

GROUP THEORY:

A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO SOME ELEMENTS THEREOF

VANESSA ROBINS, ANU

LECTURES FOR THE CANBERRA INTERNATIONAL PHYSICS SUMMER
SCHOOL “FIELDS AND PARTICLES”

JANUARY 2023

LECTURE 3: REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE GROUPS AND ALGEBRAS

DEFINITION OF MATRIX REPRESENTATION

The algebraic pattern of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ appears in a number of different contexts. Although we started with 2×2 hermitean traceless matrices, this is not essential to its structure.

Representation theory studies how a group can be expressed using matrices from $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. (why do we work over \mathbb{C} ?)

DEFINITION OF MATRIX REPRESENTATION

The algebraic pattern of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ appears in a number of different contexts. Although we started with 2×2 hermitean traceless matrices, this is not essential to its structure.

Representation theory studies how a group can be expressed using matrices from $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. (why do we work over \mathbb{C} ?)

A *representation of dimension n* defines a matrix $D(g) \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ for every $g \in G$ so that the mapping $D : G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a homomorphism. In particular:

- Identity: $D(I) = I_n$.
- Inverses: $D(g^{-1}) = [D(g)]^{-1}$.
- Products: $D(g * h) = D(g)D(h)$.

DEFINITION OF MATRIX REPRESENTATION

The algebraic pattern of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ appears in a number of different contexts. Although we started with 2×2 hermitean traceless matrices, this is not essential to its structure.

Representation theory studies how a group can be expressed using matrices from $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. *(why do we work over \mathbb{C} ?)*

A *representation of dimension n* defines a matrix $D(g) \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ for every $g \in G$ so that the mapping $D : G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a homomorphism. In particular:

- Identity: $D(I) = I_n$.
- Inverses: $D(g^{-1}) = [D(g)]^{-1}$.
- Products: $D(g * h) = D(g)D(h)$.

Two representations, D_a, D_b are *equivalent* if there is a single matrix $S \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ so that $S^{-1}D_a(g)S = D_b(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

TERMINOLOGY OF MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS

- A representation is *faithful* if $D(g) = I_n$ if and only if $g = I \in G$.
- The *trivial* representation (of dimension 1) is the map that sends every element to the identity: $D(g) = 1$.
- A subspace $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is *invariant* if $D(g)\vec{w} \in W$ for all $g \in G$ and $\vec{w} \in W$. (how do you find invariant subspaces?)
- An *irreducible* representation is one with no non-trivial invariant subspaces.
- A *reducible* representation is equivalent to one in a fixed block-triangular form: $D_{n+m}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} D_n(g) & R_{nm}(g) \\ 0 & D_m(g) \end{pmatrix}$.
The first n -coordinates of \mathbb{C}^{n+m} are an invariant subspace for D_{n+m} .

TERMINOLOGY OF MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS

- A *completely reducible* representation is equivalent to a block-diagonal form:

$$D_n(g) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{n_1}(g) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & D_{n_2}(g) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & D_{n_r}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

where each D_{n_k} is an *irreducible* representation for the group G . The subscripts here refer to dimension so $\sum_k n_k = n$. This means the coordinates spanning each block form distinct invariant subspaces.

- A completely reducible representation is equivalent to the *direct sum* of the irreducible representations in its diagonal blocks, written as $D_n = D_{n_1} \oplus D_{n_2} \oplus \cdots D_{n_r}$.

REPS OF LIE GROUPS AND ALGEBRAS

Suppose $D : G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a representation of a matrix Lie group G . Then there is a unique representation $D' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $D(e^{iT}) = e^{iD'(T)}$. We compute $D'(T)$ as $D'(T) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} D(e^{itT}) \right|_{t=0}$.

This definition ensures the matrices $D(e^{iT})$ and $D'(T)$ are expressed with respect to the same basis for \mathbb{C}^n .

Note that $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a vector space of matrices with matrix commutation as Lie bracket. In general, a representation of a Lie algebra is a homomorphism that maps the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} to matrix commutation in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Suppose $D' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a Lie algebra representation. Then setting $D(e^{iT}) = e^{iD'(T)}$ will give a representation of the connected and simply-connected covering group G associated with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

REPS OF LIE GROUPS AND ALGEBRAS

The following theorems tell us that for certain cases a finite-dimensional representation can be built as the direct sum of irreducible representations

If G is a compact matrix Lie group then every finite dimensional representation is completely reducible.

If G is a matrix Lie group and D is a finite-dimensional *unitary* representation, then it is completely reducible.

SYMMETRIES OF A QUANTUM HAMILTONIAN OPERATOR

Suppose that H is invariant with respect to a group of *unitary* transformations $T \in G$: $T^\dagger H T = H$. T unitary implies $[H, T] = 0$.

