

Efron (1979) – Bootstrap Methods

Spencer Woody

UT-Austin, SDS 190

`spencer.woody@utexas.edu`

November 4, 2016

Overview

- 1 Introduction
 - Theoretical Framework
 - Implementation of Bootstrap
- 2 MSE of sample median
- 3 Error Rate Estimation in Discriminant Analysis
- 4 Regression Models
- 5 Rationale
- 6 Related Topics

Problem Statement

We want to estimate the sampling distribution of a random variable $R(X, F)$ given a sample size n

$$X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$$

$$X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$X_i = x_i \text{ observed data}$$

$R(X, F) = t(X) - \theta(F)$, $t(X)$ is some estimator of $\theta(F)$. some parameter of F which is of interest.

We obtain $\widehat{\text{Bias}}(t)$, $\widehat{\text{Var}}(t)$ by recomputing $t(\cdot)$ n times, *each time leaving one observation from X out*. Let $\hat{\theta} = t(X)$

$$\hat{\theta}_{(\cdot)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\theta}_{(i)}$$

$$\widehat{\text{Bias}}(t) = (n-1)(\hat{\theta}_{(\cdot)} - \hat{\theta})$$

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(t) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\theta}_{(\cdot)} - \hat{\theta}_{(i)})^2$$

$$R'(X, F) = \frac{t(X) - \widehat{\text{Bias}}(t) - \theta(F)}{(\widehat{\text{Var}}(t))^{1/2}} \sim t_{n-1}$$

Bootstrap

- (1) Construct \hat{F} , discrete uniform with mass $\frac{1}{n}$ on each x_i . \hat{F} is called the *empirical distribution*.
- (2) Draw the *bootstrap sample* of size n from \hat{F} . This is a *resampling with replacement* scheme.

$$X^* = \{X_1^*, X_2^*, \dots, X_n^*\}$$

$$X_i^* \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \hat{F}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$X_i^* = x_i^* \text{ realization of bootstrap sample}$$

- (3) Approximate $R(X, F)$ with $R^* = R(X^*, \hat{F})$.

Implementation of Bootstrap

I. Direct theoretical calculation

Use theoretical framework without creating simulations

II. Monte Carlo approximation with N simulations

1. Generate X^{*1}, \dots, X^{*N}
2. Calculate $R^{*1} = R(X^{*1}, \hat{F}), \dots, R^{*N} = R(X^{*N}, \hat{F})$
3. Create histogram of R^{*1}, \dots, R^{*N} to make inferences on $R(X, F)$

Trivial theoretical calculation: Bernoulli

- $F \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$
- $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$
- $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$
- $R(X, F) = \bar{X} - \theta$ (sample average – pop. parameter)

Generate X^* and construct \hat{F} as described previously

- $X_i^* \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\bar{x})$
- $R(X, F) \approx R^*(X^*, \hat{F}) = \bar{X}^* - \bar{x}$

$$E(R^*) = 0 \quad \text{Var}(R^*) = \text{Var}(\bar{X}^*) = \frac{\bar{x}(1 - \bar{x})}{n}$$

\bar{X} is unbiased in θ with variance $\bar{x}(1 - \bar{x})/n$

MSE of sample median

Again, $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$, $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F$. Suppose n is odd, $n = 2m - 1$

- $\theta(F)$ is the population median
- $t(X) = X_{(m)}$ is the sample median
- $R(X, F) = t(X) - \theta(F)$

Generate bootstrap X^*

$$\begin{aligned} R^* &= R(X^*, \hat{F}) = t(X^*) - \theta(\hat{F}) \\ &= X_{(m)}^* - x_{(m)} \end{aligned}$$

MSE of sample median

Problem: Find expected MSE of $t(X)$. Note that $R^* = X_{(m)}^* - x_{(m)}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_F((t(X) - \theta(F))^2) &= E((R(X, F))^2) \\ &\approx E_*((R_*)^2) \\ &= E_*(X_{(m)} - x_{(m)})^2 \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n (x_{(\ell)} - x_{(m)})^2 P(R^* = x_{(\ell)} - x_{(m)}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n (x_{(\ell)} - x_{(m)})^2 P(X_{(m)}^* = x_{(\ell)}) \end{aligned}$$

