I'm going to learn some agda!

```
data Greeting : Set where
   hello : Greeting

greet : Greeting
greet = hello
```

Defining the natural numbers:

```
data Nat : Set where
   zero : Nat
   suc : Nat → Nat

{-# BUILTIN NATURAL Nat #-}

_+_ : Nat → Nat → Nat
zero + y = y
suc x + y = suc (x + y)

infixl 6 _+_
```

EXERCISE 1.1: Define the function halve: Nat \rightarrow Nat that computes the result of dividing the given number by 2 (rounded down). Test your definition by evaluating it for several concrete inputs.

```
halve : Nat \rightarrow Nat
halve 0 = 0
halve 1 = 0
halve (suc (suc n)) = halve n + 1
```

EXERCISE 1.2: Define the function $_*_: Nat \rightarrow Nat$ for multiplication of two natural numbers.

```
_*_: Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Nat

0 * y = 0

suc x * y = y + (x * y)

infixl 7 _*_
```

EXERCISE 1.3: Define the type Bool with constructors true and false, and define the functions for negation not: Bool \rightarrow Bool, conjunction $_\&\&_$: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool, and disjunction $_||_$: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool by pattern matching.

```
data Bool : Set where
    true : Bool
    false : Bool

not : Bool → Bool
not true = false
not false = true
```

```
id : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A
id x = x
data List (A : Set) : Set where
    [] : List A
    _::_ : A → List A → List A
infixr 5 _::_
data _x_ (A B : Set) : Set where
    \_,\_: A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \times B
fst : {A B : Set} \rightarrow A \times B \rightarrow A
fst(x, _) = x
snd : {A B : Set} \rightarrow A \times B \rightarrow B
snd(_, y) = y
EXERCISE 1.4:
length : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow List A \rightarrow Nat
length [] = zero
length (x :: xs) = suc (length xs)
_++_ : {A : Set} → List A → List A → List A
[] ++ ys = ys
(x :: xs) ++ ys = x :: (xs ++ ys)
map : \{A B : Set\} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List B
map f [] = []
map f(x :: xs) = fx :: map fxs
EXERCISE 1.5:
data Maybe (A : Set) : Set where
    nothing : Maybe A
    just : A → Maybe A
lookup : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow List A \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Maybe A
lookup [] _ = nothing
lookup (x :: xs) zero = just x
lookup (x :: xs) (suc i) = lookup xs i
data Vec (A : Set) : Nat → Set where
    []: Vec A zero
    \_::\_: \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow Vec A n \rightarrow Vec A (suc n)
replicateVec : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow A \rightarrow Vec A n
replicateVec zero x = []
replicateVec (suc n) x = x :: replicateVec n x
EXERCISE 2.1:
downFrom : (n : Nat) → Vec Nat n
downFrom zero = []
downFrom (suc x) = x :: downFrom x
```

```
_++Vec_ : {A : Set} {m n : Nat}
     \rightarrow Vec A m \rightarrow Vec A n \rightarrow Vec A (m + n)
[] ++ Vec ys = ys
(x :: xs) ++ Vec ys = x :: (xs ++ Vec ys)
head : \{A : Set\} \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow Vec A (suc n) \rightarrow A
head (x :: _) = x
EXERCISE 2.2:
tail : \{A : Set\} \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow Vec A (suc n) \rightarrow Vec A n
tail(_::xs) = xs
EXERCISE 2.3:
dotProduct : \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow Vec Nat n \rightarrow Vec Nat n \rightarrow Nat
dotProduct [] [] = zero
dotProduct (x :: xs) (y :: ys) = x * y + dotProduct xs ys
data Fin : Nat → Set where
     zero : \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow Fin (suc n)
