9. Hypothesis testing: two groups

LPO.8800: Statistical Methods in Education Research

Sean P. Corcoran

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 1/56

Hypothesis testing thus far

- Hypothesis tests for a population mean μ (σ known or unknown)
- ullet Hypothesis tests for a population proportion π
- Null and alternative hypotheses $(H_0 \text{ and } H_1)$
- One vs. two-sided alternative hypotheses
- Significance levels (α) and p-values
- Type I and Type II errors
- Power of a test
- Practical significance and effect size
- p-screening and p-hacking

Statistical tests for comparing two groups

Hypothesis tests are frequently used to make inferences about how two population parameters compare:

- Do female executives earn less on average than males?
- Do 4th graders in an experimental reading program perform differently on standardized reading tests than 4th graders not in the program?
- Are women more likely to vote for Democratic candidates than men?
- Do subjects participating in a 6-week weight loss program lose more weight over time than those who do not participate in the program?
- Has obesity among children aged 10-12 increased between 2010-2020?
- Did NAEP reading scores improve for 8th grade math in 2022?
- Are COVID infection rates higher in counties without a mask mandate than counties with them?

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 3 / 56

Statistical tests for comparing two groups

One can think of these examples as **bivariate analyses** involving two variables:

Group identifier: a binary explanatory variable

• Outcome: the response

Statistical tests for comparing two groups

Each of the above examples is a comparison of two parameters. For example:

- A comparison of means across groups (μ_1 and μ_2)
- A comparison of proportions across groups $(\pi_1 \text{ and } \pi_2)$
- A comparison of means or proportions over time
- A comparison of means of the same group pre- and post-treatment ("within subject")

When making comparisons of two parameters we actually construct a test for the *difference* in those parameters (e.g., $\mu_2 - \mu_1$, or $\pi_2 - \pi_1$).

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 5 / 56

Statistical tests for comparing two groups

The steps for conducting a test comparing two groups are the same as those for the test of a single parameter. The most common null hypothesis is that there is *no difference* between the two population means:

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

Equivalently,

$$H_0: \mu_2 - \mu_1 = 0$$

Note: it doesn't matter which mean you subtract from the other, as long as you keep track and are consistent in your ordering throughout the test.

Statistical tests for comparing two groups

The alternative hypothesis H_1 is that there is a difference (a two-sided alternative) or that one mean is greater than the other (a one-sided alternative):

Two-sided alternative:

$$H_1: \mu_2 - \mu_1 \neq 0$$

One-sided alternatives:

$$H_1: \mu_2 - \mu_1 > 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_2 - \mu_1 < 0$$

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 7 / 56

Hypothesis test steps

- Determine H_0 and H_1 .
- **②** The estimator for a difference in population means is the difference in sample means: e.g., $\bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_1$. Determine the test statistic sampling distribution under H_0 . (This requires knowing the standard error of $\bar{x}_2 \bar{x}_1$).
- Determine the probability of obtaining your observed test statistic if H₀ is true (the p-value), and draw a conclusion.

The standard error of $\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1$ depends on how the two samples were drawn (see next slide).

Independent vs. dependent samples

The design of a study—and in particular, whether the two samples being compared are independent or dependent—is important to statistical testing, because standard errors depend on how the samples were drawn.

- Samples are dependent when there is a natural matching between subjects in each sample. Examples include repeated measures on the same subjects (a longitudinal study), siblings, marriage partners, etc.
- Samples are independent when there is no such matching (e.g., random draws from two populations). Selection of subjects into one sample has no effect on the selection of subjects into the second.

With dependent samples, pairs of outcomes do not represent independent draws from a population—they are likely to be correlated.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture '

Last update: October 31, 2022 9 / 56

Experimental vs. observational group assignment

- In experimental designs, subjects are randomly assigned to groups (e.g., treatment and control).
- In observational designs, subjects are in naturally-occurring groups that they may or may not have control over (e.g., gender or political affiliation).

The distinction is important for causal inference and interpretation, though not necessarily for statistical inference (e.g., comparing means in the population).

