Quasi Quanta Logic

Parker Emmerson

June 2023

1 Introduction

$$\frac{\exists z \in N, \phi(z) \land \psi(z)}{\Delta} \rightarrow \star \frac{\Delta}{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \star \frac{\Delta \mathcal{H}}{\hat{A}i} \rightarrow \star \frac{\Delta \mathcal{H}}{\sim \mathcal{H} \star \oplus} \rightarrow \star \frac{\Delta \hat{A}}{\wedge i} \forall w \in N, \chi(w)\theta(w)}{\Delta} \rightarrow \star \frac{\gamma \Delta \mathcal{H}}{i \oplus \hat{A}} \rightarrow \star \frac{|\star \mathcal{H} \Delta \hat{A}|}{i \oplus \sim \cdot \odot}$$

$$\frac{\exists x \in N, \phi(x) \lor \psi(x)}{\Delta} \rightarrow \star \frac{2}{\hat{A}i} \rightarrow \star \frac{1 \lor \Delta \hat{A}}{\mathcal{H} \star \odot}$$

$$\star \frac{\Delta}{\hat{A}i} \rightarrow \star \frac{1 \lor \psi(z)\phi(z)\Delta \mathcal{H}}{A} \rightarrow \star \frac{\gamma \Delta \mathcal{H} \wedge \theta(w)\chi(w)}{i \lor \psi(z) \wedge \phi(z)} \rightarrow \star \frac{2}{\hat{A}i} \rightarrow \star \frac{2}{\hat{A$$

$$\begin{split} &+\cos\psi \diamond\theta\star\sum\nolimits_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\left(\frac{\chi(j)\ impliedby\psi(j)\ b^{\mu-\zeta}}{\sqrt[m]{n^m-l^m}}\otimes\prod_{\Lambda}h\right)\\ &+\cos\psi \diamond\theta\star\sum\nolimits_{[n]\star[l]\to\infty}\left(\frac{\lambda(k)\vee\kappa(k)\ b^{\mu-\zeta}}{\sqrt[m]{n^m-l^m}}\otimes\prod_{\Lambda}h\right)\right)\right). \end{split}$$

$$\frac{\exists x \in N, \phi(x) \vee \psi(x) \vee \chi(w)\theta(w) \wedge \gamma \mathbf{i} \vee \zeta(y) \iff \epsilon(y)}{\oplus \cdot \mathbf{i} \Delta \mathring{A}} \to \star \frac{\cong \mathcal{H} \Delta \iota(n) \vee \kappa(n) \iff \nu(x)\eta(x)\mathring{A} \sim \mathcal{H}}{\otimes \mathcal{H}} \to \star \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^2 \mathcal{H} + (1 + \epsilon)^2 \mathcal{H}}{\otimes \mathcal{H}} \to \star \frac{(1 + \epsilon)^2 \mathcal{H}}{\otimes \mathcal{H}} \to \star$$

$$\to \star \frac{\exists x \in N, \phi(x) \lor \psi(x) \lor \chi(w)\theta(w) \land \gamma \lor \zeta(y) \iff \epsilon(y) \cong \iota(n) \lor \kappa(n) \iff \nu(x)\eta(x)\mathring{A}}{\heartsuit \mathcal{H} \Delta}$$

2 Continuations

$$y(t) = -\gamma \sin(\omega t) \cos(\Omega t + \theta) + \alpha \cos(\omega t) \sin(\Omega t + \theta) \gamma^2 \cos^2(\Omega t + \theta) + \alpha^2 \sin^2(\Omega t + \theta)$$
$$y(t) = \sin\left(\Omega t + \arctan\left(\gamma \alpha\right)\right) \sqrt{\gamma^2 + \alpha^2}$$

$$_{\overline{t}}o17.5 \oplus \cdot \; j\mathring{B}\mathcal{H} \star \heartsuit exp \; \left(\frac{\Delta\mathcal{H}}{\mathring{A}i}\right)\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda} \; \sim \; S\mathcal{H} \left[\frac{\Delta\mathcal{H}}{\mathring{A}i}\right]\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda} \star G \left[\gamma \frac{\Delta\mathcal{H}}{i \oplus \mathring{A}}\right]\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda} \cdot \cong \; T\mathcal{H} \left[\frac{\mathcal{H}\Delta}{\mathring{A}i}\right]\mathcal{P}_{\Lambda} \oplus \cdots$$

