

REAL-TIME OBJECT UNIFORM DESIGN METHODOLOGY WITH UML

Real-Time Object Uniform Design Methodology with UML

BUI MINH DUC

Laval University, Sainte-Foy, QC, Canada

A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-1-4020-5976-6

ISBN 978-1-4020-5977-3

Published by Springer,
P.O. Box 17, 3300 AA Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

www.springer.com

Printed on acid-free paper

All Rights Reserved

© 2007

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

Contents

List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xxv
Preface	xxix
1	
Introduction to the world of systems, problems, and solutions	1
1.1 The world of systems, problems, and solutions	1
1.1.1 System: problem	1
1.1.2 Tools for handling complex systems	4
1.1.3 Intelligent systems: hybrid systems, multidisciplinary systems	5
1.1.4 Component-based systems	7
1.1.5 Solutions	8
1.2 Real-time and embedded systems: disaster control and quality of service	10
1.2.1 Real-time and embedded systems	10
1.2.2 Disaster control: quality of service	12
1.3 Human organizations and structures: how software can simulate and study them	13
1.3.1 Human organizations and structures	13
1.3.2 Simulation of human organizations	14
1.4 This book is also a system	15
2	
Coping with complexity	19
2.1 Visual formalisms for handling complexity: a picture is worth a thousand words	19
2.1.1 Free diagrams	19
2.1.2 Technical diagrams	20
2.2 Object/function decomposition: layering, hierarchy, collaboration	22
2.3 Functional decomposition to handle complexity	23
2.3.1 Functional modeling is based on processes	23
2.3.2 How process is converted to UML?	24
2.3.3 The limit of functional decomposition: half a century of modular programming	25
2.4 How object technology handles complexity	28

2.4.1	Object paradigm	28
2.4.2	Information hiding, encapsulation	30
2.4.3	Composite objects are built from aggregates	30
2.4.4	Class factorization as complexity reduction mechanism	31
2.4.5	Unique messaging mechanism for object interaction	32
2.4.6	Inheritance as an economy of new class description	33
2.5	Object paradigm applied to control applications: reactive systems, control arrangement	33
2.6	Object paradigm applied to database applications: data modeling, handling database complexity	36
2.7	Objects and databases: bridging or mingling?	39
2.8	Object paradigm and component reuse	40
2.9	Mastering development complexity: a roadmap towards Model Driven Architecture	42
3		
	UML Infrastructure: Core concepts	47
3.1	Core concepts compliant to MOF: Modeling levels	48
3.2	Infrastructure: Core package	50
3.2.1	Core::PrimitiveTypes Package	52
3.2.2	Core::Abstractions Package	53
3.2.3	Core::Basic Package	65
3.2.4	Core::Constructs Package	67
3.2.5	Core::Profiles Package	72
4		
	UML Superstructure: language definition and diagrams	77
4.1	Making our own development methodology with UML	77
4.2	Structure and behavior: 13 UML diagrams classified along Structure and Behavior axes	79
4.3	Hierarchy of UML metaclasses	83
4.3.1	UML and “L” as language	83
4.3.2	Package import and merge in metamodeling	85
4.3.3	Comment on inheritance relationship at the metalevel of UML	86
4.4	Superstructure and compliance levels	86
4.5	Reuse of the Infrastructure: generalization hierarchy of metaclasses in the Structure part	88
4.6	Structure: class diagram	93
4.6.1	Example of user class diagram	95
4.6.2	Attribute	96
4.6.3	Association end, navigability, role, and qualifier	98
4.6.4	Operation, parameter list, return type, signature	100
4.6.5	Interface	103
4.6.6	Association class	104
4.6.7	Graphical notations	105
4.6.8	Dependencies	108
4.7	Structure: object diagram	109

4.7.1	Object, instance of a class	110
4.7.2	Link, instance of an association	111
4.7.3	Graphical notations	113
4.8	Structure: package diagram	114
4.9	Structure: composite structure diagram	118
4.9.1	Part	120
4.9.2	Port	122
4.9.3	Connector	123
4.9.4	Collaboration and collaboration use	123
4.9.5	Graphical notations	126
4.10	Structure: component diagram	128
4.11	Structure: deployment diagram	132
4.12	Hierarchy of metaclasses defined in the Behavior part: abstract metaclass behavior	135
4.13	Behavior: state machine diagram	139
4.13.1	State	142
4.13.2	State transition	143
4.13.3	Trigger and event	145
4.13.4	Simple, composite, and submachine states	145
4.13.5	Graphical notations	147
4.14	Behavior: activity diagram	150
4.14.1	Activity and Action metaclasses	150
4.14.2	Activity diagram	155
4.14.3	Data and control flow: execution of an activity diagram	158
4.14.4	Object nodes and storage of tokens	160
4.14.5	Control nodes	161
4.14.6	Exception handling: partition	163
4.14.7	Graphical notations	164
4.15	Behavior: interaction suite	169
4.15.1	Example of sequence diagram	169
4.15.2	Example of communication diagram	171
4.15.3	Example of Interaction Overview Diagram	173
4.15.4	Example of timing diagram	174
4.15.5	Metaclasses of the Interaction Suite	175
4.15.6	Graphical notations	176
4.16	Behavior: Use case diagram	181
4.16.1	Example	183
4.16.2	Metaclasses	183
4.16.3	Graphical notations	184
4.17	Auxiliary constructs: profiles	186
4.17.1	Example of profile	187
4.17.2	Graphical notations	188
5		
	Fundamental concepts of the real world and their mapping in UML	191
5.1	Abstraction, concept, domain, ontology, model	191
5.1.1	Abstraction	191