Take an eigenfunction $H\psi = E\psi$. Then

$H(T\psi) = (HT)\psi = (TH)\psi = T(H\psi) = TE\psi = E(T\psi)$, meaning $T\psi$ is another eigenfunction for H with the same eigenvalue E .

SYMMETRIES OF A QUANTUM HAMILTONIAN OPERATOR

Suppose that H is invariant with respect to a group of *unitary* transformations $T \in G$: $T^\dagger H T = H$. T unitary implies $[H, T] = 0$.

Take an eigenfunction $H\psi = E\psi$. Then

$H(T\psi) = (HT)\psi = (TH)\psi = T(H\psi) = TE\psi = E(T\psi)$, meaning $T\psi$ is another eigenfunction for H with the same eigenvalue E .

Quantum operators are *linear* and their eigenfunctions span a Hilbert space. Suppose the eigenfunctions with identical eigenvalue E span a d -dimensional space with basis $\{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^d\}$.

Linearity now tells us that for each a , $T\psi^a = \sum_b t^{ab}\psi^b$.

The coefficients t^{ab} form a d -dimensional matrix representation for G , with the vector space having basis $\{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^d\}$.

On this subspace, H acts as a multiple of the identity matrix I_d .

SCHUR'S LEMMA

Schur's lemma takes many forms depending on context.

Lie group version

Let $D : G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible representation of a matrix Lie group G . Suppose we have $a \in G$ such that $aga^{-1} = g$ for all $g \in G$. Then $D(a) = \lambda I_n$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lie algebra version

Let $D' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible representation of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Suppose $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, that matrices A and $D'(T)$ are given with respect to the same basis for \mathbb{C}^n , and that $AD'(T) = D'(T)A$. Then $A = \lambda I_n$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

SCHUR'S LEMMA

Schur's lemma takes many forms depending on context.

Lie group version

Let $D : G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible representation of a matrix Lie group G . Suppose we have $a \in G$ such that $aga^{-1} = g$ for all $g \in G$. Then $D(a) = \lambda I_n$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lie algebra version

Let $D' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible representation of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Suppose $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, that matrices A and $D'(T)$ are given with respect to the same basis for \mathbb{C}^n , and that $AD'(T) = D'(T)A$. Then $A = \lambda I_n$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

This points to the connection physicists exploit between a Hamiltonian operator H , its symmetry group, irreducible representations of that group, and the eigenfunctions for H .

IRREDUCIBLE REPS FOR $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$

Constructing the irreducible representations for $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ follows the same procedure as finding the eigenvalues and their multiplicity for the quantum orbital angular momentum operators.

1. The generators and commutators are J^a , $[J^a, J^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}J^c$, with $a, b, c \in \{x, y, z\}$.
2. Define $C = (J^x)^2 + (J^y)^2 + (J^z)^2$ as a *Casimir element*, and $J^{\pm} = J^x \pm iJ^y$.
3. Assume $D_n : \mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is irreducible and choose a basis for \mathbb{C}^n to be the eigenvectors of J^z . C commutes with all J^a so $C = \lambda I_n$ for some λ that depends on the dimension n .
4. Use the raising and lowering operators to find that the eigenvalues of J^z must be $j, j-1, \dots, -j+1, -j$, that $\lambda = j(j+1)$ and that $j = (n-1)/2 = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$
5. We can use this information to write out the n -dimensional matrices for J^a in full for any dimension n .

IRREDUCIBLE REPS FOR $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$j = 0, n = 1$$

This is the trivial representation. $J^x = J^y = J^z = 0$.

$$j = \frac{1}{2}, n = 2$$

This is the standard $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ representation in terms of the Pauli matrices.
 $J^a = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_a$.

$$j = 1, n = 3$$

This is equivalent to the standard representation for $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, but with a basis (Cartesian not “spherical”!) that makes J^z diagonal.

$$J^x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J^y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

REPRESENTATIONS OF $SU(2)$

- Since $SU(2)$ is simply connected we know representations for it are in one-to-one correspondence with those of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- Since $SU(2)$ is compact we know all its finite-dimensional representations are completely reducible to a direct sum of irreducible ones. This also holds for its (complexified) Lie algebra.
- Any two irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the same dimensions are equivalent.
- It follows that any representation of $SU(2)$ is equivalent to the direct sum of some combination of irreducible representations constructed as described on the previous slide.

REPRESENTATIONS OF $SO(3)$

- $SO(3)$ is NOT simply connected and only the $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ representations with integer $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (odd dimensional reps) are true representations of $SO(3)$.
- $SO(3)$ is compact so we still have that all its finite-dimensional representations are completely reducible to a direct sum of irreducible ones.
- \langle Show that the $j = 1/2$ representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not a representation of $SO(3)$. \rangle
- \langle Show that the $j = 1$ representation of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not a *faithful* representation of $SU(2)$. \rangle

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LORENTZ GROUP

Recall that the complexified Lorentz Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}^+(1, 3)_{\mathbb{C}}$ splits into the direct sum $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{C})$.

