Honours Diary 2020

Jarvis Carroll

April 13, 2020

Notation

In this diary unless explicitly stated within a section, I have been using the notation specified by Nielsen and Chuang, with the following additions:

- Implicit quantifiers for index variables such as i, j, k. (Nielson and Chuang seem to do this actually, perhaps dropping more than I do)
 - $\{x_i\} = \{x_i \mid i \in I\}, \{|x_i\rangle\} = \{|x_i\rangle \mid i \in I\} \text{ etc.}$
 - $-(x_i) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
 - $-\sum_{i}$ in place of $\sum_{i\in I}$
 - $\forall i \text{ in place of } \forall i \in I$

March 13

Set up TeXstudio and basic document structure.

Exercise 2.1

Linear Dependence, show that (1,-1), (1,2) and (2,1) are linearly dependent.

$$(1,-1) + (1,2) - (2,1)$$

= $(1+1-2,-1+2-1)$
= $(0,0)$

Exercise 2.2

Matrix representations: Suppose V is a vector space with basis vectors $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, and A is a linear operator from V to V such that $A|0\rangle = |1\rangle$ and $A|1\rangle = |0\rangle$. Give a matrix representation for A, with respect to the input basis $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, and the output basis $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$. Find input and output bases which give rise to a different matrix representation of A.

Equation 2.12 gives us the defining property of matrix representations:

$$A|v_j\rangle = \sum_i A_{ij}|w_i\rangle$$

This gives us a pair of vector equations:

$$|1\rangle = A|0\rangle = A_{00}|0\rangle + A_{10}|1\rangle$$

$$|0\rangle = A|1\rangle = A_{01}|0\rangle + A_{11}|1\rangle$$

By linear independence of $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle$, it follows that

$$A_{00} = 0$$
 $A_{01} = 1$ $A_{11} = 0$

i.e. A has the matrix representation:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

March 16

Exercise 2.3

$$A: V \to W$$

$$B: W \to X$$

$$V = span\{|v_i\rangle\} \ etc.$$

At this point we are already identifying A, B with their matrix representations. We would like to show equality between the function composition $B \circ A$ and the matrix product of the corresponding matrix representations, $B \times A$. Once we have done this we will be able to identify both of these concepts as simply the expression BA, but for now we will use the explicit operators \circ and \times .

Our goal then is to show that the matrix representation of $B \circ A$ is $B \times A$.

$$\sum_{i} (B \circ A)_{ij} | x_{i} \rangle \qquad \text{(arbitrary column of matrix } B \circ A)$$

$$= (B \circ A) | v_{j} \rangle \qquad \text{(Definition of matrix representation)}$$

$$= B(A|v_{j}\rangle) \qquad \text{(Definition of composition)}$$

$$= B\left(\sum_{k} A_{kj} | w_{k} \rangle\right) \qquad \text{(Matrix representation)}$$

$$= \sum_{k} A_{kj} \left(\sum_{i} B_{ik} | x_{i} \rangle\right) \qquad \text{(Matrix representation)}$$

$$= \sum_{k} \left(\sum_{k} B_{ik} A_{kj}\right) | x_{i} \rangle \qquad \text{(Matrix representation)}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{k} B_{ik} A_{kj}\right) | x_{i} \rangle \qquad \text{(distribution)}$$

$$= \sum_{i} (B \times A)_{ij} | x_{i} \rangle \qquad \text{(matrix product)}$$

So by linear independence of $|x_i\rangle$ we know that $(B \circ A)_{ij} = (B \times A)_{ij}$ for arbitrary i, j, i.e. the matrix representation of $B \circ A$ is the matrix product $B \times A$.

Exercise 2.4

$$I: V \to V$$
$$I|x_i\rangle = |x_i\rangle$$

We would like to show that $I_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$.

$$\sum_{i} I_{ij} |x_{i}\rangle$$

$$= I|x_{j}\rangle$$

$$= |x_{j}\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i} \delta_{ij} |x_{i}\rangle$$

Again by linear independence of $\{|x_i\rangle\}$ we have $I_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$.

