Dissecting Different Flavors of Generic Programming in One Haskell Universe

Presented to Galois

Sean Leather

Utrecht University

August 27, 2013

In programming languages, the adjective "generic" is heavily overloaded.

In programming languages, the adjective "generic" is heavily overloaded.

Java/C# generics

In programming languages, the adjective "generic" is heavily overloaded.

- Java/C# generics
- C++ templates

In programming languages, the adjective "generic" is heavily overloaded.

- Java/C# generics
- C++ templates
- Ada generic packages

The goal is often the same.

A higher level of abstraction than "normally" available

The goal is often the same.

A higher level of abstraction than "normally" available

The technique is also often similar.

Some form of parameterization and instantiation

```
Java/C#:
public class Stack<T>
{
   public void push(T item) {...}
   public T pop() {...}
}
```

```
Java/C#:

public class Stack<T>
{
   public void push(T item) {...}
   public T pop() {...}
}
```

In other words:

ullet Java-style generics pprox parametric polymorphism

```
C++:
template < typename T, typename Compare >
T& min(T& a, T& b, Compare comp) {
  if (comp(b, a))
    return b;
  return a;
}
```

```
C++:
template < typename T, typename Compare >
T& min(T& a, T& b, Compare comp) {
  if (comp(b, a))
    return b;
  return a;
}
```

In other words:

ullet C++ templates pprox ad-hoc polymorphism

"Generic programming":

• For other languages, the term tends to be used for late additions.

"Generic programming":

- For other languages, the term tends to be used for late additions.
- Parametric and ad-hoc polymorphism were available in Haskell from the beginning.

"Generic programming":

- For other languages, the term tends to be used for late additions.
- Parametric and ad-hoc polymorphism were available in Haskell from the beginning.

"Generic programming":

- For other languages, the term tends to be used for late additions.
- Parametric and ad-hoc polymorphism were available in Haskell from the beginning.

In Haskell, we have come to use "generic programming" for datatype-generic programming (a.k.a. "polytypism" or "shape/structure polymorphism").

What is datatype-generic programming?

• Parameterize a function over the structure of datatypes

What is datatype-generic programming?

- Parameterize a function over the *structure* of datatypes
- Instantiate the function with a particular type

What is datatype-generic programming?

- Parameterize a function over the *structure* of datatypes
- Instantiate the function with a particular type

What is datatype-generic programming?

- Parameterize a function over the *structure* of datatypes
- Instantiate the function with a particular type

The result is a function that

• works with many types (polymorphism) but

What is datatype-generic programming?

- Parameterize a function over the *structure* of datatypes
- Instantiate the function with a particular type

The result is a function that

- works with many types (polymorphism) but
- uses knowledge of the type (unlike parametric) and

What is datatype-generic programming?

- Parameterize a function over the *structure* of datatypes
- Instantiate the function with a particular type

The result is a function that

- works with many types (polymorphism) but
- uses knowledge of the type (unlike parametric) and
- need not be redefined for every type (unlike ad-hoc).

Applications

• Pretty-printing (e.g. show), parsing (e.g. read)

- Pretty-printing (e.g. show), parsing (e.g. read)
- Compression, serialization, marshalling (and their inverses)

- Pretty-printing (e.g. show), parsing (e.g. read)
- Compression, serialization, marshalling (and their inverses)
- Comparison, equality

- Pretty-printing (e.g. show), parsing (e.g. read)
- Compression, serialization, marshalling (and their inverses)
- Comparison, equality
- (Co-)recursion, map, zip, zippers

- Pretty-printing (e.g. show), parsing (e.g. read)
- Compression, serialization, marshalling (and their inverses)
- Comparison, equality
- (Co-)recursion, map, zip, zippers
- Traversals, queries, updates

Many different implementations:

• Preprocessors:

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP

- Preprocessors:
 - ► PolyP
 - Generic Haskell

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP
 - Generic Haskell
- Libraries

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP
 - Generic Haskell
- Libraries
 - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) included with GHC for a long time

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP
 - Generic Haskell
- Libraries
 - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) included with GHC for a long time
 - Extensible and Modular Generics for the Masses (EMGM)

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP
 - Generic Haskell
- Libraries
 - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) included with GHC for a long time
 - Extensible and Modular Generics for the Masses (EMGM)
 - ► Regular recursion schemes

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP
 - Generic Haskell
- Libraries
 - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) included with GHC for a long time
 - Extensible and Modular Generics for the Masses (EMGM)
 - ► Regular recursion schemes
 - Multirec mutually recursive datatypes