Sampling distribution of $\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1$

Over repeated samples, the difference in two means drawn from **independent samples** will have a mean of $\mu_2 - \mu_1$ (the difference in the *true* means—it is an *unbiased* estimator) and a standard error of:

$$se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}$$

Notice this is a larger number than either of the individual standard errors alone (for \bar{x}_1 or \bar{x}_2)! Also note this is expression is: $\sqrt{(se_1)^2 + (se_2)^2}$

Intuitively, $(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1)$ tends to be further from $(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$ than \bar{x}_1 is from μ_1 or \bar{x}_2 is from μ_2 .

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 11 / 56

Sampling distribution of $\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1$

If the samples are independent and the sample sizes n_1 and n_2 are sufficiently large, then we can also say the sampling distribution of $\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1$ (divided by its standard error) has an approximately normal distribution.

In practice, σ_1 and σ_2 are unknown, so the sample standard deviations are used in their place (s_1 and s_2):

$$se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1} = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$$

We then refer to the t-distribution instead of the standard normal. (Which df to use is discussed momentarily).

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 12 / 56

Confidence interval for $\mu_2 - \mu_1$

With this information, a $(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the difference in population means $\mu_2 - \mu_1$ is:

$$(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1) \pm t_{\alpha/2}(se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1})$$

As before, a $(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval can be used to test two-sided alternative hypotheses with significance level α .

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 13 / 56

Hypothesis test for $\mu_2 - \mu_1$

Alternatively, a test statistic can be calculated for a specific hypothesis. For example:

$$H_0: \mu_2 - \mu_1 = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_2 - \mu_1 \neq 0$$

Under the assumption that H_0 is true, the test statistic is as follows, using the standard error formula given above:

$$t = \frac{\left(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1\right) - 0}{se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}}$$

Use the t distribution to find the p-value associated with this t. If the two sample sizes are large enough, the standard normal (z) distribution can be used instead of t.

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 14 / 56

Hypothesis test for $\mu_2 - \mu_1$

The degrees of freedom for the t-statistic in this case is complex (can use the Welch-Satterthwaite approximation). However, if we assume that the two distributions from which the samples are drawn have *equal variances*, the degrees of freedom will be $df = n_1 + n_2 - 2$.

Stata's default is to assume equal variances and use the simplified df. However, can opt for unequal variance assumption.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 15 / 56

Welch-Satterthwaite approximation

FYI the Welch-Satterthwaite approximation for the degrees of freedom in a two-sample independent t-test is

$$df = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{n_1 - 1}\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{n_2 - 1}\left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}$$

Hypothesis test for $\mu_2 - \mu_1$

Note: if we assume equal variances, then we can also use a pooled estimate of the variance (s_p^2) , and the standard error for $\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1$ simplifies to:

$$se_{\bar{\mathsf{x}}_2-\bar{\mathsf{x}}_1} = \sqrt{s_p^2\left(\frac{1}{n_1}+\frac{1}{n_2}\right)}$$

The pooled variance estimate is a weighted average of s_1^2 and s_2^2 :

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{(n_1 - 1) + (n_2 - 1)}$$

Note: s_p^2 is close—but not the same as—the combined variance (i.e., if you were to combine the data and treat it like one sample).

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 17 / 56

Example 1

What is the impact of alcoholism during pregnancy on the IQ of infants? IQs of infants of 6 women with alcoholism (group 1) were compared with those of infants of 46 women without alcoholism (group 2).

