Using Divergence and Rebase

Spencer Tipping

May 24, 2010

Contents

Introduction

Divergence is a JavaScript library centered around functions and function manipulation. It extends the prototypes of the core JavaScript data types to provide methods that make it easier to program in a functional style, and provides a coherent paradigm for generating functions from different types of values.

Rebase is a Divergence module that decompiles, transforms, and recompiles functions to extend the capabilities of JavaScript. This includes adding syntactic macros, operator overloading, and string interpolation to the language by default, and it can also be used as a basis for creating other extensions.

This guide is intended for anyone who read *JavaScript in Ten Minutes*[1] and would like to see some of the ideas put into practice. I think it covers everything, including syntactic macros, continuations, etc. If you haven't yet read *JavaScript in Ten Minutes*, I recommend it as pre-reading; this guide picks up about where it left off.

This guide is separated into two parts. The first part goes over the Divergence core library, and the second part introduces Rebase and the ways that it interacts with Divergence.

Getting Started

Divergence and Rebase are both hosted on Github. They can be retrieved from http://github.com/spencertipping/divergence and http://github.com/spencertipping/divergence-rebase, respectively. If you've checked out the user guide repository, then you're all set – you can open shell.html in a non-IE browser and run the examples from there.

If you don't have a local checkout or prefer a more hands-on approach, then you can download divergence.js and divergence.rebase.js from the Rebase repository, save them somewhere, and create an HTML file with the following contents:

```
<html>
  <head>
    <script src='divergence.js'></script>
    <script src='divergence.rebase.js'></script>
```

---

[1] Freely available at http://github.com/spencertipping/js-in-ten-minutes

```
    <script>
        // Examples can go here
    </script>
  </head>
  <body>
  </body>
</html>
```

Part I

Divergence Core

Chapter 1

Everything is a Function

The main goal of Divergence is to create a way to convert objects of any basic type into functions. For example, the string `'$0 + $1'` can be promoted into a function that adds its first two parameters using the `fn()` method. Similarly, arrays, numbers, booleans, and regular expressions all have defined promotions into functions. I refer to objects that have a `fn()` method as *functionals*.[1]

1.1 Booleans and Numbers

The promotion patterns for these are simple. If `n` is a number, then `n.fn()` returns a function which returns its nth argument (where arguments' indices are zero-based).[2] So, for example:

```
var n = 1;
n.fn() (5, 6)        // => 6
```

Because of JavaScript's eager lexing, you can't say things like `5.fn();` in this case, the dot is considered to be a part of the floating-point literal `5.`. The way to fix this is to put a space after 5, so you have `5 .fn()`. Not quite as nice, but fortunately most function promotion is done implicitly in practice.

Booleans are promoted as uncurried Church booleans[3] – that is, `true` returns its first parameter and `false` returns its second.

1.2 Regular expressions

These get promoted into functions that attempt to match the regular expression against a string. For example:

---

[1]You can write your own functionals, too; Divergence doesn't make any assumptions about them other than that they provide `fn()`.

[2]I haven't tried it yet, but I suspect bad things happen if you use floating-point or negative numbers.

[3]Don't worry if this sounds unfamiliar; it's just the theoretical background.

```
/foo (bar)/.fn() ('foo bar bif')          // => ['foo bar', 'bar']
/foo (bar)/.fn() ('bar bif baz')          // => null
```

Because failed matches return `null`, we have the very nice idiom that
`['string1', 'string2', ...].grep(/pattern/)` does exactly what you'd
expect.[4]

Internally the `RegExp.exec()` method is used to achieve this behavior.

1.3 Arrays

`fn()` distributes across arrays. That is, `[f, g, h].fn()(x)` is equivalent to
`[f.fn()(x), g.fn()(x), h.fn()(x)]`. One use of this is to reorder an argu-
ment list:

```
var g = f.flat_compose ([1, 0]);    // flat_compose implicitly calls fn()
g (x, y)                            // the same as f (y, x)
```

1.4 Functions

The `fn()` method exists for functions for the sake of uniformity. It just returns
the function.