     suc : \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow Fin n \rightarrow Fin (suc n)
zero3 : Fin 3
zero3 = zero
lookupVec : {A : Set} \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow Vec A n \rightarrow Fin n \rightarrow A
lookupVec (x :: xs) zero = x
lookupVec (x :: xs) (suc i) = lookupVec xs i
EXERCISE 2.4:
putVec : \{A : Set\} \{n : Nat\} \rightarrow Fin \ n \rightarrow A \rightarrow Vec \ A \ n \rightarrow Vec \ A \ n
putVec zero x (\_ :: xs) = x :: xs
putVec (suc i) x (x_1 :: xs) = x_1 :: putVec i x xs
data \Sigma (A : Set) (B : A \rightarrow Set) : Set where
     \_,\_: (x : A) \rightarrow B x \rightarrow \Sigma A B
fst\Sigma : \{A : Set\} \{B : A \rightarrow Set\} \rightarrow \Sigma A B \rightarrow A
fst\Sigma (x, _) = x
snd\Sigma : \{A : Set\} \{B : A \rightarrow Set\} \rightarrow (z : \Sigma A B) \rightarrow B (fst\Sigma z)
snd\Sigma (x, y) = y
_{\times}'_{-}: (A B : Set) \rightarrow Set
A \times' B = \Sigma A (\lambda \rightarrow B)
EXERCISE 2.5:
fromProd : {A B : Set} \rightarrow A \times B \rightarrow A \times ' B
fromProd (x, y) = x, y
toProd : {A B : Set} \rightarrow A \times' B \rightarrow A \times B
toProd (x, y) = x, y
```

```
List': (A : Set) → Set
List' A = \Sigma Nat (Vec A)
EXERCISE 2.6:
[]' : {A : Set} → List' A
[]' = zero , []
\_::'\_: \{A : Set\} \rightarrow A \rightarrow List' A \rightarrow List' A
x :: '(n, xs) = suc n, (x :: xs)
fromList : {A : Set} → List A → List' A
fromList [] = []'
fromList (x :: xs) = x ::' fromList xs
fromList' : {A : Set} → List' A → List A
fromList' (zero , []) = []
fromList' (suc n , (x :: xs)) = x :: (fromList' (n , xs))
EXERCISE 3.1:
data Either (A : Set) (B : Set) : Set where
     left : A → Either A B
     right : B → Either A B
cases : {A B C : Set} \rightarrow Either A B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow C
cases (left x) f_{-} = f x
cases (right x) _{-} f = f x
data τ : Set where
    tt: T
data 1 : Set where
absurd : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow \bot \rightarrow A
absurd ()
EXERCISE 3.2
    • If A then (B implies A)
       p1 : {A B : Set} \rightarrow A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)
       p1 x = \lambda \rightarrow x
    • If (A and true) then (A or false)
       p2 : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (A \times T) \rightarrow (Either A \bot)
       p2 (x, tt) = left x
    • If A implies (B implies C), then (A and B) implies C.
       p3 : {A B C : Set} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \times B) \rightarrow C)
       p3 f(x, y) = f x y
    • If A and (B or C), then either (A and B) or (A and C).
       p4 : {A B C : Set} \rightarrow (A \times (Either B C)) \rightarrow (Either (A \times B) (A \times C))
       p4 (x, left y) = left (x, y)
       p4 (x, right z) = right (x, z)
```

```
• If A implies C and B implies D, then (A and B) implies (C and D).
       p5 : {A B C D : Set} \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow C) \times (B \rightarrow D)) \rightarrow ((A \times B) \rightarrow (C \times D))
       p5 (f, g) (x, y) = f x, g y
proof3 : {P Q R : Set} \rightarrow (Either P Q \rightarrow R) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R) \times (Q \rightarrow R)
proof3 f = (\lambda x \rightarrow f (left x)), (\lambda x \rightarrow f (right x))
EXERCISE 3.3: Write a function of type \{P : Set\} \rightarrow (Either P (P \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1.
   Assuming (Either P (P \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1) then proof 3 above says that P \rightarrow 1 and (P \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 1.