5.1.2	Concept	193
5.1.3	Domain	194
5.1.4	Ontology	196
5.1.5	Model	198
5.2	Structural, functional, and dynamic views of systems	200
5.3	Concepts of the functional view: Process and Business	
	Process Modeling	201
5.3.1	Concepts used in Process Domain	202
5.3.2	Examples of Process Modeling and their conversions into UML diagrams	207
5.3.3	Building a proprietary methodology	211
5.3.4	Business process domain	211
5.3.5	Business Process Management and Workflow	216
5.3.6	Business Motivation Model	217
5.3.7	Mapping a process	220
5.3.8	Mapping a datastore	225
5.3.9	Mapping control flows and data flows	227
5.4	Fundamental concepts of the dynamic view	230
5.4.1	States and pseudostates	231
5.4.2	From elementary state towards global system state	234
5.4.3	Actions and activities	238
5.4.4	Events	240
5.4.5	Condition	241
5.4.6	Messages	245
5.4.7	Relations between action, activity, event, state, condition and message	248
5.4.8	Transitions: relationship with control flow	253
5.5	Fundamental concepts of the structural view	255
5.5.1	Class, type, object, and set of objects	258
5.5.2	Database objects, real-time objects, and their relationships	268
5.5.3	Dependencies, relationships, associations, links	270
5.5.4	Association class: n-ary association	272
5.5.5	Aggregation/composition in the object/component hierarchy	276
5.5.6	Inheritance	280
5.5.7	Multiple inheritances	281
5.5.8	Objects and roles	285
6		
	The Uniform concept	289
6.1	Elements of the uniform concept	290
6.1.1	Elements of the real world: objects and their interactions	290
6.1.2	Extension of the message interaction model	293
6.1.3	Induced energy	296
6.1.4	Use of a Monitor object	297
6.1.5	All objects are made independent even with tightly coupled interaction scheme	301
6.1.6	Cause–effect chain	303

6.2	Requirement analysis model	305
6.2.1	“What to do?” phase	305
6.2.2	Parameters of the requirements engineering step	306
6.2.3	Requirements engineering	308
6.3	Requirements analysis with technical diagrams	311
6.3.1	Requirements analysis with use case diagrams	313
6.3.2	Conducting the requirements analysis phase	318
6.3.3	Use case modeling advices	324
6.3.4	Using business process diagrams	326
6.4	System Requirements specifications	327
6.4.1	Requirements Engineering Model in the Model Driven Architecture	329
6.4.2	Dealing with platform dependent components in PIM	331
6.4.3	Various steps in the design process	331
6.4.4	Hierarchical decomposition or bottom-up method?	334
6.5	Designing real-time applications with MDA	335
6.5.1	Project breakdown structure into packages	337
6.5.2	Project scheduling	340
6.5.3	Starting a real-time system with the UML sequence diagram	340
6.5.4	What does a logical model mean?	344
6.5.5	Starting real-time systems with the UML interaction overview, activity, or state machine diagrams	347
6.5.6	Building real-time classes and object diagrams	350
6.5.7	Completing dynamic studies with UML state machine and activity diagrams	357
6.5.8	Assigning task responsibility	362
6.6	Designing reusable database models	364
6.6.1	Categorization and classification: reuse of static structures	365
6.6.2	Poor reusability of some conceptual database models	365
6.6.3	Conceptualizing domain and modeling reality: database models	367
6.6.4	Generic Classes Model	369
6.6.5	Intermediate and Application Classes Models	374
6.7	Unifying real-time and database applications	374
7		
	Real-time behavioral study beyond UML	381
7.1	SEN or State-Event Net diagram	383
7.1.1	Mathematical foundations of Petri network	385
7.1.2	Using Petri nets in modeling	386
7.1.3	State-Event Net abstractions	387
7.1.4	SEN subnets	389
7.1.5	SEN control structures	389
7.2	UML diagrams mapped into SEN	394
7.2.1	State machine diagram mapped into SEN	394
7.2.2	Activity diagram mapped into SEN	396
7.2.3	Sequence diagram mapped into SEN	397

7.2.4	Exception handling	397
7.2.5	Competition for common resource	399
7.3	Timing constraints with SEN	399
7.4	Case study with SEN	402
7.5	Safety-critical systems	405
7.5.1	State space search with combinatorial method: image attribute methodology	408
7.5.2	Developing safety-critical systems	411
7.5.3	Method of image attributes applied to human and social organizations	414
8		
Real time case study		417
8.1	Design of an inclined elevator or track-lift tram	417
8.1.1	Early requirements	417
8.1.2	Requirements engineering: text analysis phase	420
8.1.3	Building classes for instantiating actors in use case diagrams	423
8.1.4	PIM of the inclined elevator system: creating the Generic Classes package	430
8.1.5	PIM of the Inclined Elevator System: creating the Generic Architectures package	434
8.1.6	PIM of the Inclined Elevator System: instantiating a working system	439
8.1.7	PIM of the Inclined Elevator System: behavioral study of the control unit	441
8.2	Emergency service in a hospital and design of a database coupled with a real-time system	448
8.2.1	Early requirements	450
8.2.2	Use case diagrams for System Requirements Specifications Document	452
8.2.3	Designing the patient database coupled with a real-time emergency system	452
References		467
Index		475

List of Figures

2.1.1.1	Odd shapes and free forms	20
2.1.1.2	Objects with/without volume, decorations and adornments	21
2.3.1.1	Gane-Sarson or Yourdon-DeMarco bubble representing a process in functional modeling	24
2.3.2.1	Same Process as Figure 2.3.1.1 with an UML activity diagram	25
2.5.1.1	Typical arrangement of a reactive system	34
2.5.1.2	Thermostatic control built on the principle of a reactive system	35
2.9.0.1	Classic development roadmap	43
2.9.0.2	Instance of development scenario compliant to MDA (to be compared with the classic development roadmap) that enacts high reuse	44
2.9.0.3	Transformations and bridges between models	45
3.2.0.1	Reuse of Common Core Package by UML and MOF	51
3.2.0.2	Four Core packages defined in the Infrastructure	51
3.2.1.1	Core::PrimitiveTypes Package contains four classes	52
3.2.2.1	Contents of Core::Abstractions. This package is composed of 20 elementary packages connected together via a network structure (Fig. 12, Infrastructure Book)	53
3.2.2.2	The Core::Abstractions::Elements Package contains the metaclass Element (Fig. 27 & 28, Infrastructure Book)	53
3.2.2.3	The Core::Abstractions::Ownerships Package expresses the fact that an element can contain other elements (Fig. 50, Infrastructure Book)	54
3.2.2.4	Core::Abstractions::Comments Package (Fig. 20, Infrastructure Book)	55