$$y(t) = \frac{\sin\left(\Omega t + \arctan\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + \alpha^2}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\downarrow_{g(u)\cup\infty_{u}^{v}}}{\sqrt[]{M}}}{\sqrt[]{M}} = \prod_{F\cap GM}$$
and
$$\frac{f(v)\cap\infty_{p}^{u}}{\sqrt[]{M}} = \prod_{\Phi, \cup Gh} ThMh$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{p} \otimes \mathbf{v}}{\infty^{\frac{\uparrow}{M}}} = \mathbf{v} \cap \mathbf{c} M$$

and

$$\frac{p \otimes u}{\infty^{\frac{\downarrow}{M}}} = {}_{\uparrow \cup_{Gh} ThMh}$$

Using normal solving arrows and miniattribution prime variable symbol/holonomy algorithms versus inline canonical temperature differentional convention correlations split sites:) let's start!

$$\frac{\downarrow_{g(u)\cup\infty_{u}^{v}}}{\infty^{\frac{1}{M}}} \to {}_{F\cap_{G}M} \xrightarrow{\uparrow_{1}\cup_{Gh}ThMh} \text{ and } \frac{f(v)\cap\infty_{p}^{u}}{\infty^{\frac{1}{M}}}$$

The result of the quasi-quanta logic is that $_{\uparrow \cup_{Gh}ThMh}$ is the logic vector associated with the associated miniattribution prime variable symbols and holonomy

algorithms versus inline canonical temperature differentional convention correlations split sites.

The result of the quasi-quantum logic through the associated logic vectors is the statement that the logical product of u, p, and v can be expressed as the intersection of the fuzzy F and fuzzy G subspaces of M, while the logical product of p and u can be expressed as the union of the fuzzy U and fuzzy G subspaces of Th M h.

3 Conclusion

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \prod_{i=0}^{\sqrt{18x}} \left| \mathcal{F}_K \left(\mathbf{y_0} \cdot \sqrt{x} \right) + + \tau \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot h \right) \right| \ curlyvee \int \! \int_{X_1 \cdot f}^{X_2} \! c(t) \times X_{g_2}(x,t) t \ dt \ dy$$

$$\xi\left(\Delta g_{1}g_{2} \wedge \frac{\left[x:C \wedge \theta^{q}\phi\right](y)}{By^{\delta'}} + \Rightarrow_{-A,T} \Lambda''\right) = B\Delta x\widehat{\xi} \tan \sqrt{X_{A\to B,s}}, where$$

 $\widehat{\xi} \in D_C$, $A: R \to T$ and $B \in PQ$ such that > 0.

$$\frac{\phi(x) \vee \psi(x)}{\Delta} \Sigma \frac{\gamma \Delta \mathcal{H}}{\mathrm{i} \oplus \mathring{A}} \Longrightarrow \Omega \Delta \mathrm{i} \implies \theta(w) \vee \chi(w) \mathring{A} \mathcal{H}$$

$$\frac{\bigtriangledown \mathcal{H} \ \oplus \cdot}{\zeta(y)\epsilon(y)\Delta\mathring{A}} \psi(z) \vee \phi(z) \Longrightarrow \tau\mathring{A} \ \Xi \ \bigg| \star \frac{\iota(n)\mathcal{H}}{\mathrm{i} \oplus \mathring{A} \heartsuit \wedge \nu(x)} \iff \eta(x) \bigg|$$

and

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{i} * \cong \mathcal{H} \ \Delta}_{\mathring{A}} \theta(c) \lor \alpha(c) \ \Xi \ \Omega \underbrace{\frac{\Delta \mathbf{i} \overline{\xi(l) \nu(l) \wedge \mathring{A} \ sim}}{\heartsuit \mathcal{H} \oplus \cdot \iff \iota(a) * \tau(a)}}_{} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathring{A} \sqcup \mathbf{i} \\ \Delta \lor \Psi, & , n-1 \end{bmatrix}}_{A \lor \Psi, & , n-1} \Leftrightarrow \chi(f) \ \uparrow \ \underset{G(c,b), |\Psi, X*\eta|}{\sharp, z}_{G(c,b), |\Psi, X*\eta|}_{A}$$