1.5 Strings

The `fn()` method for strings does a lot. In the simplest case, it just wraps the
string inside an empty function (e.g. `'foo'.fn()` becomes `(function () {return foo})`)
and runs the result through `eval()`. For example, `'arguments[0]'.fn()` is the
identity function.

Obviously, nobody wants to type `arguments` that many times, so Divergence
provides a simple regular-expression-based macro processor that does some
expansions for you.[5] The ones that are enabled by default are:

1. Expressions of the form `$n`, where n is an integer, are expanded to
   `arguments[n]`. For example, `'$0 + $1'.fn()` adds its first two argu-
   ments.

2. The expression `$_` is expanded to `this`.

3. The expression `@_` is expanded to `Array.prototype.slice.call(arguments)`.[6]

---

[4]`grep` is defined for arrays; see chapter 3

[5]Note that because it just uses regular expressions on the input, there's no pretense of protecting
things inside strings, etc. Rebase implements a much more sophisticated macro processor that
avoids these problems.

[6]This promotes `arguments` into a proper array.

6

4. Expressions of the form @foo, where foo is an identifier, are expanded to this.foo.

5. Expressions of the form {|x, y, z| x + y |} are expanded to expressions of the form (function (x, y, z) {return x + y}).

6. Expressions of the form {< expression >} are expanded to expressions of the form (function () {return expression}).

After performing those substitutions, the result is processed as mentioned before; that is, it's put inside an empty function and evaled.

Here are some examples:

```
'$0[$1]'.fn() ({foo: 'bar'}, 'foo')              // => 'bar'
'$_ + $0'.fn().call (5, 6)                        // => 11
'$0.map({< $0 + 1 >})'.fn() ([1, 2])             // => [2, 3]
'$0.fold({|x, y| x * y |}).fn() ([1, 2, 3, 4, 5]) // => 120
'@foo + @bar'.fn().call ({foo: 10, bar: 5})      // => 15
'@_.length'.fn() (10, 15, 20)                     // => 3


// Important:
'@_.sort(), @_'.fn() (50, 40, 30)                // => [50, 40, 30]
```

The last example illustrates an important point here. The substitutions are purely textual, so any expressions that get generated will be run multiple times and will probably generate unaliased values. @_ is the only thing that looks like a variable but is actually a function, so I doubt this will be a common source of errors. But it is worth remembering.

A workaround for the last case, incidentally, in case you need to bind a variable:[7]

```
'{|xs| xs.sort(), xs |} (@_)'.fn() (50, 40, 30)  // => [30, 40, 50]
```

---

[7]And this isn't one of those cases, as it happens. sort() does modify the array in-place, but it also returns a reference to the original (which after it returns will be sorted).

Chapter 2

Operations on Functionals

Functionals, as defined in the previous section, are objects with `fn()` methods. Because they present this interface, we can define operations that work on any functional and add them to all of the basic prototypes. All of the following functions are present on every functional.

2.1 compose

Composes two functions. Specifically, `f.compose(g)` is equivalent to the function:

```
function () {
  return f.fn() (g.fn().apply (this, arguments);
}
```

Notice that both `f` and `g` are automatically promoted into functions. This is true of almost all of the higher-order functions provided by Divergence.