Applying (P \rightarrow \bot) \rightarrow \bot to P \rightarrow \bot results in \bot which proves the proposition.
constructive-P-or-not-P: \{P : Set\} \rightarrow (Either P (P \rightarrow \bot) \rightarrow \bot) \rightarrow \bot
constructive-P-or-not-P {P} f =
     (\lambda (x : P \rightarrow \bot) \rightarrow f (right x)) (\lambda (x : P) \rightarrow f (left x))
Some even stuff:
data IsEven : Nat → Set where
     zeroIsEven : IsEven zero
     sucsucIsEven : {n : Nat} → IsEven n → IsEven (suc (suc n))
6-is-even : IsEven 6
6-is-even = sucsucIsEven (sucsucIsEven (sucsucIsEven zeroIsEven))
7-is-even : IsEven 7 → ⊥
7-is-even (sucsucIsEven (sucsucIsEven ())))
data IsTrue : Bool → Set where
    TrueIsTrue : IsTrue true
_=Nat_ : Nat → Nat → Bool
zero =Nat zero = true
suc x = Nat suc y = x = Nat y
_ =Nat _ = false
length-is-3 : IsTrue (length (1 :: 2 :: 3 :: []) =Nat 3)
length-is-3 = TrueIsTrue
double : Nat → Nat
double zero = zero
double (suc n) = suc (suc (double n))
double-is-even : (n : Nat) → IsEven (double n)
double-is-even zero = zeroIsEven
double-is-even (suc n) = sucsucIsEven (double-is-even n)
n-equals-n : (n : Nat) → IsTrue (n =Nat n)
n-equals-n zero = TrueIsTrue
n-equals-n (suc n) = n-equals-n n
half-a-dozen : \Sigma Nat (\lambda n \rightarrow IsTrue ((n + n) = Nat 12))
half-a-dozen = 6 , TrueIsTrue
zero-or-suc : (n : Nat) → Either
     (IsTrue (n =Nat zero))
     (\Sigma \text{ Nat } (\lambda \text{ m} \rightarrow \text{IsTrue } (n = \text{Nat } (\text{suc m}))))
zero-or-suc zero = left TrueIsTrue
zero-or-suc (suc m) = right (m , n-equals-n m)
```

```
THE IDENTITY TYPE
```

```
data _{\equiv} {A : Set} : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Set where
      refl: \{x : A\} \rightarrow x \equiv x
infix 4 _≡_
n-equals-n=: (n : Nat) \rightarrow n = n
n-equals-n-≡ n = refl
zero-not-one : 0 \equiv 1 \rightarrow \bot
zero-not-one ()
I am curious about an equivalency that must take an argument:
data _\equiv'_{-} {A : Set} : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Set where
      refl: (x : A) \rightarrow x \equiv 'x
infix 4 _≡'_
n-equals-n-\equiv': (n:Nat) \rightarrow n \equiv' n
n-equals-n-≡' n = refl n
n-equals-n-\equiv'': (n : Nat) \rightarrow n \equiv' n
n-equals-n-≡'' = refl
Various laws of equivalency:
sym : \{A : Set\} \{x \ y : A\} \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow y \equiv x
sym refl = refl
trans : \{A : Set\} \{x \ y \ z : A\} \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow y \equiv z \rightarrow x \equiv z
trans refl refl = refl
cong : {A B : Set} \{x \ y : A\} \rightarrow (f : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow f x \equiv f y
cong f refl = refl
EQUATIONAL REASONING
begin_: \{A : Set\} \rightarrow \{x \ y : A\} \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow x \equiv y
begin p = p
\_end : {A : Set} \rightarrow (x : A) \rightarrow x \equiv x
x = refl
_{\equiv}\langle_{-}\rangle_{-}: \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (x : A) \rightarrow \{y z : A\}
     \rightarrow X \equiv Y \rightarrow Y \equiv Z \rightarrow X \equiv Z
x \equiv \langle p \rangle q = trans p q
_{\equiv}\langle \rangle_{-}: \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (x : A) \rightarrow \{y : A\} \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow x \equiv y
x \equiv \langle \rangle q = x \equiv \langle refl \rangle q
infix 1 begin_