Exercise 2.5

$$((y_i),(z_i)) = \sum_i y_i^* z_i$$

We need to prove 3 properties. First linearity, taking $|v\rangle = (v_j) = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ and $|w_i\rangle = (w_{ij}) = (w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \dots, w_{in})$.

For this we define

$$|z\rangle = (z_j) = \sum_i \lambda_i |w_i\rangle$$

Then by the definitions of sum and scalar product in \mathbb{C}^n we observe

$$z_{j}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |w_{i}\rangle\right)_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i} (\lambda_{i} |w_{i}\rangle)_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} w_{ij}$$

With this linearity falls out.

$$(|v\rangle, |z\rangle)$$

$$= ((v_j), (z_j))$$

$$= \sum_j y_j^* z_j$$

$$= \sum_j y_j^* \left(\sum_i \lambda_i w_{ij}\right)$$

$$= \sum_i \lambda_i \left(\sum_j v_j^* w_{ij}\right)$$

$$= \sum_i \lambda_i ((v_j), (w_{ij}))$$

$$= \sum_i \lambda_i (|v\rangle, |w_i\rangle)$$

Next we prove conjugate symmetry.

$$(|w\rangle, |v\rangle)^*$$

$$= ((w_i), (v_i))^*$$

$$= \left(\sum_i w_i^* v_i\right)^*$$

$$= \sum_i w_i^{**} v_i^*$$

$$= \sum_i v_i^* w_i$$

$$= ((v_i), (w_i))$$

$$= (|v\rangle, |w\rangle)$$

Finally positivity:

$$(|v\rangle, |v\rangle)$$

$$= ((v_i), (v_i))$$

$$= \sum_{i} v_i^* v_i$$

$$= \sum_{i} |v_i|^2$$

Clearly this expression is at least 0, with equality when $v_i = 0 \ \forall i$, i.e. when $|v\rangle = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Therefore the operator (\cdot, \cdot) is an inner product on the vector space \mathbb{C}^n .

March 23

Exercise 2.6

Combines second argument linearity with conjugate symmetry, as you would expect.

$$\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |w_{i}\rangle, |v\rangle\right) = \left(|v\rangle, \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |w_{i}\rangle\right)^{*}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} (|v\rangle, |w_{i}\rangle)\right)^{*}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} (|v\rangle, |w_{i}\rangle)^{*}$$

$$= \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} (|w_{i}\rangle, |v\rangle)$$

Exercise 2.7

$$\langle w|v\rangle = (1)(1) + (1)(-1) = 0$$

 $|w\rangle, |v\rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$

Exercise 2.8

Suppose that j < k, and that the first k-1 vectors are orthonormal,

$$\begin{split} \langle v_j | v_k \rangle &= \langle v_j | w_k \rangle - \sum_i^{k-1} \langle v_i | w_k \rangle \langle v_j | v_i \rangle \\ &= \langle v_j | w_k \rangle - \langle v_j | w_k \rangle \langle v_j | v_j \rangle \\ &= \langle v_j | w_k \rangle - \langle v_j | w_k \rangle \langle v_j | v_j \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Obviously $|v_i\rangle$ are explicitly normalized so we are done.

March 27

Feel like generalizing Ex 2.9 based on Ex 2.10.