Find the distribution of random variable $X_{(m)}^*$

MSE of sample median

$$\begin{aligned}P(X_{(m)}^* > x_{(\ell)}) &= P(x_{(\ell)} < X_{(m)}^*) \\&= P(\text{Binomial}(n, \ell/n) \leq m-1) \\&= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^j \left(\frac{n-\ell}{n}\right)^{n-j}\end{aligned}$$

MSE of sample median

$$\begin{aligned}P(X_{(m)}^* = x_{(\ell)}) &= P(X_{(m)}^* > x_{(\ell-1)}) - P(X_{(m)}^* > x_{(\ell)}) \\&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{\ell-1}{n}\right)^k \left(\frac{n-\ell-1}{n}\right)^{n-k} \\&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^j \left(\frac{n-\ell}{n}\right)^{n-j}\end{aligned}$$

Now we can use this to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}E((R(X, F))^2) &= E_F((t(X) - \theta(F))^2) \\&\approx \sum_{\ell=1}^n (x_{(\ell)} - x_{(m)})^2 P(X_{(m)}^* = x_{(\ell)})\end{aligned}$$

No Monte Carlo sampling needed!

Error Rate in Linear Discriminant Analysis

We have two unknown continuous PDFs F and G in \mathbb{R}^k space

$$X_i = x_i, \quad X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$Y_j = y_j, \quad Y_j \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} G, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Given our data X and Y we partition \mathbb{R}^k into two complementary regions A and B so as to ascribe a future observation z to F distribution if $z \in A$, or to G if $z \in B$.

$$\text{error}_F = P_F(X \in B)$$

$$\widehat{\text{error}}_F = \frac{\#\{x_i \in B\}}{m}$$

$$R((X, Y), (F, G)) = \text{error}_F - \widehat{\text{error}}_F$$

Error Rate in Linear Discriminant Analysis

Given observed data x and y , the MLE of B is

$$B = \left\{ z : (\bar{y} - \bar{x})S^{-1} \left(z - \frac{\bar{x} + \bar{y}}{2} \right) > \log \frac{m}{n} \right\},$$

where $S = \left[\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})^T + \sum_j (y_j - \bar{y})(y_j - \bar{y})^T \right] / (m + n)$

Error Rate in Linear Discriminant Analysis

Estimating error using bootstrap

Construct bootstrap random samples

$$X_i^* = x_i^*, \quad X_i^* \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \hat{F}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$Y_j^* = y_j^*, \quad Y_j^* \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \hat{G}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

This yields a region B^* which is defined the same as before, except \bar{x}^* , \bar{y}^* , and S^* replace \bar{x} , \bar{y} , and S . One Monte Carlo simulation will produce

$$R^* = R((X^*, Y^*), (F^*, G^*)) = \frac{\#\{x_i \in B^*\}}{m} - \frac{\#\{x_i^* \in B^*\}}{m}.$$

Error Rate in Linear Discriminant Analysis

Estimating error using cross validation

Leave one observation of x out. Construct \tilde{B} similar to before

$$\tilde{B} = \left\{ z : (\bar{y} - \bar{x})S^{-1} \left(z - \frac{\bar{x} + \bar{y}}{2} \right) > \log \frac{m}{n} \right\}.$$

Assign removed value according to this boundary. Repeat this m times, $\widetilde{\text{error}}_F$ is the proportion of times we wrongly assign the removed value. Do we decrease m to $m - 1$ in this equation after leaving one out? *NO*.

$$\tilde{R} = \widetilde{\text{error}}_F - \widehat{\text{error}}_F$$

Error Rate in Linear Discriminant Analysis

Comparison of two methods

$$F : X \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I \right), \quad G : Y \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, I \right)$$

Random Variable	$m = n = 10$			$m = n = 20$			Remarks
	Mean	(S.E.)	S.D.	Mean	(S.E.)	S.D.	
Error Rate Diff. (4.4) R	.062	(.003)	.143	.028	(.002)	.103	Based on 1000 trials
Bootstrap Expectation $E_* R^*$.057	(.002)	.026	.029	(.001)	.015	Based on 100 trials; $N = 100$ Bootstrap
			[.023]			[.011]	Replications per trial. (Figure in brackets is S.D. if $N = \infty$.)
Bootstrap Standard Deviation $SD_*(R^*)$.131	(.0013)	.016	.097	(.002)	.010	
Cross-Validation Diff. \tilde{R}	.054	(.009)	.078	.032	(.002)	.043	Based on 40 trials

Figure: Error rate differences

Regression models

General regression model

$$Y_i = g_i(\beta) + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (1)$$

$$\epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} F \quad (2)$$

F is centered at zero somehow (either mean or median). We observe $Y = y$ Now we estimate β using, for example, least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - g_i(\beta))^2 \quad (3)$$

and we want to estimate the sampling distribution of $\hat{\beta}$.

