The Quadratic Form In Geometric Algebra

Spencer T. Parkin

Abstract. Blah.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 14J70; Secondary 14J29.

Keywords. Quadric Surface, Quadratic Form, Geometric Algebra.

1. Finding The Quadratic Form

Let $\mathbb{V}^n$ be an n-dimensional Euclidean vector space, and identify vectors in this space with points in n-dimensional Euclidean space. That is, for any vector $v \in \mathbb{V}^n$, identify this vector with the point at its tip when its tail is placed at origin. Letting any subset of $\mathbb{V}^n$ be what we refer to as a geometry, the goal of this paper is to use geometric algebra in the study of all such geometries that occur as the zero set of one or more quadratic forms.[1] A quadratic form $q : \mathbb{V}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a quadratic polynomial in the vector components of any vector $v \in \mathbb{V}^n$. Specifically, we have

$$q(v) = C + \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i(v \cdot e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij}(v \cdot e_i)(v \cdot e_j), \tag{1.1}$$

where C, each of C_i and each of C_{ij} are scalars in $\mathbb{R}$. The coeficients C, C_i and C_{ij} collectively determine the geometry that is the zero set of q. Adding a Euclidean vector e_0 representative of the origin to $\mathbb{V}^n$ to obtain the $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean vector space $\mathbb{V}^{n+1}$, we see that the quadratic form q is determined by a symmetric billinear form $B : \mathbb{V}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{V}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$q(v) = B(e_0 + v, e_0 + v) \tag{1.2}$$

$$= B(e_0, e_0) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} B(e_0, e_i)(v \cdot e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} B(e_i, e_j)(v \cdot e_i)(v \cdot e_j), \tag{1.3}$$

[1] In algebraic geometry, the zero set of one or more polynomials is called an affine variety.

if we let $B(e_0, e_0) = C$, each of $B(e_0, e_i) = B(e_i, e_0) = \frac{1}{2}C_i$ and each of $B(e_i, e_j) = C_{ij}$. In turn, we see that the symmetric billinear form B is determined entirely by how it maps a basis of $\mathbb{V}^{n+1}$.

To find the quadratic form q in geometric algebra, it is clear now that one approach is to go about looking for the symmetric bilinear form B. Two instances of this form are found and detailed in the following two sections.

2. The Quadratic Form In $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$

Here we let $\overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1}$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean vector space disjoint from and isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}^{n+1}$, and then define the over-bar notation on elements of the geometric algebra $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$, with $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1}$, as an outermorphic isomorphism between $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1})$ and $\mathbb{G}(\overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$. That is, for any element $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$, we have

$$\overline{E} = QEQ^{-1}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the rotor Q is given by

$$Q = \prod_{i=0}^{n}(1 - e_i\overline{e}_i). \tag{2.2}$$

Having defined $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$, we will now introduce the function $S : \mathbb{V}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$, given by

$$S(p) = p\overline{p}, \tag{2.3}$$

and then see that for all vectors $p \in \mathbb{V}^{n+1}$, the symmetric bilinear form B occurs in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$ as

$$B(p,p) = -S(p) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n} B(e_i, e_j)e_i\overline{e}_j, \tag{2.4}$$

showing that the bivectors $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$ are representative of n-dimensional quadric surfaces as the set of all vectors $v \in \mathbb{V}^n$ such that $q(v) = 0$, where

$$q(v) = S(e_0 + v) \cdot E. \tag{2.5}$$

This approach is especially advantageous in the realization that for any versor $V \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1})$, we have

$$S(V^{-1}pV) \cdot E = S(p) \cdot V\overline{V}E(V\overline{V})^{-1} \tag{2.6}$$

by the fact that S has the property

$$S(V^{-1}pV) = (V\overline{V})^{-1}S(p)V\overline{V}, \tag{2.7}$$

which shows that if we understand how V transforms homogeneous points $p \in \mathbb{V}^{n+1}$ as $V^{-1}pV$, then we also understand how V transforms quadric surfaces $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$ as $(V\overline{V})E(V\overline{V})^{-1}$. In a variation of this approach that uses the Minkowski geometric algebra $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1,1} \oplus \mathbb{V}^{n+1,1})$, the versors of the conformal model of geometric algebra may be used to transform quadric surfaces. Details of this can be found in [].

A down-side to this approach, however, is in the fact that we're not using blades to represent quadric surfaces in the same way that blades are representive of geometries in the conformal model of geometric algebra. Consequently, we cannot similarly benefit from the meet and join operations. We will attempt to remedy this problem in the next section.

