Problem Set 9 Solutions

1. The table below summarizes the number of hours spent in housework per week by gender, based on a 2002 survey. (10 points)

		Housew	ork Hours
Gender	Sample size	Mean	SD
Men	292	8.4	9.5
Women	391	12.8	11.6

NOTE: you can use the Stata *t*-test calculator to check your answer to the questions below, but please show your work. (I need to see that you understand the calculation).

(a) What is the estimated difference in the mean hours spent in housework per week between men and women, and what is its standard error? Assume equal variances and use the pooled variance estimator. Provide a written interpretation of the standard error. (5 points)

The estimated difference between the two population means (women - men) is: $\bar{x}_w - \bar{x}_m = 12.8 - 8.4 = 4.4$ hours. The pooled variance estimator and standard error of the difference in means is below. The standard error is a measure of how much the difference between two sample means will vary across many repeated samples.

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_m - 1)s_m^2 + (n_w - 1)s_w^2}{(n_m - 1) + (n_w - 1)}$$

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(291)9.5^2 + (390)11.6^2}{(291) + (390)} = 115.626$$

$$se_{\bar{x}_w - \bar{x}_m} = \sqrt{s_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_m} + \frac{1}{n_w}\right)}$$

$$se_{\bar{x}_w - \bar{x}_m} = \sqrt{115.626 \left(\frac{1}{292} + \frac{1}{391}\right)} = 0.832$$

(b) Find the 99% confidence interval for the population difference in mean hours spent in housework per week. (Use $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ for the degrees of freedom). (3 points)

A 99% confidence interval for the difference in two population means is below. The t-statistic 2.583 is the value of t for which there is a probability $\alpha/2 = 0.005$ of exceeding, using degrees of freedom $n_m + n_w - 2 = 292 + 391 - 2 = 681$:

$$(\bar{x}_w - \bar{x}_m) \pm t_{\alpha/2} s e_{\bar{x}_w - \bar{x}_m}$$

$$4.4 \pm 2.583 * 0.832 = (2.25, 6.55)$$

(c) Using the information in part (b), test the null hypothesis that women and men in the population on average spend an equal number of hours per week doing housework. (Use the 1% significance level). Briefly explain your answer. (2 pts)

The 99% confidence interval will contain the true population mean in 99% of random samples. The null hypothesis of no difference in housework hours $(\mu_w - \mu_m = 0)$ is not contained in this confidence interval. This suggests that the null hypothesis is probably not true, so it is **rejected** (at the 1% level).

Stata output using ttesti is shown below, corresponding to parts (a)-(c).

. ttesti 391 12.8 11.6 292 8.4 9.5, level(99)

 ${\tt Two-sample}\ {\tt t}\ {\tt test}\ {\tt with}\ {\tt equal}\ {\tt variances}$

			Std. Err.			Interval]
x y	391 292	12.8 8.4	.5866372 .5559454	11.6 9.5	11.28149 6.958528	9.841472
combined	683	10.91889	.4195122	10.96364	9.835263	
diff			.8316831		2.251706	6.548294
diff =	= mean(x) - = 0	mean(y)		degrees	t of freedom	= 5.2905 = 681
	iff < 0 0 = 1.0000	Pr(Ha: diff != T > t) =			iff > 0) = 0.0000

2. Men are considered overweight if their body mass index is greater than 27.8. In the 1980 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 130 of 750 randomly surveyed men aged 20-24 were found to be overweight, while in the 1994 version of the survey, 160 of the 700 randomly surveyed men were overweight. Test the hypothesis that the proportion overweight is the same in 1994 as it was in 1980. (5 points)

NOTE: you can use the Stata *t*-test calculator to check your answer to the question above, but please show your work. (I need to see that you understand the calculation).

