## Lecture 6 In-Class Exercise

Last updated: October 24, 2024

This exercise will replicate and expand upon the results presented in the *Mixtape* chapter on Synthetic Control. In response to a civil action lawsuit related to prison overcrowding, Texas significantly expanded its state prison capacity in the 1980s. The growth in prison capacity increased rapidly beginning in 1993 when the state approved \$1 billion in new prison construction. In the *Mixtape*, Cunningham examines the effect of new prison construction on the incarceration rates of Black men. There is evidence that Black men are systematically more likely to be incarcerated (even for the same infractions), and that parole decisions are racially biased. One might predict, then, that the expanded capacity of prisons in Texas had a disproportionate effect on Black men. Cunningham uses synthetic control to address this question. (In this working paper, he looks at the effect of higher incarceration rates on drug markets). The dataset he uses can be read into Stata as follows:

use https://github.com/scunning1975/mixtape/raw/master/texas.dta, clear

See the accompanying do-file for Stata syntax.

1. Part 1 of the accompanying do-file uses the synth command to construct a synthetic control and estimate the effect of prison construction in Texas on the incarceration rates of Black men (bmprate, the number incarcerated per 100,000 population). The above dataset has already been "xtset" with statefip as the cross-sectional unit and year as the time period. The state ID for Texas is 48 and the first treatment year is assumed to be 1993. Cunningham selected 14 predictor variables, a combination of pre-treatment outcomes and covariates:

Incarceration rate in 1988, 1990, 1991, and 1992


Alcohol (1990), and AIDS per capita (1990 and 1991) – not sure what these are, or why they are used (I think this analysis is part of a larger study)

Income, unemployment rate, poverty rate – averages over the full pre-treatment period


Percent Black (1990, 1991, and 1992)

Percent aged 15-19 (1990)


From synth you get the cross-sectional unit weights (i.e., which states are used as the synthetic control and the weights they are given), a pre-treatment balance table for the predictors, and the standard graph (below). You can also view the V matrix of coefficient weights.




2. Part 2 of the do file uses the synth\_runner package which calls and builds upon synth. With synth\_runner you get the estimated treatment effects by year, p-values, and some additional graphs (a graph of the gap between Texas and its synthetic control, graphs of Texas vs. all of the placebos, and graphs of the p-values by year—see below). See Galiani & Quistorff (2017) for more on synth\_runner.





3. Part 3 of the do file uses the synth2 package which improves a lot upon synth and synth\_runner. (This command only works with Stata 16+). With synth2 you get the standard graphs along with a graph of covariate balance and weights. See Yan & Chen (2023) for details on synth2.




4. synth2 also conducts provides a standard ("in-space") placebo test, an "in-time" placebo test that sets the treatment year to an earlier "fake" treatment year, and a "leave-one-out" (LOO) robustness test where the model is repeatedly re-estimated excluding one of the units used in the synthetic control. These are also shown in Part 3 of the do file. Note for the standard placebo test it is possible to omit placebo units where the MSPE fit is especially poor.











5. Part 4 of the do file manually creates a graph of the gap between Texas and its synthetic control, using data saved in Part 1. (With synth you can save the synthetic control's means by year). This code is not necessary if you use synth\_runner or synth2, but this shows you how you can take the results and create your own graph.



6. Parts 5-7 of the do file manually run the synthetic control method for placebo states, and graphs the results. Part 7 calculates the pre- and post-RMSPE for each state and calculates the ratio of post-to-pre RMPSE. It then plots a histogram of these ratios, highlighting where Texas sits in relation to other states. Again, this code is not necessary if you use synth\_runner or synth2, but this shows you how you can do it yourself.



.