3.2.2.5	Core::Abstractions::Namespaces Package. A namespace provides a container for named elements (Fig. 48, Infrastructure Book)	56
3.2.2.6	Core::Abstractions::Visibilities Package. This package defines how an element can be visible to other modeling elements (Fig. 62 & 63, Infrastructure Book)	56
3.2.2.7	Core::Abstractions::Expressions Package. Expressions are used to support structured expression trees (Fig. 30, Infrastructure Book)	57
3.2.2.8	The Core::Abstractions::Literals Package specifies metaclasses for specifying literal values. A literal Boolean is a specification of Boolean value. A literal integer contains an integer-valued attribute, etc. (Fig. 40, Infrastructure Book)	58
3.2.2.9	Core::Abstractions::Constraints Package. Constraints use Expressions to define textually conditions that must be satisfied (expressions evaluated to true) in a model (Fig. 23, Infrastructure Book)	58
3.2.2.10	Core::Abstractions::Classifiers Package (Fig. 18, Infrastructure Book)	59
3.2.2.11	Core::Abstractions::Super Package (Fig. 58, Infrastructure Book)	59
3.2.2.12	Core::Abstractions::TypeElements Package (Fig. 61, Infrastructure Book)	59
3.2.2.13	Core::Abstractions::Relationships Package (Fig. 54, Infrastructure Book)	60
3.2.2.14	Core::Abstractions::Generalizations Package (Fig. 32, Infrastructure Book)	61
3.2.2.15	Core::Abstractions::Redefinitions Package (Fig. 52, Infrastructure Book)	61
3.2.2.16	Core::Abstractions::StructuralFeatures Package (Fig. 56, Infrastructure Book)	62
3.2.2.17	Core::Abstractions::Changeabilities Package (Fig. 16, Infrastructure Book)	62
3.2.2.18	Core::Abstractions::Instances Package (Fig. 35, Infrastructure Book)	62
3.2.2.19	Core::Abstractions::BehavioralFeatures Package (Fig. 14, Infrastructure Book)	63

3.2.2.20	Core::Abstractions::Multiplicities Package (Fig. 42, Infrastructure Book)	63
3.2.2.21	Core::Abstractions::MultiplicityExpressions Package (Fig. 46, Infrastructure Book)	63
3.2.3.1	Core::Basic::Types Package (Fig. 65, Infrastructure Book)	64
3.2.3.2	Core::Basic::Classes Package (reduced version of Fig. 66, Infrastructure Book)	64
3.2.3.3	Data types in the Core::Basic::DataTypes Package (Fig. 67, Infrastructure Book)	65
3.2.3.4	Core::Basic::Packages Package (Fig. 68, Infrastructure Book)	65
3.2.4.1	Core::Constructs::Root Package (Fig. 71, Infrastructure Book)	66
3.2.4.2	Core::Constructs::Classifiers Package (Fig. 84, Infrastructure Book)	67
3.2.4.3	Core::Constructs::Classes Package (Fig. 73, Infrastructure Book)	68
3.2.4.4	Core::Constructs::Operations Package (Fig. 93, Infrastructure Book)	69
3.2.4.5	Core::Constructs::Datatypes Package (Fig. 86, Infrastructure Book)	70
3.2.4.6	Core::Constructs::Packages Package (Fig. 94, Infrastructure Book)	71
3.2.4.7	Core::Constructs::Namespaces Package (Fig. 89, Infrastructure Book)	72
3.2.4.8	Core::Constructs::Expressions Package (Fig. 72, Infrastructure Book)	73
3.2.4.9	Core::Constructs::Constraints Package (Fig. 85, Infrastructure Book)	73
3.2.5.1	Core::Profiles Package (Fig. 109, Infrastructure Book)	74
4.2.0.1	13 diagrams of UML shown as containers	79
4.4.0.1	Top level package dependencies. Relationships are “import” and/or “merge”	88
4.5.0.1	Infrastructure packages merged into the Kernel of UML	88
4.5.0.2	Flat 16 packages of the Kernel merged from the Infrastructure	89

4.5.1.1	Generalization hierarchy of the UML Structure Part showing principal metaclasses and their structure in the metalanguage (Part1)	90
4.5.1.2	Generalization hierarchy of the UML Structure Part (Part2). Operation is a BehavioralFeature and Property is a StructuralFeature	91
4.5.1.3	Generalization hierarchy of the UML Structure Part (Part3)	91
4.6.0.1	Classifier concept of the Kernel package. (Figure 7.9, Superstructure Book)	94
4.6.0.2	Class and Property concepts of the Kernel package. (simplified version of Figure 7.12, Superstructure Book)	95
4.6.1.1	Example of Class Diagram showing a temperature regulation	96
4.6.3.1	Role, multiplicity, navigability, qualifier attached to an association	98
4.6.3.2	E-R diagram replacement	100
4.6.5.1	Using interfaces to describe systems (example 7.57 of Superstructure Book)	104
4.7.0.1	InstanceSpecification concept of the Kernel package (figure 7.14, Superstructure Book) used to instantiate both objects and links	110
4.7.2.1	Class diagram and Object diagram	112
4.8.0.1	Example of package diagram and relationships defined with packages	115
4.8.0.2	Package concept of the Kernel package (figure 7.14, Superstructure Book)	116
4.9.0.1	StructuredClassifier of the InternalStructures package (figure 9.2, Superstructure Book)	119
4.9.1.1	Differences between a class diagram, a composite structure diagram and an object diagram	121
4.9.2.1	Port metaclass defined in CompositeStructures::Ports package (figure 9.4, Superstructure Book)	122
4.9.3.1	Connector and ConnectorEnd metaclasses as defined in CompositeStructures::InternalStructures package (figure 9.3, Superstructure Book)	123
4.9.4.1	Collaboration and CollaborationUse metaclasses in CompositeStructures::Collaborations package (figure 9.6 & 9.7, Superstructure Book)	124