3. The Quadratic Form In $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$

Notice that in the previous method, the Euclidean space $\mathbb{V}^n$ was embedded in the representation space $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{W})$. For the method to follow, we show that this need not be the case. Specifically, we do not let $\mathbb{V}^n$ be a vector sub-space of the $(n+1)^2$-dimensional anti-Euclidean[2] vector space $\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$. We will, however, continue to let $\mathbb{V}^n$ be a proper vector sub-space of $\mathbb{V}^{n+1}$.

Letting $\{e_{ij}\}$ be a set of orthonormal basis vectors spanning $\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$, we reintroduce the function $S : \mathbb{V}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$ as

$$S(p) = p \otimes p, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\otimes : \mathbb{V}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{V}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$ is a commutative, bilinear and binary operator, defined as

$$x \otimes y = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (x \cdot e_i)(y \cdot e_j) e_{ij}, \tag{3.2}$$

and then find that for all vectors $p \in \mathbb{V}^{n+1}$, the symmetric billinear form B in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ is found as

$$B(p, p) = -S(p) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} B(e_i, e_j) e_{ij}, \tag{3.3}$$

showing that the vectors $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ are representative of n-dimensional quadric surfaces as the set of all vectors $v \in \mathbb{V}^n$ such that $q(v) = 0$, where q is again given by equation (2.5).

Immediately we see that the advantage to this approach is that a non-zero blade $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ of grade k is representative of an $(n+1-k)$-dimensional quadric surface. To see this, let $E = E_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge E_k$, and realize that

$$0 = S(p) \cdot \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} E_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (S(p) \cdot E_i) \bigwedge_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k} E_j \tag{3.4}$$

if and only if for all integers $j \in [1, k]$, we have $S(p) \cdot E_j = 0$. In other words, E represents the affine variety generated by the set of all quadradic polynomials determined by each E_j.

[2] If $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal set of basis vectors for an n-dimensional Euclidean vector space, then the vector space becomes anti-Euclidean if for each integer $i \in [1, n]$, we redefine $e_i^2 = 1$ as $e_i^2 = -1$.

We will refer to E as a dual quadric if we are interpreting it as being representative of a quadric surface in terms of the equation

$$0 = S(e_0 + v) \cdot E. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we will refer to E as a direct quadric if we are interpreting it as being representative of such a surface in terms of the equation

$$0 = S(e_0 + v) \wedge E. \tag{3.6}$$

To see that this is also the previously mentioned affine variety, simply realize that

$$0 = S(e_0 + v) \wedge E \tag{3.7}$$

$$\text{iff } 0 = S(e_0 + v) \cdot EI, \tag{3.8}$$

where I is the unit-psuedo scalar of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$.

Notice that any single blade $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ is simultaneously representative of both a dual and direct quadric, which are distinct pieces of geometry.[3] It is sometimes useful to reinterpret a dual quadric as a direct quadric, or vice versa. For example, if the dual intersection of two dual quadrics is imaginary, the imaginary intersection may be a real quadric in direct form.

Before moving on, there is some question here about how the versors of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ act on the quadrics in this geometric algebra. The first observation we can make is that for any vector $v \in \mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$, we have

$$0 = S(p) \wedge vEv^{-1} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\text{iff } 0 = S(p) \cdot vEv^{-1}I \tag{3.10}$$

$$\text{iff } 0 = S(p) \cdot vEIv^{-1}, \tag{3.11}$$

since vectors either commute or anti-commute with the unit psuedo-scalar. This shows that versors will act the same way on dual and direct quadrics. We may therefore restrict our attention to direct quadrics without loss of generality. Doing so, let $V \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ be a versor, and notice that

$$0 = V^{-1}S(p)V \wedge E \tag{3.12}$$

$$\text{iff } 0 = S(p) \wedge VEV^{-1}, \tag{3.13}$$

which shows that the question of how any versor V acts on any quadric E reduces to the question of how V acts on $S(p)$. This also reflects the importance of our choice in defining $S(p)$ and in choosing the signature of our geometric algebra. In any case, with $S(p)$ defined the way it is, we see that for a vector $v \in \mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$, we have

$$v^{-1}S(p)v = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(p \cdot e_i)(p \cdot e_j)v^{-1}e_{ij}v. \tag{3.14}$$

[3] A dual quadric is directly represented by its dual, and a direct quadric is dually represented by its dual. As a given blade simultaneously represents two geometries, (one dually, the other directly), a single given geometry is simultaneously represented by two distinct blades, (which are duals of one another).

Simplify that, if you can.

