

Chapter 16

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat deriving Show
```

```
add :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat
add Zero m = m
add (Succ n) m = Succ $ add n m

reverse' :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
reverse' xs ys = foldl (flip (:)) ys xs

data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Tree
```

```
flatten :: Tree -> [Int]
flatten (Leaf n) = [n]
flatten (Node l r) = flatten l ++ flatten r
```

We define a relation

$$\text{flatten}' \ t \ ns = \text{flatten } t \ ++ \ ns$$

and use this to derive `flatten'` as follows. Base case:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{flatten}' \ (\text{Leaf } n) \ ns &= \text{flatten } (\text{Leaf } n) \ ++ \ ns \\ &= [n] \ ++ \ ns \\ &= n :: ns \end{aligned}$$

Induction:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{flatten}' \ (\text{Node } l \ r) \ ns &= \text{flatten } l \ ++ \ \text{flatten } r \ ++ \ ns \\ &= \text{flatten } l \ ++ \ \text{flatten}' \ r \ ns \\ &= \text{flatten}' \ l \ \$ \ \text{flatten}' \ r \ ns \end{aligned}$$

So we define:

```
flatten' :: Tree -> [Int] -> [Int]
flatten' (Leaf n) ns = n : ns
flatten' (Node l r) ns = flatten' l $ flatten' r ns

flatten2 :: Tree -> [Int]
flatten2 t = flatten' t []
```

Compiler correctness

```
data Expr = Val Int | Add Expr Expr deriving Show
```

```
eval :: Expr -> Int
eval (Val n) = n
eval (Add x y) = eval x + eval y

type Stack = [Int]
type Code = [Op]
data Op = PUSH Int | ADD deriving Show
```

```
exec :: Code -> Stack -> Stack
exec [] s = s
exec (PUSH x : ops) s = exec ops (x : s)
exec (ADD : ops) (x : y : s) = exec ops (y + x : s)
```

```
comp :: Expr -> Code
comp (Val x) = [PUSH x]
comp (Add e1 er) = comp e1 ++ comp er ++ [ADD]
```

```
e :: Expr
e = Add (Add (Val 2) (Val 3)) (Val 4)
```

Compiler law version 1:

$$\text{exec (comp e) []} = [\text{eval e}]$$

Compiler law version 2:

$$\text{exec (comp e) s} = \text{eval e} : s$$