- $n_1 = 6$, $\bar{x}_1 = 78$, $s_1^2 = 361$
- $n_2 = 46$, $\bar{x}_2 = 99$, $s_2^2 = 256$

$$H_0: \mu_2 - \mu_1 = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_2 - \mu_1 \neq 0$$

Example 1

The 95% confidence interval is

$$(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1) \pm t_{\alpha/2}(se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1})$$

Assuming equal variances, the *t*-statistic here is t(50, 0.025) = 2.01, where $df = n_1 + n_2 - 2$. The standard error is:

$$s_{p}^{2} = \frac{(n_{1} - 1)s_{1}^{2} + (n_{2} - 1)s_{2}^{2}}{(n_{1} - 1) + (n_{2} - 1)} = \frac{(5)361 + (45)256}{5 + 45} = 266.5$$

$$se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1} = \sqrt{s_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} = \sqrt{266.5 \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{46}\right)} = 7.086$$

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 19 / 56

Example 1

So:

$$(\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1) \pm t_{\alpha/2}(se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1})$$

$$(99-78) \pm 2.01(7.086) = (6.757, 35.242)$$

The confidence interval does not contain zero so we can reject H_0 at the lpha=0.05 significance level.

Example 1

Alternatively, can calculate the test statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1 - 0}{se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1}}$$

$$t = \frac{99 - 78 - 0}{7.086} = 2.964$$

The reference distribution for looking up the *p*-value is t(50). In Stata: display ttail(50,2.964) = 0.0023. Since $p < \alpha$, we reject H_0 .

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 21 / 56

Example 1: Using Stata ttesti

Can use the *t*-test calculator in Stata to obtain these results. Syntax below or use the drop-down menus. Default assumes equal variances, and *n*, *m*, *s* below refer to the two group sample sizes, means, and standard deviations.

ttesti n1 m1 s1 n2 m2 s2

x						
	6	78	7.756718	19	58.06072	97.93928
У	46	99	2.359071	16	94.24859	103.751
combined	52	96.57692	2.430458	17.52628	91.69758	101.456
diff		-21	7.085912		-35.23247	-6.767527

Example 1: Using Stata ttesti

This is a case where the equal variance assumption makes a big difference:

ttesti n1 m1 s1 n2 m2 s2, unequal

. ttesti 6 78 19 46 99 16, unequal

тмо-вашрте	r rest wi	.cn unequal v	/ariances			
	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf	. Interval)
×	6	78	7.756718	19	58.06072	97.93928
У	46	99	2.359071	16	94.24859	103.7514
combined	52	96.57692	2.430458	17.52628	91.69758	101.4563
diff		-21	8.10752		-40.86902	-1.130985

diff = mean(x) - mean(y	•)	t = -2.5902
Ho: diff = 0	Satterthwaite's degrees o	f freedom = 5.96208
Ha: diff < 0	Ha: diff != 0	Ha: diff > 0
Pr(T < t) = 0.0207	Pr(T > t) = 0.0414	Pr(T > t) = 0.9793

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 23 / 56

Example 1: Using Stata ttesti

Welch-Satterthwaite approximation for the degrees of freedom in this case:

$$df = \frac{\left(\frac{361}{6} + \frac{256}{46}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{6-1}\left(\frac{361}{6}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{46-1}\left(\frac{256}{46}\right)^2}$$

df = 5.96

(substantially less than n_1+n_2-2)

Example 2: Using Stata

Use NELS to test the hypothesis that male and female college-bound high school graduates in the South have equal years of preparation in high school math (unitmath). Use $\alpha{=}0.05$. Let group 2 be males and group 1 be females.

$$H_0: \mu_2 - \mu_1 = 0$$

 $H_1: \mu_2 - \mu_1 \neq 0$

Note: in the NELS, college-bound is edexpect >= 2 and the South is region == 3.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 25 / 56

Example 2: Using Stata

In Stata use ttest *varname*, by(*groupvar*). The default assumes equal variances. Use the unequal option otherwise (this will affect the standard error calculation).

ttest unitmath if edexpect>=2 & region==3, by(gender)

	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
57 76	3.938596 3.747105	.0928778 .0783084	.7012118 .6826767	3.75254 3.591107	4.124653 3.903104
133	3.829173	.0602281	.6945841	3.710036	3.94831
	.1914912	.121017		0479093	.4308918
nean (male) - mean(fem	ale)	degrees		2.0020
	76 133 ean (male	76 3.747105 133 3.829173 .1914912 ean(male) - mean(fem	76 3.747105 .0783084 133 3.829173 .0602281 .1914912 .121017 ean(male) - mean(female)	76 3.747105 .0783084 .6826767 133 3.829173 .0602281 .6945841 .1914912 .121017 ean (male) - mean (female)	76 3.747105 .0783084 .6826767 3.591107 133 3.829173 .0602281 .6945841 3.710036 .1914912 .1210170479093 ean(male) - mean(female) t