Regression models

Use Monte Carlo sampling. Construct \hat{F} by

$$\hat{F} : \text{mass } \frac{1}{n} \text{ at } \hat{\epsilon}_i = y_i - g_i(\hat{\beta}) \quad (4)$$

The bootstrap sample is

$$Y_i^* = g_i(\hat{\beta}) + \epsilon_i^*, \quad \epsilon_i^* \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \hat{F} \quad (5)$$

Now every realization of the bootstrap gives a value $\hat{\beta}^*$ which is found from the same minimization from before

$$\hat{\beta}^* = \arg \min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^* - g_i(\beta))^2 \quad (6)$$

We can use $\hat{\beta}^{*1}, \hat{\beta}^{*2}, \dots, \hat{\beta}^{*N}$ to estimate the sampling distribution of $\hat{\beta}$.

Rationale

Suppose that the sample space \mathcal{X} of X_i is a finite set, $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, L\}$. Now F can be represented by a vector of probabilities $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_L)$, $f_k = P(X_i = k)$.

For a random sample X let $\hat{f}_k = \# \{X_i = k\} / n$ and $\hat{f} = (\hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2, \dots, \hat{f}_L)$. Define

$$R(X, F) = Q(\hat{f}, f)$$

where Q is some arbitrary function. Similarly,

$$R(X^*, \hat{F}) = Q(\hat{f}^*, \hat{f})$$

where $\hat{f}_k^* = \# \{X_i^* = k\} / n$ and $\hat{f}^* = (\hat{f}_1^*, \hat{f}_2^*, \dots, \hat{f}_L^*)$.

Rationale

The bootstrap approximates the sampling distribution of $Q(\hat{f}, f)$, given the true f by the conditional distribution of $Q(\hat{f}^*, \hat{f})$, given the true value of \hat{f} .

$$\hat{f}|f \sim \text{Multinomial}_L(n, f), \quad \hat{f}^*|\hat{f} \sim \text{Multinomial}_L(n, \hat{f})$$

With large sample size, \hat{f} is expected to be close to f . Suppose that $Q(f, f) = 0$ (such as the case $R(X, F) = \theta(\hat{F}) - \theta(F)$), and the k th element of $u(\hat{f}^*, \hat{f})$ is $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{f}_k^*} Q(\hat{f}^*, \hat{f})$. By Taylor's theorem,

$$Q(\hat{f}, f) = (\hat{f} - f)(u + \epsilon_n), \quad Q(\hat{f}^*, \hat{f}) = (\hat{f}^* - \hat{f})(u + \hat{\epsilon}_n)$$

From asymptotic theory

$$n^{1/2}(\hat{f} - f) \rightarrow N(0, \Sigma_f), \quad n^{1/2}(\hat{f}^* - \hat{f}) \rightarrow N(0, \Sigma_f)$$

so $n^{1/2}Q(\hat{f}^*, \hat{f}) \xrightarrow{d} n^{1/2}Q(\hat{f}, f)$, $R(X^*, \hat{F}) \xrightarrow{d} R(X, F)$.

- Jackknife
 - Ordinary
 - Infinitesimal (from which bootstrap is derived)
- Parametric Bootstrap
- Smooth Bootstrap
- Scalability
 - Subsampling (i.e., m out of n bootstrap)
 - Bag of little bootstraps (BLB)
- Bayesian inference with bootstrap (Efron 2012)

Shotgun method?

“I also wish to thank the many friends who suggested names more colorful than *Bootstrap*, including *Swiss Army Knife*, *Meat Axe*, *Swan-Dive*, *Jack-Rabbit*, and my personal favorite, the *Shotgun*, which, to paraphrase Tukey, ‘can blow the head off any problem if the statistician can stand the resulting mess.’” – Bradley Efron, 1979.

Thank you!

Questions

- Email: spencer.woody@utexas.edu
- Presentation: github.com/spencerwoody

Paper

Efron, B. “Bootstrap Methods: Another Look at the Jackknife.” *The Annals of Statistics*. 1979. Vol. 7, No. 1, 1-26.