4.9.4.2	Example in the mechanical domain that illustrates the use of “Collaboration” and “CollaborationUse” modeling concepts and three associated kinds of dependency	125
4.9.4.3	Example elaborated in the house construction domain	126
4.10.0.1	Component concept as defined in BasicComponents package (Fig. 8.2, Superstructure Book)	129
4.10.0.2	Extension of the Component in PackagingComponents package	129
4.11.0.2	Deployment diagram showing components and nodes of a web database	135
4.12.0.1	Generalization hierarchy of the UML Behavior (part1)	136
4.12.0.2	Generalization hierarchy of the UML Behavior (part2)	137
4.12.0.3	Behavior metaclass as defined in BasicBehaviors package	138
4.13.0.1	Hair Dryer State Machine	140
4.13.0.2	Metaclasses StateMachine, State, Region, Transition, Pseudostate, FinalState defined in BehaviorStateMachines package	141
4.13.2.1	Abstractions defined in a state and on a transition	144
4.13.3.1	Trigger and Event metaclasses defines in Communications package	145
4.13.3.2	Subclasses of Event metaclass defined in Communications package	146
4.14.1.1	Activity package dependencies	152
4.14.1.2	Activity metaclass defined in FundamentalActivities (Fig. 12.3 Superstructure, Book)	152
4.14.1.3	Activity metaclass refined in BasicActivities (Fig. 12.5 Superstructure, Book)	153
4.14.1.4	Action metaclass as defined in BasicActions	153
4.14.1.5	Pin metaclass defined in BasicActions package	154
4.14.2.1	Example of activity diagram with activities, decision nodes with conditions, fork and join nodes, initial activity and final activity nodes	156
4.14.2.2	Activity diagrams with partitions and identification of participating objects	157
4.14.3.1	Data flows, action pins and activity parameter nodes	158
4.14.4.1	ObjectNode and ControlNode metaclasses defined in BasicActivities package (Fig. 12.4 Superstructure Book)	160

4.14.4.2	CentralBufferNode defined in IntermediateActivities package and DataStoreNode defined in CompleteActivities package	161
4.14.5.1	Control nodes and their corresponding packages. ActivityFinalNode defined in BasicActivities is enriched in IntermediateActivities	162
4.14.6.1	InterruptibleActivityRegion, ExceptionHandler and ActivityPartition metaclasses	164
4.15.1.1	Main constituents of a sequence diagram: lifelines and messages	170
4.15.2.1	Communication diagram, a replica of the previous sequence diagram	171
4.15.2.2	Partial class diagram of the Car	172
4.15.3.1	Example of Interaction Overview Diagram	173
4.15.4.1	Timing diagram for time specification	175
4.15.5.1	Metaclasses of the Interaction Suite	176
4.16.1.1	Concepts used in a use case diagram	182
4.16.2.1	Concepts used for modeling uses cases (Fig. 16.2, Superstructure Book)	183
4.17.1.1	Example of UML profile	188
5.3.0.1	Mapping of the Business Process Model into UML compatible BP model	203
5.3.1.1	Mapping of the Process Model directly into UML compatible Process model	203
5.3.2.1	Bubble process model of an Order Processing	208
5.3.2.2	Conversion of the DFD “Order Processing” into an activity diagram	210
5.3.3.1	Compatible strategy for adapting an existing methodology to UML	212
5.3.4.1	Overview of Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN) of an Order Processing in the site of www.bpmi.org	214
5.3.4.2	Order Processing diagram converted from Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN) to activity diagram notation	215
5.3.6.1	Over simplified version of the Business Organization as inspired from drafts on the OMG site to explain the mapping of business processes	218

5.3.6.2	Reduced version of the Influencer as taken from the OMG site	219
5.3.7.1	Mapping Business Processes in an Enterprise. (See Text)	223
5.3.8.1	Datastores used in the past with DFD. There is no datastore defined in the BPMN and BMM	225
5.3.9.1	Control flows and data flows in a DFD diagram	227
5.3.9.2	Conversion of the DFD of figure 5.3.8.1 into activity diagram	230
5.4.2.1	States of the Hair Dryer not decomposed. 64 states are possible at the beginning, based on 6 binary operations (activated or not)	235
5.4.2.2	States of the Hair Dryer decomposed into two separate objects. The number of states is 16 (8+8)	235
5.4.2.3	Conventional state used with UML is not elementary state (figure 15.32 Superstructure book)	237
5.4.8.1	Transition characteristics in state machine diagram. Transitions are made of known concepts exposed in previous chapters	254
5.5.1.1	Car class declared in three different contexts	265
5.5.3.1	Relationship between Relationship, Association, Link, Connector and Dependency	271
5.5.4.1	Attribute of relation in ER diagram	272
5.5.4.2	Association class. When a person takes a job in a company, the two sides are tied by a contract that is represented as a class association	272
5.5.4.3	Association Class. Association class represents a contract in business domain	273
5.5.4.4	The first example shows a misuse of 4-ary relationship, corrected in the first step to a 3-ary relationship, then corrected in a second step to transform the ternary association into two binary associations (see text for discussion)	276
5.5.5.1	Same objects but two different aggregation criteria that are “Administrative Structure” and “Geographical Localization”	278
5.5.5.2	Decomposition that respects the level semantic coherence	279
5.5.6.1	Example of inheritance hierarchy	280