Example 2: Using Stata

From the above Stata output:

$$\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1 = 0.1915$$

$$se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1} = 0.1210$$

$$t = 1.582$$

$$p = 0.116$$

Conclusion: do not reject H_0 , since $p > \alpha$.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 27 / 56

Example 2: Using Stata

Note: the above Stata output reports the combined standard deviation (0.6945841). The square of this (0.482447) is close, but is not the same as the pooled variance used to calculate the standard error of the difference:

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(57-1)*0.7012^2 + (76-1)*0.6827^2}{(57-1) + (76-1)} = 0.4770$$

Then:

$$se_{\bar{x}_2 - \bar{x}_1} = \sqrt{0.4770 \left(\frac{1}{57} + \frac{1}{76}\right)} = 0.121017$$

Example 2: Using Stata

In practice, standard errors, test statistics and p-values will be similar whether assuming equal variances or not, if:

- n_1 and n_2 are similar or
- s_1^2 and s_2^2 are similar

Example 2: Using Stata

Same example, using unequal option

. ttest unitmath if edexpect>=2 & region==3, by(gender) unequal

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
male female	57 76	3.938596 3.747105	.0928778 .0783084	.7012118 .6826767	3.75254 3.591107	4.124653 3.903104
combined	133	3.829173	.0602281	. 6945841	3.710036	3.94831
diff		.1914912	.1214845		04906	. 432042
diff = Ho: diff =) - mean(fem		te's degrees		= 1.5763 = 119.011
Ha: di		Pr(I	Ha: diff !=			iff > 0) = 0.0588

Standard error in this case is $\sqrt{(se_1)^2+(se_2)^2}$, not the calculation with s_p^2

Tests for difference in proportions $\pi_2 - \pi_1$

What if the two parameters of interest are proportions? The procedure is the same:

- **1** Determine H_0 and H_1 .
- **②** The estimator for a difference in population means is the difference in sample proportions: e.g., $\hat{\pi}_2 \hat{\pi}_1$. Determine the test statistic sampling distribution under H_0 .
- Determine the probability of obtaining your observed test statistic if H₀ is true (the p-value), and draw a conclusion.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture !

Last update: October 31, 2022 31 / 56

Sampling distribution of $\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1$

Over repeated samples, the difference in two proportions drawn from independent samples will have a mean of $\pi_2 - \pi_1$ (the *true* difference in means) and a standard error of:

$$se_{\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\pi}_1(1 - \hat{\pi}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{\pi}_2(1 - \hat{\pi}_2)}{n_2}}$$

If the samples are independent and the sample sizes n_1 and n_2 are sufficiently large, then we can also say the sampling distribution of $\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1$ has an approximately normal distribution. (I assume this below, in using z in the confidence interval).

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 32 / 56

Confidence interval for $\pi_2 - \pi_1$

With this information, a $(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for the difference in population proportions $\pi_2 - \pi_1$ is:

$$(\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1) \pm z_{\alpha/2} (se_{\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1})$$

A $(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval can be used to test two-sided alternative hypotheses with significance level α .

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 33 / 56

Hypothesis test for $\pi_2 - \pi_1$

Alternatively, a test statistic can be calculated for a specific hypothesis. For example:

$$H_0: \pi_2 - \pi_1 = 0$$

$$H_1: \pi_2 - \pi_1 \neq 0$$

Under the assumption that H_0 is true, the test statistic is as follows, using a modified standard error se_0 (see next slide).