2.2 flat_compose

Composes two functions, but expands the array returned by `g` and supplies the values as arguments to `f`. For example:

```
var f = '[$0, $1, $1]';          // A string, not a function
var g = '$0 + $1 * $1';
f.flat_compose(g) (3, 4)          // => 19
```

Specifically, `f.flat_compose(g)` is equivalent to:

```
function () {
  return f.fn().apply (this, g.fn().apply (this, arguments));
}
```

2.3 `curry`

Takes an integer `n` and returns a function that will evaluate the original when called n times. For example:

```
'$0 + $1 + $2'.curry(1) (1, 2, 3)        // => 6
'$0 + $1 + $2'.curry(2) (1, 2) (3)       // => 6
'$0 + $1 + $2'.curry(3) (1) (2) (3)      // => 6
'$0 + $1 + $2'.curry(4) (1) (2) (3) ()   // => 6
```

Arguments don't have to occur in any particular pattern; they're just stuck onto a queue as they're collected. If you want a more traditional implementation of `curry` that chops off extras, for example, then `flat_compose` and arrays will probably work:

```
var f = '$0 + $1 + $2'.curry(2).flat_compose([0, 1]);
f (1, 2, 3) (4, 5)                        // => 7
```

In this example, the first invocation of `f` took only 1 and 2. 3 was lost because the array `[0, 1]` doesn't return it anywhere. So at this point the queue contains 1 and 2. On the next invocation, 4 and 5 are passed in, so the queue is now 1, 2, 4, 5. The function adds the first three arguments, totaling 7.

2.4 `proxy`

This function serves two purposes. One is to get a new function that is extensionally equivalent to, but referentially distinct from, the original function,[1] and the other is to completely intercept the invocation of the function.

Specifically, `f.proxy()` is equivalent to

```
function () {return f.fn().apply (this, arguments)}
```

and `f.proxy(g)` is equivalent to (get ready, this is confusing):

```
function () {
  return f.fn().apply.apply (f, g.fn().apply (this, arguments));
}
```

Did you catch that? `apply` is itself a function, so we can `apply` it to things. In this case, we apply it to the result of `g`, which is expected to return an array of the form `[t, [x1, x2, ...]]` – `t` refers to the `this` value that `f` should receive, and `x1, x2, ...` are the arguments passed to `f`. This is the elephant-gun of composition; most of the time using `compose` or `flat_compose` will do the job.

---

[1]Things that are *extensionally equivalent* have the same observable behavior, and things that are *referentially distinct* refer to different objects. This condition is useful when you want to change the state of one object without affecting the other. One particular use case might be assigning a function as a method for multiple classes. Perhaps classes tag the functions, e.g. `method.belongsTo = theClass`. In this case you want the methods to behave the same way but have different attributes.

2.5 `bind`

The canonical implementation of `bind`, though this one doesn't preload arguments. It also marks the output function with a reference to the original and to the binding, so:

```
var o = {foo: 0, bar: 1};
var f = '@foo += @bar + $0';
var g = f.bind(o);
g(5)                      // => 6
g(3)                      // => 10
g.original                // => f
g.binding                 // => o
```

The purpose of `bind` is covered in *JavaScript in Ten Minutes* and numerous other sources, but the idea is to fix `this` inside a function so that:

```
'$_'.fn() ()              // => [object global]
'$_'.bind(5)()            // => 5
```

This is not much of an introduction to the concept of function binding; if the purpose or practical use of `bind` is at all unclear, you should definitely read something that goes over what it does.

2.6 `ctor`

The `ctor` function provides a one-step way to initialize the prototype of a function. For example, a quick definition of a 2D vector:

```
var vector2 = '@x = $0, @y = $1'.ctor (
              {plus: 'new @constructor(@x + $0.x, @y + $0.y)'.fn(),
                dot: '@x * $0.x + @y * $0.y'.fn()});
var v1 = new vector2 (3, 4);
v1.dot (v1)                       // => 25
```

`ctor` takes any number of hashes; they will all be merged together into the prototype of the function.