infix 3 _end
infixr 2 _≡⟨_⟩_
infixr 2 _≡⟨\_
```

Simple examples:

```
[\_]: {A : Set} \rightarrow A \rightarrow List A
[ x ] = x :: []
reverse : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow List A \rightarrow List A
reverse [] = []
reverse (x :: xs) = reverse xs ++ [ x ]
reverse-singleton : \{A : Set\} (x : A) \rightarrow reverse [x] \equiv [x]
reverse-singleton x =
    begin
      reverse [ x ]
    ≡⟨⟩
      reverse (x :: [])
      reverse [] ++ [ x ]
    ≡( )
      [] ++ [ x ]
    ≡⟨⟩
      [ x ]
    end
Proof by induction and cases:
add-n-zero : (n : Nat) \rightarrow n + zero \equiv n
add-n-zero zero = refl
add-n-zero (suc n) =
    begin
      suc n + zero
    ≡( )
      suc (n + zero)
    ≡⟨ cong suc (add-n-zero n) ⟩
      suc n
    end
```

EXERCISE 4.1: Prove that m + suc n = suc (m + n) for all natural numbers m and n. Next, use the previous lemma and this one to prove commutativity of addition, i.e. that m + n = n + m for all natural numbers m and n.

```
add-suc : (m \ n : Nat) \rightarrow m + suc \ n \equiv suc \ (m + n)
add-suc zero n = refl
add-suc (suc m) n = cong suc (add-suc m n)
add-commut : (m \ n : Nat) \rightarrow m + n \equiv n + m
add-commut zero n = sym (add-n-zero n)
add-commut (suc m) n =
    begin
      suc m + n
    ≡⟨⟩
      suc (m + n)
    ≡⟨ cong suc (add-commut m n) ⟩
      suc (n + m)
    ≡⟨ sym (add-suc n m) ⟩
      n + suc m
    end
add-commut' : (m \ n : Nat) \rightarrow m + n \equiv n + m
add-commut' zero n = sym (add-n-zero n)
add-commut' (suc m) n =
    trans (cong suc (add-commut' m n)) (sym (add-suc n m))
add-assoc : (x \ y \ z : Nat) \rightarrow x + (y + z) \equiv (x + y) + z
add-assoc zero y z = refl
add-assoc (suc x) y z = cong suc (add-assoc x y z)
EXERCISE 4.2: Consider the following function:
replicate : {A : Set} → Nat → A → List A
replicate zero x = []
replicate (suc n) x = x :: replicate n x
Prove that the length of replicate n \times is always equal to n, by induction on the number
n.
repl-length : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow (x : A) \rightarrow length (replicate n x) \equiv n
repl-length zero x = refl -- length [] \equiv zero
repl-length (suc n) x =
    begin
      length (replicate (suc n) x)
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply replicate
      length (x :: replicate n x)
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply length
      suc (length (replicate n x))
    ≡⟨ cong suc (repl-length n x) ⟩
      suc n
    end
```

EXERCISE 4.3: The proofs of the lemmas:

```
append-[]: {A : Set} \rightarrow (xs : List A) \rightarrow xs ++ [] \equiv xs
append-[] [] = begin ([] ++ []) \equiv \langle \rangle [] end
append-[](x :: xs) =
    begin
      (x :: xs) ++ []
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
      x :: (xs ++ [])
    \equiv \langle cong (x ::_) (append-[] xs) \rangle
      x :: xs
    end
append-assoc : {A : Set} → (xs ys zs : List A)
    \rightarrow (xs ++ ys) ++ zs \equiv xs ++ (ys ++ zs)
append-assoc [] ys zs =
    begin
      ([] ++ ys) ++ zs
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
      ys ++ zs
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Unapply ++
      [] ++ (ys ++ zs)
    end
append-assoc (x :: xs) ys zs =
    begin
      ((x :: xs) ++ ys) ++ zs
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
      (x :: (xs ++ ys)) ++ zs
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
