### Using Divergence and Rebase

Spencer Tipping

May 27, 2010

### **Contents**

I	Divergence Core	5	
1	Everything is a Function 1.1 Booleans and Numbers	6 6 7 7	
2	Operations on Functionals 2.1 compose 2.2 flat_compose 2.3 curry 2.4 proxy 2.5 bind 2.6 ctor 2.7 type 2.8 Preloading	10 10 10 11 11 12 12 12 13	
3	Delimited Continuations 3.1 Implementation	<b>14</b> 15	
4	Array Functions	16	
5	Writing and Extending Functionals 5.1 Extending functionals	17 17 18	
6	Writing Inline Macros	19	
7	Miscellaneous Other Stuff	20	
II	Rebase		
8	Getting Started with Rebase	23	

9	Operator Overloading			
	$9.\bar{1}$	Standard operators	24	
	9.2	Defining new operators	25	
		Sandwiches		
10	Mac	ros	2	
	10.1	Built-in macros	2	
	10.2	literal	2	
	10.3	Working with syntax trees	30	

### Introduction

Divergence is a JavaScript library centered around functions and function manipulation. It extends the prototypes of the core JavaScript data types to provide methods that make it easier to program in a functional style, and provides a coherent paradigm for generating functions from different types of values.

Rebase is a Divergence module that decompiles, transforms, and recompiles functions to extend the capabilities of JavaScript. This includes adding syntactic macros, operator overloading, and string interpolation to the language by default, and it can also be used as a basis for creating other extensions.

This guide is intended for anyone who read *JavaScript in Ten Minutes*<sup>1</sup> and would like to see some of the ideas put into practice. I think it covers everything, including syntactic macros, continuations, etc. If you haven't yet read *JavaScript in Ten Minutes*, I recommend it as pre-reading; this guide picks up about where it left off.

This guide is separated into two parts. The first part goes over the Divergence core library, and the second part introduces Rebase and the ways that it interacts with Divergence.

#### **Getting Started**

Divergence and Rebase are both hosted on Github. They can be retrieved from <a href="http://github.com/spencertipping/divergence">http://github.com/spencertipping/divergence</a> and <a href="http://github.com/spencertipping/divergence-rebase">http://github.com/spencertipping/divergence-rebase</a>, respectively. If you've checked out the user guide repository, then you're all set – you can open shell.html in a non-IE browser and run the examples from there.

If you don't have a local checkout or prefer a more hands-on approach, then you can download divergence.js and divergence.rebase.js from the Rebase repository, save them somewhere, and create an HTML file with the following contents:

```
<html>
    <head>
        <script src='divergence.js'></script>
        <script src='divergence.rebase.js'></script>
```

 $<sup>{}^1</sup>Freely\ available\ at\ http://github.com/spencertipping/js-in-ten-minutes$ 

```
<script>
    // Examples can go here
    </script>
    </head>
    <body>
    </body>
    </html>
```

# Part I Divergence Core

### **Everything is a Function**

The main goal of Divergence is to create a way to convert objects of any basic type into functions. For example, the string '\$0 + \$1' can be promoted into a function that adds its first two parameters using the fn() method. Similarly, arrays, numbers, booleans, and regular expressions all have defined promotions into functions. I refer to objects that have a fn() method as *functionals*.<sup>1</sup>

#### 1.1 Booleans and Numbers

The promotion patterns for these are simple. If n is a number, then n.fn() returns a function which returns its  $n^{th}$  argument (where arguments' indices are zero-based). So, for example:

```
var n = 1;
n.fn() (5, 6) // => 6
```

Because of JavaScript's eager lexing, you can't say things like 5.fn(); in this case, the dot is considered to be a part of the floating-point literal 5.. The way to fix this is to put a space after 5, so you have 5 .fn(). Not quite as nice, but fortunately most function promotion is done implicitly in practice.

Booleans are promoted as uncurried Church booleans<sup>3</sup> – that is, true returns its first parameter and false returns its second.

### 1.2 Regular expressions

These get promoted into functions that attempt to match the regular expression against a string. For example:

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ You can write your own functionals, too (see chapter  $^{5}$ ); Divergence doesn't make any assumptions about them other than that they provide fn().