$$H_0: \pi_{1994} - \pi_{1980} = 0$$
$$H_1: \pi_{1994} - \pi_{1980} \neq 0$$

The point estimates for the population proportions in 1980 and 1994 are $\hat{\pi}_{1980} = 130/750 = 0.1733$ and $\hat{\pi}_{1994} = 160/700 = 0.2286$. Under H_0 , the population proportions were the same in 1980 and 1994. The standard error calculation for the difference in proportions assumes H_0 is true, and thus we use the *pooled* estimate of π :

$$\pi = (130 + 160)/(700 + 750) = 0.2$$

(You could equivalently take the weighted average of $\hat{\pi}_{1980}$ and $\hat{\pi}_{1994}$ —you would get the same answer). Using this to calculate the standard error for the difference in proportions:

$$\sqrt{\pi(1-\pi)\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}$$

$$\sqrt{0.20(1-0.20)\left(\frac{1}{700} + \frac{1}{750}\right)} = 0.021$$

Therefore the estimated difference in proportions is (0.2286 - 0.1733) / 0.021 = 2.63 standard errors above the null difference of zero. From the standard normal table (since the sample sizes are large), the p-value for this test statistic is very small (0.004*2 = 0.008), so we can safely reject H_0 . The proportion of men in the population who are overweight has changed between 1980 and 1994.

Stata output using prtesti is shown below.

1 1					umber of obs	
		Std. Err.			[95% Conf.	Interval]
x	.2286				.1974916	.2597084
у	.1733	.0138211			.1462111	.2003889
diff	.0553 under Ho:			0.009	.0140503	.0965497
diff Ho: diff	= prop(x) - pr = 0	rop(y)			z	= 2.6307
Ha: diff	< 0 0.9957	Ha: d: Pr(Z > ;			Ha: d Pr(Z > z	iff > 0) = 0.0043

3. The table below summarizes the number of hours per day spent watching TV, by gender, based on the 2006 General Social Survey. (9 points)

Group	N	TV H Mean	ours SD
Men	870	2.86	2.22
Women	1,117	2.99	2.34

NOTE: you can use the Stata *t*-test calculator to check your answer to the questions below, but please show your work. (I need to see that you understand the calculation).

(a) Conduct a significance test to analyze whether the population means differ for females and males. Write down the null and alternative hypotheses, test statistic, p-value, and conclusion. (Let $\alpha = 0.05$). Assume equal variances and use the pooled variance estimator. (5 points)

The hypothesis test to be conducted is $H_0: \mu_2 - \mu_1 = 0$ versus $H_1: \mu_2 - \mu_1 \neq 0$. Assuming females are group 2 and males group 1, the pooled variance, standard error, and t-statistics are:

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_m - 1)s_m^2 + (n_w - 1)s_w^2}{(n_m - 1) + (n_w - 1)}$$
$$s_p^2 = \frac{(867)2.22^2 + (1116)2.34^2}{(869) + (1116)} = 5.236$$

$$se_{\bar{x}_w - \bar{x}_m} = \sqrt{s_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_m} + \frac{1}{n_w}\right)}$$

$$se_{\bar{x}_w - \bar{x}_m} = \sqrt{5.236 \left(\frac{1}{870} + \frac{1}{1117}\right)} = 0.10347$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}{se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}} = \frac{2.99 - 2.86}{0.10347} = 1.256$$

Using degrees of freedom $n_1+n_2-2=1985$ the p-value associated with this t statistic is 0.1046*2=0.209. (We multiply by 2 because the alternative is two-sided.) In Stata: display 2*ttail(1985, 1.256). Because $p>\alpha$ of 0.05, we cannot reject H_0 .

(b) If you were to construct a 95% confidence interval comparing the means, would it contain 0? Answer based on your results in (a). (2 points)

As we were unable to reject the null hypothesis of no difference between females and males in mean TV hours watched per day (at the 0.05 level of significance), the 95% confidence interval **would** contain zero.

Stata output using ttesti is shown below, corresponding to parts (a)-(b).

. ttesti 1117 2.99 2.34 870 2.86 2.22

Two-sample t test with equal variances

	0bs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
у	870			2.34 2.22		
combined	1,987		.0513412	2.288574		3.033768
diff		.13			0729212	.3329212
diff = Ho: diff =	= mean(x) - = 0	mean(y)		degrees	t of freedom	= 1.2564 = 1985
	ff < 0 = 0.8954	Pr(Ha: diff != T > t) = (-		iff > 0) = 0.1046

(c) Do you think the distribution of TV watching is approximately normal? Why or why not? Does this affect the validity of your inferences here? (2 points)

It is unlikely the population distribution of TV hours watched is normal. In fact, it is probably skewed with a high proportion of persons with 0-1 hours watched per day, and a long right tail of persons with large quantities of TV hours watched per week. The skewed population distribution is of little consequence here, since the two sample sizes are large. We expect the *sampling* distribution for the difference in sample means to be approximately normal.

