

# Change Of Basis Transformations In Geometric Algebra

Spencer T. Parkin

July 28, 2012

Let  $\mathbb{V}^{2n}$  denote a  $2n$ -dimensional Euclidean vector space, and  $\mathbb{A}$  denote any  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean vector sub-space of  $\mathbb{V}^{2n}$ . Let  $\mathbb{B}$  denote the complement of  $\mathbb{A}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{V}^{2n}$ . Let  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be a set of  $n$  Euclidean vectors forming an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $\{e_{k+n}\}_{k=1}^n$  be a set of  $n$  Euclidean vectors forming an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{B}$ . We will work in the geometric algebra  $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{2n})$ .

Defining the function  $r$  as the rotor  $r(a, b) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1 - a \wedge b)$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are vectors, we see that

$$R = \prod_{k=1}^n r(e_k, e_{k+n})$$

is a unit-rotor rotating the blade  $\bigwedge_{k=1}^n e_k$  into  $\bigwedge_{k=1}^n e_{k+n}$ , or vice-versa.  $R$  also effectly performs a change of basis transformation of any vector taken from  $\mathbb{A}$  to its counter-part in  $\mathbb{B}$ , or vice-versa. This is illustrated in the following equation.

$$R \left( \sum_{k=1}^n (a \cdot e_k) e_k \right) \tilde{R} = \sum_{k=1}^n (a \cdot e_k) e_{k+n}$$

Here,  $a$  is any vector taken from  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $Ra\tilde{R}$  is in  $\mathbb{B}$ .

Unfortunately, we cannot employ the same technique we have used here in the formulation of  $R$  to formulate an element  $E$  such that for any vector  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ , the element  $E$  takes  $a$  to any given basis of  $\mathbb{B}$  as  $EaE^{-1}$ . Whether there is such an element in a geometric algebra will be left as an open question

for now, but what will be said here is that clearly  $E$  cannot be a rotor, because rotors are angle preserving. Indeed, it may even be possible to show that  $E$  cannot be a versor in a Euclidean geometric algebra. We want to be able to perform change of basis transformations between basis sets that are not necessarily rotations of one another.

Defining the function  $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  as  $f(a) = Ra\tilde{R}$ , we see that  $f$  is an outermorphism between  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$ . Now let  $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be any set of  $n$  linearly independent vectors taken from  $\mathbb{A}$  and let  $M$  be the bivector

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^n e_{k+n} \wedge m_k.$$

It then follows that the function  $F : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  defined as

$$F(a) = f(a) \cdot M$$

performs any change of basis transformation. This includes those that perform shear and non-uniform scale transformations. To be more specific, the vector  $a \in \mathbb{A}$  written as

$$a = \sum_{k=1}^n (a \cdot e_k) e_k$$

is transformed to

$$F(a) = \sum_{k=1}^n (a \cdot e_k) m_k.$$

There are a few problems with  $F$ , however. The inner product is not an invertible product and  $M$  does not have an inverse with respect to the geometric product. This means that when we go to solve the problem of finding the bivector  $M'$  such that  $F^{-1}(a) = M' \cdot f(a)$ , geometric algebra offers no obvious way to solve for  $M'$ . Never-the-less, we will make do with what we have here.

Taking a lesson from linear algebra, we will define  $\det(M)$  as

$$\det(M) = \bigwedge_{k=1}^n F(e_k) \cdot I_{\mathbb{A}},$$

where  $I_{\mathbb{A}}$  is the unit psuedo-scalar of  $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{A})$ . Similarly, we will define  $I_{\mathbb{B}}$  as the unit psuedo-scalar of  $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{B})$ .