


### Problem Set 7

**Instructions:** Answer the following questions in their entirety in a separate document. Submit your completed problem set as a PDF via email to [sean.corcoran@vanderbilt.edu](mailto:sean.corcoran@vanderbilt.edu). Use your last name and problem set number as the filename. Working together is encouraged, but it is expected that all submitted work be that of the individual student.

---

1. You are up late studying, and hungry. You use your last \$2 to buy a sandwich out of a vending machine in the basement of Payne Hall. As you unwrap your treat, you notice the inside of the sandwich is a funny color. You ponder whether you should eat the sandwich. In this scenario, what are the Type I and Type II errors associated with your decision to eat the sandwich? (Let  $H_0$  be “the sandwich is safe”). **(3 points)**
2. After recovering from a mysterious sandwich-based food illness, you set out to study whether charter school students perform *better* in mathematics, on average, than traditional public school students. You know that math scores in the population of public school students have a normal distribution with a mean of 420 and standard deviation of 84. You plan to administer the same test to a sample of charter school students to test your hypothesis. Use Stata or a web applet to answer the following questions. **(14 points)**
  - (a) What are the null and alternative hypotheses in this problem? **(2 points)**
  - (b) Describe what a Type I and Type II error would be in this study. **(2 points)**
  - (c) You consider a meaningful difference in test scores to be +21 points. What specific alternative  $\mu_a$  would this imply? How large an *effect size* (Cohen’s  $d$ ) would this be? **(4 points)**
  - (d) If you were to randomly sample 40 charter school students to take the math test, what is the power associated with your hypothesis test? What is the probability of a Type II error? Use significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ . **(3 points)**
  - (e) Holding everything else constant, how large of a sample would you need in order to increase the power to 0.80? **(3 points)**

3. A decision is planned in a test of  $H_0 : \mu = 0$  against the alternative  $H_a : \mu > 0$ , using  $\alpha = 0.05$ . If the actual  $\mu$  is 5, the probability of a Type II error ( $\beta$ ) is 0.17. **(6 points)**
- (a) Explain the meaning of the last sentence in words. **(2 points)**
  - (b) If the test used  $\alpha = 0.01$ , would the probability of a Type II error be less than, equal to, or greater than 0.17? Explain. **(2 points)**
  - (c) If  $\mu$  were actually 10, would the probability of a Type II error be less than, equal to, or greater than 0.17? Explain. **(2 points)**



4. Your favorite candidate currently has a favorability rating of 49%. You plan to take a random sample of 100 likely voters to assess whether her favorability has *improved* (i.e., is greater than 49%). Use Stata to answer the following questions, and use  $\alpha = 0.05$ . (Hint: you can use the power calculator for proportions called **power oneproportion**): **(8 points)**
- (a) Write down the null and alternative hypotheses for this test. **(2 points)**
  - (b) What is the power of your test if the true favorability rating is 55%? Provide an explanation in words. **(2 points)**
  - (c) Have Stata produce a table and graph of the power of the test for alternative hypotheses ranging from 50% to 65%, in increments of 5%. What is the smallest alternative that can be detected with 80% power? **(4 points)**
5. The Stata syntax below will create a dataset with 200 sample means for  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$ , and 200 sample standard deviations  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ . Each sample consists of  $n = 50$  random draws from normal distributions in which  $x_1 \sim N(0, 5)$  and  $x_2 \sim N(2, 5)$ . (That is,  $x_1$  has a normal distribution with mean zero and standard deviation 5;  $x_2$  has a normal distribution with mean 2 and standard deviation 5). **(18 points)**

```

set seed #

forvalues j=1/200 {
    clear
    tempfile sample`j'
    set obs 50
    gen x1 = rnormal(0,5)
    gen x2 = rnormal(2,5)
    collapse (mean) x1 x2 (sd) sx1=x1 sx2=x2
    gen n= 50
    save `sample`j''
}
use `sample1', clear
forvalues j=2/200 {
    append using `sample`j''
}

```

- (a) Run the Stata syntax above, and choose your own starting seed value (#). Take care that your quotations are correct, as they may not copy over correctly from this document into a do-file. Use the **twoway** graphing function with **kdensity** to overlay the resulting distributions of  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$  (see below). **kdensity** is a kernel density function, a kind of “smoothed” histogram. **(3 points)**

```
graph twoway (kdensity x1) (kdensity x2)
```

- (b) Create two new variables that contain the lower and upper bounds of a 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  based on  $\bar{x}_1$ . (Each resulting  $\bar{x}_1$ —all 200 of them—has a confidence interval associated with it). Be sure to use the *sample* standard deviation when creating your confidence intervals, not the population standard deviation, and continue to do so in part (c). In what percentage of samples does your confidence interval contain the true population mean  $\mu = 0$ ? In what percentage does it not? (Hint: you can create a third variable that flags whether the CI contains zero or doesn't). **(3 points)**
- (c) Create two new variables that equal the  $t$ -statistics and  $p$ -values from a test of  $H_0 : \mu = 0$  against  $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$ . (Each resulting  $\bar{x}_1$  will have a  $t$  and  $p$ -value for this test). Note this is a two-sided test. Create a histogram to show the resulting distribution of  $p$ -values across the 200 random samples. In what percentage of your random samples is  $p < 0.05$ ? Explain in words what this percentage represents. **(4 points)**
- (d) You are still interested in the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \mu = 0$ . However, suppose that—unbeknownst to you—the sample means  $\bar{x}_2$  (not the  $\bar{x}_1$  that you used in

parts b-c) reflect draws from the true distribution of  $x$ . That is,  $x$  has a mean of 2, not 0. As in part (b), create two new variables that contain the lower and upper bounds of a 95% confidence interval for  $\mu$ , based on  $\bar{x}_2$  (and  $s_2$ ). In what percentage of random samples does your confidence interval based on  $\bar{x}_2$  contain *zero*? Explain in words what this percentage represents. (**4 points**)

- (e) Repeat part (c) using  $\bar{x}_2$  and continue to test the hypothesis  $H_0 : \mu = 0$  against  $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$ . In what percentage of these random samples is  $p < 0.05$ ? In what percentage of these random samples is  $p > 0.05$ ? Explain in words what these percentages represent. (**4 points**)