

# E9 222 Signal Processing in Practice

## Linear and Circular Convolution

Instructor: Chandra Sekhar Seelamantula

Due: 14 January 2026

### Learning Objectives

- Implement 1D and 2D linear and circular convolution
- Implement the inverse filter and Wiener filter for deconvolution

## Problem Statement

This assignment covers the fundamental operations of convolution for 1D and 2D. You will implement convolution from scratch to understand boundary conditions, then apply linear and time-invariant techniques to restore signals degraded by reverberation (1D) and optical blur (2D).

### 1 Part 1: Discrete Convolution Implementation

The discrete convolution of a signal  $x[n]$  of length  $N$  and a filter  $h[n]$  of length  $M$  is defined as:

$$y[n] = (x * h)[n] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x[k]h[n - k]$$

#### Tasks:

1. **Manual Implementation:** Write a function `manual_convolve(x, h, mode)` using nested loops. Do not use `numpy.convolve` or `scipy.signal.convolve`.
2. **Mode Support:** Implement the following boundary modes:
  - ‘full’: Output size  $N + M - 1$ . Standard linear convolution.
  - ‘same’: Output size  $N$ . Central crop of the full convolution.
  - ‘valid’: Output size  $N - M + 1$ . Returns only parts where signals fully overlap.

### 2 Part 2: 1D Deconvolution (Audio De-reverberation)

In audio processing, the multipath propagation of sound is termed reverberation. The goal is to recover the clean speech signal  $x[n]$  from a recorded reverberated signal  $y[n]$  modelled as:

$$y[n] = x[n] * h[n] + \eta[n]$$

where  $h$  is the Room Impulse Response (RIR) and  $\eta$  is background noise.

#### Tasks:

1. **Restoration:** Implement the 1D Wiener deconvolution, given in the frequency domain:

$$\hat{X}(\omega) = \left[ \frac{H^*(\omega)}{|H(\omega)|^2 + K} \right] Y(\omega)$$

2. **Comparison:** Compare the auditory and visual results (waveforms) of:
  - The Naive Inverse Filter (setting  $K \approx 0$ ).
  - The Wiener Filter (with tuned  $K$ ).

### 3 Part 3: 2D Image Degradation Model

The degradation process for images is modeled as:

$$g(x, y) = (h * f)(x, y) + \eta(x, y)$$

where  $f(x, y)$  is the original image,  $h(x, y)$  is the point-spread function (PSF), and  $\eta(x, y)$  is additive Gaussian noise.

#### Tasks:

1. **Image Loading:** Load a grayscale image. Convert pixel intensities to floating-point values in the range  $[0, 1]$ .
2. **Kernel Generation:** Create a  $k \times k$  motion blur kernel  $h$ . This can be approximated as a normalized diagonal line.
3. **Frequency-domain Convolution:** Compute the degraded image using the FFT:

$$g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\mathcal{F}\{h\} \cdot \mathcal{F}\{f\}\}$$

*Note: Ensure  $h$  is padded to the dimensions of  $f$  prior to the FFT to prevent aliasing.*

4. **Noise Addition:** Add Gaussian noise ( $\mu = 0, \sigma = 0.01$ ) to the convolved output. Display the final degraded image  $g(x, y)$ .

### 4 Part 4: Inverse Filtering (2D)

In the absence of noise, convolution in the spatial domain corresponds to multiplication in the frequency domain:  $G(u, v) = H(u, v)F(u, v)$ . The inverse filter estimate is given by:

$$\hat{F}(u, v) = \frac{G(u, v)}{H(u, v)}$$

#### Tasks:

1. Implement the inverse filter on
  - (a) the blurry image without noise
  - (b) the noisy, degraded image
2. **Comparison:** Report the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and structural similarity index (SSIM).

## 5 Part 5: Wiener Filtering (2D)

The Wiener filter minimizes the mean square error (MSE) between the estimated image and the original image. The filter response in the frequency domain is:

$$\hat{F}(u, v) = \left[ \frac{H^*(u, v)}{|H(u, v)|^2 + K} \right] G(u, v)$$

### Tasks:

1. Implement the Wiener filter.
2. Evaluate the performance for different regularization constants  $K$  (e.g., 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001).
3. **Comparison:** Visualize the Inverse Filter result alongside the Wiener Filter result. Report the PSNR and SSIM.

## 6 Part 6: Parameter Estimation

The objective is to perform blind deconvolution, i.e., deconvolution without the knowledge of the blur kernel. Consider a motion blurred version of `page.png`. From this point on, the true blur kernel is unknown.

1. Assume a Gaussian PSF model.
2. Manually tune the size, standard deviation  $\sigma$  of the Gaussian kernel and the Wiener constant  $K$  to maximize legibility.
3. Report the parameters yielding the optimal visual reconstruction.