5.5.7.1	In object technology, multiple inheritance use union of attributes and operations (not intersection), so the child class is essentially the union of the behavior of the two parent classes	282
5.5.7.2	Classical problem known as the “diamond” problem [Snyder, 1986] in multiple inheritance	283
5.5.7.3	Inheritance hierarchy containing simple and multiple inheritance	284
5.5.7.4	Example of questionable multiple inheritance hierarchy	285
5.5.7.5	Composition or multiple inheritance? The first solution is a multiple inheritance with some minor conflict resolution and the second is a team of three engineers	286
5.5.8.1	Representation of role in UML as name of an association end	287
5.5.8.2	Roles are modeled as separate classes	287
6.1.1.1	Object diagram of a Home Alarm System showing the modeling of visible and invisible, human and non human objects	293
6.1.2.1	Sequence and class diagrams showing the arrangement of a parking ticket delivery system from the user viewpoint	295
6.1.3.1	At the starting point, we have 4 independent pieces Mechanical_Piece_1, Mechanical_Piece_2, Bolt and Nut At the end, we have a monolithic piece shown in the figure	297
6.1.3.2	Translation of mechanical assembly into objects and messages	298
6.1.4.1	Variable speed electric fan with its power supply	299
6.1.4.2	Object diagram representing a control model	299
6.1.4.3	Use of a Monitor object	302
6.2.3.1	UML activities diagram showing activities of Requirements Engineering phase	309
6.3.2.1	Class diagram of the RMSHC system	318
6.3.2.2	Use case diagram depicting goals enumerated for the RMSHC	321
6.3.2.3	Activities of the Blood Pressure Monitor	323
6.3.2.4	Use case diagram showing activities related to the management of the Electronic Pifi Box	323
6.3.3.1	Package diagram of the Requirements Analysis of the Remote Monitoring System for Health Conditions	324

<i>List of Figures</i>	xix
6.3.3.2 Actors are connected directly through inheritance only	324
6.3.3.3 When actors are connected together, we have an object style connection. In a use case diagram, a use case is inserted between two actors meaning that actors are involved in the activity	325
6.3.3.4 Inheritance between roles. The left diagram is replaced by the right diagram	325
6.3.4.1 Business Process Diagram showing the functional aspects of the Pill Management Software Component of the RSMHC project	327
6.4.1.1 A link Model traces all mappings between the SRS elements and components of PIM set and PSM set	330
6.4.3.1 Design process roadmap represented by a business process diagram combined with an object diagram	332
6.5.1.1 Decomposition of a Security System in an Airport	338
6.5.1.2 Re-specs with use cases and packages illustrated via the RMSHC example	339
6.5.3.1 Example of call graph showing all execution paths in a program	341
6.5.3.2 User's Instruction "How to arm a home alarm system" expressed by a sequence diagram	342
6.5.3.3 Corresponding classes identified while drawing the sequence diagram	343
6.5.3.4 Sequence diagram for developing the Home Alarm System	344
6.5.3.5 The status of a passive component in a real time system can be detected by reading the status of the component with a busy wait loop or mounting appropriate hardware to send an interrupting signal to the Controller	346
6.5.5.1 Interaction Overview Diagram packing many references to sequence diagrams inside an activity diagram	348
6.5.5.2 Activity diagram as a starting method for the design	349
6.5.5.3 State machine diagram as a starting method for designing	350
6.5.6.1 Domain engineering with two activity flows (Domain Engineering & Client System Development) that may be conducted in parallel or not	352
6.5.6.2 Classes of Alarm System Domain (Generic Classes Package)	353
6.5.6.3 Contents of Generic Architectures Package (Alarm System)	355
6.5.6.4 Contents of Reusable Components Package (Alarm System)	356

6.5.6.5	Instance of Customer application (Alarm System). A Customer application contains objects instead of classes	358
6.5.7.1	State machine diagram of the Panel Controller showing activities accompanying the arming process	361
6.6.0.1	Physical, logical, conceptual and semantic models on a user perception axis of low or high level of data representation	364
6.6.2.1	Classic conceptual Person class often seen in database model	366
6.6.2.2	Slight correction of the model of figure 6.6.2.1	366
6.6.3.1	Organization of data models, From Generic Classes Model to the Physical Models	368
6.6.4.1	Elementary GCM elements of Humans, Identifications, Locations, Immobilizations packages illustrating the contents of Generic Classes Model	370
6.7.0.1	Deployment diagram explaining that many bagRT are created temporarily (they can be made persistent locally)	378
7.0.0.1	The Dynamic Model developed with SEN (State Event Net) acts as intermediate model between PSM and Code	383
7.1.1.1	Petri net with 4 places P1-P4 and 2 transitions T1-T2	386
7.1.2.1	Modeling task execution with a Petri net	387
7.1.3.1	Various graphic representations of SEN places	389
7.1.4.1	SEN subnets	390
7.1.5.1	Various End of Activity representation and Interruptible Activity	391
7.1.5.2	Decision nodes (a) simple IF, (b) CASE with one outgoing edge, (c) handling complex case with overlapping conditions and possibility of parallel split	392
7.1.5.3	Example of DO loop represented by a SEN at two levels, first at programming language level and at design level (as a sub SEN)	393
7.1.5.4	Fork, Join and Merge nodes	394
7.2.1.1	Mapping of a state diagram into SEN	395
7.2.2.1	Conversion of activity diagram with object flow into SEN	396
7.3.2.1	Intra-object interactions and inter-object interactions	398
7.2.4.1	Exception handling in a SEN	399
7.2.5.1	Competition for a common resource	400

