Chapter 15

EXERCISE 1: Identify the redexes in the following expressions, and determine whether each redex is innermost, outermost, neither, or both:

The expression 1 + (2*3) has one redex 2*3 which is both innermost and outermost. The expression (1+2) * (2+3) has two redexes, 1+2 and 2+3. The redex 1+2 is both innermost and outermost.

The expression fst (1+2, 2+3) has three redexes, 1+2 is innermost and the whole expression is outermost.

The expression ($x \to 1 + x$) (2*3) has two redexes, 2*3 which is innermost and the whole expression which is outermost. The expression 1 + x inside the lambda is not a redex since we do not reduce under lambdas.

EXERCISE 2: Show why outermost evaluation is preferable to innermost for the purposes of evaluating the expression fst (1+2,2+3).

Innermost evaluation results in:

$$fst(1+2,2+3) = fst(3,2+3)$$

= $fst(3,5)$
= 3

Outermost evaluation results in:

$$fst(1+2,2+3) = 1+2$$

= 3

So outermost evaluation does not evaluate 2+3 which is discarded anyway by fst. EXERCISE 3: Given the definition mult = $\x - \x (\y - \x * y)$, show how the evaluation of mult 3 4 can be broken down into four separate steps.

EXERCISE 4: Using a list comprehension, define an expression fibs :: [Integer] that generates the infinite sequence of Fibonacci numbers

$$0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, \dots$$

using the following simple procedure:

- 1. the first two numbers are 0 and 1;
- 2. the next is the sum of the previous two;
- 3. return to the second step.

Hint: make use of the library functions zip and tail. Note that numbers in the Fibonacci sequence quickly become large, hence the use of the type Integer of arbitrary-precision integers above.

```
fibs :: [Integer]
fibs = [0, 1] ++ zipWith (+) fibs (tail fibs)
```