2}^{2} + 2\sigma^{2}$$

$$= (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})^{2} + 2\sigma^{2}$$

3. Intra-class distance, m-dimensions.

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} (X_j - X_j')^2 \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} (X_j^2 - 2X_j X_j' + X_j'^2) \right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j^2 \right] - 2\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j X_j' \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j'^2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j^2] - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j X_j'] + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j'^2]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j^2] - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j] \mathbf{E} [X_j'] + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j'^2]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\mu_{1j}^2 + \sigma^2) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{1j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\mu_{1j}^2 + \sigma^2)$$

$$= m\sigma^2 + m\sigma^2 = 2m\sigma^2$$

4. Inter-class distance, m-dimensions.

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} (X_j - X_j')^2 \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} (X_j^2 - 2X_j X_j' + X_j'^2) \right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j^2 \right] - 2\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j X_j' \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_j'^2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j^2] - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j X_j'] + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j'^2]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j^2] - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j] \mathbf{E} [X_j'] + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} [X_j'^2]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\mu_{1j}^2 + \sigma^2) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{1j} \mu_{2j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\mu_{2j}^2 + \sigma^2)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{1j}^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{1j} \mu_{2j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{2j}^2 + 2m\sigma^2$$

5. The ratio of expected intra-class distance to inter-class distance is:

$$ratio = \frac{2m\sigma^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{1j}^2 - 2\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{1j}\mu_{2j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{2j}^2 + 2m\sigma^2}$$

The denominator can be re-written as follows:

$$denominator = \mu_{11}^{2} + \sum_{j=2}^{m} \mu_{1j}^{2} - 2\left(\mu_{11}\mu_{21} + \sum_{j=2}^{m} \mu_{1j}\mu_{1j}\right) + \mu_{21}^{2} + \sum_{j=2}^{m} \mu_{1j}^{2} + 2m\sigma^{2}$$

$$= \mu_{11}^{2} - 2\mu_{11}\mu_{21} + \mu_{21}^{2} + 2m\sigma^{2}$$

$$= (\mu_{11} - \mu_{21})^{2} + 2m\sigma^{2}$$

$$\therefore ratio = \frac{2m\sigma^2}{(\mu_{11} - \mu_{21})^2 + 2m\sigma^2}$$

As m increases towards ∞ , this ratio approaches 1. This indicates that the extra dimensions do not provide valuable information to help classify y as their number approaches infinity.

5 Fitting distributions with KL divergence

KL divergence for Gaussians.

1. The KL divergence between two univariate Gaussians is given as follows:

$$\begin{split} KL(p(x)||q(x)) &= \mathbf{E}_p \left[\log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log p(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log q(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu_1)^2 \right] dx \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \left[\log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_2)^2 \right] dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) dx \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \frac{(x - \mu_2)^2}{2} dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \frac{(x - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} dx \\ &= \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) - \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \left[\frac{(x - \mu_2)^2}{2} - \frac{(x - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] dx \\ &= \mathbf{E}_p \left[\frac{(x - \mu_2)^2}{2} - \frac{(x - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] + \log \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_p [f(x, \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma)] + g(\sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_p [(x - \mu_2)^2] - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \mathbf{E}_p [(x - \mu_1)^2] + \log \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{E}_p [x^2]}{2} - \mu_2 \mathbf{E}_p [x] + \frac{\mu_2^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \log \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 + \mu_1^2}{2} - \mu_1 \mu_2 + \frac{\mu_2^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \log \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \end{split}$$

2. The value $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ minimizes KL(p(x)||q(x)). This follows from taking the partial derivative with respect to μ_1 of the above result for the KL divergence and setting it to 0.

$$0 = \frac{\partial KL(p(x)||q(x))}{\partial \mu_1}$$
$$0 = \mu_1 - \mu_2$$
$$\mu_1 = \mu_2$$

6 Appendix

```
Matlab Code for 2.2
clc; clear; close all;
x = 0:0.001:6;
y = 6:-0.001:0;
[X,Y] = meshgrid(x,y);
D_x_1 = [1,4];
D_x_2 = [5,1];
D_0 = [4,4];
p1 = sqrt((abs(X - D_x_1(1))).^2 + (abs(Y - D_x_1(2))).^2);
p2 = sqrt((abs(X - D_x_2(1))).^2 + (abs(Y - D_x_2(2))).^2);
p3 = sqrt((abs(X - D_o(1))).^2 + (abs(Y - D_o(2))).^2);
data(:,:,1) = p1;
data(:,:,2) = p2;
data(:,:,3) = p3;
[~,I] = min(data,[],3);
I(I == 1) = 0;
I(I == 2) = 0;
I(I == 3) = 1;
figure;
imagesc(I);
title('L-2 Norm');
axis off
clc; clearvars -except X Y D_x_1 D_x_2 D_o;
p1 = ((abs(X - D_x_1(1))) + (abs(Y - D_x_1(2))));
p2 = ((abs(X - D_x_2(1))) + (abs(Y - D_x_2(2))));
p3 = ((abs(X - D_o(1))) + (abs(Y - D_o(2))));
data(:,:,1) = p1;
data(:,:,2) = p2;
data(:,:,3) = p3;
[~,I] = min(data,[],3);
I(I == 1) = 0;
I(I == 2) = 0;
I(I == 3) = 1;
figure;
imagesc(I);
title('L-1 Norm');
axis off
clc; clearvars -except X Y D_x_1 D_x_2 D_o;
p1_1 = (abs(X - D_x_1(1)));
p1_2 = (abs(Y - D_x_1(2)));
p1 = max(p1_1, p1_2);
p2_1 = (abs(X - D_x_2(1)));
p2_2 = (abs(Y - D_x_2(2)));
p2 = max(p2_1, p2_2);
p3_1 = (abs(X - D_o(1)));
p3_2 = (abs(Y - D_o(2)));
```

```
p3 = max(p3_1, p3_2);
data(:,:,1) = p1;
data(:,:,2) = p2;
data(:,:,3) = p3;
[~,I] = min(data,[],3);
I(I == 1) = 0;
I(I == 2) = 0;
I(I == 3) = 1;
figure;
imagesc(I);
title('L-inf Norm');
axis off
clc; clearvars -except X Y D_x_1 D_x_2 D_o;
p1_{temp}(:,:,1) = (abs(X - D_x_1(1)));
p1_{temp}(:,:,2) = (abs(Y - D_x_1(2)));
p1 = sum(p1_{temp} = 0, 3);
p2_{temp}(:,:,1) = (abs(X - D_x_2(1)));
p2_{temp}(:,:,2) = (abs(Y - D_x_2(2)));
p2 = sum(p2_{temp} = 0, 3);
p3_{temp}(:,:,1) = (abs(X - D_o(1)));
p3_{temp}(:,:,2) = (abs(Y - D_o(2)));
p3 = sum(p3_{temp} = 0, 3);
data(:,:,1) = p1;
data(:,:,2) = p2;
data(:,:,3) = p3;
[~,I] = min(data,[],3);
I(I == 1) = 0;
I(I == 2) = 0;
I(I == 3) = 1;
figure;
imagesc(I);
title('L-0 Pseudo-Norm');
axis off
```