2.7 `type`

Prototypes have their advantages and disadvantages. The advantage of performance is paired with the disadvantage of irregular and non-first-class syntax. To illustrate this, consider a proxy function for a class constructor; its job is to pass whatever arguments you give it into the constructor for a class:

```
var my_class = function () {...};
my_class.prototype.foo = function () {...};
var my_proxy = function () {
  return new my_class ();
};
```

This doesn't quite work, since any arguments passed to `my_proxy` are lost. It would be nice to write it this way:

```
return new my_class.apply (this, arguments);
```

but that isn't what JavaScript's authors had in mind. As far as I know there is no way around this problem other than using a blank constructor and a first-class initializer:

```
var my_class = function () {};                // This function is empty
my_class.prototype.foo = function () {...};
my_class.initialize = function () {...};       // The real constructor
var my_proxy = function () {
  return my_class.initialize.apply (new my_class (), arguments);
};
```

This pattern is abstracted by `type`, which behaves exactly like `ctor` does, except that it uses an empty constructor, uses the given function as the initializer, and returns that initializer. An important consequence of this is that the prototype becomes inaccessible by the usual route; instead, you have to create an instance and run `x.constructor.prototype` to alter it.[2]

2.8   Preloading

A feature of the `fn()` method I haven't mentioned is that you can use it to preload arguments to a function. For example:

```
var f = '$0 + $1'.fn (1);
f (2)                      // => 3
f (3, 4, 5)                // => 4

var g = '@_.length'.fn (3, 4, 5);
g (1, 2)                   // => 5
g (1)                      // => 4
g ()                       // => 3
```

This feature is present for all of the `fn()` methods, not just on the one for strings:

```
var f = [0, 1, 2].fn ('foo', 'bar');
f ('bif')                  // => ['foo', 'bar', 'bif']
```

---

[2]In the future I may introduce an option to make the prototype visible.

Chapter 3

Array Functions

Divergence adds some useful methods to arrays. It's mostly the usual functional stuff:

map Maps a function across the elements of an array and returns a new array of the results. For example:

```
[1, 2, 3].map ('$0 + 1')                        // => [2, 3, 4]
```

grep Returns an array of the elements for which a function returns a true-ish value:

```
[1, 2, 3, 4, 5].grep ('$0 % 2')                 // => [1, 3, 5]
```

fold Left-reduces an array under a binary operation. Can take additional arguments for preloading:

```
[1, 2, 3].fold ('$0 + $1')                      // => 6
['b', 'c', 'd'].fold ('$0 + $1', 'a')           // => 'abcd'
```

sort_by Sorts an array through a projection; that is, returns the original values, but sorted depending on the output of some other function. The function you provide will probably be called $O(n \log n)$ times.

```
['a', 'bc', 'def'].sort_by ('- $0.length')      // => ['def', 'bc', 'a']
```

flat_map Just like map, except the results are assumed to be arrays and are concatenated together:

```
[1, 2, 3].flat_map ('[$0, $0 + 1]')             // => [1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4]
```

each Just like map, but doesn't store the output of the function (the original array is returned instead). This is slightly more efficient because a second array isn't allocated.

Chapter 4

Writing Inline Macros

This is actually really easy. You just use the `macro()` method on `RegExp`, like this:

```
/\$(\w+)/.macro ('"arguments[0]." + $1'.fn());
'$foo'.fn()                    // => (function () {return arguments[0].foo})
```

There are a couple of things to remember here. One is that you must use `fn()` in this case, since it would also be legitimate to replace a word with a constant string. The other is that your function is given as the second argument to the `String.replace()` method, so the first parameter to your function will be the entire match range. (This results in the rather Perlish property that `$1` is the first match, `$2` the second, etc.)

Also, macros that you define will be run *after* all of the previously-defined macros. This means two things. First, patterns that you define can't override patterns that get transformed by other macros (unless you're prepared to transform their output), and second, your macroexpansions can't rely on macro shortcuts.[1]

---

[1] Because of these unfortunate limitations, I may change `macro()` to prepend macro definitions in the future.