Exercise 2.10

Suppose $|v_i\rangle$ is an orthonormal basis for an inner product space V. What is the matrix representation for the operator $|v_j\rangle\langle v_k|$, with respect to the $|v_i\rangle$ basis? Let $A=|v_j\rangle\langle v_k|$, and $A|v_n\rangle=A_{mn}|v_m\rangle$, then

$$A_{mn}|v_m\rangle = |v_j\rangle\langle v_k|v_n\rangle$$

$$= \delta_{kn}|v_j\rangle$$

$$\Longrightarrow A_{mn} = \begin{cases} \delta_{kn} & m = j\\ 0 & else \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{mn} = \begin{cases} 0 & else \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} 1 & m = j, n = k \\ 0 & else \end{cases}$$

e.g. in a 2d space,

$$|0\rangle\langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 2.9

From 2.10 it becomes clear that

$$\begin{split} I &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| \\ X &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = |0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0| \\ Y &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} = i|1\rangle\langle 0| - i|0\rangle\langle 1| \\ Z &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1| \end{split}$$

Matrix Reps and Outer Products

Visually one can see that we can use $|v_i\rangle\langle v_j|$ as a basis for describing linear maps directly in terms of their matrix representation, e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = a|0\rangle\langle 0| + b|0\rangle\langle 1| + c|1\rangle\langle 0| + d|1\rangle\langle 1|$$

Stated more generally

$$A = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} |v_i\rangle\langle v_j|$$

Which connects to equation 2.25 via the equality

$$\langle v_i | A | v_i \rangle = A_{ij}$$

Each of these things can be shown, especially once linear maps are themselves understood as a vector space, but I shall take these statements as given.

Exercise 2.11

Find the eigenvectors, eigenvalues, and diagonal representations of the Pauli matrices X, Y, and Z.

Z is already diagonal.

X has the familiar and intuitive eigenvectors $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$:

$$X|+\rangle = |+\rangle$$
$$X|-\rangle = -|-\rangle$$

Diagonal representation then is $X = |+\rangle\langle +| - |-\rangle\langle -|$

Y has the same shape as X so we could guess the eigenvectors are the same, but $Y|+\rangle = -i|-\rangle$.

Solving properly we get $c(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 1 = 0$, with eigenvectors in the null-spaces of:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -i \\ i & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Second row is -i times first row:

$$Y(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle) = -|0\rangle + i|1\rangle$$

Taking the conjugate we unsurprisingly get

$$Y(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle) = |0\rangle + i|1\rangle$$

Their diagonal representation would be just what you'd expect, $|v_0\rangle\langle v_0|-|v_1\rangle\langle v_1|$ where $|v_0\rangle=2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(|0\rangle+i|1\rangle\right),\ |v_1\rangle=2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(|0\rangle-i|1\rangle\right).$

March 30

Exercise 2.12

Prove that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not diagonalizable.

The equation $c(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^2 = 0$ has repeated root $\lambda = 1$, so it either has one eigenvector, or a eigenspace of 2 degenerate eigenvectors.

Clearly if it had 2 degenerate eigenvectors it would simply be the identity operation, mapping all vectors to themselves (scaled by the eigenvalue 1), so we know there is no eigenvector basis, let alone the weaker result that there is no orthonormal eigenvector basis.

To apply the formal structure of QM we can prove this weaker result explicitly: If M above is diagonalizable, then $M = |v_1\rangle\langle v_1| + |v_2\rangle\langle v_2|$ which by the completeness relation 2.22 gives M = I, therefore M is not diagonalizable.

Exercise 2.13

If $|w\rangle$ and $|v\rangle$ are any two vectors, show that $(|w\rangle\langle v|)^{\dagger} = |v\rangle\langle w|$.

Since we are told that the adjoint is unique, it is sufficient to show equation 2.32:

$$(|v'\rangle, |w\rangle\langle v|w'\rangle) = (|v\rangle\langle w|v'\rangle, |w'\rangle)$$

Then by linearity of the inner product this is equivalent to

$$\langle v|w'\rangle(|v'\rangle,|w\rangle) = \langle w|v'\rangle^*(|v\rangle,|w'\rangle)$$

Apply conjugate symmetry and note that $\langle v|w'\rangle=(|v\rangle,|w'\rangle)$ by definition, and the result clearly follows.

