Exercises 3: Gaussian processes

Basics

A *Gaussian process* is a collection of random variables $\{f(x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ such that, for any finite collection of indices $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in \mathcal{X}$, the random vector $[f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_N)]^T$ has a multivariate normal distribution. It is a generalization of the multivariate normal distribution to infinite-dimensional spaces. The set $\mathcal{X}$ is called the index set or the state space of the process, and need not be countable.

A Gaussian process can be thought of as a random function defined over $\mathcal{X}$, often the real line or $\mathbb{R}^p$. We write $f \sim \text{GP}(m, C)$ for some mean function $m : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a covariance function $C : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^+$. These functions define the moments[1] of all finite-dimensional marginals of the process, in the sense that

[1] And therefore the entire distribution, because it is normal

$$E\{f(x_1)\} = m(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cov}\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} = C(x_1, x_2)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$. More generally, the random vector $[f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_N)]^T$ has covariance matrix whose (i, j) element is $C(x_i, x_j)$. Typical covariance functions are those that decay as a function of increasing distance between points x_1 and x_2. The notion is that $f(x_1)$ and $f(x_2)$ will have high covariance when x_1 and x_2 are close to each other.

(A) Define the *squared exponential* covariance function as

$$C_{SE}(x_1, x_2) = \tau_1^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d(x_1, x_2)}{b}\right)^2\right\} + \tau_2^2 \delta(x_1, x_2)\,,$$

where $d(x_1, x_2) = \|x_1 - x_2\|_2$ is Euclidean distance (or just $|x - y|$ for scalars). The constants (b, τ_1^2, τ_2^2) are often called *hyperparameters*, and $\delta(a, b)$ is the Kronecker delta function that takes the value 1 if $a = b$, and 0 otherwise.

Let's start with the simple case where $\mathcal{X} = [0, 1]$, the unit interval. Write a function that simulates a mean-zero Gaussian process on $[0, 1]$ under the Matern(5/2) covariance function. The function will accept as arguments: (1) finite set of points $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ on the unit interval; and (2) a triplet (b, τ_1^2, τ_2^2). It will return the value of the random process at each point: $f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_N)$.

Use your function to simulate (and plot) Gaussian processes across a range of values for b, τ_1^2, and τ_2^2. Try starting with a very small value of τ_2^2 (say, 10^{-6}) and playing around with the other two first.

On the basis of your experiments, describe the role of these three hyperparameters in controlling the overall behavior of the random functions that result. What happens when you try $\tau_2^2 = 0$? Why? If you can fix this, do—remember our earlier discussion on different ways to simulate the MVN.

Now simulating a few functions with a different covariance function, the Matérn with parameter 5/2:

$$C_{M52}(x_1, x_2) = \tau_1^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{\sqrt{5}d}{b} + \frac{5d^2}{3b^2} \right\} \exp\left(\frac{-\sqrt{5}d}{b} \right) + \tau_2^2 \delta(x_1, x_2)\,,$$

where $d = \|x_1 - x_2\|_2$ is the distance between the two points x_1 and x_2. Comment on the differences between the functions generated from the two covariance kernels.[2]

(B) Suppose you observe the value of a Gaussian process $f \sim \mathrm{GP}(m, C)$ at points $x_1, \ldots, x_N$. What is the conditional distribution of the value of the process at some new point $x^\star$? For the sake of notational ease simply write the value of the (i, j) element of the covariance matrix as $C_{i,j}$, rather than expanding it in terms of a specific covariance function.

(C) Prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Suppose that the joint distribution of two vectors y and θ has the following properties: (1) the conditional distribution for y given θ is multivariate normal, $(y \mid \theta) \sim N(R\theta, \Sigma)$; and (2) the marginal distribution of θ is multivariate normal, $\theta \sim N(m, V)$. Assume that R, Σ, m, and V are all constants. Then the joint distribution of y and θ is multivariate normal.*

In nonparametric regression and spatial smoothing

(A) Suppose we observe data $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, for some unknown function f. Suppose that the prior distribution for the unknown function is a mean-zero Gaussian process: $f \sim \mathrm{GP}(0, C)$ for some covariance function C. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ denote the previously observed x points. Derive the posterior distribution for the random vector $[f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_N)]^T$, given the corresponding outcomes $y_1, \ldots, y_N$, assuming that you know σ^2.

(B) As before, suppose we observe data $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i$, $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Now we wish to predict the value of the function $f(x^\star)$ at some new point $x^\star$ where we haven't seen previous data. Suppose that f has a mean-zero Gaussian process prior,

[2] The Matérn covariance is actually a whole family of functions: `http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MatŐrn_covariance_function`.

$f \sim GP(0, C)$. Show that the posterior mean $E\{f(x^\star) \mid y_1, \ldots, y_N\}$ is a linear smoother, and derive expressions both for the smoothing weights and the posterior variance of $f(x^\star)$.

(C) Go back to the utilities data, and plot the pointwise posterior mean and 95% posterior confidence interval for the value of the function at each of the observed points x_i (again, superimposed on top of the scatter plot of the data itself). Choose τ_2^2 to be very small, say 10^{-6}, and choose (b, τ_1^2) that give a sensible-looking answer.[3]

(D) Let $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i$, and suppose that f has a Gaussian-process prior under the Matern(5/2) covariance function C with scale τ_2^1, range b, and nugget τ_2^2. Derive an expression for the marginal distribution of $y = (y_1 \ldots, y_N)$ in terms of (τ_1^2, b, τ_2^2), integrating out the random function f. This is called a marginal likelihood.

(E) Return to the utilities or ethanol data sets. Fix $\tau_2^2 = 0$, and evaluate the log of the marginal likelihood function $p(y \mid \tau_1^2, b)$ over a discrete 2-d grid of points.[4] If you're getting errors in your code with $\tau_2^2 = 0$, use something very small instead. Use this plot to choose a set of values $(\hat{\tau_1^2}, \hat{b})$ for the hyperparameters. Then use these hyperparameters to compute the posterior mean for f, given y. Comment on any lingering concerns you have with your fitted model.

(F) In `weather.csv` you will find data on two variables from 147 weather stations in the American Pacific northwest.

pressure : the difference between the forecasted pressure and the actual pressure reading at that station (in Pascals)

temperature : the difference between the forecasted temperature and the actual temperature reading at that station (in Celsius)

There are also latitude and longitude coordinates of each station. Fit a Gaussian process model for each of the temperature and pressure variables. Choose hyperparameters appropriately. Visualize your fitted functions (both the posterior mean and posterior standard deviation) on a regular grid using something like a contour plot or color image. Read up on the `image`, `filled.contour`, or `contourplot`[5] functions in R. An important consideration: is Euclidean distance the appropriate measure to go into the covariance function? Or do we need separate length scales for the two dimensions, i.e.

$$d^2(x, z) = \frac{(x_1 - z_1)^2}{b_1^2} + \frac{(x_2 - z_2)^2}{b_2^2} \, .$$

Justify your reasoning for using Euclidean distance or this "non-isotropic" distance.

[3] If you're bored with the utilities data, instead try the data in ethanol.csv, in which the NOx emissions of an ethanol engine are measured as the engine's fuel-air equivalence ratio (E in the data set) is varied. Your goal would be to model NOx as a function of E using a Gaussian process.

[4] Don't just use a black-box optimizer; we want to make sure we get the best solution if there are multiple modes.

[5] in the lattice library