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP
 - Generic Haskell
- Libraries
 - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) included with GHC for a long time
 - Extensible and Modular Generics for the Masses (EMGM)
 - ► Regular recursion schemes
 - Multirec mutually recursive datatypes
 - ▶ Generic Deriving available in GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics

Generic Platforms

Many different implementations:

- Preprocessors:
 - PolyP
 - Generic Haskell
- Libraries
 - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) included with GHC for a long time
 - Extensible and Modular Generics for the Masses (EMGM)
 - ► Regular recursion schemes
 - Multirec mutually recursive datatypes
 - ▶ Generic Deriving available in GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
 - (and many, many more)

The implementations can be grouped into flavors depending on how they view the structure of a datatype.

The implementations can be grouped into flavors depending on how they view the structure of a datatype.

Some flavors (or views):

Spine A constructor is a sequence of types.

Example: SYB

The implementations can be grouped into flavors depending on how they view the structure of a datatype.

Some flavors (or views):

Spine A constructor is a sequence of types.

Example: SYB

Sums-of-products A datatype is a collection of alternative tuples of types.

Example: Generic Deriving

The implementations can be grouped into flavors depending on how they view the structure of a datatype.

Some flavors (or views):

Spine A constructor is a sequence of types.

Example: SYB

Sums-of-products A datatype is a collection of alternative tuples of types.

Example: Generic Deriving

Fixed point A datatype is a sums-of-products with recursive points.

Example: Multirec

data
$$T_{sum} = A_1 \mid A_2$$

A datatype can have:

Alternatives: unique constructors (≥ 0)

data
$$T_{prod} = P_2$$
 Char Int

A datatype can have:

Fields: types for each constructor (≥ 0)

Other features that are modeled:

- Constant types: each type in a field
- Parameters: type variables ($\geqslant 0$)

Other features that are modeled:

- Constant types: each type in a field
- Parameters: type variables ($\geqslant 0$)

Features that are not modeled:

- Recursion
- Nesting (though it can be)

Modeling a Sum

To model (nested) alternatives:

data Either $a b = Left a \mid Right b$

Modeling a Sum

To model (nested) alternatives:

$$data$$
 Either a $b = Left$ a $|$ Right b

For syntactic elegance:

$$data \ a :+: b = L \ a \mid R \ b$$

Modeling a Product

To model (nested) fields:

$$data(,) a b = (,) a b$$

Modeling a Product

To model (nested) fields:

$$data(,) a b = (,) a b$$

For syntactic elegance:

data
$$a : \times : b = a : \times : b$$

A constructor without fields:

data U = U

A constructor without fields:

data U = U

A constructor name:

data C a = C String a

A constructor without fields:

data U = U

A constructor name:

data C a = C String a

A field type:

data K a = K a

A constructor without fields:

$$data U = U$$

A constructor name:

data C a = C String a

A field type:

data K a = K a

There are other features of datatypes, but we will consider only the above as a foundation for looking at the structure.

Modeling an Example

An example datatype:

data
$$E a = E_1 \mid E_2 a (E a)$$
 Int

Modeling an Example

An example datatype:

data
$$E a = E_1 \mid E_2 a (E a)$$
 Int

The corresponding structure representation type:

type
$$Rep_E a = C U :+: C (K a :×: K (E a) :×: K Int)$$

Modeling an Example

An example datatype:

data
$$E a = E_1 \mid E_2 a (E a)$$
 Int

The corresponding structure representation type:

type
$$Rep_E a = C U :+: C (K a :x: K (E a) :x: K Int)$$

Notes:

- \bullet :+: is infixr 5 and :×: is infixr 6.
- Operators nest to the right.

• Generic functions work on the sums-of-products model.

- Generic functions work on the sums-of-products model.
- But first we need to convert between the model and the actual value of the datatype.

- Generic functions work on the sums-of-products model.
- But first we need to convert between the model and the actual value of the datatype.
- We define an isomorphism: two total, dual functions.

- Generic functions work on the sums-of-products model.
- But first we need to convert between the model and the actual value of the datatype.
- We define an isomorphism: two total, dual functions.

- Generic functions work on the sums-of-products model.
- But first we need to convert between the model and the actual value of the datatype.
- We define an isomorphism: two total, dual functions.

- Generic functions work on the sums-of-products model.
- But first we need to convert between the model and the actual value of the datatype.
- We define an isomorphism: two total, dual functions.