7.3.0.1	Representation on the End of Activity (EOA) and Before End of Activity (BEOA) conditions	401
7.3.0.2	Timing specification with variable “end”	401
7.3.0.3	Timing dependence between two objects A and B with EOA and BEOA	402
7.4.0.1	Use case diagram representing activities of the Tamagotchi, Person and Timer	404
7.4.0.2	SEN representing the state evolution of the Tamagotchi	404
7.4.0.3	Class and object diagrams of the Tamagotchi	405
7.4.0.4	SEN versions of the Tamagotchi application with separate SEN. Cross-interactions between objects are not shown but they are parts of class and object diagrams	406
7.5.1.1	Attributes and image attributes of an object	409
7.5.1.2	SEN of the Tamagotchi established after an extensive study with the method of image attributes	412
8.1.1.1	Free form diagram showing main components of the inclined elevator	419
8.1.1.2	Control Panel of the inclined elevator	419
8.1.3.1	Classes of the Inclined Elevator identified by Text Analysis of Early Requirements Specification	424
8.1.3.1	Thirteen use case diagrams showing activities of the Inclined Elevator System from which CRT parameters must be evaluated	430
8.1.4.1	Generic Classes Package in use in LifiTram Company	431
8.1.4.2	Details of the Generic Mechanical Classes Package. These classes are specific to the Elevator Domain	431
8.1.4.3	Details of the Generic Electromechanical Classes Package	432
8.1.4.4	Details of the Generic Sensor Classes Package	433
8.1.4.5	Details of the General Inteffigent Devices Classes Package	434
8.1.5.1	For one shot deal project with small chance of recurrence (small scale reuse), components can be built directly from objects instantiated from the Generic Classes package. This diagram is incomplete and is targeted to show small-scale reuse process	435
8.1.5.2	Four components are defined in Generic Architectures Package	436

8.1.5.3	Generic Architectures Package contains the definition of four composite components	436
8.1.5.4	Composition of the Cable-Transmission-Tension component	437
8.1.5.5	Composition of the Track-Limit-Sensors component	437
8.1.5.6	Composition of the Cabin-Door-Detector-Castor-Overspeed-Obstruction-TrackBrake component	438
8.1.5.7	Composition of the Control Unit component	439
8.1.6.1	The Package Application Objects/Components contains the PIM built from merging classes and components from other packages. Only Application Classes Package is not reusable	440
8.1.6.2	The final system is composed of objects/components instantiated from reusable Generic Classes Package, reusable Generic Architecture Package	440
8.1.7.1	Object diagram of the Inclined Elevator Controller with all inputs/outputs of its first neighbors	444
8.1.7.2	When the power is on, the Controller undergoes a system check routine then powers the VFD. If faults are declared, the Controller requests that all faults must be cleared before enabling any movement	445
8.1.7.3	This routine details “System Check & Power on VFD” subroutine. It sets the main EM brake on, verifies track jam, slack cable and overspeed sensors and set lights accordingly	446
8.1.7.4	The subroutine “Update Fault Indicators” reads all sensors and buttons then updates all signal lights (Lights are exclusive, Red or Green only)	447
8.1.7.5	The main subroutine supports the normal operation mode of the cabin	449
8.2.2.1	Classes of the Hospital with the Emergency Classification Problem. They are used to instantiate objects for specification purpose only, not for design	453
8.2.2.2	UC0 to UC8 specifying the hospital emergency problem	457
8.2.3.1	Contents of the Generic Classes Model (GCM). Figures of this GCM suite must be completed with Figure 6.6.4.1 of chapter 6	459

8.2.3.2	Contents of the ICM package that contains classes belonging to the Health Care System	462
8.2.3.3	Contents of the ACM package (Application Classes Model). This package relates to all relationships specific to the Hospital X	464

List of Tables

1.1.1.1	The table shows the similarity of approaches and steps used to design a system or solve a problem	4
1.2.1.1	Characteristics of real time applications	11
1.3.1.1	Depletion of vocabulary from high to lower models	14
2.8.0.1	Survey questions to test the commitment to reuse concept in an organization	42
3.1.0.1	4-layer architecture of UML	48
4.2.0.1	Six structural diagrams of UML standard	81
4.2.0.2	Seven behavioral diagrams of UML 2 standard. Behavioral includes both functional and dynamic aspects	82
4.3.1.1	Parallel between the natural language and UML	84
4.4.0.1	Four compliance levels of UML L0 to L3 defined with regard to the abstract syntax dimension	87
4.6.7.1	Modeling concepts mostly used in a class diagram	105
4.6.8.1	Standard dependencies defined in UML. Users can freely define their proper set of dependency stereotypes	108
4.7.3.1	Modeling concepts found in an object diagram	113
4.8.1.1	Modeling concepts found in a package diagram. —	117
4.9.5.1	Modeling concepts in a composite structure diagram	126
4.10.1.1	Modeling concepts of a component diagram	130
4.11.0.1	Modeling concepts used in a deployment diagram	132
4.13.5.1	Modeling concepts used in a State Machine diagram	147
4.14.1.1	Seven levels of Activities definitions	155
4.14.7.1	Modeling concepts used in an Activity diagram	164
4.15.6.1	Modeling concepts of the Interaction Suite	176
4.16.3.1	Concepts used in a use case diagram	184