Chapter 5

Miscellaneous Other Stuff

Aside from the core functions, there are some other useful things that Divergence provides. One of them is the `d.map` function, which iterates over the key-value pairs of a hash. It's a monadic bind over hashes (analogous to a flat map), so your function returns some hash and at the end they're all merged together to form the result. For example:

```
d.map ({foo: 'bar', bif: 'baz'}, '$0.maps_to($1 + '1')')
    // => {foo: 'bar1', bif: 'baz1'}

d.map ({foo: 'bar'}, 'd.init ($1.maps_to($0), $0.maps_to($1))')
    // => {foo: 'bar',  bar: 'foo'}

d.map ({foo: 'bar', bif: 'baz'}, '/f/.test($0) && $0.maps_to($1)')
    // => {foo: 'bar'}
```

Another is the `d.init` function, which takes an object and a series of modifiers, applies the modifiers to the object, and returns the original. The modifiers can be hashes, in which case their values are merged onto the object, or they can be functions, in which case they are applied to the original with `this` equal to the object. For example:

```
var f = d.init (function () {return 5}, {returns: 5});
f.returns                           // => 5
f ()                                // => 5
var g = d.init ('$0 + 1'.fn(), {throws: null}
  '@returns = $0, @inverse = $1'.fn ('x plus 1', '$0 - 1'.fn()));
g.returns                           // => 'x plus 1'
g.inverse (5)                       // => 4
g.throws                            // => null
```

The initializers are applied in the order provided.

These are probably the two most useful global functions in the Divergence core. There are a few others, though; I recommend looking over the source code (it isn't long, just 63 lines total) to get a feel for how it works internally. In particular, the builtin macro definitions can be extended; the source code provides examples of how to do this.

Part II

Rebase

Chapter 6

Operator Overloading

Rebase lets you overload JavaScript's operators. In practice, this entails translating operator invocations into method calls.[1] For example, this function:

```
function (x, y) {return x + y}
```

would be rebased into:

```
function (x, y) {return x['+'](y)}
```

6.1   Standard operators

Because this transformation also affects regular values such as numbers and strings, Rebase installs handler functions for these objects. If you look at `String.prototype`, for instance, after Rebase is included, you'll probably see a bunch of functions whose names are operators; these are compatibility functions that just delegate to those operators to provide normal operation after the operators have been converted.

Rebase also provides some default operators in places where JavaScript's defaults aren't very helpful:

```
[1, 2, 3] * (function (x) {return x + 1})       // => [2, 3, 4]
[1, 2, 3] % (function (x) {return x % 2})       // => [1, 3]
[1, 2, 3] + [4, 5, 6]                           // => [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]
[1, 2, 3] / (function (x, y) {return x + y})    // => 6
[1, 2, 3] >>$- f                                // => [1, 2, 3].flat_map (f)
```

---

[1]Some operators are not translated because they cause behavior to change. These include ==, ===, !=, !==, =, ++, --, &&, ||, ?:, function calls, dot-lookups, hash-lookups, commas, and !.

These operators are aliased to regular methods; `*` is aliased to `map`, `/` to `fold`, `%` to `grep`, `+` to `concat`, and `>>$-` to `flat_map`.[2] The `>>$-` notation comes from monadic binding and was chosen because it looks vaguely like Haskell's `>>=`.[3]

At this point you might reasonably ask about `>>$-`; this is certainly not a normal-looking operator! Quite right – Rebase allows you to combine binary operators to form new compound ones around certain identifiers. `$` is one such identifier (these are called "sandwiches"), and the rule is that if the compiled expression tree includes two adjacent binary operators around a sandwich identifier, then they get merged to form something like `>>$-`. Section 6.3 goes over this in more detail.