$$z = \frac{\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1 - 0}{se_0}$$

Hypothesis test for $\pi_2 - \pi_1$

Under H_0 the two population proportions are the same. This directly implies equal variances, since for proportions the variance is $\pi(1-\pi)$. If H_0 is true, we can use a *pooled estimate* of π in the standard error formula that uses the combined samples rather than separate estimates of π_2 and π_1 . The standard error using the pooled estimate is:

$$se_0 = \sqrt{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}$$

where $\hat{\pi}$ is the overall proportion equal to one in the combined sample. This is used in the test statistic (z) above.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture '

Last update: October 31, 2022 35 / 56

Example 3

An October 25, 2020 YouGov poll found 44% of 674 men and 36% of 826 women stated that they intend to vote for Donald Trump. Construct a 95% confidence interval for the difference in these two population proportions (men - women).

$$(\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1) \pm z_{\alpha/2} (se_{\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1})$$

$$(0.44-0.36)\pm 1.96 (\textit{se}_{\hat{\pi}_2-\hat{\pi}_1})$$

$$se_{\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\pi}_1(1 - \hat{\pi}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{\pi}_2(1 - \hat{\pi}_2)}{n_2}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.36(0.64)}{826} + \frac{0.44(0.56)}{674}} = 0.0254$$

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 36 / 56

So:

$$(\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1) \pm z_{\alpha/2} (se_{\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1})$$

$$(0.44 - 0.36) \pm 1.96 (0.0254)$$

$$0.08 \pm 1.96 (0.0254) = (0.030, 0.130)$$

The confidence interval does not contain zero so we can conclude there is a statistically significant difference in support for Donald Trump between men and women.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 37 / 56

Example 3: using Stata prtesti

Can use the t-test calculator in Stata (for proportion) to obtain these results. Syntax below or use the drop-down menus. n, p refer to the two group sample sizes and proportions.

prtesti n1 p1 n2 p2

. prtesti 674	0.44 826 0.3	5				
Two-sample test of proportions					Number of obs = Number of obs =	
	Mean	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
x y		.0191201 .0167013			.4025253 .327266	.4774747 .392734
diff	.08 under Ho:	.0253873	3.15	0.002	.0302419	.1297581
diff :	= prop(x) - pr = 0	rop (y)			Z	= 3.1514
Ha: diff		Ha: di Pr(Z > z	ff != 0	0016		iff > 0) = 0.0008

Example 4: Using Stata

Use NELS to test the hypothesis that male and female high school students differ in their propensity to binge drink. The variable *alcbinge* is equal to 1 if the student has ever binged on alcohol, and equal to 0 otherwise. Use α =0.05. Let group 2 be males and group 1 be females.

$$H_0: \pi_2 - \pi_1 = 0$$

$$H_1: \pi_2 - \pi_1 \neq 0$$

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 39 / 56

Example 4: Using Stata

In Stata use prtest *varname*, by(*groupvar*). Note prtest for proportions.

. prtest alcbinge, by(gender)

Interval	[95% Conf.	P> z	Z	Err.	Std.	Mean	Group
.349822	.2316752 .111047				.030	.2907489 .1538462	male female
.209851	.0639544	0.000	3.70		.037	.1369027 under Ho:	diff

Ha: diff != 0Pr(|Z| > |z|) = 0.0002 Ha: diff > 0 Pr(Z > z) = 0.0001

Example 4: Using Stata

From the above Stata output:

$$\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1 = 0.1369$$

$$se_{\hat{\pi}_2 - \hat{\pi}_1} = 0.0369$$
 under H_0

$$t = 3.704$$

$$p = 0.0001$$

Conclusion: Reject H_0 , since $p < \alpha$. There is a statistically significant difference in the propensity to binge drink between males and females.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 41 / 56

Paired sample t-test

- Suppose instead our samples are dependent, or paired.
- One advantage of a paired design is the ability to control for some external differences between the two samples.
- Independent samples will differ for a lot of idiosyncratic reasons ("noise")
 - Paired samples allow you to "difference out" some of the noise that produces differences in independent sample means
 - One example: the pre-post design. Comparing the same individuals before and after some intervention eliminates many of the external differences that cause samples to differ

Paired sample *t*-test

With paired samples, we can conduct a test for the the average within pair difference, rather than the difference in two sample means. Here a null hypothesis of a zero difference in means is stated as:

$$H_0: \mu_d = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_d \neq 0$$

where μ_d is the mean within-pair difference, or mean "difference score." A test of this hypothesis is simply the one-sample t-test for \bar{x}_d , the sample mean within-pair difference (calculated using each matched pair).