4.17.2.1	Modeling concepts used in profiles	188
5.1.1.1	Mapping of Abstraction concept and different meanings in the domain of modeling	192
5.1.2.1	Mapping of a simple concept that necessitates just a categorization process with a Class	194
5.1.2.2	Mapping of a “Complex Concept” concept and example	195
5.1.3.1	Mapping of a Domain concept in UML	19
5.1.4.1	Mapping of an Ontology concept in UML	198
5.1.5.1	Mapping of a “model” concept into UML	199
5.2.0.1	Vocabulary depletion when evolving from application domains towards programming domain	202
5.3.2.1	Mapping rules of a simple Process Model into UML	209
5.3.7.1	Mapping of process into UML metaclasses	221
5.3.8.1	Mapping of a datastore into UML concepts	226
5.3.9.1	Meaning of UML control flow, object flow, interrupt edge	229
5.4.1.1	Description of UML concepts related to the real world concept of “state”	232
5.4.4.1	Support for concept of event in UML	242
5.4.5.1	This table explains how UML deals with conditions at various levels	244
5.4.6.1	Support of Message concepts in UML	249
5.4.8.1	Mapping of system change, system evolution, system transition or system movement into UML transition and UML control flow	254
5.5.4.1	Table containing all possible entries of a ternary association between Participant, TradeShow and Location	275
5.5.5.1	Aggregation is considered as the loosely coupled form and composition the highly coupled form between parts and whole	277
6.2.3.1	Sub phases of the Requirements Engineering process	312
6.3.1.1	Convention used in this book: basic concepts in use case diagram and extensions of concept interpretation	314
6.3.2.1	Example of Text Content Analysis in order to establish use case diagrams structuring requirements	319
7.4.0.1	Text Content Analysis of the Tamagotchi specification	403
7.5.1.1	Table of attributes and image attributes of the Tamagotchi	411

7.5.1.2	Table of Sequences built from variations of state values of Tamagotchi regular attributes and image attributes	413
8.1.2.1	Text analysis of Early Requirements (Inclined Elevator). First step of Requirements Engineering phase	420
8.1.7.1	This table lists all objects/components constituting the first neighbors of the Controller and identifies the nature of communication channels exchanged with the Controller	442

Preface

Book Description

Real-Time Object Uniform Design Methodology with UML is a theoretical and practical book written for busy people who want to untangle the complex world of system development, find essential materials without digging in UML standard documentation, grasp subtle concepts of object orientation, practice the new Model Driven Architecture (MDA), experience the reuse mechanism, and transform the bare metal programming of real-time and embedded products into more handsome platform-independent and platform-specific components. With this rapid methodology of development, practitioners can spare time, avoid tons of written documentation by relieving this tedious task to smart CASE (computer-aided software engineering) tools, and have a quick and synthetic view of any system through a well-built set of pictures and blueprints.

The methodology presented in this book is a *neutral methodology* based on a thorough study of fundamental modeling concepts and then a temporary mapping of these concepts on current available standards and tools. We say “temporary” because research is in fact a never-ending activity. Good standards are evolving standards and the truth is always questionable. We are not pretending to add a new methodology to the numerous existent or in-house methodologies. We hope that the reader is able to catch the thoughts presented in this book to have a more critical view on any future methodology (a kind of meta “methodology”). So, feel free to prune off parts that you do not feel comfortable with. On the other hand, give us also the opportunity to correct any inaccuracy or vagueness in future versions of this book to always keep it at the leading edge of knowledge.

Why do we need object technology and an innovative uniform view of the message concept? Neil Armstrong had already walked on the Moon in 1969 before the maturity of object technology. Most real-time methodologies in the past were good guidelines at that time. Today, we know that every system has exactly three views: structural, functional, and dynamic (“behavioral” combines “functional” and “dynamic”). We must know all about systems

before building them. At the implementation phase, algorithms are inferred from dynamic analyses. Before serious dynamic investigations, we must first structure the systems. In the past, real-time practitioners tackled systems through functions, along the functional axis. Database developers unveiled the first structural aspects with entities and relationships, and so approached problems from the structural axis. Mixed and multidisciplinary software, hardware, physical, biological, and social systems suffered from the use of different tools to explore and design multidomain systems. Object methodologists, who made the proposal of class abstraction, which contains functions, structures, and dynamic contents, claimed that every software system can be modeled with object paradigm and blueprinted with a tool like the UML. Effectively, we observe that object technology can be used to study any complex multidisciplinary system if we supply a more uniform view of the message concept to represent interaction between objects of very different nature. We therefore propose some “patches” in object paradigm, based on “induced energy,” “cause–effect chain,” and the generalization of the “message concept.” They open unsuspected doors for the modeling of a richer set of multidomain applications.

Is object technology compatible with business processes? If everyone is convinced that object technology is the right way to go, in university school benches, why do students still make classes from each function they discover even in the presence of an object environment like Java, Ada, C++, or C# and UML modeling tools? Why do people get stuck with process? In fact, even with object technology, a process that is a real working entity in an object system still plays exactly the same role as it played in the past; object technology only dictates how to build and organize them smartly to satisfy other software engineering goals and deal with complexity. The functional view of systems remains at the foreground contrary to some prognostics of object theorists of the first wave. Processes become more structured and find their strength mainly in business models. After an exposé on business process model, we connect business models to the UML and give an answer to the question as to whether a process could be mapped into a full class or not.

Why MDA? Large-scale and multidisciplinary systems need complementary techniques. A biometric measurement system is, for instance, a multidisciplinary embedded system. An airport management is a large-scale mixing of databases, humans, and real-time components. It is well known that a large project life cycle that needs an analysis, design, implementation, and validating phases must be subdivided into sub- and sub-subprojects. This hierarchical view of the development model is not sufficient and should be revised and adapted to the convergence point of the black art of design and the recent MDA concept. The MDA deals with “models” and begins with a *Platform Independent Model* (PIM) that represents the system in terms understood by model practitioners who are often not computer specialists or implementers. An

object-oriented and logical architecture is then built to represent the planned solution. Communication between domain experts and system architects is conducted to validate the PIM that can be simulated and tested. *Platform Specific Model* or PSM signs up as the intermediate phase before coding or implementing. Models facilitate communication, reuse, and structure the development process instead of the product itself. This book has clearly a convergence point with the MDA proposal.