      x :: ((xs ++ ys) ++ zs)
    \equiv \langle cong (x :: \_) (append-assoc xs ys zs) \rangle
      x :: (xs ++ (ys ++ zs))
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Unapply ++
      (x :: xs) ++ (ys ++ zs)
    end
Now we can prove distributivity of reverse:
reverse-distributivity : {A : Set} → (xs ys : List A)
    → reverse (xs ++ ys) = reverse ys ++ reverse xs
reverse-distributivity [] ys =
    begin
      reverse ([] ++ ys)
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
      reverse ys
    ≡⟨ sym (append-[] (reverse ys)) ⟩ -- Use the append-[] lemma
      reverse ys ++ []
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Unapply reverse to []
      reverse ys ++ reverse []
    end
```

```
reverse-distributivity (x :: xs) ys =
    begin
      reverse ((x :: xs) ++ ys)
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
      reverse (x :: (xs ++ ys))
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply reverse
      reverse (xs ++ ys) ++ [ x ]
    \equiv( cong (_++ [ x ]) (reverse-distributivity xs ys) \rangle
      (reverse ys ++ reverse xs) ++ [ x ]
    ≡( append-assoc (reverse ys) (reverse xs) [ x ] ⟩
      reverse ys ++ (reverse xs ++ [ x ])
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Unapply reverse
      reverse ys ++ reverse (x :: xs)
    end
And that reversing twice is idempotent:
reverse-reverse : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (xs : List A) \rightarrow reverse (reverse xs) \equiv xs
reverse-reverse [] = refl
reverse-reverse (x :: xs) =
    begin
      reverse (reverse (x :: xs))
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply inner reverse
      reverse (reverse xs ++ [ x ])
    ≡⟨ reverse-distributivity (reverse xs) [ x ] ⟩
      reverse [ x ] ++ reverse (reverse xs)
    ≡⟨ cong ([ x ] ++_) (reverse-reverse xs) ⟩
      [ x ] ++ xs
    ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
      x :: xs
    end
Functor laws for lists:
map-id : { A : Set } \rightarrow (xs : List A) \rightarrow map id xs \equiv xs
map-id [] =
 begin
    map id []
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply map
    []
 end
map-id (x :: xs) =
 begin
    map id (x :: xs)
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply map and id
   x :: (map id xs)
 \equiv \langle cong(x :: \_) (map-id xs) \rangle
    x :: xs
  end
```

```
___ : {A B C : Set} → (B → C) → (A → B) → (A → C)

f ∘ g = \lambda x → f (g x)

infixr 9 ___

map-compose : {A B C : Set} → (f : B → C) → (g : A → B)

→ (xs : List A) → map (f ∘ g) xs ≡ map f (map g xs)

map-compose f g [] = refl

map-compose f g (x :: xs) =

begin

map (f ∘ g) (x :: xs)

≡(> -- Apply map

(f ∘ g) x :: map (f ∘ g) xs

≡( cong (f (g x) ::_) (map-compose f g xs) > -- Also apply ∘

f (g x) :: map f (map g xs)

≡(> -- Unapply both maps

map f (map g (x :: xs))

end
```

EXERCISE 4.4: Prove that length (map f xs) is equal to length xs for all xs.

```
map-length : {A B : Set} \rightarrow (f : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (xs : List A) \rightarrow length (map f xs) \equiv length xs map-length f [] = refl map-length f (x :: xs) = cong suc (map-length f xs)
```

EXERCISE 4.4: Define the functions take and drop that respectively return or remove the first n elements of the list (or all elements if the list is shorter). Prove that for any number n and any list xs, we have take n xs ++ drop n xs = xs.