With zeros deprogrammed,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \prod\nolimits_{i=1}^{\sqrt{18x}} \left| \, \mathcal{F}_K \left(\mathbf{y_1} \cdot \sqrt{x} \right) + \, + \, \tau \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot h \right) \, \right| \, curlyvee \int \int_{X_1 \cdot f}^{X_2} c(t) \, \times \, X_{g_2}(x,t) t \, dt \, dy$$

$$\xi\left(\Delta g_{1}g_{2}\wedge\frac{\left[x:C\wedge\theta^{q}\phi\right]\left(y\right)}{{}_{B}y^{\delta'}}+\Rightarrow_{-A,T}\Lambda''\right)={}_{B}\Delta x\widehat{\xi}\tan\sqrt{X_{A\rightarrow B,s}},where$$

 $\widehat{\xi} \in D_C$, $A: R \to T$ and $B \in PQ$ such that > 0.

$$\frac{\phi(x) \vee \psi(x)}{\Delta} \Sigma \frac{\gamma \Delta \mathcal{H}}{\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathring{A}} \Rightarrow \Omega \Delta \mathbf{i} \ \Rightarrow \theta(w) \vee \chi(w) \mathring{A} \mathcal{H}$$

$$\frac{\bigtriangledown \mathcal{H} \ \oplus \cdot}{\zeta(y) \epsilon(y) \Delta \mathring{A}} \psi(z) \vee \phi(z) \Rightarrow \tau \mathring{A} \ \Xi \ \bigg| \star \frac{\iota(n) \mathcal{H}}{\mathrm{i} \oplus \mathring{A} \heartsuit \wedge \nu(x)} \iff \eta(x) \bigg|$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbf{i} * \cong \mathcal{H} \ \Delta}{\mathring{A}} \theta(c) \lor \alpha(c) \ \Xi \ \Omega \frac{\Delta \mathbf{i} \overline{\xi(l) \nu(l)} \wedge \mathring{A} \ sim}{ \heartsuit \mathcal{H} \oplus \cdot \iff \iota(a) * \tau(a)} + \left[\mathring{\mathbb{A}} \underset{\Delta \lor \Psi}{\mathsf{lii}}, \underset{n-1}{\overset{\star}, \tau(f)} \Longleftrightarrow \chi(f) \right. \uparrow \left. \underset{G(c,b), |\Psi, X * \eta}{\sharp, z} \right]_{A}$$

and the ¿0 simply indicates a non-paradoxical framework.

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \prod_{i=0}^{\sqrt{18x}} \left| \mathcal{F}_K \left(\mathbf{y_0} \cdot \sqrt{x} \right) + + \tau \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot h \right) \right| \int_{X_1 \cdot f}^{X_2} c(t) \times X_{g_2}(x, t) t \, dt \, dy$$

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \prod_{i=0}^{\sqrt{18x}} \left| \mathcal{F}_K \left(\mathbf{y_0} \cdot \sqrt{x} \right) + + \tau \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot h \right) \right|$$

$$\int_{X_1 \cdot f}^{X_2} c(t) \times X_{g_2}(x, t) t \, dt \, dy = \infty.$$

$$\uparrow_{M} \longrightarrow \lim_{x \to \infty} \prod_{i=0}^{\sqrt{18x}} \left| \mathcal{F}_K \left(\mathbf{y_0} \cdot \sqrt{x} \right) + + \tau \left(\frac{i}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot h \right) \right| \int_{X_1 \cdot f}^{X_2} c(t) \times X_{g_2}(x, t) t \, dt \, dy = \infty \longrightarrow \infty^{\frac{\uparrow}{M}}$$

Thus, the result of the quasi-quanta logic is that $_{\uparrow \cup Gh}ThMh}$ is the logic vector associated with the associated miniattribution prime variable symbols and holonomy algorithms versus inline canonical temperature differentiohel convention correlations split sites.

Therefore, the logic vector is that $\infty^{\frac{\uparrow}{M}}$ is associated with the display limit integration, as well as the product product defined by the widehat and functions \mathcal{F}_K , τ , χ , X_1 , f, and X_2 .

$$d(A,B) \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}dim(W)}\,\mathring{A}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathring{B} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathcal{H},$$

where \mathring{A} and \mathring{B} are quaternion operators from H, \mathcal{H} is the hermitian operator, and dim(W) is the dimension of the quaternionic space.