Exercise 2.14

Show that the adjoint operation is anti-linear

Straight forward, again by uniqueness we simply need equation 2.32 to hold, which after linearity becomes:

$$\sum_{i} a_{i}(|v\rangle, A_{i}|w\rangle) = \sum_{i} a_{i}^{**}(A_{i}^{\dagger}|v\rangle, |w\rangle)$$

By double-conjugate elimination and the adjointness property, this is clearly true.

Exercise 2.15

Show that $(A^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = A$.

$$(|v\rangle, A^{\dagger}|w\rangle) = (A^{\dagger}|w\rangle, |v\rangle)^{*}$$
$$= (|w\rangle, A|v\rangle)^{*}$$
$$= (A|v\rangle, |w\rangle)$$

So A is the adjoint of A^{\dagger} , i.e. by uniqueness of adjoints $(A^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = A$.

Equation 2.16

Show that any projector P satisfies the equation $P^2 = P$.

$$\left(\sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i|\right)^{2} = \sum_{ij}|i\rangle\langle i|j\rangle\langle j| = \sum_{ij}\delta_{ij}|i\rangle\langle j| = \sum_{i}|i\rangle\langle i|$$

Exercise 2.17

Show that a normal matrix is Hermitian if and only if it has real eigenvalues.

First show that a hermitian matrix has real eigenvalues, i.e. if $A|v\rangle=v|v\rangle$ then v is real.

Consider $\langle v|A|v\rangle$:

$$\begin{split} \langle v|A|v\rangle &= (|v\rangle,A|v\rangle) \\ &= (|v\rangle,v|v\rangle) \\ &= v(|v\rangle,|v\rangle) \\ &= v\langle v|v\rangle \end{split}$$

But by adjointness this can also be shown for v^* :

$$\begin{split} \langle v|A|v\rangle &= (|v\rangle,A|v\rangle) \\ &= (A|v\rangle,|v\rangle) \\ &= (v|v\rangle,|v\rangle) \\ &= v^*(|v\rangle,|v\rangle) \\ &= v^*\langle v|v\rangle \end{split}$$

So $v = v^*$, and necessarily v is real.

Next show that if A is normal and has all real eigenvalues, then A is hermitian.

By spectral decomposition:

$$A = \sum_{i} v_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$$

Then taking the adjoint the result becomes obvious:

$$A^{\dagger} = \sum_{i} v_{i}^{*} |v_{i}\rangle\langle v_{i}|$$
$$= \sum_{i} v_{i} |v_{i}\rangle\langle v_{i}|$$
$$= A$$

April 3

Exercise 2.18

Show that all eigenvalues of a unitary matrix have modulus 1, that is, can be written in the form $e^{i\theta}$ for some real θ .

Suppose that $U|v\rangle = v|v\rangle$, then take the squared norm of both sides

$$\langle v|U^{\dagger}U|v\rangle = \langle v|v^*v|v\rangle$$

$$\Longrightarrow \langle v|v\rangle = |v|^2 \langle v|v\rangle$$

$$\Longrightarrow |v| = 1$$

Exercise 2.19

Show that the Pauli matrices are Hermitian and unitary.

A matrix is hermitian and unitary precisely when $U^{\dagger} = U$. I, X, and Z are real symmetric matrices, so this is clearly true, and Y has i opposite -i in its matrix representation, so it will satisfy this as well.

Exercise 2.20

Suppose A' and A'' are matrix representations of an operator A on a vector space V with respect to two different orthonormal bases, $|v_i\rangle$ and $|w_i\rangle$. Then the elements of A' and A'' are $A'_{ij} = \langle v_i | A | v_j \rangle$ and $A''_{ij} = \langle w_i | A | w_j \rangle$. Characterize the relationship between A' and A''.