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 43 / 56

Paired sample t-test

The t-statistic for the paired sample t-test is:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_d - 0}{se_{\bar{x}_d}}$$

with n-1 degrees of freedom, where n is the number of matched pairs. The standard error of the mean difference is calculated as:

$$se_{\bar{x}_d} = s_{\bar{x}_d} / \sqrt{n}$$

 $s_{\bar{x}_d}$ is the standard deviation of the paired differences.

Example 5

In Everitt (1994), 17 girls treated for anorexia were weighed before and after treatment. Difference scores were calculated for each participant, with the following results: $\bar{x}_d = 7.26$, $s_{\bar{x}_d} = 7.16$. Test the null hypothesis that there was no change in weight.

$$H_0: \mu_d = 0$$

$$H_1: \mu_d \neq 0$$

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture '

Last update: October 31, 2022 45 / 56

Example 5

The test statistic is:

$$t = \frac{7.26 - 0}{7.16/\sqrt{17}} = 4.17$$

With df=16 the probability of obtaining by chance a t-statistic of 4.17 or larger is p < 0.01. With a significance level of α = 0.05 we reject H_0 . The change in weight was statistically significant.

Example 5 - confidence interval approach

Assuming a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$ we can alternatively construct a 95% confidence interval as:

$$egin{aligned} ar{x}_d &\pm t(df)_{lpha/2}(se_{ar{x}_d}) \ ar{x}_d &\pm t(16)_{0.025}(s_{ar{x}_d}/\sqrt{n}) \ 7.26 &\pm 2.12\left(rac{7.16}{\sqrt{17}}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

(3.57, 10.95) - this interval does not contain zero, so we reject H_0 . (The change in weight was statistically significant, or significantly different from zero).

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture 9

Last update: October 31, 2022 47 / 56

Example 6: Using Stata

Using the Agresti & Finlay dataset anorexia.dta, test for an effect of cognitive behavioral therapy on the weight of anorexia patients. n=29 subjects had therapy==b; before is the subject's weight before therapy and after is the subject's weight after therapy.

. ttest before-after if therapy"b"								
Paired t test								
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]		
before after	29 29		.8997857 1.550913	4.845494 8.351924	80.84653 82.51965			
diff	29	-3.006896	1.357155	7.308504	-5.786902	2268896		
	(diff) = me (diff) = 0	an (before - a	after)	degrees	t of freedom	= -2.2156 = 28		
	(diff) < 0 = 0.0175		: mean(diff)			(diff) > 0) = 0.9825		

Example 6: Using Stata

Notice the change in syntax in the ttest command. From the above Stata output:

$$\bar{x}_d = -3.007$$

$$se_{\bar{x}_d} = 1.357$$

$$t = -2.216$$

$$p = 0.035$$

Conclusion: Reject H_0 , since $p < \alpha$. The CBT therapy had a statistically significant effect on the subjects' weight.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture '

Last update: October 31, 2022 49 / 56

Stata ttest syntax recap

Stata ttest syntax:

- Test about a single population mean: ttest varname == [#], where [#] is the population mean under H_0 .
- Independent samples test comparing two population means: ttest varname, by(group), where group is the group variable.
- Paired sample test: ttest varname1==varname2.
- Using Stata as a t-test calculator for a single population mean: ttesti #obs #mean #sd #val [, level(#)]
- Using Stata as a t-test calculator, independent samples test: ttesti
 #obs1 #mean1 #sd1 #obs2 #mean2 #sd2 [, level(#)]

Stata prtest syntax recap

Stata prtest syntax:

- Test about a single population proportion: prtest varname ==[#], where [#] is the population proportion under H₀.
- Independent samples test comparing two population proportions: prtest varname, by (group), where group is the group variable.
- Paired sample test (proportions): prtest varname1==varname2.
- Using Stata as a t-test calculator for a single population proportion: prtesti #obs #p1 #p2 [, level(#)]
- Using Stata as a t-test calculator, independent samples test (proportions): prtesti #obs1 #p1 #obs2 #p2 [, level(#)]

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 51 / 56

Power calculation in Stata: two sample test

In Stata: Power analysis for a two-sample means test (independent samples). H_0 : no difference. You select:

- Effect size
- Equal variances or group-specific variances
- ullet Sample size and "allocation ratio" n_2/n_1
- Significance level (α)
- 2- or 1-sided test

As before, we can calculate power for a given n or determine the minimum n needed to detect a given effect size at some level of power.

Note a "balanced design" $n_2/n_1=1$ is more efficient (more power) than one with imbalanced sample sizes.

LPO.8800 (Corcoran)

Last update: October 31, 2022 52 / 56

Effect size

How should we express an *effect size* for a difference in means, in order to assess practical significance? Can use a Cohen's *d* type measure, expressing the estimated difference in means as a proportion of the pooled standard deviation:

$$d=\frac{\bar{x}_2-\bar{x}_1}{s_p}$$

The esize command in Stata will calculate Cohen's d (and other effect size measures). It uses the pooled standard deviation calculation s_p (see earlier slides).

LPO 8800 (Corcoran)

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 53 / 56

Practical vs. statistical significance, revisited

	A	В	C	D
Sample size	10,000	10,000	9	1,000
Overall mean test score	200	200	200	200
Standard deviation	25	25	100	25
Girls' mean test score	175	199	175	199
Boys' mean test score	225	201	225	201
$\Delta = Difference \; (Boys \; \text{-} \; Girls)$	50	2	50	2
Standard error (se) of				
difference in means	0.5	0.5	66.6	1.58
t-statistic for difference in mean				
H_0 :no difference (Δ/se)	100	4	0.75	1.26
p-value	p<0.0001	p<0.001	p>0.40	p>0.20
Statistically significant?	Yes	Yes	No	No
Confidence interval	$50 \pm 1.96 * 0.5$	$2 \pm 1.96 * 0.5$	$50 \pm 2.31 * 66.6$	$2 \pm 1.96 * 1.58$
	(49.02, 50.98)	(1.02, 2.98)	(-103.8, 203.85)	(-1.10, 5.10)
Effect size δ (Δ/SD)	2	0.08	0.5	0.08
Practically significant?	Yes	No	Yes (if true)	No

Source: Remler & Van Ryzin ch. 8. Note standard error for difference in means is $2 * (s/\sqrt{n})$ where n/2 is the number in each group. Assumes equal variances and an equal number of boys and girls.

Stata's esize

Stata's esize (effect size) command can calculate various types of effect sizes. For example, consider the *t*-test comparing 8th grade science achievement by gender:

. ttest achsci08,by(gender)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

diff :	mean (male)	- mean(fem	ale)		t ·	5.9578
diff		4.865461	.8166608		3.260928	6.469994
combined	499	55.68751	. 4203584	9.390095	54.86162	56.51341
male female	226 273	58.34938 53.48392	.6240243 .5340979	9.381142 8.824746	57.1197 52.43243	59.57906 54.53541
Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]

Ha: diff != 0

Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0000

Ha: diff < 0

Pr(T < t) = 1.0000

Lecture

Last update: October 31, 2022 55 / 56

Ha: diff > 0

Pr(T > t) = 0.0000

Stata's esize

esize twosample can calculate Cohen's d (and other measures of effect size):

. esize twosample achsci08,by(gender)

Effect size based on mean comparison

Cohen's d Hedges's g	.535793 .534984	.3561508 .355613	.714914 .7138345
Effect Size	Estimate	[95% Conf.	Interval]
		female =	273
		male =	226
	Obs	per group:	

Note it uses the pooled standard deviation, not the "combined" std. dev. shown on the previous slide.