Oh, but there's one more thing...

Oh, but there's one more thing...

You may have noticed the representation lacked any information about the constructors (e.g. the names).

Oh, but there's one more thing...

You may have noticed the representation lacked any information about the constructors (e.g. the names).

That's easily repaired with another datatype:

Oh, but there's one more thing...

You may have noticed the representation lacked any information about the constructors (e.g. the names).

That's easily repaired with another datatype:

We modify the representation to store constructor names:

```
\label{eq:type_rep} \begin{split} & \text{type } \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{D} \ \mathsf{p} = \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{U} : + : \mathsf{C} \ (\mathsf{Int} : \times : \mathsf{p}) \\ & \mathsf{from}_\mathsf{D}' \ \mathsf{Alt}_1 \qquad = \mathsf{L} \ (\mathsf{C} \ "\mathtt{Alt}1" \ \mathsf{U}) \\ & \mathsf{from}_\mathsf{D}' \ (\mathsf{Alt}_2 \ \mathsf{i} \ \mathsf{p}) = \mathsf{R} \ (\mathsf{C} \ "\mathtt{Alt}2" \ (\mathsf{i} : \times : \mathsf{p})) \end{split}
```

Oh, but there's one more thing...

You may have noticed the representation lacked any information about the constructors (e.g. the names).

That's easily repaired with another datatype:

We modify the representation to store constructor names:

```
 \begin{aligned} &\textbf{type} \; \mathsf{Rep}_D \; \textbf{p} = \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{U} \; \text{:+:} \; \mathsf{C} \; (\mathsf{Int} \; \text{:x:} \; \textbf{p}) \\ &\mathsf{from}_D' \; \mathsf{Alt}_1 \qquad = \mathsf{L} \; (\mathsf{C} \; \text{"Alt1"} \; \mathsf{U}) \\ &\mathsf{from}_D' \; (\mathsf{Alt}_2 \; \mathsf{i} \; \mathsf{p}) = \mathsf{R} \; (\mathsf{C} \; \text{"Alt2"} \; (\mathsf{i} \; \text{:x:} \; \mathsf{p})) \end{aligned}
```

We could also put additional metadata (e.g. fixity) into C.

Okay, so we have a structure representation. But what can we do with it?

Okay, so we have a structure representation. But what can we do with it?

Generic functions

• Defined on each possible case of the structure representation

Okay, so we have a structure representation. But what can we do with it?

Generic functions

- Defined on each possible case of the structure representation
- Work for every datatype that has an isomorphism with a structure representation

Okay, so we have a structure representation. But what can we do with it?

Generic functions

- Defined on each possible case of the structure representation
- Work for every datatype that has an isomorphism with a structure representation

Okay, so we have a structure representation. But what can we do with it?

Generic functions

- Defined on each possible case of the structure representation
- Work for every datatype that has an isomorphism with a structure representation

Example: show :: $a \rightarrow String$

We define a show function for each possible structure case.

We define a show function for each possible structure case.

Unit:

```
show_U :: U \to String \\ show_U \ U = ""
```

We define a show function for each possible structure case.

Unit:

$$\mathsf{show}_U :: \mathsf{U} \to \mathsf{String}$$

$$\mathsf{show}_U \ \mathsf{U} = ""$$

Constructor name:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} :: (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \; (\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{nm} \; \mathsf{a}) = \\ \text{"("} + \mathsf{nm} + \text{" "} + \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \; \mathsf{a} + \text{")"} \end{array}$$

We define a show function for each possible structure case.

Unit:

$$\mathsf{show}_U :: U \to \mathsf{String}$$

$$\mathsf{show}_U \ U = \verb"""$$

Constructor name:

$$show_C :: (a \rightarrow String) \rightarrow C \ a \rightarrow String$$

$$show_C \ show_a \ (C \ nm \ a) =$$

$$"(" ++ nm ++ " " ++ show_a \ a ++ ")"$$

Binary product:

We define a show function for each possible structure case.

