

Algorithms Challenge 2 except I give up and throw heuristics at it

Tunan Shi

special thanks to Jakub Bachurski

2022

Results on validation data

Reviewed on Monday, 28 February 2022, 3:27 PM by Automatic grade

Grade: 77.4 / 100.0

Assessment report [-]

Running Python tests

Baseline score: 0.6565255021842563

<i>Iter</i>	<i>0 (T 1.00):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>14871.590328</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>14871.590328</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>30000 (T 0.81):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>12358.243214</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>60000 (T 0.64):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>11594.654817</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>90000 (T 0.49):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>10977.072860</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>120000 (T 0.36):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>10694.511374</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>150000 (T 0.25):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>10268.601422</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>180000 (T 0.16):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>10075.818102</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>210000 (T 0.09):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>9966.013839</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>240000 (T 0.04):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>9868.380569</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>270000 (T 0.01):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>9809.415561</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>
<i>Iter</i>	<i>300000 (T 0.00):</i>	<i>Energy</i>	<i>9794.143209</i>	<i>Peak</i>	<i>15018.589196</i>

Energy: 9794.14320924788 Score: 0.7737943053714852

FINAL SCORE: 0.7737943053714852

Figure: Results can vary from 76.5-77.5

How did I get here

- I wanted to code a baseline solution from standard techniques so that I had something to compare my future code against
- It turned out to beat like everything else I tried
- I'll take it?

Simulated annealing idea

- At the start, explore a bunch of different solutions across the solution space
- Go down one of the solution spaces that seem to be promising
- As you explore more and more solutions, start to pick off the obviously bad choices
- Towards the end, just try and optimise your current solution as much as possible

Lends many parallels to metal cooling in real life, and we borrow some of the terminology

Simulated annealing crash course

- How bad a solution is is called its **energy**
 - We want our solution to have low energy
- We make alternate solutions by modifying the solution such that the energy is only slightly affected
 - Example: just swap two of the elements in our solution
- **Temperature**: how willing you are to explore different solutions at the expense of transitioning to a higher energy state
 - Temperature decreases over time
 - When temperature reaches 0, we only allow solutions of lower energy

Basic algorithm

*# How likely we are to accept a new solution with
energy s_p over an old solution with energy s*

```
def prob(sp, s, T):  
    if sp < s:  
        return 1  
    return np.exp(400*(s-sp)/(s*T))
```

Run simulated annealing for some amount of iterations

```
def anneal(iters):  
    sol = some starting solution # can be just randomness  
    temperatures = np.linspace(1, 0, iters+1)[1:]  
    for t in temperatures:  
        new = sol but make a random swap  
        if prob(e(new), e(sol), t) > random():  
            sol = sol_new  
    return sol
```

So... now what?

- Tune the algorithm!
 - Maybe it would work better if the temperature decreased exponentially?
 - Maybe run it for more iterations?
 - That magic number in the prob function may need a change
- Make each step run faster!
 - Vectorisation
 - Calculating the change in energy for each swap instead of always recalculating the entire thing
- Give the algorithm a headstart?
 - Maybe it won't have to try so hard at the start if you give it an okay solution to begin with?
 - Some algorithms are better than others for this

Slides download

<https://spdskatr.github.io/posts/anneal/>

If this is a potential employer, please ignore the part about

1561601101127958281549251050400861368019203391488