April 10

Reading J Tolar, "On Clifford groups in quantum computing"

An N state system corresponds to a hilbert space \mathbb{C}^N .

"Generalized Pauli Matrices" generate a group, "Weyl-Heisenberg group", semantics.

The "normalizer" of this is called the Clifford group. So I guess WH is not normal in U(N), but in Clifford group it is. That's surprising to me, but I guess it makes sense given the normal property becomes weaker the less group elements you are conjugating against. So Clifford group is the set $\{g \mid g^{-1}Xg \in WH, \forall x \in WH\}$.

"Clifford quotient group" sounds like Clifford group without scalar multiplication, which sounds good to me. U(N) seems so redundant/free I will take every quotient I can get.

"Symmetries of Pauli gradings" of an algebra apparently describe some detail of clifford quotient groups, and this paper will describe something more detailed than that? No idea what a Pauli grading is.

 $Q_N|j\rangle = \omega_N^j|j\rangle$, $P_N|j\rangle = |j+1\rangle$, so in 2d:

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = Z$$
$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = X$$

These elements along with ω_N are order N, and are nearly commutative.

$$\Pi_N = \{\omega_N^i P_N^j Q_N^k\}$$

This is not H(N) apparently? Do we need a generalized version of Y before this becomes the Weyl Heisenberg group? Or am I missing something.

 ω_N and Q_N clearly have computational basis as their eigenvectors, being diagonal, and P_N will have $|v_i\rangle = \sum_j \omega_N^{ij} |j\rangle$ as eigenvectors, eigenvalues ω_N^i transforming into this basis is the discrete fourier transform! Aha! Ok back to the text. I don't know what a configuration space is or what "eigenvector of position means".

Ah yes $\tau_N = \omega_N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ lets us define Y.

$$au_2 P_2 Q_2 = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} = Y$$

Then $H(N) = \{\tau_N^h \omega_N^i Q_N^j P_N^k\}$, good. $|H(N)| = 2|\Pi_N| = 2N^3$.

Oh this phase factor is just for even N. Fascinating. Naively that sounds like a novel thing to attack in a mixed level system?

Apparently $\tau_2 = -i$, so the equation is actually $Y = \tau_2 Q_2 P_2$

Then the centralizer is just the set of scalars $\{\tau^i\}$, and since Q_N and P_N commute, up to phase shift ω_N , quotienting by the centralizer gives the abelian group \mathbb{Z}_N^2 . Easy.

Next we move on to the clifford group. Indeed the clifford group is the set of terms against which H(N) is closed under conjugation. Since H(N) is finitely generated, and τ_N , ω_N are scalar, we can simply check $XQ_NX^{-1} \in H(N)$ and $XP_NX^{-1} \in H(N)$.

Apparently these "Clifford operations" are one-step evolutions of "Clifford Gates", which makes sense.

I don't follow what the $1 \to \text{and} \to 1$ have to do with the statement of how H(N) maps into the clifford group and quotient group, seems like it has significance in generalized abstract nonsense world. (not meant in a derogatory way)

We don't quotient clifford by H(N), however, though we could. We quotient by U(1) to get a simpler space without phase factors.

Lemma: $XAX^{-1} = YAY^{-1} \iff X \propto Y$

The proof is an application of "Schur's Lemma" which I will intuit as related to the observation before that the centralizer of H(N) is exactly the set of scalars. Here $Y^{-1}X$ is in the centralizer of the clifford group, and turns out to be a scalar, so $X \propto Y$.

The next paragraph at least, is very representation heavy, so I will try to understand the significance of this in my own terms first.

Two matrices conjugate any element of H(N) the same way if and only if they are proportional to each other. Since the clifford algebra is exactly the set of actions that conjugate H(N) to other elements of H(N), this statement can be refined to the statement that the conjugation action on H(N), that is the automorphism $A \mapsto XAX^{-1}$, is equal only to the actions of scalar multiples of X. So then if we quotient the clifford group, we will end up with some group of automorphisms on H(N). Wonderful.