# Isabelle/Isar quick reference

# A.1 Proof commands

# A.1.1 Main grammar

```
main = notepad begin statement^* end
                 theorem name: props if name: props for vars proof
                 theorem name:
                   fixes vars
                   assumes name: props
                   shows name: props proof
                 theorem name:
                   fixes vars
                   assumes name: props
                   obtains (name) vars where props | ... proof
      proof = refinement^* proper proof
  refinement = apply method
                 supply name = thms
                 subgoal premises name for vars proof
                 using thms
                 unfolding thms
             = proof method? statement^* qed method?
proper_proof
   statement
             = \{ statement^* \}
                 next
                 note name = thms
                 let term = term
                 write name (mixfix)
                 assume name: props if props for vars
                 then? goal
        goal = have name: props if name: props for vars proof
                 show name: props if name: props for vars proof
```

#### A.1.2 Primitives

```
\mathbf{fix} \ x
                           augment context by \bigwedge x. \square
                           augment context by A \Longrightarrow \Box
assume a: A
then
                           indicate forward chaining of facts
have a: A
                           prove local result
show a: A
                           prove local result, refining some goal
using a
                           indicate use of additional facts
unfolding a
                           unfold definitional equations
proof m_1 \ldots \operatorname{qed} m_2
                          indicate proof structure and refinements
{ ... }
                           indicate explicit blocks
next
                           switch proof blocks
                           reconsider and declare facts
note a = b
let p = t
                           abbreviate terms by higher-order matching
write c (mx)
                           declare local mixfix syntax
```

## A.1.3 Abbreviations and synonyms

```
\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{by}\ m_1\ m_2 & \equiv & \mathbf{proof}\ m_1\ \mathbf{qed}\ m_2 \\ \dots & \equiv & \mathbf{by}\ standard \\ \dots & \equiv & \mathbf{by}\ this \\ \mathbf{from}\ a & \equiv & \mathbf{note}\ a\ \mathbf{then} \\ \mathbf{with}\ a & \equiv & \mathbf{from}\ a\ \mathbf{and}\ this \\ \mathbf{from}\ this & \equiv & \mathbf{then} \end{array}
```

#### A.1.4 Derived elements

```
\mathbf{also}_0
                                    \approx note calculation = this
                                     \approx note calculation = trans [OF calculation this]
                         also_{n+1}
                          finally
                                         also from calculation
                      moreover \approx note calculation = calculation this
                                         moreover from calculation
                     ultimately
                 presume a: A
                                         assume a: A
        define x where x = t \approx
                                         fix x assume x def: x = t
   consider x where A \mid \dots
                                         have thesis
                                            if \bigwedge x. A \Longrightarrow thesis and ... for thesis
obtain x where a: A \langle proof \rangle
                                     \approx consider x where A \langle proof \rangle
                                         fix x assume a: A
                           case c \approx \text{fix } x \text{ assume } c: A
                           sorry \approx by cheating
```

# A.1.5 Diagnostic commands

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{typ} \ \tau & \text{print type} \\ \textbf{term} \ t & \text{print term} \end{array}$ 

**prop**  $\varphi$  print proposition

thm a print fact

**print\_statement** a print fact in long statement form

# A.2 Proof methods

### Single steps (forward-chaining facts)

assumption apply some goal assumption

this apply current facts rule a apply some rule

standard apply standard rule (default for **proof**) contradiction apply  $\neg$  elimination rule (any order)

 $cases \ t$  case analysis (provides cases)  $induct \ x$  proof by induction (provides cases)

## Repeated steps (inserting facts)

no rules

 $intro\ a$  introduction rules  $intro\_classes$  class introduction rules

intro\_locales locale introduction rules (without body)
unfold\_locales locale introduction rules (with body)

elim a elimination rules

unfold a definitional rewrite rules

#### Automated proof tools (inserting facts)

*iprover* intuitionistic proof search

blast, fast Classical Reasoner simp, simp all Simplifier (+ Splitter)

auto, force Simplifier + Classical Reasoner

arith Arithmetic procedures

# A.3 Attributes

#### Rules

OF a rule resolved with facts (skipping "\_") of t rule instantiated with terms (skipping "\_") where x = t rule instantiated with terms, by variable name

 $\begin{array}{ccc} symmetric & \text{resolution with symmetry rule} \\ THEN & b & \text{resolution with another rule} \end{array}$ 

rule\_format result put into standard rule format

elim format destruct rule turned into elimination rule format

#### **Declarations**

simp Simplifier rule

 $intro, \ elim, \ dest$  Pure or Classical Reasoner rule iff Simplifier + Classical Reasoner rule

 $\begin{array}{ccc} split & {
m case \ split \ rule} \\ trans & {
m transitivity \ rule} \\ sym & {
m symmetry \ rule} \end{array}$ 

# A.4 Rule declarations and methods

	rule	iprover		$simp\_all$	$auto \\ force$
Pure.elim! Pure.intro!	X	×			
Pure.elim Pure.intro	×	×			
$elim! \ intro!$	×		×		×
$elim\ intro$	×		×		×
$i\!f\!f$	×		×	×	×
iff?	×				
$elim?\ intro?$	×				
simp				X	×
cong				X	×
split				×	×

# A.5 Proof scripts

#### A.5.1 Commands

apply mapply proof method during backwards refinementapply\_end mapply proof method (as if in terminal position)supply asupply facts during backwards refinementsubgoalnested proof during backwards refinement

defer nmove subgoal to endprefer nmove subgoal to startbackbacktrack last command

done complete proof

#### A.5.2 Methods

rule\_tac instsresolution (with instantiation)erule\_tac instselim-resolution (with instantiation)drule\_tac instsdestruct-resolution (with instantiation)frule\_tac instsforward-resolution (with instantiation)

cut\_tac insts insert facts (with instantiation)

 $thin\_tac \varphi$  delete assumptions

 $subgoal\_tac~\varphi~~\text{new claims}$ 

rename\_tac x rename innermost goal parameters

 $rotate\_tac \ n$  rotate assumptions of goal

 $tactic\ text$  arbitrary ML tactic  $case\_tac\ t$  exhaustion (datatypes)  $induct\_tac\ x$  induction (datatypes)

 $ind\_cases\ t$  exhaustion + simplification (inductive predicates)