# call/cc in Ten Minutes

# Spencer Tipping

### April 7, 2012

#### **Contents**

1	Introduction	1
2	return	2

### 1 Introduction

I'm going to go off on an inexplicable tangent for a minute here, but I promise it has something to do with continuations.

I was writing an ext4 filesystem driver recently and came across something I didn't expect. You know how you can say cd . . to go up one directory? I always assumed this worked by removing a directory from your current location, sort of like returning from a function. But it doesn't work this way at all. Instead, every directory in ext4 actually has a *subdirectory* called . . that you can cd *into*. This special subdirectory points back to the parent of whichever directory you're in.<sup>1</sup>

At first this made no sense to me because it meant that your path would just keep growing forever. But then I realized that programs don't store their path, they store only their current directory by its inode. This meant that it didn't matter how you got to a directory, it only mattered where you were and where you could go from there.<sup>2</sup>

So instead of a call stack working like this:

```
/ cd usr (add usr/)
/usr/ cd bin (add bin/)
/usr/bin/ cd .. (remove bin/)
/usr/
```

it in fact works like this:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Each directory also has a subdirectory called ., which points back to the current directory.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ The path can be constructed after the fact by continuously cding into .. until the root is reached. Wild, huh?

```
/ cd usr (follow usr/ pointer)
/usr/ cd bin (follow bin/ pointer)
/usr/bin/ cd .. (follow ../ pointer)
/usr/bin/../
```

Now imagine that your path is like a function call stack. You cd into a subdirectory, you do stuff, and you cd back out of it. Logically this resembles a single function call, and if cd .. were implemented as an "undo" of the last cd it would indeed be a real stack. However, each cd is doing the same thing: It's calling into a subdirectory of wherever you are. And this is exactly how continuations work.

#### 2 return

If you've written code in any normal programming language like C, Java, Javascript,<sup>3</sup> etc, you've probably written the word return. And further, you've probably used it to escape from a function earlier than you normally would. For instance:

```
var factorial = function (n) {
  if (n === 0) return 1;
  var result = factorial(n - 1);
  return n * result;
};
```

If the first return is hit, the second one will never happen. So return is really doing two things; it's setting up the value that the function will have, and it's jumping back to the parent function. The jump works because when we called into factorial, we left the return address on the stack. return doesn't really know where to go, it just goes to whichever address the caller specifies.

Let's step back for a moment and think about a different interpretation of the word return. What if it were a function? The call into factorial is a jump, and the return from factorial is also a jump (just like cd *directory* and cd .. are both jumps). Are they really the same thing?

As it turns out, they are indeed.<sup>4</sup> Here's the normal way you'd call factorial:

And here's what it looks like when we model return as a function:<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ok, Javascript isn't normal, but you get the idea.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>With the exception of how state is saved, which I'll get to in a bit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>My original factorial definition was incorrect; thanks to Brian Ollenberger for submitting the correct version shown here.

```
var factorial = function (n, ret) {
  if (n === 0) ret(1);
  factorial(n - 1, function (result) {
    ret(n * result);
  });
};

alert('About to call factorial');
factorial(5, function (value) {
  var x = value;
  alert('The factorial of 5 is ' + x);
  exit(); // Exits immediately and never returns.
});
```

*Todo:* explain the exit() function.