

1. What is the worst-case runtime performance of the procedure below?

```

c=0
i=n
while i > 1 do
  for j = 1 to i do
    c=c+1
  end for
  i = floor(i/2)
end while
return c

```

**O(n).** i is cut in half during every loop  $O(\log n)$ , but also we loop from 1 to i each time  $\rightarrow O(n)$ . This might become  $n \log n$ . However, there is a tighter bound because it runs  $n + n/2 + n/4 + \dots = 2n - 1 = O(n)$ .

2. Arrange these functions under the O notation using only = (equivalent) or  $\subset$  (strict subset of):

- (a)  $2^{\log n}$
- (b)  $2^{3n}$
- (c)  $n^{n \log n}$
- (d)  $\log n$
- (e)  $n \log(n^2)$
- (f)  $n^{n^2}$
- (g)  $\log(\log(n^n))$

**Answer:**  $O(\log n) \subset O(\log(\log(n^n))) \subset O(2^{\log n}) \subset O(n \log(n^2)) \subset O(2^{3n}) \subset O(n^{n \log n}) \subset O(n^{n^2})$   
 (d)  $\subset$  (g)  $\subset$  (a)  $\subset$  (e)  $\subset$  (b)  $\subset$  (c)  $\subset$  (f)

First I put them in order (slowest to fastest)

$\log n \quad \log(\log(n^n)) \quad 2^{\log n} \quad n \log(n^2) \quad 2^{3n} \quad n^{n \log n} \quad n^{n^2}$

Simplified:

$\log n \quad \log(n \log n) \quad n \quad n \log n \quad 2^n \quad n^{n \log n} \quad n^{n^2}$

- $2^{\log n} < 2^{3n}$  because  $\log n < 3n$  Also  $\rightarrow 2^{\log n} = n$
- $n^{n \log n} < n^{n^2}$  because  $n \log n < n^2$
- $\log(\log(n^n)) < n \log(n^2)$  ... Take e power.  $e^{n \log(n^2)} = e^n + e^{\log(n^2)} = e^n + n^2 > \log(n^n)$   
 .log(n log n)
- $\log n < \log(\log(n^n))$  since  $e^{\log n} = e^n < n^n = e^{e^{\log(\log(n^n))}}$
- $\log(\log(n^n)) < 2^{\log n} \rightarrow \log(\log(\log(n^n))) < \log(n)$

- $2^{\log n} < n \log(n^2) \rightarrow \log(2^{\log n}) = \log n < \log(n) + \log(\log(n^2))$
- $n \log(n^2) < 2^{3n} \rightarrow \log(2^{3n}) = 3n > \log(n) + \log(\log(n^2))$

Other:

- $n \log(n^2) < n^{n^2}$ . Try taking the log  $\rightarrow \log(n^{n^2}) = n^2 \log(n)$  we can see this is larger than  $n \log(n^2)$

**3. Given functions  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$  such that  $f_1(n) = O(g_1(n))$  and  $f_2(n) = O(g_2(n))$ . For each of the following statements, decide whether you think it is true or false and give a proof or counterexample.**

- (a)  $f_1(n) * f_2(n) = O(g_1(n) * g_2(n))$
- (b)  $f_1(n) + f_2(n) = O(\max(g_1(n), g_2(n)))$
- (c)  $f_1(n)^2 = O(g_1(n)^2)$
- (d)  $\log_2 f_1(n) = O(\log_2 g_1(n))$

(a) **True.** If  $f_1(n) = O(g_1(n))$ , this means there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $f_1(n) \leq c * g_1(n)$ .

Similarly, if  $f_2(n) = O(g_2(n))$ , this means there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $f_2(n) \leq c * g_2(n)$ .

Thus, there exists a constant  $d$  such that  $f_1(n) * f_2(n) \leq c_1 * c_2 * g_1(n) * g_2(n)$ . e.g.  $f_1(n) = 2n$  and  $f_2(n) = n$ , then  $O(n)$  will be  $n$  and  $f_1(n) * f_2(n) = 2n^2$  which satisfies  $O(n^2)$ .

(b) **True.** In this case, we would have, by big O definition,  $f_1(n) + f_2(n) \leq c_1 * g_1(n) + c_2 * g_2(n)$ . If we include the  $\max()$  function, it would look like:

$$f_1(n) + f_2(n) \leq c_1 * \max(g_1(n), g_2(n)) + c_2 * \max(g_1(n), g_2(n)).$$

$$f_1(n) + f_2(n) \leq (c_1 + c_2) * \max(g_1(n), g_2(n)).$$

Thus, by definition, the equation holds.

(c) **True.**  $f_1(n)^2 = O(g_1(n)^2)$ . With big O notation, this means there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $f_1(n) \leq c * g_1(n)$ . Now we would have  $f_1(n)^2 \leq c * g_1(n)^2$ . Take  $f(n) = 2n$  and  $g(n) = n$  for example. Then  $4n^2 \leq c * n^2$  for  $c \geq 4$ .

(d) **False.** With big O notation, again, this means there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $f_1(n) \leq c * g_1(n)$ . So is it always the case that  $\log_2 f_1(n) \leq c * \log_2(g_1(n))$ ? Not necessarily - consider  $f(n) = 5$  and  $g(n) = 1$  (constant time). Then we'd have  $\log_2(5) \leq c * \log_2(1)$ . We have a conflict here because  $\log_2(1) = 0$ . And no constant can make that greater than  $\log_2(5)$ .

**4. Given an undirected graph  $G$  with  $n$  nodes and  $m$  edges, design an  $O(m + n)$  algorithm to detect whether  $G$  contains a cycle. Your algorithm should output a cycle if  $G$  contains one.**

Let us use BFS. Note that a cycle will not necessarily exist if we reach a node that we have previously visited. a -- b -- c . This has no cycle but b will check a (visited) then c. So we are looking for a visited node that is not the parent node of the current node, that will mean there is a cycle.

FindCycle(node):

```
    Create a boolean array Visited for visited elements with all set to False
    Set Visited[node] = True
    Create an array of nodes L
    Set L[0] = node
    set parent = node
    while L is not empty
        curr = remove node from L
        parent = curr
        Visited[curr] = True

        for all neighbor nodes of curr:
            if neighbor is not visited:
                add to L
            elif neighbor is visited and is NOT the same as the parent:
                return True
    return False
```