# Dissecting Different Flavors of Generic Programming in One Haskell Universe

**Presented to Galois** 

Sean Leather

Utrecht University

August 27, 2013

## What is Generic Programming?

In programming languages, the adjective "generic" is heavily overloaded.

- Java/C# generics
- C++ templates
- Ada generic packages

# What is Generic Programming?

The goal is often the same.

A higher level of abstraction than "normally" available

The technique is also often similar.

Some form of parameterization and instantiation

# **Examples of Generic Programming**

```
Java/C#:

public class Stack<T>
{
   public void push(T item) {...}
   public T pop() {...}
}
```

#### In other words:

ullet Java-style generics pprox parametric polymorphism

# **Examples of Generic Programming**

```
C++:
template < typename T, typename Compare >
T& min(T& a, T& b, Compare comp) {
  if (comp(b, a))
    return b;
  return a;
}
```

#### In other words:

ullet C++ templates pprox ad-hoc polymorphism

## Generic Programming in Haskell

#### "Generic programming":

- For other languages, the term tends to be used for late additions.
- Parametric and ad-hoc polymorphism were available in Haskell from the beginning.

In Haskell, we have come to use "generic programming" for datatype-generic programming (a.k.a. "polytypism" or "shape/structure polymorphism").

# Datatype-Generic Programming

What is datatype-generic programming?

- Parameterize a function over the *structure* of datatypes
- Instantiate the function with a particular type

The result is a function that

- works with many types (polymorphism) but
- uses knowledge of the type (unlike parametric) and
- need not be redefined for every type (unlike ad-hoc).

#### Generic Functions

#### **Applications**

- Pretty-printing (e.g. show ), parsing (e.g. read )
- Compression, serialization, marshalling (and their inverses)
- Comparison, equality
- (Co-)recursion, map, zip, zippers
- Traversals, queries, updates

#### Generic Platforms

#### Many different implementations:

- Preprocessors:
  - PolyP
  - Generic Haskell
- Libraries
  - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) included with GHC for a long time
  - Extensible and Modular Generics for the Masses (EMGM)
  - ► Regular recursion schemes
  - Multirec mutually recursive datatypes
  - ▶ Generic Deriving available in GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
  - (and many, many more)

## Generic Flavors

The implementations can be grouped into flavors depending on how they view the structure of a datatype.

Some flavors (or views):

Spine A constructor is a sequence of types.

Example: SYB

Sums-of-products A datatype is a collection of alternative tuples of types.

Example: Generic Deriving

Fixed point A datatype is a sums-of-products with recursive points.

Example: Multirec

# Dissecting a Datatype: Sums-of-Products

data 
$$T_{sum} = A_1 \mid A_2$$

#### A datatype can have:

Alternatives: unique constructors (≥ 0)

# Dissecting a Datatype: Sums-of-Products

data 
$$T_{prod} = P_2$$
 Char Int

A datatype can have:

Fields: types for each constructor (≥ 0)

# Dissecting a Datatype: Sums-of-Products

#### Other features that are modeled:

- Constant types: each type in a field
- Parameters: type variables ( $\geqslant 0$ )

#### Features that are not modeled:

- Recursion
- Nesting (though it can be)

## Modeling a Sum

To model (nested) alternatives:

$$data$$
 Either a  $b = Left$  a  $|$  Right  $b$ 

For syntactic elegance:

$$data \ a :+: b = L \ a \mid R \ b$$

## Modeling a Product

To model (nested) fields:

**data** 
$$(,)$$
 a b =  $(,)$  a b

For syntactic elegance:

data 
$$a : \times : b = a : \times : b$$

## Modeling Other Structures

A constructor without fields:

$$data U = U$$

A constructor name:

data C a = C String a

A field type:

data K a = K a

Note: There are other features of datatypes, but we consider only the above.