There are six generators $N_{\pm}^a = \frac{1}{2}(J^a \pm iK^a)$ with commutation relations

$$[N_+^a, N_+^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}N_+^c, \quad [N_-^a, N_-^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}N_-^c, \quad [N_+^a, N_-^b] = 0$$

Every $X \in \mathfrak{so}^+(1, 3)_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be written uniquely as $X = X_+ + X_-$ with $X_{\pm} = t^a N_{\pm}^a$. The associated Lie group* elements satisfy

$$e^{iX} = e^{iX_+ + iX_-} = (e^{iX_+})(e^{iX_-}) \quad \text{because } [N_+^a, N_-^b] = 0.$$

If X_+ and X_- did not commute, we would have to invoke the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula here.

* i.e., the simply connected covering group which happens to be isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LORENTZ GROUP

We want to combine two representations for $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ into one for $\mathfrak{so}^+(1,3)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Even though the algebras are related by a direct sum, the combination of representations is achieved using the *tensor product* of vector spaces.

A tensor product representation $D_m \otimes D_n$ for the group acts on the vector space $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension mn as

$$(D_m \otimes D_n)(e^{iX})(u \otimes v) = e^{iD'_m(X_+)}(u) \otimes e^{iD'_n(X_-)}(v)$$

At the Lie algebra level this looks like a product rule:

$$\begin{aligned}(D_m \otimes D_n)'(X)(u \otimes v) &= (D'_m(X_+) \otimes I_n)(u \otimes v) + (I_m \otimes D'_n(X_-))(u \otimes v) \\ &= D'_m(X_+)(u) \otimes v + u \otimes D'_n(X_-)(v)\end{aligned}$$

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LORENTZ GROUP

For the Lorentz group we find that

$$j = 0, j = 0$$

This is again the trivial representation. The vector space of the representation consists of *scalars*.

$$j = \frac{1}{2}, j = 0$$

$(D_2 \otimes D_1)'(X) = D'_2(X_+) \otimes I_1 + (I_2 \otimes D'_1(X_-)) \simeq D'_2(X_+)$. This becomes the *left-chiral spinor* representation.

$$j = 0, j = \frac{1}{2}$$

$(D_1 \otimes D_2)'(X) = D'_1(X_+) \otimes I_2 + (I_1 \otimes D'_2(X_-)) \simeq D'_2(X_-)$. This becomes the *right-chiral spinor* representation.

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LORENTZ GROUP

$$j = \frac{1}{2}, j = \frac{1}{2}$$

$(D_2 \otimes D_2)'(X) = D_2'(X_+) \otimes I_2 + (I_2 \otimes D_2'(X_-))$. The vector space is $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ but this group representation acts in a way that is isomorphic to the standard 4-vector representation.

A reducible representation

The *Dirac spinor* representation is the direct sum of the left and right-chiral spinor representations:

$$D'_D(X) = (D_2 \otimes D_1)'(X) \oplus (D_1 \otimes D_2)'(X) \simeq D_2'(X_+) \oplus D_2'(X_-)$$

These are just the simplest low-dimensional representations. Many more also have relevance in physical contexts.

ANOTHER WAY TO COMBINE REPRESENTATIONS

- Given two irreducible representations D_m, D_n for a Lie group G , we use a tensor product to obtain an mn -dimensional representation $D_{mn}(g) = D_m(g) \otimes D_n(g)$.
- At the Lie algebra level we have product rule behaviour again with $D'_{mn}(X) = D'_m(X) \otimes I_n + I_m \otimes D'_n(X)$.
- This new representation will, in general, be *reducible*, and if G is compact, or D is unitary, we know that it is *completely reducible* and would like to find its irreducible parts.
- This procedure is “finding the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients” or “multiplying ladders”. It amounts to finding dimensions of the distinct invariant subspaces $V_{n_r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{mn}$ with $\sum n_r = mn$.

CLEBSCH-GORDAN FOR $SU(2)$

Given two irreducible representations for $SU(2)$ with $j = (m - 1)/2$ and $k = (n - 1)/2$, assume $j \geq k$. The tensor product space for the representation $D_m \otimes D_n$ decomposes as

$$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \sim \mathbb{C}^{mn} = V_{j+k} \oplus V_{j+k-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{j-k}$$

where the dimension of $V_{n_r} = 2n_r + 1$.

The representation on each V_{n_r} is the unique irreducible representation for $SU(2)$ of that dimension.

⟨check the vector space dimensions for the decomposition add up appropriately for some choice of j, k .⟩

BACKUP SLIDE