6.2   Defining new operators

It's actually very simple to define new operators for your classes, or to redefine the ones that Rebase defines for standard classes. Here is how you might go about defining a 2D vector, for instance:

```
var vector2 = '@x = $0, @y = $1'.ctor ({
  toString: function () {return '<' + this.x + ', ' + this.y + '>'},
      '+': 'new vector2(@x + $0.x, @y + $0.y)',
      '-': 'new vector2(@x + $0.x, @y + $0.y)',
      '*': 'new vector2(@x + $0.x, @y + $0.y)',
      '/': 'new vector2(@x + $0.x, @y + $0.y)'});
```

An equivalent and more compact way to do this using Rebase function literals and string interpolation:

```
var vector2 = '@x = $0, @y = $1'.ctor (
  ([{toString: _ >$> '<#{this.x}, #{this.y}>'}] +
  '+ - * /'.split(' ') *
    (op >$> op.maps_to (
      'new vector2 (@.x #{op} $0.x, @.y #{op} $0.y)'.fn()))) / d.init);
```

Now you can use these operators:

```
d.rebase (function () {
  var v1 = new vector2 (3, 4);
  var v2 = new vector2 (1, 6);
  alert (v1 + v2);           // Alerts '<4, 10>'
}) ();
```

---

[2] `map`, `grep`, `flat_map`, and `fold` are provided by the Divergence core library. `concat` is a standard array method.

[3] Because ≫= is an assignment operator in JavaScript, the left-hand side must be a proper lvalue. This is enforced within the JavaScript grammar, so had I used this notation all monadic binding would have do be done against unadorned variables.

You can define compound operators the same way:[4]

```
vector2.prototype['-$*'] = '@x * $0.x + @y * $0.y'.fn();
```

(Or, more idiomatically, `vector2.prototype['-$*'] = '@x * $0.x + @y * $0.y'.fn();`)

```
d.rebase (function () {
  var v1 = new vector2 (3, 4);
  alert (v1 -$* v1);        // Alerts '25'
}) ();
```

6.3 Sandwiches

Earlier I mentioned the >>$- operator, which is really a combination of >>, $, and -. The conditions required for rebase to sandwich these tokens into one are:

1. `d.rebase.sandwiches` must map $ to a true-ish value (which it does by default)

2. `d.rebase.sandwich_ops` must map >> and - to true-ish values (which it also does)

3. If we were interpreting the script normally, the evaluations of >> and - would have to be adjacent.

This third point deserves some explanation. When Rebase is going through your code, it first lexes into tokens, then parses into an expression tree, then transforms that expression tree with any macros that are defined (see chapter 7), and finally serializes and `eval`s the result.

The operator sandwiching doesn't happen at the lexing stage, however. It's implemented as a transformation of the expression tree, which means that some information has been lost. In particular, it is unclear whether you typed `x + y >>$- z` or `x + (y >> $) - z`.[5] To avoid mangling the second case, Rebase is conservative about which operators it replaces; thus the restriction that >> and - must have a direct parent-child relationship in the parse tree.[6]

---

[4]Some compound operators are macros and will never be run. See section 7.1 for more details.

[5]Since Rebase keeps track of the original parens, this actually wouldn't normally be a problem. The issue arises when serializing a function through SpiderMonkey; this JS engine parses a function into a parse tree and does constant folding before serializing it via `toString`. Unfortunately, this means that a considerable amount of information has been lost.

[6]This also makes it less feasible to define sandwiched operators whose left and right components have very different precedence. Because of the way SpiderMonkey presents functions, I think this is ultimately a good thing to be aware of.

Chapter 7

Macros

Just like Divergence's inline macro processor, Rebase maintains a list of transforming functions not on strings but on expression trees; these are stored in `d.rebase.macros`. When you call `d.rebase(function)`, here is what happens:

1. `d.rebase.parse(function.toString())` is called, which translates the function into an expression tree.[1]

2. The expression tree is traversed depth-first, and each node is folded over all of the macros; that is, `node = macros.fold('$0($1)', node)`. This has the effect of composing all of the macros together, so that if `[m1, m2, ..., mn]` is the macro list, then node will become `mn(...(m2(m1(node)))...)`.