Unit:

$$\mathsf{show}_U :: \mathsf{U} \to \mathsf{String}$$

$$\mathsf{show}_U \ \mathsf{U} = ""$$

Constructor name:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} :: (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{a} \ (\mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{nm} \ \mathsf{a}) = \\ \text{"(" #+ nm #+ " " #+ show}_\mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{a} \ \text{#+ ")"} \end{array}$$

Binary product:

Binary sum:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_+ :: (\mathsf{a} \to \mathsf{String}) \to (\mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{a} : +: \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_+ \ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{a}} \ _ (\mathsf{L} \ \mathsf{a}) = \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{a}} \ \mathsf{a} \\ \mathsf{show}_+ \ _ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{b}} \ (\mathsf{R} \ \mathsf{b}) = \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{b}} \ \mathsf{b} \end{array}$$

We can define a show function for Rep_D (assuming show_{Int}):

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} &:: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{Rep}_D \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} &\: \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} = \\ &\: \mathsf{show}_+ \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{U} \big) \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\times \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Int} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{p} \big) \big) \end{split}
```

We can define a show function for Rep_D (assuming show_{Int}):

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} &:: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{Rep}_D \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} &\: \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} = \\ &\: \mathsf{show}_+ \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{U} \big) \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \big( \mathsf{show}_\times \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Int} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{p} \big) \big) \end{split}
```

The show function for D is just a hop away:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_D :: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{D} \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_D \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{p} \circ \mathsf{from}_{\scriptscriptstyle{-}} \mathsf{D}' \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} :: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{Rep}_D \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} = \\ \; \mathsf{show}_+ \; \big(\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{U}\big) \; \big(\mathsf{show}_\mathsf{C} \; \big(\mathsf{show}_\times \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{Int} \; \mathsf{show}_\mathsf{p}\big)\big) \end{array}
```

Some observations:

• This is a sort of predictable pattern (or recipe) for defining show functions on structure representations.

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathsf{D}}} :: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathsf{D}} \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathsf{D}}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} = \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{+}} \; (\mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{C}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{U}}) \; (\mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{C}} \; (\mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{X}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Int}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}})) \end{array}
```

Some observations:

- This is a sort of predictable pattern (or recipe) for defining show functions on structure representations.
- The functions are recursive but not in the usual way because the argument types differ.

```
\begin{split} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathsf{D}}} &:: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{Rep}_{\mathsf{D}} \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_{\mathsf{D}}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} &= \\ \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{+}} \; \big( \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{C}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{U}} \big) \, \big( \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{C}} \; \big( \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{\times}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Int}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} \big) \big) \end{split}
```

Some observations:

- This is a sort of predictable pattern (or recipe) for defining show functions on structure representations.
- The functions are recursive but not in the usual way because the argument types differ.
- Each datatype can have a unique structure representation, and we want to support all combinations, generically.

In order to jump into "true" genericity (where the structure is a parameter instead of a pattern), we need several additional things:

In order to jump into "true" genericity (where the structure is a parameter instead of a pattern), we need several additional things:

 Polymorphic recursion – functions with a common scheme that reference each other and allow types to change in the calls

In order to jump into "true" genericity (where the structure is a parameter instead of a pattern), we need several additional things:

 Polymorphic recursion – functions with a common scheme that reference each other and allow types to change in the calls

In order to jump into "true" genericity (where the structure is a parameter instead of a pattern), we need several additional things:

 Polymorphic recursion – functions with a common scheme that reference each other and allow types to change in the calls

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{show}_U :: & \mathsf{U} & \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_C :: ... \Rightarrow \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{a} & \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_+ :: ... \Rightarrow \mathsf{a} : \!\!\! + \!\!\! : \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{String} \\ ... \end{array}
```

• A common encoding for isomorphisms

In order to jump into "true" genericity (where the structure is a parameter instead of a pattern), we need several additional things:

 Polymorphic recursion – functions with a common scheme that reference each other and allow types to change in the calls

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{show}_U :: & \mathsf{U} & \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_C :: ... \Rightarrow \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{a} & \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_+ :: ... \Rightarrow \mathsf{a} : \!\!\! + \!\!\! : \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{String} \\ ... \end{array}
```

• A common encoding for isomorphisms

In order to jump into "true" genericity (where the structure is a parameter instead of a pattern), we need several additional things:

 Polymorphic recursion – functions with a common scheme that reference each other and allow types to change in the calls

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{show}_U :: & \mathsf{U} & \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_C :: ... \Rightarrow \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{a} & \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_+ :: ... \Rightarrow \mathsf{a} : \!\!\! + \!\!\! : \mathsf{b} \to \mathsf{String} \\ ... \end{array}
```

A common encoding for isomorphisms