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I haven't tried it yet, but I suspect bad things happen if you use floating-point or negative numbers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Don't worry if this sounds unfamiliar; it's just the theoretical background.

```
/foo (bar)/.fn() ('foo bar bif') // => ['foo bar', 'bar']
/foo (bar)/.fn() ('bar bif baz') // => null
```

Because failed matches return null, we have the very nice idiom that ['string1', 'string2', ...].grep(pattern/) does exactly what you'd expect.<sup>4</sup>

Internally the RegExp.exec() method is used to achieve this behavior.

#### 1.3 Arrays

fn() distributes across arrays. That is, [f, g, h].fn()(x) is equivalent to [f.fn()(x), g.fn()(x), h.fn()(x)]. One use of this is to reorder an argument list:

```
var g = f.flat_compose ([1, 0]); // flat_compose implicitly calls fn() g (x, y) // the same as f (y, x)
```

#### 1.4 Functions

The fn() method exists for functions for the sake of uniformity. It just returns the function.

#### 1.5 Strings

The fn() method for strings does a lot. In the simplest case, it just wraps the string inside an empty function (e.g. 'foo'.fn() becomes (function () {return foo})) and runs the result through eval(). For example, 'arguments[0]'.fn() is the identity function.

Divergence provides a simple regular-expression-based macro processor that does some expansions for you.<sup>5</sup> The ones that are enabled by default are:

- Expressions of the form \$n, where n is an integer, are expanded to arguments[n]. For example, '\$0 + \$1'.fn() adds its first two arguments.
- 2. The expression \$\_ is expanded to this.
- 3. The expression @\_is expanded to Array.prototype.slice.call(arguments).
- 4. Expressions of the form @foo, where foo is an identifier, are expanded to this.foo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>grep is defined for arrays; see chapter 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Note that because it just uses regular expressions on the input, there's no pretense of protecting things inside strings, etc. Rebase implements a much more sophisticated macro processor that avoids these problems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This promotes arguments into a proper array.

- 5. Expressions of the form {|x, y, z| x + y|} are expanded to expressions of the form (function (x, y, z) {return x + y}).
- 6. Expressions of the form {< expression >} are expanded to expressions of the form (function () {return expression}).

After performing those substitutions, the result is processed as mentioned before; that is, it's put inside an empty function and evaled.

Here are some examples:

**Note:** These functions are not closures! For example, if you say something like this:

```
var f = function (x) {
  return 'x + $0'.fn();
}
```

you will get unpredictable results, most likely a ReferenceError that x is undefined. The reason is that eval() still obeys lexical scoping rules, which means that all of the functions you eval through String. fn will share the same scope – the variables in this scope shouldn't really be used by anyone for that reason. Referring to globals is probably safe, but anything defined inside a function will be unavailable.

The way to pass in variables is to preload the function:

```
var f = function (x) {
  return '$0 + $1'.fn(x);
}
```

Another important thing to remember is which expansions are function invocations and which are variables. Consider:

The substitutions are purely textual, so any expressions that get generated will be run multiple times and will probably generate unaliased values. @\_ is the only thing that looks like a variable but is actually a function call, so I doubt this will be a common source of errors. But it is worth remembering.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>See section 2.8

A workaround for the last case, incidentally, in case you need to bind a variable:  $^{8}$ 

'{|xs| xs.sort(), xs |} (@\_)'.fn() (50, 40, 30) // => [30, 40, 50]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>And this isn't one of those cases, as it happens. sort() does modify the array in-place, but it also returns a reference to the original (which after it returns will be sorted).

### **Operations on Functionals**

Functionals, as defined in the previous section, are objects with fn() methods. Because they present this interface, we can define operations that work on any functional and add them to all of the basic prototypes. All of the following functions are present on every functional.

#### 2.1 compose

Composes two functions. Specifically, f.compose(g) is equivalent to the function:

```
function () {
  return f.fn() (g.fn().apply (this, arguments));
}
```

Notice that both f and g are automatically promoted into functions. This is true of almost all of the higher-order functions provided by Divergence.