4. Point Fitting Quadrics In $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$

Suppose $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ is a direct quadric of grade k, and that $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a set of k homogeneous points taken from $\mathbb{V}^{n+1}$ such that for all integers $i \in [1,k]$, we have $S(p_i) \wedge E = 0$. Then, if $\{S(p_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ is a linearly independent set, it follows that there exists a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} S(p_i) = \lambda E. \tag{4.1}$$

What this shows is that, given a set of k points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k$, we can find a quadric E that fits the k points, provided the set $\{S(p_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ is linearly independent. Two questions arise from this. First, under what circumstances do the k points generate a linearly independent set $\{S(p_i)\}_{i=1}^k$; and secondly, under those circumstances, what quadric surface do we get? These questions are easy to answer in the conformal model of geometric algebra. Here, however, the author is forced to leave them as open questions. This, of course, does not stop us from performing the experiment of trying to fit a quadric surface to a given set of points. Figures **??** and **??** illustrate the results of such an experiment.

From what we have thus far gathered, an $(n+1-k)$-dimensional quadric surface would be fit to $(n+1)^2-k$ points if it were at all possible to find such a set of points generating a linearly independent set. Possible or not, it is easy to show that this is certainly not the least upper bound on the number of points needed to determine such a surface. To see why, define $S_\leq : \mathbb{V}^n \to \mathbb{V}^m$ as

$$S_\leq(p) = \sum_{i\leq j} (S(p) \cdot e_{ij}) e_{ij}, \tag{4.2}$$

where m is given by

$$m = \binom{n}{0} + 2\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}, \tag{4.3}$$

and $\mathbb{V}^m$ is a proper vector sub-space of $\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$ and spanned by the vectors in $\{e_{ij}\}_{i\leq j}$. Using now $I_\leq$, what we'll use to denote the unit psuedo-scalar of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^m)$, to transition between dual and direct quadrics,[4] and using $S_\leq$ in place of S to define dual and direct quadrics by equations (3.5) and (3.6), we see that an $(n+1-k)$-dimensional quadric surface may be fit to $m-k$ points, from which it is more likely that we'll generate a linearly independent set.

[4]Notice that quadrics in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^m)$ defined using $S_\leq$ are still valid quadrics in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ under the definition using S. We may think of the quadrics in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ satisfying both definitions as being in a reduced form.

A perhaps better approach to studying the ability to fit quadrics to points would be the idea of generating a higher dimensional quadric from a lower dimensional quadric. For example, letting E be a k-blade, E represents an $(n+1-k)$-dimensional surface as a direct quadric. Then, if we choose any point $p \in \mathbb{V}^n$ not on E so that $S(p) \wedge E \neq 0$, then the quadric $E' = S(p) \wedge E$ must be a direct $(n+2-k)$-dimensional quadric containing both p and all the points of E. An example of this idea is given in Figure **??**.

5. Switching Between $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$ And $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$

If you found the choice of an anti-Euclidean vector space in section **??** odd, the reason for this will now come to light. To gain the advantages of working in both $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$ and $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$, it may not be unreasonable to switch between the two algebras when needed. To do this, we simply use the linear function $f : \mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2} \to \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$, defined in terms of how it maps the basis vectors of $\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$ onto the basis bivectors of the linear sub-space of bivectors in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$ as follows. For all pairs of integers $(i,j) \in [0,n] \times [0,n]$, we define

$$f(e_{ij}) = e_i \overline{e}_j. \tag{5.1}$$

We now see that for any vector $E \in \mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2}$ representative of a quadric surface through the use of equation (3.3), the bivector $f(E) \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$ is representative of the same quadric through the use of equation (2.4).

This gives us the ability to transform any intersection of one or more quadrics in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$ as we would a single quadric in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$. For a given blade $E \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)^2})$, we need only find a factorization of the blade E as $E_i \wedge \cdots \wedge E_k$, then formulate the transformation E' of E by a versor $V \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{V}^{n+1} \oplus \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1})$ as

$$E' = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} f^{-1}\left(V\overline{V} f(E_i)(V\overline{V})^{-1}\right). \tag{5.2}$$

The problem of blade factorization has been given a great deal of treatment in [].

6. Closing Remarks

It is not hard to see how the methods of this paper might be generalized to surfaces of higher degree. That is, affine varieties generated from a set of one or more polynomials of higher degree. But it doesn't seem worth exploring such an idea until all of the wrinkles can be worked out of the study of the quadratic form in geometric algebra. There are many different ways in which a quadratic polynomial can be encoded in a vector or bivector that take advantage of geometric algebras with various signatures. There is a question of which arrangement is best, or which constructions lend themselves more to solving one type of problem over another. It may well be that there is an entirely better model in existence for quadric surfaces that doesn't use geometric algebra at all.

References

Spencer T. Parkin
e-mail: spencer.parkin@gmail.com