```
\label{eq:data} \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{data} \ T &= ... & \text{-- User-defined datatype} \\ \textbf{type} \ \mathsf{Rep}_T &= ... & \text{-- Structure representation} \\ \mathsf{from} \ :: T \to \mathsf{Rep}_T \\ \mathsf{to} & :: \mathsf{Rep}_T \to T \end{array}
```

There are several ways to encode polymorphic recursion. We will use type classes.

 Standard classes already use polymorphic recursion for deriving instances: Show, Eq, etc.

- Standard classes already use polymorphic recursion for deriving instances: Show, Eq, etc.
- The class declaration specifies the type signature.

- Standard classes already use polymorphic recursion for deriving instances: Show, Eq, etc.
- The class declaration specifies the type signature.
- Each recursive case is specified by an instance of the class.

- Standard classes already use polymorphic recursion for deriving instances: Show, Eq, etc.
- The class declaration specifies the type signature.
- Each recursive case is specified by an instance of the class.

There are several ways to encode polymorphic recursion. We will use type classes.

- Standard classes already use polymorphic recursion for deriving instances: Show, Eq, etc.
- The class declaration specifies the type signature.
- Each recursive case is specified by an instance of the class.

A simplified definition of the Show class:

class Show a where

show :: $a \rightarrow String$

The instances for each structure representation case:

The instances for each structure representation case:

Unit:

instance Show U where $show = show_U$

The instances for each structure representation case:

Unit:

instance Show U where $show = show_U$

Constructor name:

instance Show a \Rightarrow Show (C a) where show = show_C show

The instances for each structure representation case:

Unit:

Constructor name:

instance Show U where show = show_U

instance Show $a \Rightarrow Show (C a)$ where $show = show_C show$

Binary product:

instance (Show a, Show b) \Rightarrow Show (a :×: b) **where** show = show_× show show

The instances for each structure representation case:

Unit:

Constructor name:

instance Show U where $show = show_U$

 $\label{eq:show} \begin{array}{l} \text{instance Show a} \Rightarrow \text{Show (C a) where} \\ \text{show} = \text{show}_{\text{C}} \text{ show} \end{array}$

Binary product:

instance (Show a, Show b)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Show (a :×: b) **where** show = show_× show show

Binary sum:

instance (Show a, Show b)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Show (a :+: b) where show = show₊ show show

```
Now, recall \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D}: \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} :: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{Rep}_D \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} = \mathsf{show}_+ \; (\mathsf{show}_C \; \mathsf{show}_U) \; (\mathsf{show}_C \; (\mathsf{show}_X \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Int}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}}))
```

```
Now, recall \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D}: \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D}: (\mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String}) \to \mathsf{Rep}_D \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}} = \mathsf{show}_+ \; (\mathsf{show}_C \; \mathsf{show}_U) \; (\mathsf{show}_C \; (\mathsf{show}_\times \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{int}} \; \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{p}}))
```

Compare to the new version that is now possible:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}'_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} :: \mathsf{Show} \; \mathsf{p} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Rep}_D \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}'_{\mathsf{Rep}_D} = \mathsf{show} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{show}_D' :: \mathsf{Show} \ \mathsf{p} \Rightarrow \mathsf{D} \ \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_D' = \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D}' \circ \mathsf{from}_D' \end{array}
```

To define the show function for D, we still need to define another function:

```
\begin{aligned} \mathsf{show}_D' &:: \mathsf{Show} \; \mathsf{p} \Rightarrow \mathsf{D} \; \mathsf{p} \to \mathsf{String} \\ \mathsf{show}_D' &= \mathsf{show}_{\mathsf{Rep}_D}' \circ \mathsf{from}_{-} \mathsf{D}' \end{aligned}
```

Next goal:

• Define one show function that knows how to convert any type T to its structure representation type Rep_T , given an isomorphism between T and Rep_T .

We define a class of function pairs.

• We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.

- We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.
- Each function pair implements an isomorphism between a datatype T and its structure representation Rep_T :

- We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.
- Each function pair implements an isomorphism between a datatype T and its structure representation Rep_T :

- We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.
- Each function pair implements an isomorphism between a datatype T and its structure representation Rep_T :

```
from :: T \to Rep_T
```

- We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.
- Each function pair implements an isomorphism between a datatype T and its structure representation Rep_T :

$$\mathsf{from} :: \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{T}$$

to ::
$$Rep_T \rightarrow T$$

We define a class of function pairs.

- We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.
- Each function pair implements an isomorphism between a datatype T and its structure representation Rep_T :

$$\mathsf{from} :: \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{T} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{to} :: \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$$

 Each requires two types, so each instance must have two types (unlike the Show instances which needed only the structure representation type).