```
take : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow List \ A \rightarrow List \ A

take zero _ = []

take _ [] = []

take (suc n) (x :: xs) = x :: (take n xs)

drop : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow List \ A \rightarrow List \ A

drop zero xs = xs

drop _ [] = []

drop (suc n) (x :: xs) = drop n xs
```

```
take-drop : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (n : Nat) \rightarrow (xs : List A)
    \rightarrow take n xs ++ drop n xs \equiv xs
take-drop zero xs =
 begin
    take zero xs ++ drop zero xs
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply take and drop
    [] ++ xs
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
    ХS
  end
take-drop (suc n) [] =
 begin
    take (suc n) [] ++ drop (suc n) []
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply take and drop
   [] ++ []
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
    []
  end
take-drop (suc n) (x :: xs) =
 begin
    take (suc n) (x :: xs) ++ drop (suc n) (x :: xs)
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply take and drop
    (x :: (take n xs)) ++ drop n xs
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply ++
    x :: (take n xs ++ drop n xs)
 ≡⟨ cong (x ::_) (take-drop n xs) ⟩
    x :: xs
  end
```

Finally back to Hutton! Note, I am following more the exposition from Hutton than from Cockx.

```
reverse' : {A : Set} → List A → List A → List A
reverse' [] vs = vs
reverse' (x :: xs) ys = reverse' xs (x :: ys)
reverse'-reverse : {A : Set} → (xs ys : List A)
   → reverse' xs ys = reverse xs ++ ys
reverse'-reverse [] ys = refl
reverse'-reverse (x :: xs) ys =
  begin
    reverse' (x :: xs) ys
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply reverse'
    reverse' xs (x :: ys)
 ≡⟨ reverse'-reverse xs (x :: ys) ⟩
   reverse xs ++ (x :: ys)
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Unapply ++
   reverse xs ++ ([ x ] ++ ys)
 ≡⟨ sym (append-assoc (reverse xs) [ x ] ys) ⟩
    (reverse xs ++ [ x ]) ++ ys
 ≡⟨⟩ -- Unapply reverse
   reverse (x :: xs) ++ ys
  end
```

```
reverse'-reverse-equiv : {A : Set} → (xs : List A)
    → reverse' xs [] = reverse xs
reverse'-reverse-equiv xs =
  begin
    reverse' xs []
  ≡⟨ reverse'-reverse xs [] ⟩
    reverse xs ++ []
  ≡⟨ append-[] (reverse xs) ⟩
    reverse xs
  end
data Tree (A : Set) : Set where
    Leaf : A → Tree A
    Node : Tree A → Tree A → Tree A
flatten : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow Tree A \rightarrow List A
flatten (Leaf x) = [x]
flatten (Node tl tr) = flatten tl ++ flatten tr
flatten' : {A : Set } → Tree A → List A → List A
flatten' (Leaf x) xs = x :: xs
flatten' (Node t_l t_r) xs = flatten' t_l (flatten' t_r xs)
flatten'-flatten : \{A : Set\} \rightarrow (t : Tree A) \rightarrow (xs : List A)
    → flatten' t xs = flatten t ++ xs
flatten'-flatten (Leaf x) xs = refl
flatten'-flatten (Node t<sub>l</sub> t<sub>r</sub>) xs =
  begin
    flatten' (Node t<sub>l</sub> t<sub>r</sub>) xs
  ≡⟨⟩ -- Apply flatten'
    flatten' t<sub>l</sub> (flatten' t<sub>r</sub> xs)
  \equiv \langle \text{ cong (flatten' } t_1) \text{ (flatten'-flatten } t_r \text{ xs)} \rangle
    flatten' t<sub>l</sub> (flatten t<sub>r</sub> ++ xs)
  ≡⟨ flatten'-flatten t₁ (flatten tr ++ xs) ⟩
    flatten t_1 ++ (flatten t_r ++ xs)
  \equiv \langle \text{ sym (append-assoc (flatten } t_1) \text{ (flatten } t_r) \text{ xs)} \rangle
    (flatten t_1 ++ flatten t_r) ++ xs
  ≡⟨⟩ -- Unapply flatten
    flatten (Node t_1 t_r) ++ xs
  end
```