Simulation data set has 25 groups of data. Each group consists of 100 spectra. Real data has 8 groups of data, Each group consists of 25 spectra. Please refer to http://bioinformatics.ust.hk/MSPeakComparison.html for more information.

Part one: parameters

Program Name	Parameters Names In Package			
CWT	peakScaleRange,snr and amp.Th			
PROcess	snr,area and ratio			
LIMPIC	factor, mz_block, iupac_thres and peak_width			
LMS	neighbor_size and scale_thres			
Cromwell	waveletThreshold			

Part two: parameter values

```
*MassSpecWavelet parameters: peakScaleRange=[2, 4, 6]; snr=[1, 3, 5]; amp.Th=[0.0001, 0.01, 0.1] 
*PROcess parameters: snr=[1, 3, 5]; area=[0.3, 0.003, 0.0003]; ratio=[0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.5] 
*LIMPIC parameters: factor=[10, 20, 30, 40]; mz block=[50, 100, 150, 200];
```

```
iupac_thres=[3, 5, 7];
peak width=[0.5, 1, 2];
```

*Local maximum search:

When data resolution is low (e.g. When most intervals between two adjacent points are around 1Da to 3Da), the neighborhood is set as around 15Da. the neighbor_size in the unit of points is determined as 15/1 = 15 points, scale_thres is set as 5. In experiment:

neighbor_size = [1, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 26, 30]; scale thres = [1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

When data resolution is high (e.g. When most intervals between two adjacent points are around 0.02Da), the same neighbor_size of 15Da will result in different number of points: 15/0.02=750 points In experiment: neighbor_size=[70, 100, 150, 300, 450, 600, 850, 1240] scale thres=[8, 12, 16, 10, 24]

*Cromwell

Similar argument as in *Local maximum search When data resolution is low, wavelet threshold is set as around 15,

In experiment:

waveletThreshold=4:2:30

When data resolution is high, wavelet threshold is set as around 750,

In experiment:

waveletThreshold=[60, 100, 300, 400, 600, 700, 900, 1000, 1200, 1400, 1800];

Part three: parameters for obtaining the best F1 measure

In our paper, we use a method similar to ROC curve to measure the overall performance for each algorithm. And we use F1 measure to obtain a best compromise between false discovery rate and sensitivity. We also test peak detection precision for each algorithm with its best parameter combination.

			(iii
Using Simulation Data			Ų
Parameter Values	The numb er of times to gener ate maxim al F1 (Total numb er is 2500)	Medi an m/z error	Paramete
[peakScaleRange,snr,amp.Th]= [2,1,0.01]	1068	0.32	[peakScaleRange,sn 0.1]
[snr,area,ratio]=[1,0.003,0.01]	632	0.35	[snr,area,ratio]=[1,0
[factor,mz_block,iupac_thres,peak_width]= [40,50,3,0.5]	306	0.40	[factor,mz_block,iup h]= [10,50,7,0.5]
[neighbor_size,scale_thres] = [8,5]	1471	0.52	[neighbor_size,scale
waveletThreshold=30	2050	0.40	waveletThreshold=1
	Parameter Values [peakScaleRange,snr,amp.Th]= [2,1,0.01] [snr,area,ratio]=[1,0.003,0.01] [factor,mz_block,iupac_thres,peak_width]=[40,50,3,0.5] [neighbor_size,scale_thres] = [8,5]	Parameter Values [The numb er of times to gener ate maxim al F1 (Total numb er is 2500) [peakScaleRange,snr,amp.Th]= [2,1,0.01] [snr,area,ratio]=[1,0.003,0.01] [snr,area,ratio]=[1,0.003,0.01] [factor,mz_block,iupac_thres,peak_width]=[40,50,3,0.5] [neighbor_size,scale_thres] = [8,5] [neighbor_size,scale_thres] = [8,5]	Parameter Values