### 2.2 flat\_compose

Composes two functions, but expands the array returned by g and supplies the values as arguments to f. For example:

#### 2.3 curry

Takes an integer n and returns a function that will evaluate the original when called n times. For example:

Arguments don't have to occur in any particular pattern; they're just stuck onto a queue as they're collected. If you want a more traditional implementation of curry that chops off extras, for example, then flat\_compose and arrays will probably work:

In this example, the first invocation of f took only 1 and 2. 3 was lost because the array [0, 1] doesn't return it anywhere. So at this point the queue contains 1 and 2. On the next invocation, 4 and 5 are passed in, so the queue is now 1, 2, 4, 5. The function adds the first three arguments, totaling 7.

#### 2.4 proxy

This function serves two purposes. One is to get a new function that is extensionally equivalent to, but referentially distinct from, the original function, and the other is to completely intercept the invocation of the function.

Specifically, f.proxy() is equivalent to

```
function () {return f.fn().apply (this, arguments)}
and f.proxy(g) is equivalent to (get ready, this is confusing):
function () {
  var fPrime = f.fn();
  return fPrime.apply.apply (fPrime, g.fn().apply (this, arguments));
}
```

Did you catch that? apply is itself a function, so we can apply it to things. In this case, we apply it to the result of g, which is expected to return an array of the form [t, [x1, x2, ...]] - t refers to the this value that f should receive, and x1, x2, ... are the arguments passed to f. This is the elephant-gun of composition; most of the time using compose or flat\_compose will do the job.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Things that are *extensionally equivalent* have the same observable behavior, and things that are *referentially distinct* refer to different objects. This condition is useful when you want to change the state of one object without affecting the other. One particular use case might be assigning a function as a method for multiple classes. Perhaps classes tag the functions, e.g. method.belongsTo = theClass. In this case you want the methods to behave the same way but have different attributes.

#### **2.5** bind

The canonical implementation of bind, though this one doesn't preload arguments. It also marks the output function with a reference to the original and to the binding, so:

The purpose of bind is covered in *JavaScript in Ten Minutes* and numerous other sources, but the idea is to fix this inside a function so that:

This is not much of an introduction to the concept of function binding; if the purpose or practical use of bind is at all unclear, you should definitely read something that goes over what it does.

#### **2.6** ctor

The ctor function provides a one-step way to initialize the prototype of a function. For example, a quick definition of a 2D vector:

ctor takes any number of hashes; they will all be merged together into the prototype of the function.

#### **2.7** type

Prototypes have their advantages and disadvantages. The advantage of performance is paired with the disadvantage of irregular and non-first-class syntax. To illustrate this, consider a proxy function for a class constructor; its job is to pass whatever arguments you give it into the constructor for a class:

```
var my_class = function () {...};
my_class.prototype.foo = function () {...};
var my_proxy = function () {
  return new my_class ();
};
```

This doesn't quite work, since any arguments passed to my\_proxy are lost. It would be nice to write it this way:

```
return new my_class.apply (this, arguments);
```

but that isn't what JavaScript's authors had in mind. As far as I know there is no way around this problem other than using a blank constructor and a first-class initializer:

This pattern is abstracted by type, which behaves exactly like ctor does, except that it uses an empty constructor, uses the given function as the initializer, and returns that initializer. An important consequence of this is that the prototype becomes inaccessible by the usual route; instead, you have to create an instance and run x.constructor.prototype to alter it.<sup>2</sup>

#### 2.8 Preloading

A feature of the fn() method I haven't mentioned is that you can use it to preload arguments to a function. For example:

This feature is present for all of the fn() methods, not just on the one for strings:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In the future I may introduce an option to make the prototype visible.

### **Delimited Continuations**

In addition to the operators in chapter 2, Divergence includes two more to make it easy to encode delimited continuations in CPS code.<sup>1</sup> The idea is that you can have a localized region of CPS code which then calls an escaping continuation that returns normally. It must escape eventually, but Divergence provides a continuation encoding that lets you run CPS-converted code with tail call optimization.

For example, consider a regular recursive factorial function:

This will chew through O(n) stack frames. A tail-recursive encoding would be better:

but because most JavaScript engines don't perform tail-call optimization it has the same problem. This is where you can use the cps() and tail() methods on functionals to write a constant-stack-space solution:

```
var fact = function (n, acc, k) {
  return n > 1 ? fact.tail (n - 1, acc * n, k) : k.tail (acc);
};
fact.fn(5, 1).cps('$0')  // => 120
```

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{If}$  the terms "delimited continuations," "continuation-passing style," and "call-with-current-continuation" are unfamiliar, then you should probably skip this chapter.