3. `node.toString()` is called on the top tree node (Rebase generates this; it is always `(value)`).

4. The result is `evaled` and that output is returned.

7.1   Built-in macros

The comments in the Rebase source code go over the mechanics of implementing the built-in macros, but here is what they do:

1. The first macro performs operator sandwiching. This has to be run before we expand assignments, since otherwise you might have unintended effects from statements such as `x += $ >> 5`.

2. The next macro to be run is the assignment-expander. This takes expressions of the form `x += y`, `x <<= y`, etc. and translates them into their full forms, e.g.   `x = x + y` or `x = x << y`. This is actually necessary

---

[1]Note that because we're just calling `toString()`, but never actually applying the argument to `d.rebase.parse`, you could in fact rebase just about anything. This includes expression trees, strings, or anything else that has a `toString()` method that produces parseable code.

to preserve behavior. The reason is that the left-hand side of += or any other assignment operator must be an lvalue, and `this` isn't an lvalue.[2] The problem becomes apparent when we want numbers to preserve their behavior:

```
Number.prototype['+='] = '$_ += $0'.fn();     // Can't do this
```

The simplest answer is just to expand all of these expressions and forego whatever operator overloading we might have been able to achieve with them (not a lot as it happens, since the JavaScript grammar is quite restrictive about what you can use as an lvalue).

3. Inline functions. Rebase provides a syntax for defining functions that is a bit more lightweight than JavaScript's `function () {return x}` syntax. Instead, you can use the infix operator >$>, like this: `x >$> x + 1`. Its precedence is with relational operators, so this code will do what you expect:

```
var f = x >$> x + 1;
var g = y >$> y << 5;
```

Anything at or below a relational operator, however, must be parenthesized:

```
var f = (x, y) >$> (x !== y);
var g = (x, y, z) >$> (x ? y : z);
```

Unfortunately, JavaScript won't let you define a nullary function as `() >$> x`. However, you can bind a throwaway variable such as _ and use that instead: `_ >$> x`. Since JavaScript doesn't track formal parameters anyway, there isn't much difference.

Note that this macro transforms expressions of the form `args >$> expression` into `(function (args) {return expression})`. This has some important consequences, perhaps foremost that `this` and `arguments` take on different meanings on the right-hand side of >$>. So, for example, this function will not do what seems obvious:

```
String.prototype['*'] = f >$> (
  this.split('').map(x >$> f(x, this)));
```

The inner `this` that gets passed to f will be `[object global]`, not the original string.

---

[2]Lvalues are things that can be assigned to. I think the terminology comes from the fact that you can put them on the left-hand side of an assignment operator. Anyway, JavaScript lets you assign to variables and hash and array entries, and that's about it.

4. Comment processing. Rebase supports structural commenting, which lets you remove things on an expression basis and replace them with `undefined`. For example:

```
var f = x && comment(y + z);
```

If you run this code, `y + z` will never be evaluated (in fact, it won't even appear in the resulting function). The code that will be generated looks like this instead:

```
var f = x && undefined;
```

5. String interpolation. This is one of my favorites because it's so useful. Rebase will go through your program and expand every string with `#{...}` segments into a string concatenation. For example:

```
var s = 'The number is #{3 + 5}';
```

is expanded into:

```
var s = ('The number is ' + (3 + 5) + '');
```

Code inside these escapes is rebased, so you can also say things like this:

```
var s = 'The number is #{(x >$> x + 1) (5)}';
```

Strings without these sequences are left alone. This is necessary to preserve the integrity of hash-keys, which must be unparenthesized literal strings or identifiers.

6. The last thing that happens is operator overloading. Once we've translated everything, we replace all binary operators[3] with method calls. Precedence is preserved, so you get nested expressions of the form `x['+'](y['*'](z))`, for instance.

---

[3]With some exceptions – see `d.rebase.should_convert`, for instance.