Change of basis takes the form of a similarity/conjugation, and we have an intuition that orthonormal bases are related somehow to unitary operators, so a guess would be that $A'' = U^{-1}A'U$ for some unitary U. Take the ijth entry:

$$A'_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^{N} U_{il}^{-1} A''_{lm} U_{mj}^{-1}$$

At the same time we know that A' and A'' represent the same operator, i.e.

$$\sum_{ij} A'_{ij} |v_i\rangle\langle v_j| = \sum_{ij} A''_{ij} |w_i\rangle\langle w_j| \tag{1}$$

Combine these

$$\sum_{ilmj} U_{il}^{-1} A_{lm}^{\prime\prime} U_{mj}^{-1} |v_i\rangle \langle v_j| = \sum_{ij} A_{ij}^{\prime\prime} |w_i\rangle \langle w_j|$$

Didn't work, apply both sides of (1) to $|v_k\rangle$

$$\sum_{ij} A'_{ij} |v_i\rangle\langle v_j |v_k\rangle = \sum_{ij} A''_{ij} |w_i\rangle\langle w_j |v_k\rangle$$

$$\sum_{i} A'_{ik} |v_i\rangle = \sum_{ij} A''_{ij} |w_i\rangle \langle w_j |v_k\rangle$$

Didn't work, apply $\langle v_l | \cdot | m \rangle$ to both sides of (1):

$$A'_{lm} = \sum_{ij} A''_{ij} \langle v_l | w_i \rangle \langle w_j | v_m \rangle$$

stumped... can't get any δ_{ij} magic to happen, the indices just keep growing!

April 6

Exercise 2.21

Repeat the proof of the spectral decomposition in Box 2.2 for the case when M is Hermitian, simplifying the proof wherever possible

Let P be a projector onto the λ eigenspace of a hermitian matrix M.

Then M = (P+Q)M(P+Q) = PMP + PMQ + QMP + QMQ.

Note $MP = \lambda P$ and hence $PMP = \lambda P^2 = \lambda P$.

Then $QMP = (1 - P)MP = MP - PMP = \lambda P - \lambda P = 0.$

Next $PMQ = (QM^{\dagger}P)^{\dagger} = (QMP)^{\dagger} = 0^{\dagger} = 0.$

Thus M = PMP + QMQ.

Next QMQ is obviously hermitian since $(QMQ)^{\dagger} = QM^{\dagger}Q = QMQ$, so by induction is diagonalizable, so it follows that M is diagonalizable.

Exercise 2.22

Prove that two eigenvectors of a Hermitian operator with different eigenvalues are necessarily orthogonal.

 $M = M^{\dagger}, M|v_1\rangle = \lambda_1|v_1\rangle, M|v_2\rangle = \lambda_2|v_2\rangle.$

Observe the following equality:

$$\lambda_{2}\langle v_{1}|v_{2}\rangle = \langle v_{1}| (M|v_{2}\rangle)$$

$$= (\langle v_{1}|M^{\dagger}) |v_{2}\rangle$$

$$= \lambda_{1}\langle v_{1}|v_{2}\rangle$$

$$\implies (\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1})\langle v_{1}|v_{2}\rangle = 0$$

$$\implies \lambda_{1} = \lambda_{2} \text{ or } \langle v_{1}|v_{2}\rangle = 0$$

So if the eigenvalues of $|v_1\rangle$ and $|v_2\rangle$ are different, then $|v_1\rangle$ and $|v_2\rangle$ must be orthogonal.

Exercise 2.23

Show that the eigenvalues of a projector are all either 0 or 1. Suppose $P|w\rangle = \lambda |w\rangle$, then by Ex. 2.16, $P^2 = P$, so

$$\lambda |w\rangle = P|w\rangle = P^2|w\rangle = \lambda^2|w\rangle$$

 $\implies \lambda(1-\lambda)|w\rangle = 0$

So λ is either 0 or 1.

Exercise 2.24

Show that a positive operator is necessarily Hermitian. (Hint: Show that an arbitrary operator A can be written A = B + iC where B and C are Hermitian.)