## Modeling an Example

An example datatype:

data 
$$E a = E_1 \mid E_2 a (E a) Int$$

The corresponding structure representation type:

**type** 
$$Rep_E a = C U :+: C (K a :\times: K (E a) :\times: K Int)$$

#### Notes:

- $\bullet$  :+: is infixr 5 and :×: is infixr 6.
- Operators nest to the right.

# Converting Between Types: Isomorphism

- Generic functions work on the sums-of-products model.
- But first we need to convert between the model and the actual value of the datatype.
- We define an isomorphism: two total, dual functions.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{to}_\mathsf{E} :: \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{a} \\ \mathsf{to}_\mathsf{E} \; \big( \mathsf{L} \; \big( \mathsf{C} \; "\mathsf{E1}" \; \mathsf{U} \big) \big) &= \mathsf{E}_1 \\ \mathsf{to}_\mathsf{E} \; \big( \mathsf{R} \; \big( \mathsf{C} \; "\mathsf{E2}" \; \big( (\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{x}) \; : \! \times : \, \big( \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{e} \big) \; : \! \times : \, \big( \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{i} \big) \big) \big) \big) = \mathsf{E}_2 \; \mathsf{x} \; \mathsf{e} \; \mathsf{i} \end{array}
```

# Converting Between Types: Isomorphism

For convenience, we join the representation type and isomorphism in a type class Generic with an associated type synonym Rep .

```
class Generic a where type Rep a from :: a \rightarrow Rep a to :: Rep a \rightarrow a
```

The instance for E:

```
\label{eq:constraints} \begin{split} & \textbf{instance} \  \, \textbf{Generic} \  \, (\textbf{E a}) \  \, \textbf{where} \\ & \textbf{type} \  \, \textbf{Rep} \  \, (\textbf{E a}) = \textbf{Rep}_{\textbf{E}} \  \, \textbf{a} \\ & \textbf{from} = \textbf{from}_{\textbf{E}} \\ & \textbf{to} = \textbf{to}_{\textbf{E}} \end{split}
```

## Generic Functions

#### A generic function

- Is defined on each case of the structure representation and
- Works for every datatype that has a structure representation and isomorphism.

Example:  $show_{Rep a} :: a \rightarrow String$ 

• We will define a show function for each case.

#### Unit:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_U :: \mathsf{U} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_U \ \mathsf{U} = "" \end{array}
```

#### Constructor name:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} :: (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \; (\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{nm} \; \mathsf{a}) = "(" \# \; \mathsf{nm} \; \# " \; " \# \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \; \mathsf{a} \; \# \; ")" \end{array}
```

#### Field:

```
\mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{K}} :: (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{K}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{a}} \; (\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{a}) = \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{a}} \; \mathsf{a}
```

#### Binary sum:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_+ :: (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to (\mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{a} : +: \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_+ \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \ \_ (\mathsf{L} \ \mathsf{a}) = \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{a} \\ \mathsf{show}_+ \ \_ \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{b} \ (\mathsf{R} \ \mathsf{b}) = \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{b} \ \mathsf{b} \end{array}
```

#### Binary product:

```
show_{\times} :: (a \rightarrow String) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow String) \rightarrow a : \times: b \rightarrow String \\ show_{\times} show_{a} show_{b} (a : \times: b) = show_{a} a + + + show_{b} b
```

Recall:

```
\textbf{type} \; \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{a} = \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{U} : +: \mathsf{C} \; \big(\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{a} : \times: \mathsf{K} \; \big(\mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{a}\big) : \times: \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{Int}\big)
```

We can define a show function (assuming show<sub>Int</sub>):

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} &:: \  \, (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to ((\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \\ & \to \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} &= \\ \mathsf{show}_+ \; (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{U}) \\ & \; (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a}) \\ & \; (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \; (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \; (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a})) \; (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Int})))) \end{split}
```

The show<sub>E</sub> function itself is just an isomorphism away:

```
\begin{aligned} \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} :: (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} = \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Rep_\mathsf{E}} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} \circ \mathsf{from}_\mathsf{E} \end{aligned}
```

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} &:: \ (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to ((\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{E} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \\ & \to \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} &= \\ \mathsf{show}_+ \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{U}) \\ & (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a}) \\ & (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{B} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a})) \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Int})))) \end{split}
```

#### Some observations:

- This is not a generic function.
- It is defined on the structure of E, not on datatypes in general.
- It demonstrates a predictable pattern for defining the generic function.

