

A MODEL FOR QUADRIC SURFACES USING GEOMETRIC ALGEBRA

SPENCER T. PARKIN

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the astounding features of the conformal model of geometric algebra, a similar model of geometry based in geometric algebra is developed for the set of all quadric surfaces in n -dimensional space. Bivectors of the geometric algebra are found to be quadric surface representatives. Coordinate free canonical forms of such bivectors are found for common quadric surfaces. The model is investigated for utility and compared to the conformal model.

1. THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE MODEL

The stage for this model of n -dimensional quadric surfaces is set in the geometric algebra we'll denote by \mathbb{G} that is generated by a vector space \mathbb{W} of dimension $2(n+1)$. Letting $\{e_i\}_{i=0}^{2n+1}$ be an orthonormal set of basis vectors generating \mathbb{W} , we let $\{e_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be such a set of vectors generating the $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector subspace \mathbb{V} of \mathbb{W} in which we'll impose the usual interpretation of $(n+1)$ -dimensional homogeneous space. Specifically, a vector $v \in \mathbb{V}$ with $v \cdot e_0 \neq 0$ represents the point given by¹

$$(1.1) \quad e_0 \cdot \frac{e_0 \wedge v}{e_0 \cdot v}$$

in n -dimensional Euclidean space, imposing the usual correlation between n dimensional vectors and n -dimensional points². We will take the liberty of letting vectors $v \in \mathbb{V}$ with $v \cdot e_0 = 0$ represent points under the same interpretation of which has been just spoken, as well as pure directions with magnitude. The intended interpretation will be made clear in the context of our usage. We will refer to all vectors $v \in \mathbb{V}$ with $v \cdot e_0 \neq 0$ as projective points, and such vectors with $v \cdot e_0 = 0$ as non-projective points.

We now introduce a function defined on \mathbb{G} having the outermorphic property. This means it is a linear function and that it preserves the outer product. We will use over-bar notation to denote the use of this function. Doing so, for any element $E \in \mathbb{G}$, we define \bar{E} as

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{E} = RE\tilde{R},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary .

¹Throughout this paper we let the outer product take precedence over the inner product, and the geometric product take precedence over both the inner and outer products.

²The correlation between vectors and points spoken of here is that of having a vector represent the point at its tip when its tail is placed at the origin.

where the rotor R is given by

$$(1.3) \quad R = \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - e_i e_{i+n+1}).$$

As the reader can check, for any integer $i \in [0, n]$, we have $\overline{e_i} = e_{i+n+1}$. The rotor R simply rotates any k -vector taken from the geometric algebra generated by \mathbb{V} and rotates it into the identical geometric algebra generated by the vector space complement to \mathbb{V} with respect to \mathbb{W} . This idea can be found in [1]. We will find the over-bar notation convenient when perform algebraic manipulations in our model.

We are now ready to give the definition by which we will interpret bivectors in \mathbb{G} as n -dimensional quadric surfaces.

Definition 1.1. For any element $E \in \mathbb{G}$, we say that E is representative of the n -dimensional quadric surface generated by the set of all projective points $v \in \mathbb{V}$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad 0 = p \wedge \overline{p} \cdot E.$$

Notice that when $\text{grade}(E) > 1$, there is no ambiguity, despite the non-associativity of the inner product, in rewriting equation (1.4) as

$$(1.5) \quad 0 = p \cdot E \cdot \overline{p},$$

which resembles a sort of conjugation of E by p . This may perhaps be a more familiar form for readers familiar with the study of quadric surfaces in projective geometry. Also notice that we have not required that E be a bivector in Definition 1.1, because we may find this condition useful and meaningful for any element of \mathbb{G} . For now, however, we will restrict our attention to the case when E is a bivector.

To see why Definition 1.1 works, simply notice that when E is a bivector, we have

$$(1.6) \quad p \wedge \overline{p} \cdot E = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=i}^n \lambda_{ij} (p \cdot e_i) (p \cdot e_j),$$

which we can recognize as a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in the vector components of p . The scalars λ_{ij} , with $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, may be formulated in terms of E by

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda_{ij} = \begin{cases} e_i \overline{e_j} \cdot E & \text{if } i = j, \\ (e_i \overline{e_j} - \overline{e_i} e_j) \cdot E & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It should be noted that bivectors do not uniquely represent quadric surfaces, not even up to scale. This is apparent from equation (1.7) when we see that for $i \neq j$, we can freely choose certain components of the bivector so that their sum is $-\lambda_{ij}$.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION OF QUADRIC SURFACES IN THE MODEL

Having constructed our model, we are now ready to find canonical forms of bivectors representing a variety of well-known quadric surfaces. Let us begin with the spheroid, a special case of ellipsoid. Such a surface may be characterized by the non-projective point solution set of the equation

$$(2.1) \quad r^2 = (x - c)^2 - ((x - c) \cdot v)^2$$

in the non-projective point $x \in \mathbb{V}$, where $c \in \mathbb{V}$ is a non-projective point denoting the center of the spheroid, $v \in \mathbb{V}$ is a direction vector with $0 \leq |v| < 1$, indicating the direction and amount of bulge in the spheroid, and the scalar $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is the radius of the spheroid about the axis v . To better see that this is indeed a spheroid, consider the two cases $(x - c) \cdot v = 0$ and $(x - c) \wedge v = 0$. In the first case, equation (2.1) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad r^2 = (x - c)^2,$$

which is clearly a sphere at c with radius r . In the second case, equation (2.1) becomes

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{r^2}{1 - v^2} = (x - c)^2,$$

which is yet another sphere at c , this time having a radius larger than r . In neither case, we may consider our equation to be an interpolation between equations (2.2) and (2.3).

Expanding equation (2.1), we get

$$(2.4) \quad 0 = x^2 - (x \cdot v)^2 + 2x \cdot ((c \cdot v)v - c) + c^2 - (c \cdot v)^2 - r^2,$$

from which it is possible to factor out $p \wedge \bar{p}$ in terms of the inner product, where $p = e_0 + x$ is a homogenized projective point. Doing so, we see that the bivector E given by

$$(2.5) \quad E = -\Omega + v \wedge \bar{v} - 2((c \cdot v)v - c) \wedge \bar{e}_0 - (c^2 - (c \cdot v)^2 - r^2)A,$$

is representative of the spheroid by Definition 1.1, where the constant Ω is defined as

$$(2.6) \quad \Omega = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \bar{e}_i,$$

and A is the constant defined as $A = e_0 \bar{e}_0$. We will find each of these as frequently recurring constants in our calculations.

Such forms as that in equation (2.5) are useful, not only for composition, but especially decomposition in the cases where we have formulated what may, for example, be a spheroid by some other means. This gives the model power as an analytical tool. If we can solve a problem whose solution is a bivector representative of a spheroid, then we can use this canonical form to answer questions about that spheroid. Where is its center? What is its axis? What is its radius about that axis? As is often the case in mathematics, decomposition is harder than composition. Referring to equation (2.5), we can deduce...give a decomposition of the spheroid once I know the form is correct. Consider E^2 .

REFERENCES

1. C. Doran and D. Hestenes, *Lie groups as spin groups*, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993), 8.

102 WEST 500 SOUTH, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84101
E-mail address: `spencer.parkin@disney.com`