Similar to the matrix as a sum of symmetric and antisymmetric matrices, it's easy to see:

$$A = \frac{A + A^{\dagger}}{2} + \frac{A - A^{\dagger}}{2}$$
$$= \frac{A + A^{\dagger}}{2} + i \frac{iA^{\dagger} - iA}{2}$$
$$= B + iC$$

Then suppose A is positive, i.e. $\langle v|A|v\rangle \geq 0$.

$$\langle v|A|v\rangle = \langle v|(B+iC)|v\rangle$$

$$= \langle v|B|v\rangle + i\langle v|C|v\rangle$$

Note that since B and C are Hermitian, they are diagonalizable, with real eigenvalues, so $\langle v|B|v\rangle$ must be real, and thus $\langle v|C|v\rangle=0$. Since v is arbitrary, we have C=0, so A=B and therefore $A=A^{\dagger}$.

Note we don't require positivity, it is enough that $\langle v|A|v\rangle$ is always real. This means that we have effectively generalized Ex 2.17 to the third equivalent condition that $\langle v|A|v\rangle$ is always real.

Exercise 2.25

Show that for any operator A, $A^{\dagger}A$ is positive.

$$\langle v|A^{\dagger}A|v\rangle = (A|v\rangle, A|v\rangle) \ge 0.$$

April 13

Exercise 2.26

Let $|\psi\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Write out $|psi\rangle^{\otimes 2}$ and $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes 3}$ explicitly, both in terms of tensor products like $|0\rangle|1\rangle$, and using the Kronecker product.

$$|\psi\rangle^{\otimes 2} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

Similarly

$$|\psi\rangle^{\otimes 3} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |001\rangle + |010\rangle + |011\rangle + |100\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle)$$

Then in Kronecker product terms:

$$\left(2^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{\otimes 2} = 2^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Skipping $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes 3}$

Diversion: Non-normal Diagonalization

This textbook deals with diagonalization of normal operators in the form $\sum_i \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$, but diagonalization of non-normal operators is also possible, and I would like to see how it looks in bra-ket notation.

$$A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i} |v_{i}\rangle\langle i|\right) \left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |i\rangle\langle i|\right) \left(\sum_{i} |v_{i}\rangle\langle i|\right)^{-1}$$

In the case that V is unitary, i.e. $|v_i\rangle$ are orthogonal, then $V^{-1} = V^{\dagger}$ and we can simplify from there. Otherwise we have a general matrix inversion to do, which doesn't seem helpful at all.

Diversion: Generalized Diagonalization

Suppose instead that something only has generalized eigenvectors, but that these generalized eigenvectors are orthogonal. For example $A=|0\rangle\langle 1|$ with eigenvector $A|0\rangle=0|0\rangle$ and generalized eigenvector $A|1\rangle=1|0\rangle$.

This appears to motivate the form $A = \sum_i \lambda_i |v_{\pi(i)}\rangle\langle v_i|$ so that $A|v_i\rangle = \lambda_i |v_{\pi(i)}\rangle$, but generalized eigenvectors seem more complicated than this, since the rule $(A+I)|v_i\rangle = (\lambda_i+1)|v_i\rangle$ doesn't directly hold, and whatever its analogue might be, would be too much of a diversion for now.

Exercise 2.27

Calculate the matrix representation of the tensor products of the Pauli operators (a) X and Z; (b) I and X; (c) X and I. Is the tensor product commutative?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exercise 2.28

Show that the transpose, complex conjugation, and adjoint operations distribute over the tensor product,

$$\left(\sum_{ij} A_{ij} |j\rangle\langle i|\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{kl} B_{kl} |l\rangle\langle k|\right) |mn\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{jl} A_{mj} B_{nl} |jl\rangle$$

So clearly by linearity

$$\left(\sum_{ij}A_{ij}|j\rangle\langle i|\right)\otimes\left(\sum_{kl}B_{kl}|l\rangle\langle k|\right)=\sum_{ijkl}A_{ij}B_{kl}|jl\rangle\langle ik|$$

From this all 3 results are obvious.