The arguments get preloaded onto fact(), and cps takes the final continuation.<sup>2</sup> When that is called, cps returns its value. When you call a function in CPS mode, it should return tail invocations on other functionals. Two things are important here:

- 1. CPS-mode functions must invoke a continuation using a tail call.
- 2. The invocation of tail must be returned. (Thus ensuring that it is in fact a tail call.)

#### 3.1 Implementation

Encoding delimited continuations is actually not a difficult matter assuming that the language supports tail-call optimization. The real problems are (1) coming up with a notation that looks vaguely like the formal shift and reset,<sup>3</sup> and (2) finding some way of delegating to the next continuation that doesn't eat stack frames. Divergence provides this second point with the cps and tail functions.

When you perform a tail call, you could think of it as merging another stack frame into the current one; that is, the current stack state gets overwritten and the new variables replace it. JavaScript doesn't provide a way to do this, but it does provide a way to get rid of a stack frame altogether. The return statement that's mandatory for tail calls does exactly what it looks like, just in a different order:

```
return fact.tail (n - 1, acc * n); // returns [fact, [n - 1, acc * n]]
```

The cps function runs a while loop that waits for return values of this form. When it finds one, it simply calls apply:

```
while (result[0] !== c)
  // tail call really happens here:
  result = result[0].apply (this, result[1]);
```

Finally, when it sees a tail call to the final continuation, it breaks out of the while loop and returns to regular (non-CPS) code:

```
return c.apply (this, result[1]);
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>You can also omit the final continuation, in which case it just returns its first argument.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>You'll notice that I've completely chickened out here. You have to write CPS-converted code up front, which is quite lame.

### **Array Functions**

Divergence adds some useful methods to arrays. It's mostly the usual functional stuff:

map Maps a function across the elements of an array and returns a new array of the results. For example:

grep Returns an array of the elements for which a function returns a true-ish value:

fold Left-reduces an array under a binary operation. Can take additional arguments for preloading:

sort\_by Sorts an array through a projection; that is, returns the original values, but sorted depending on the output of some other function. The function you provide will probably be called  $O(n \log n)$  times.

flat\_map Just like map, except the results are assumed to be arrays and are concatenated together:

$$[1, 2, 3].flat_map ('[$0, $0 + 1]') // => [1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4]$$

each Just like map, but doesn't store the output of the function (the original array is returned instead). This is slightly more efficient because a second array isn't allocated.

## Writing and Extending Functionals

The definitions of functional operations such as compose, ctor, etc. are the same across prototypes. That is, Number.prototype.ctor is the same function as Function.prototype.ctor, which is the same as String.prototype.ctor. This makes it very easy to add your own functional operations.

#### 5.1 Extending functionals

Divergence provides the d.functions() method for extending the set of operations on functionals. For example, here is how you might go about defining a method to pluralize a functional:

d. functions uses d.init internally, so you can pass in multiple arguments, and each argument can either be a hash or a function.

To see what extensions have already been made for functionals, you can reference d.functional\_extensions:

Note, however, that modifications to functional\_extensions will have no effect on operations that are made available through the basic prototypes. You should always use d.functions() to define new operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See chapter **7** 

#### 5.2 New functionals

Let's suppose that we want to write a functional to compose two existing ones. Obviously, in order to be a functional at all it will have to have fn(), and in order to be constructable it will need to have a type of some sort. Here's a start then:

```
var composition = '@lhs = $0, @rhs = $1'.ctor ({
  fn: '{|f, xs| f.fn.apply (f, xs) |} (@lhs.compose(@rhs), @_)'.fn()});
```

This gets us fn(), but what about all of the default goodies that come with functionals? Divergence provides a method just for this purpose:

#### d.functional (composition);

This has two effects. First, it adds all of the methods defined in d. functional\_extensions to composition, and second, it pushes composition onto d. functionals. The net effect of this and d. functions is that provided that you use this API, all of your functionals will be kept in the same state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>d.functionals is an array of things to extend later on when we call d.functions. d.functional\_extensions is a member of this array; that's why it stays up-to-date.

### **Writing Inline Macros**

This is actually really easy. You just use the macro() method on RegExp, like this:

There are a couple of things to remember here. One is that you must use fn() on the expander, since it would also be legitimate to replace a word with a constant string. The other is that your function is given as the second argument to the String.replace() method, so the first parameter to your function will be the entire match range. (This results in the rather Perlish property that \$1 is the first match, \$2 the second, etc.)

Also, macros that you define will be run *after* all of the previously-defined macros. This means two things. First, patterns that you define can't override patterns that get transformed by other macros (unless you're prepared to transform their output), and second, your macroexpansions can't rely on macro shortcuts.<sup>1</sup>

Divergence also includes a mechanism to expand macros without evaluating the result. You can call the d.macro\_expand on a string to see what will be put inside a function:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Because of these unfortunate limitations, I may change macro() to prepend macro definitions in the future.

### Miscellaneous Other Stuff

Aside from the core functions, there are some other useful things that Divergence provides. One of them is the d.map function, which iterates over the key-value pairs of a hash. It's a monadic bind over hashes (analogous to a flat map), so your function returns some hash and at the end they're all merged together to form the result. For example:

Another is the d.init function, which takes an object and a series of modifiers, applies the modifiers to the object, and returns the original. The modifiers can be hashes, in which case their values are merged onto the object, or they can be functions, in which case they are applied to the original with this equal to the object. For example:

The initializers are applied in the order provided.

These are probably the two most useful global functions in the Divergence core. There are a few others, though; I recommend looking over the source code (it isn't long, well under 100 lines total) to get a feel for how it works internally. In particular, the builtin macro definitions can be extended; the source code provides examples of how to do this.

Part II

Rebase

### Getting Started with Rebase

Rebase decompiles, transforms, and recompiles functions to provide low-level rewriting of JavaScript's core constructs. (Hence the name.) This process is complex and not for the faint of heart; fortunately, the interface is relatively straightforward. For example:

```
var f = function () {...};  // A normal function
var g = d.rebase (f);  // g is a transformed copy of f
```

There are, however, a few things to keep in mind:

- 1. Some information is lost during decompilation. Particularly, functions that close over variables will lose their closure bindings, since JavaScript provides no way to access them in a first-class way. So all calls to d. rebase should occur at the top-level that is, outside of all other function bodies.
- 2. Transformed or promoted functions can't be rebased directly. Rebasing calls toString() on a function to obtain its code, and functions that proxy application don't generally also proxy toString(). So, whenever you're rebasing a function, I recommend passing in the function directly:

```
d.rebase (function () {
   ...
});
```

The following chapters discuss things you can do inside a rebased function, and how that code gets recompiled into normal JavaScript.

### **Operator Overloading**

Rebase lets you overload JavaScript's operators. In practice, this entails translating operator invocations into method calls.<sup>1</sup> For example, this function:

```
function (x, y) {return x + y}
would be rebased into:
function (x, y) {return x['+'](y)}
```

### 9.1 Standard operators

Because this transformation also affects regular values such as numbers and strings, Rebase installs handler functions for these objects. If you look at String.prototype, for instance, after Rebase is included, you'll probably see a bunch of functions whose names are operators; these are compatibility functions that just delegate to those operators to provide normal operation after the operators have been converted.

Rebase also provides some default operators in places where JavaScript's defaults aren't very helpful:

These operators (for arrays, anyway) are aliased to regular methods; \* is aliased to map, / to fold, % to grep, + to concat, and >>\$- to flat\_map.<sup>2</sup> The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Some operators are not translated because they cause behavior to change. These include ==, ===, !=, !==, =, ++, --, &&, ||, ?:, function calls, dot-lookups, hash-lookups, commas, and !.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ map, grep, flat\_map, and fold are provided by the Divergence core library. concat is a standard array method.

>>\$- notation comes from monadic binding and was chosen because it looks vaguely like Haskell's >>=.3

At this point you might reasonably ask about >>\$-; this is certainly not a normal-looking operator! Quite right – Rebase allows you to combine binary operators to form new compound ones around certain identifiers. \$ is one such identifier (these are called "sandwiches"), and the rule is that if the compiled expression tree includes two adjacent binary operators around a sandwich identifier, then they get merged to form something like >>\$-. Section 9.3 goes over this in more detail.

#### 9.2 Defining new operators

It's actually very simple to define new operators for your classes, or to redefine the ones that Rebase defines for standard classes. Here is how you might go about defining a 2D vector, for instance:

```
var vector2 = '@x = $0, @y = $1'.ctor ({
  toString: function () {return '<' + this.x + ', ' + this.y + '>'},
    '+': 'new @constructor(@x + $0.x, @y + $0.y)'.fn(),
    '-': 'new @constructor(@x - $0.x, @y - $0.y)'.fn(),
    '*': 'new @constructor(@x * $0.x, @y * $0.y)'.fn(),
    '/': 'new @constructor(@x / $0.x, @y / $0.y)'.fn()});
```

An equivalent and more compact way to do this using Rebase function literals and string interpolation:

Now you can use these operators:

```
d.rebase (function () {
  var v1 = new vector2 (3, 4);
  var v2 = new vector2 (1, 6);
  alert (v1 + v2);  // Alerts '<4, 10>'
}) ();
```

You can define compound operators the same way:<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Because ≫= is an assignment operator in JavaScript, the left-hand side must be a proper lvalue. This is enforced within the JavaScript grammar, so had I used this notation all monadic binding would have do be done against unadorned variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Some compound operators are macros and will never be run. See section 10.1 for more details.

```
vector2.prototype['-$*'] = '@x * $0.x + @y * $0.y'.fn();
d.rebase (function () {
  var v1 = new vector2 (3, 4);
  alert (v1 -$* v1);  // Alerts '25'
}) ();
```

#### 9.3 Sandwiches

Earlier I mentioned the >>\$- operator, which is really a combination of >>, \$, and -. The conditions required for rebase to sandwich these tokens into one are:

- d.rebase.sandwiches must map \$ to a true-ish value (which it does by default)
- 2. d.rebase.sandwich\_ops must map >> and to true-ish values (which it also does)
- 3. If we were interpreting the script normally, the evaluations of >> and would have to be adjacent.

This third point deserves some explanation. When Rebase is going through your code, it first lexes into tokens, then parses into an expression tree, then transforms that expression tree with any macros that are defined (see chapter 10), and finally serializes and evals the result.

The operator sandwiching doesn't happen at the lexing stage, however. It's implemented as a transformation of the expression tree, which means that some information has been lost. In particular, it is unclear whether you typed x + y >> \$- z or  $x + (y >> \$) - z.^5$  To avoid mangling the second case, Rebase is conservative about which operators it replaces; thus the restriction that >> and - must have a direct parent-child relationship in the parse tree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Since Rebase keeps track of the original parens, this actually wouldn't normally be a problem. The issue arises when serializing a function through SpiderMonkey; this JS engine parses a function into a parse tree and does constant folding before serializing it via toString. Unfortunately, this means that a considerable amount of information has been lost.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This also makes it less feasible to define sandwiched operators whose left and right components have very different precedence. Because of the way SpiderMonkey presents functions, I think this is ultimately a good thing to be aware of.

### **Macros**

Just like Divergence's inline macro processor, Rebase maintains a list of transforming functions not on strings but on expression trees; these are stored in d.rebase.macros. When you call d.rebase(function), here is what happens:

- d.rebase.parse(function.toString()) is called, which translates the function into an expression tree.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. The expression tree is traversed depth-first, and each node is folded over all of the macros; that is, node = macros.fold('\$0(\$1)', node). This has the effect of composing all of the macros together, so that if [m1, m2, ..., mn] is the macro list, then node will become mn(...(m2(m1(node)))...).
- 3. node.toString() is called on the top tree node (Rebase generates this; it is always (value)).
- 4. The result is evaled and that output is returned.

#### 10.1 Built-in macros

The comments in the Rebase source code go over the mechanics of implementing the built-in macros, but here is what they do:

- 1. The first macro performs operator sandwiching. This has to be run before we expand assignments, since otherwise you might have unintended effects from statements such as x +=\$ >> 5.
- 2. The next macro to be run is the assignment-expander. This takes expressions of the form x += y, x <<= y, etc. and translates them into their full forms, e.g. x = x + y or x = x << y. This is actually necessary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that because we're just calling toString(), but never actually applying the argument to d.rebase.parse, you could in fact rebase just about anything. This includes expression trees, strings, or anything else that has a toString() method that produces parseable code.

to preserve behavior. The reason is that the left-hand side of += or any other assignment operator must be an lvalue, and this isn't an lvalue.<sup>2</sup> The problem becomes apparent when we want numbers to preserve their behavior:

```
Number.prototype['+='] = '^+ += ^0'.fn(); // Can't do this
```

The simplest answer is just to expand all of these expressions and forego whatever operator overloading we might have been able to achieve with them (not a lot as it happens, since the JavaScript grammar is quite restrictive about what you can use as an Ivalue).

3. Inline functions. Rebase provides a syntax for defining functions that is a bit more lightweight than JavaScript's function () {return x} syntax. Instead, you can use the infix operator >\$>, like this: x >\$> x + 1. Its precedence is with relational operators, so this code will do what you expect:

```
var f = x >  x + 1;
var g = y >  y << 5;
```

Anything at or below a relational operator, however, must be parenthesized:

```
var f = (x, y) >  (x !== y);
var g = (x, y, z) >  (x ? y : z);
```

Unfortunately, JavaScript won't let you define a nullary function as () >\$> x. However, you can bind a throwaway variable such as \_ and use that instead: \_ >\$> x. Since JavaScript doesn't track formal parameters anyway, there isn't much difference.

Note that this macro transforms expressions of the form args >\$> expression into (function (args) {return expression}). This has some important consequences, perhaps foremost that this and arguments take on different meanings on the right-hand side of >\$>. So, for example, this function will not do what seems obvious:

```
String.prototype['*'] = f >$> (
  this.split('').map(x >$> f(x, this)));
```

The inner this that gets passed to f will be [object global], not the original string.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lvalues are things that can be assigned to. I think the terminology comes from the fact that you can put them on the left-hand side of an assignment operator. Anyway, JavaScript lets you assign to variables and hash and array entries, and that's about it.

4. Comment processing. Rebase supports structural commenting, which lets you remove things on an expression basis and replace them with undefined. For example:

```
var f = x && comment(y + z);
```

If you run this code, y + z will never be evaluated (in fact, it won't even appear in the resulting function). The code that will be generated looks like this instead:

```
var f = x && undefined;
```

5. String interpolation. This is one of my favorites because it's so useful. Rebase will go through your program and expand every string with #{...} segments into a string concatenation. For example:

```
var s = 'The number is #{3 + 5}';
is expanded into:
var s = ('The number is ' + (3 + 5) + '');
```

Code inside these escapes is rebased, so you can also say things like this:

```
var s = 'The number is \#\{(x > \$ > x + 1) (5)\}';
```

Strings without these sequences are left alone. This is necessary to preserve the integrity of hash-keys, which must be unparenthesized literal strings or identifiers.

6. The last thing that happens is operator overloading. Once we've translated everything, we replace all binary operators<sup>3</sup> with method calls. Precedence is preserved, so you get nested expressions of the form x['+'](y['\*'](z)), for instance.

#### 10.2 literal

Sometimes you want to protect a piece of code from any kind of macro transformation. One reason for this is for performance; another reason might be to work around a bug that pops up due to some incompatibility in the Rebase standard functions. Fortunately, this is quite easy using the literal keyword:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>With some exceptions – see d.rebase.should\_convert, for instance.

```
d.rebase (function (y) {
  var x = literal (y + 5) + 6;
});
```

Macro transformation, including string interpolation, operator overloading, and everything else, won't enter a literal expression. So the code above translates into:

```
function (y) {
  var x = (y + 5)['+'](6);
}
```

#### 10.3 Working with syntax trees

Syntax trees have a simple API used for incremental parsing, but most likely you won't want to use it for anything serious. Let's suppose you want to write a macro to expand to a caching function, something that transforms this:

```
$|| x + y
into this:

(function (value, computed) {
  return function () {
    return computed ? value : (value = (x + y));
  };
}) (null, false)
```

There are a bunch of syntax nodes there and it would be a major pain to construct them all. The simplest way to write this macro would be:

I don't expect this makes sense, so I'll go through it. First we construct the function invocation as a quoted string. It needs to be a string, since normal expressions get evaluated eagerly before Rebase can see them. We have Rebase convert it to a syntax tree using parse(), then find the \_\_f\_\_ node and have

it replace its first child with a new tree. The new tree here is a function whose \_\_expression\_\_ is replaced by the right-hand side of the original || operator in the \$|| expression.