Consider these typical expressions and their types:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{U} & :: \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{U} & \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{X} \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Int} \big) \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Char} \big) :: \big( \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{Int} : \! \times \! : \mathsf{K} \; \mathsf{Char} \big) \to \mathsf{String} \end{array}
```

- show? functions call other show? functions.
- They can be considered recursive but not in the usual way.
- Polymorphic recursion functions with different types that have a common scheme that reference each other

There are several ways to encode polymorphic recursion. We use type classes.

- The class declaration specifies the type signature.
- Each recursive (type) case is specified by an instance of the class.

A simplified definition of the Show class:

#### class Show a where

show ::  $a \rightarrow String$ 

Some of the instances for each structure representation case:

#### Constructor name:

```
instance Show a \Rightarrow Show (C a) where show = show_C show
```

#### Binary sum:

```
instance (Show a, Show b) \Rightarrow Show (a :+: b) where show = show<sub>+</sub> show show
```

The remaining instances are straightforward.

Now, compare:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} \ :: \ (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to ((\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{E} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \\ & \to \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} = \\ \mathsf{show}_+ \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{V} \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a}) \\ & (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{E} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a})) \ (\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Int})))) \end{array}
```

To:

```
\mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} :: (\mathsf{Show}\ \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{Show}\ (\mathsf{E}\ \mathsf{a})) \Rightarrow \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}\ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{E}} = \mathsf{show}
```

Finally, we can use a slightly different Show class to support generic functions for any type that has a representation.

#### class Show a where

```
show :: a \rightarrow String default show :: (Show (Rep a), Generic a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow String show = show \circ from
```

This uses default signatures: if type a has the instances
 Show (Rep a) and Generic a, then the given definition is used.

The instance for E:

```
instance Show a \Rightarrow Show (E a)
```

## ???

#### class Uniplate' a r where

$$\mathsf{descend'} :: (\mathsf{r} \to \mathsf{r}) \to \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{a}$$

## $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{instance} & Uniplate' & U & a \textbf{ where} \\ \end{tabular}$

$$descend' - U = U$$

**instance** Uniplate 
$$a \Rightarrow Uniplate'$$
 (K a) a **where**

$$descend' f(K a) = K(f a)$$

$$descend'_{-}(Ka) = Ka$$

instance 
$$\mathsf{Uniplate'}$$
 a  $\mathsf{r} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Uniplate'}$  (C a) r where

$$descend' f (C nm a) = C nm (descend' f a)$$

**instance** (Uniplate' a r, Uniplate' b r) 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Uniplate' (a :+: b) r **where**

$$descend' f (L a) = L (descend' f a)$$

$$descend' f (R b) = R (descend' f b)$$

**instance** (Uniplate' a r, Uniplate' b r)  $\Rightarrow$  Uniplate' (a :×: b) r **where** descend' f (a :×: b) = descend' f a :×: descend' f b

## GP in General

- Datatype-generic programming:
  - Datatype is the parameter
  - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
  - Pretty-printing ( show ) and parsing ( read )
  - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
  - Comparison, equality
  - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
  - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
  - Instant Generics presented here
  - Generic Deriving GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
  - ▶ EMGM maintained by me
  - Regular folds, etc.
  - Multirec mutually recursive datatypes, folds, etc.
  - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) GHC, traversals, queries
  - **.**..

#### References

#### Generic Programming in Haskell:

- Johan Jeuring, Sean Leather, José Pedro Magalhães, Alexey Rodriguez Yakushev. Libraries for Generic Programming in Haskell. AFP 2008. pp. 165-229, 2009.
- Generic Deriving: http://www.haskell.org/haskellwiki/GHC.Generics