- 4. In Stata, open the NELS.dta dataset from class. As you know, this extract from the larger National Education Longitudinal Study of 1988 contains data for 500 students followed from 8th through 12th grade. For this problem you will be asking the following question: Among the population of college-bound students, do students whose families owned a computer in 8th grade (computer) score differently in 12th grade math (achmat12), on average, than those whose families did not own a computer? Use the variable edexpect to select the subset of students who are college-bound. (16 points)
 - (a) Are the two samples being compared here independent or dependent? Briefly explain your answer. (1 point)

NELS is designed to be a representative sample of 8th graders, and there is no link between students whose families owned a computer and students whose families did not. Thus the samples are **independent**. (Note that NELS is a longitudinal study, which often implies a dependent sample. However, in this problem we are not comparing the same students at different points in time, but rather two groups of students at one point in time).

(b) For this hypothesis test to be valid, does the distribution of math achievement in these two populations have to be normal? Briefly explain why or why not. (1 point)

No. We will be assuming the sampling distribution for the difference in means $(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1)$ is normal (or approximately normal), an assumption that should hold if the samples sizes are large enough. As shown below, both samples are quite large (220+).

```
. table computer if edexpect>=2,row
-----
computer |
owned by |
family in |
eighth |
```

grade?	l	Freq.
no yes	•	229 223
Total	 	452

(c) Write down the null and alternative hypotheses for a t-test to determine whether 12th grade math achievement for students whose families owned a computer in 8th grade differs, on average, from that of students whose families did not own a computer. (2 points)

$$H_0: \mu_c - \mu_{nc} = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_c - \mu_{nc} \neq 0$$

(d) Using the appropriate Stata command, what is the test statistic and p-value associated with this test? (2 points)

> As shown below, the t-statistic is -3.2693 and the p-value for a two-tailed test is **0.0012**. (These values would not differ much if we assumed unequal variances and used the unequal option in the ttest command.) . ttest achmat12 if edexpect>=2, by(computer) Two-sample t test with equal variances Group | Obs Mean Std. Err. Std. Dev. [95% Conf. Interval] ______ 229 56.70223 .451125 6.82676 55.81332 223 58.93758 .5152514 7.694344 57.92217 no | 57.59113 223 59.95299 yes | combined | 452 57.80507 .345497 7.345368 57.12608 -3.579091 -.8916117 diff | -2.235351 .6837501

degrees of freedom = Ha: diff < 0 Ha: diff != 0 (T < t) = 0.0006 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0 Ha: diff > 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.0006Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0012Pr(T > t) = 0.9994

diff = mean(no) - mean(yes)

Ho: diff = 0

t = -3.2693

(e) Use the p-value to determine whether or not H_0 can be rejected in favor of the alternative. Use a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. (2 points)

> The p-value here for a two-tailed test is very small (0.0012), leading us to **reject** H_0 in favor of the alternative.

(f) Provide a 95% confidence interval for the mean difference in 12th grade math achievement between those whose families owned a computer in 8th grade and those whose families did not. (2 points)

Using the Stata output above, the 95% confidence interval is (-3.579, -0.892).

(g) Use the confidence interval found in part (f) to conduct the test in parts (c)-(e). Are the results consistent? (2 points)

Since zero lies outside the 95% confidence interval in part (f), we can **reject** H_0 at the 0.05 level (the same conclusion).

(h) Now provide a 99% confidence interval for the mean difference in 12th grade math achievement. Does your conclusion change at the $\alpha = 0.01$ level of significance? (2 points)

The easiest way to obtain a 99% confidence interval is to change the level option in Stata, below. The 99% confidence interval is (-4.004, -0.467). Because zero lies outside this interval as well, we can **reject** H_0 at the 0.01 level.

. ttest achmat12 if edexpect>=2, by(computer) level(99)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

Group		Mean				
no I	229	56.70223	.451125	6.82676	55.5304	57.87405
yes 	223		.5152514	7.694344	57.59888	60.27628
combined	452	57.80507	.345497	7.345368	56.91134	58.69879
diff		-2.235351	.6837501		-4.004075	4666275
diff = m	 ean(no)	- mean(yes)			t	= -3.2693
Ho: diff = 0				degrees	of freedom	= 450
Ha: diff	< 0		Ha: diff !=	0	Ha: d	iff > 0
Pr(T < t) =	0.0006	Pr([> t) =	0.0012	Pr(T > t) = 0.9994

(i) Finally, calculate the Cohen's d as a measure of effect size. Would you consider the observed effect practically significant? Explain why or why not. (2 points)

Cohen's d is the difference in the sample means divided by the overall standard deviation for these students. Using the output above, d = -2.235/7.345 = 0.304, a practically significant and large effect size. (Put another way, the observed difference in math achievement between students whose families owned a com-

puter and that of students whose families did not (-2.235 points) is quite large, relative to the overall standard deviation in achievement).