What is the double problem of a system designer? When we develop a system, we are facing a double problem: building the right system, deploying appropriate human and technological resources to realize this system in a reasonable time frame and at an acceptable cost. In an organization business model, the managerial structure should be geared to the development process that involves a plethora of recurrent problems including management of the development process, looking for the right individuals, finding available resources, etc. Development of a product or a design of a solution for a complex system is not simple at all. The batch of stress, bad luck, or mitigated success, at personal or organizational levels, are clues showing the complexity of our daily business. Things may appear “simple” because we have experience, we react by reflex, and we apply consciously or unconsciously known solutions or resolution patterns that transform all complex processes into automated daily acts or reactions. As problems evolve slightly with time and context, systematical patterns, unified way of doing things replicated in “similar” situations, based on the erroneous thinking that they do save cost, may propose approximate solution or inadequate systems, blur nuances, and finally may create more problems in the longer term than they can solve. Moreover, patterns or ready-to-use solutions targeted to have rapid results, if applied without any methodology, shortcuts inevitably the analysis and design processes, and may impair the activity of finding the optimized solution or system. Another problem is unexpected constraints that may be added to the requirement analysis and alter the original problem. For instance, in political systems, under the pressure of the media, some hasty decisions based on commonly admitted patterns, taken under the pressure of the population in catastrophic circumstances, can calm down the media pressure but may represent atrocious examples of resource wasting. From a perspective of system and solution design, we are first solving the media problem by applying a pattern to the original problem quickly without any detailed analysis of the main problem.

Facing the MDA and current research trend, we can say that a methodology is not a mechanical act of applying patterns shortcutting analysis and design phase, but it proposes a way of quickly creating appropriate patterns for each new problem or system, reusing models. A methodology does not give up the notion of patterns or existing generic models. By organizing the development process into a multitude of models, we can easily distill universal

patterns applicable to several domains, those that are specific to a particular domain and finally those that must be generated on the fly for a given situation. Experience does not mean ready-to-use solutions and patterns shortcutting analysis and design phases (they simplify them considerably instead) but suggests a process of reusing both universal and specific domain knowledge to design an optimized solution taking into account requirements of the current context. Parts of the solution patterns can be returned to the universal or domain knowledge to enrich the overall experience that constitutes the business assets of an organization or individual. This process is the essence of the reuse concept exposed in this work, and constitutes the immediate benefit of object technology and the MDA.

Audience

Designed for analysts, object programmers, system architects, application developers, project managers, and researchers, this book contributes an effort to implement multidomain and complex systems mixing databases, real-time controls, humans, etc. with object technologies. Large-scale applications are handled with complexity reduction techniques so, at any stage of system development, individual projects are self-contained and easily manageable. It is very difficult to find a complete and well-managed object project in the literature. Undoubtedly, they do exist and belong to well-kept industrial secrets. Well-built object software is still the black art of few specialists. Could this bunch become more crowded in the future?

This book can also be used as a graduate-level text for students at any domains involved in modern software development to inoculate intelligence to their biological, mechanical, electrical, physical, and social systems. They can discover that the UML and the MDA can be used in their fields unexpectedly. Specifically for computer science and software engineering domains, this book can be taught starting at the 4th trimester of undergraduate level when students have enough background on programming (an object programming course and a relational database course are necessary requisites). The reader should be exposed to difficult problem-solving situations and confronted with a large-scale project to really appreciate this neutral and uniform methodology.

Book Organization

From the scientific literature production domain, *this book is designed with our best knowledge about learning object research domain*, so individual knowledge chunks are often self-contained and self-documenting. If their knowledge dependency hierarchy and matrix cannot be avoided, superfluous cross-references/deferments are pruned to minimize learning effort.

Chapter 1 introduces modern software/hardware, database/real-time control, computer/intelligent, hybrid systems, and multidisciplinary systems. Chapter 2

explains techniques to cope with the complexity of large-scale systems. Chapter 3 introduces the metalanguage of the UML as a lesson of rigorous and metasystem development. This helps developers to acquire a deep understanding of metaelements of the UML. This chapter can be skipped at first reading. Chapter 4 is the main chapter for learning how to use the 13 diagrams of the UML; it examines the nature of each UML concept. One or many short examples accompany each theoretical exposé. Chapter 5 exposes fundamental concepts of the real world and their mappings into UML modeling elements. Their semantics are taken from problem solving and system development viewpoint, independently from the way the UML considers them. Business process diagrams in business domains are interesting examples for mapping UML diagrams. Chapter 6 presents the uniform methodology targeted to study complex multidisciplinary systems. A uniform view of the message concept is needed to represent interactions between objects of different nature. Some “patches” in object paradigm, based on “induced energy,” “cause–effect chain,” and the generalization of the “message concept” are introduced in order to model a richer set of applications. In Chapter 7, two advanced research topics beyond the UML are exposed to complement the arsenal of development tools. First, a state-event network (SEN), a new diagram based on Petri net, supports dynamical studies and refines the UML behavioral diagrams before implementation. Second, the “image attribute method” is exposed to systematically build sequences of a real-time system. All sequences melted together constitute the algorithm of the system or the solution. This method is a combinatorial technique deployed to study dynamic behavior of safety-critical systems. Chapter 8 contains case studies, both in technical and social domains.

Informative, actual, useful, returns from intellectual investment are targeted along this book-designing process.

Acknowledgments

All diagrams in this book are drawn with the version 6.5 of Enterprise Architect implementing UML 2.1, graciously donated by Sparx Systems Inc. SEN diagrams are drawn with Visio 2003. We would like to thank Rational, IBM, and Sparx Systems for supporting computer science and software engineering courses at Laval University, Quebec, Canada, with their software products year after year. We would like to thank OMG (Object Management Group) organization for providing the scientific community with invaluable object standards, for being the opposite of a conservative organization, and for graciously making numerous documentations available on their site. This work could not have been completed without the constant support of my wife Moc Mien, friends and relatives who were always there when positive morale, food, and scientific supports must be combined to produce words. Finally, a special thanks to the Chief Editor Mark De Jongh, and Cindy Zitter for accompanying us at every step along this arduous project.