Notice we used the SD for this particular sample of interest (students who are college-bound), since that is the relevant benchmark population.

The Stata command esize will also calculate Cohen's d. However, the standard deviation it uses is s_p (below), which is very close but not exactly the same as the overall standard deviation.

$$s_p = \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)}}$$

. esize twosample achmat12 if edexpect>=2, by(computer)

Effect size based on mean comparison

	Obs per group:				
		no =	229		
		yes =	223		
Effect Size	Estimate	[95% Conf.	Interval]		
Cohen's d Hedges's g		4928887 4920667	1219183 121715		

5. Consider again Question #2 from Problem Set 8. In that problem, you were interested in the effect of charter school attendance on student math achievement. Suppose now you have the opportunity to randomly assign a group of students to either attend a charter or traditional public school. At the end of the year, you will administer a math test to your study students. Based on prior evidence, you still believe the standard deviation in math achievement is 84. You consider a meaningful difference in math achievement to be +21 points. How many students (in total) will you need to randomly assign in order to correctly reject the null hypothesis of no effect in 80% of random samples? Use $\alpha = 0.05$ and assume that students will be assigned in equal numbers to the treatment and control groups. Hint: use Stata to answer this question. (5 points)

In Stata, use the Power and Sample Size Analysis tool for two independent sample means. Set the control and experimental means to 420 and 441 (reflecting an desired minimum effect size of 21) and the common standard deviation of 84. (Assume a known standard deviation). Let $\alpha = 0.05$, Power=0.80, and use one-sided test and an equal allocation ratio. The Stata results are below, indicating a minimum

total sample size of 396, or 198 per group.

. power twomeans 420 441, sd(84) knownsds onesided

Estimated sample sizes for a two-sample means test z test assuming sd1 = sd2 = sdHo: m2 = m1 versus Ha: m2 > m1

Study parameters:

alpha = 0.0500 power = 0.8000 delta = 21.0000 m1 = 420.0000 m2 = 441.0000 sd = 84.0000

Estimated sample sizes:

N = 396N per group = 198

Note the levels of the two means (m1 and m2) are not important, only the difference of 21. In the example below I used 0 and 21 for the two group means and produced the same result.

. power twomeans 0 21, sd(84) knownsds onesided

Estimated sample sizes for a two-sample means test z test assuming sd1 = sd2 = sdHo: m2 = m1 versus Ha: m2 > m1

Study parameters:

alpha = 0.0500 power = 0.8000 delta = 21.0000 m1 = 0.0000 m2 = 21.0000 sd = 84.0000 Estimated sample sizes:

N = 396

N per group = 198

```
. // LPO.8800 Problem Set 9 - Solutions
. // Last updated: November 2, 2021
. cd "$pset"
C:\Users\corcorsp\Dropbox\_TEACHING\Statistics I - PhD\Problem sets\Problem set 9
. // ********
. // Question 1
. // ********
. ttesti 391 12.8 11.6 292 8.4 9.5, level(99)
Two-sample t test with equal variances
______
        Obs
                Mean Std. Err. Std. Dev. [99% Conf. Interval]
        391 12.8 .5866372 11.6 11.28149 14.31851
292 8.4 .5559454 9.5 6.958528 9.841472
    x |
    γl
_______
combined | 683 10.91889 .4195122 10.96364 9.835263 12.00251
  diff |
                 4.4
                      . 8316831
                                       2.251706
  diff = mean(x) - mean(y)
Ho: diff = 0
                                 degrees of freedom =
Ha: diff < 0 Ha: diff != 0 Ha: diff > 0

Pr(T < t) = 1.0000 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0000 Pr(T > t) = 0.0000
. // ********
. // Question 2
. // ********
. prtesti 700 0.2286 750 0.1733
                                 x: Number of obs = 700
y: Number of obs = 750
Two-sample test of proportions
                                 y: Number of obs =
______
                          z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
            Mean Std. Err.
------
           .2286 .0158719
      x |
                                      .1974916 .2597084
      уΙ
            .1733 .0138211
                                      .1462111 .2003889
-----
    diff | .0553 .0210461
                                       .0140503 .0965497
    | under Ho: .0210214 2.63 0.009
    diff = prop(x) - prop(y)
                                            z = 2.6307
  Ho: diff = 0
  Ha: diff < 0
                    Ha: diff != 0
                                         Ha: diff > 0
Pr(Z < z) = 0.9957 Pr(|Z| > |z|) = 0.0085 Pr(Z > z) = 0.0043
. // ********
. // Question 3
. // ********
```

```
Obs
                  Mean
                        Std. Err. Std. Dev. [95% Conf. Interval]
______

    x | 1,117
    2.99
    .0700147
    2.34
    2.852625
    3.127375

    y | 870
    2.86
    .075265
    2.22
    2.712277
    3.007723

______
combined | 1,987
               2.93308
                        .0513412 2.288574
                                          2.832392
                                                   3.033768
                         .10347
                   .13
                                          -.0729212
  diff |
_____
  diff = mean(x) - mean(y)
                                                t = 1.2564
                                 degrees of freedom =
Ho: diff = 0
  Ha: diff < 0
                       Ha: diff != 0
                                             Ha: diff > 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.8954 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.2091 Pr(T > t) = 0.1046
. // ********
. // Question 4
. // ********
. use https://github.com/spcorcor18/LPO-8800/raw/main/data/nels.dta, clear
. // ******
. // Part b
. // ******
. table computer if edexpect>=2, row
-----
computer |
owned by |
family in |
eighth |
grade? | Freq.
-----
    no |
             229
   yes |
            223
  Total | 452
-----
. // ******
. // Part d-g
. // ******
```

```
Mean Std. Err. Std. Dev.
                                  [95% Conf. Interval]
       Obs
______
  no | 229 56.70223 .451125 6.82676 55.81332 57.59113
yes | 223 58.93758 .5152514 7.694344 57.92217 59.95299
_______
combined | 452
            57.80507
                    .345497 7.345368
                                  57.12608
.6837501
  diff |
            -2.235351
                                 -3.579091 -.8916117
______
  diff = mean(no) - mean(yes)
                                       t = -3.2693
Ho: diff = 0
                            degrees of freedom =
 Ha: diff < 0
                  Ha: diff != 0
                                     Ha: diff > 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.0006 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0012 Pr(T > t) = 0.9994
. // ******
. // Part h
. // ******
. ttest achmat12 if edexpect>=2, by(computer) level(99)
Two-sample t test with equal variances
______
 Group | Obs Mean Std. Err. Std. Dev. [99% Conf. Interval]
______
   no | 229 56.70223 .451125 6.82676 55.5304 57.87405
  yes | 223 58.93758 .5152514 7.694344 57.59888 60.27628
______
combined | 452 57.80507
                    .345497 7.345368
                                 56.91134 58.69879
_______
                   .6837501
            -2.235351
                                 -4.004075 -.4666275
______
  diff = mean(no) - mean(yes)
                                       t = -3.2693
                            degrees of freedom = 450
Ho: diff = 0
  Ha: diff < 0
                  Ha: diff != 0
                                   Ha: diff > 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.0006
               Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0012 Pr(T > t) = 0.9994
. esize twosample achmat12 if edexpect>=2, by(computer)
Effect size based on mean comparison
                  Obs per group:
                        no =
                               229
                        yes =
                               223
    Effect Size | Estimate [95% Conf. Interval]
-----
     Cohen's d | -.3075725 -.4928887 -.1219183
    Hedges's g | -.3070595 -.4920667 -.121715
______
. // *********
. // Question 5
. // ********
```

```
. power twomeans 420 441, sd(84) knownsds onesided
Estimated sample sizes for a two-sample means test
z test assuming sd1 = sd2 = sd
Ho: m2 = m1 versus Ha: m2 > m1
Study parameters:
       alpha = 0.0500
power = 0.8000
       delta = 21.0000
          m1 = 420.0000
          m2 = 441.0000
           sd = 84.0000
Estimated sample sizes:
                     396
           N =
  N per group =
                     198
. capture log close
```