We define a class of function pairs.

- We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.
- Each function pair implements an isomorphism between a datatype T and its structure representation Rep_T :

$$\mathsf{from} :: \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{T} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{to} :: \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$$

- Each requires two types, so each instance must have two types (unlike the Show instances which needed only the structure representation type).
- Rep_T is precisely determined by T, so really we only need one unique type and a second type derivable from the first.

We define a class of function pairs.

- We again use a type class, but with the addition of a type family.
- Each function pair implements an isomorphism between a datatype T and its structure representation Rep_T :

$$\mathsf{from} :: \mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{T} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{to} :: \mathsf{Rep}_\mathsf{T} \to \mathsf{T}$$

- Each requires two types, so each instance must have two types (unlike the Show instances which needed only the structure representation type).
- Rep_T is precisely determined by T, so really we only need one unique type and a second type derivable from the first.
- In this case, a (1) multiparameter type class with a functional dependency and a (2) type class with a type family are equally expressive. (It's a matter of taste, really.)

The type class:

class Generic a where

type Rep a

 $from:: a \to Rep \ a$

to $:: Rep a \rightarrow a$

The type class:

class Generic a where

type Rep a

from :: $a \rightarrow Rep a$ to :: $Rep a \rightarrow a$

Rep is a type family or, more precisely, an associated type synonym.

The type class:

```
class Generic a where
```

```
type Rep a
```

```
from :: a \rightarrow Rep a to :: Rep a \rightarrow a
```

- Rep is a type family or, more precisely, an associated type synonym.
- Think of Rep as a function on types. Given a unique type (index)
 T, you get a type (synonym) Rep T.

The type class:

class Generic a where

```
type Rep a
```

```
from :: a \rightarrow Rep a to :: Rep a \rightarrow a
```

- Rep is a type family or, more precisely, an associated type synonym.
- Think of Rep as a function on types. Given a unique type (index)
 T, you get a type (synonym) Rep T.
- Note that Rep T need not be different from Rep U even though T and U are different.

The type class:

class Generic a where

type Rep a

```
from :: a \rightarrow Rep a to :: Rep a \rightarrow a
```

- Rep is a type family or, more precisely, an associated type synonym.
- Think of Rep as a function on types. Given a unique type (index)
 T, you get a type (synonym) Rep T.
- Note that Rep T need not be different from Rep U even though T and U are different.
- Concretely: two datatypes may have the same representation.

We need Generic instances for every datatype that we want to use with generic functions.

We need Generic instances for every datatype that we want to use with generic functions.

The instance for D uses definitions that we've already seen:

```
instance Generic (D p) where

type Rep (D p) = Rep<sub>D</sub> p

from = from_D'

to = to_D'
```

We need Generic instances for every datatype that we want to use with generic functions.

The instance for D uses definitions that we've already seen:

```
instance Generic (D p) where

type Rep (D p) = Rep<sub>D</sub> p

from = from_D'

to = to_D'
```

• Other instances are defined similarly.

We need Generic instances for every datatype that we want to use with generic functions.

The instance for D uses definitions that we've already seen:

```
instance Generic (D p) where type Rep (D p) = Rep<sub>D</sub> p from = from_D' to = to_D'
```

- Other instances are defined similarly.
- In fact, Rep T, from, and to are precisely determined by the definition of T, so these instances can be automatically generated (e.g. using Template Haskell or a preprocessor).

The Generic show Function

Finally:

```
gshow :: (Show (Rep a), Generic a) \Rightarrow a \rightarrow String gshow = show \circ from
```

• Datatype-generic programming:

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - ▶ Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - ▶ Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - ▶ Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
 - Instant Generics presented here

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - ▶ Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
 - Instant Generics presented here
 - Generic Deriving GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - ► Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
 - Instant Generics presented here
 - Generic Deriving GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
 - ► EMGM maintained by me

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
 - Instant Generics presented here
 - Generic Deriving GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
 - ▶ EMGM maintained by me
 - Regular folds, etc.

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
 - Instant Generics presented here
 - Generic Deriving GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
 - ▶ EMGM maintained by me
 - Regular folds, etc.
 - Multirec mutually recursive datatypes, folds, etc.

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - ▶ Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
 - ▶ Instant Generics presented here
 - Generic Deriving GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
 - ▶ EMGM maintained by me
 - Regular folds, etc.
 - ▶ Multirec mutually recursive datatypes, folds, etc.
 - ► Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) GHC, traversals, queries

- Datatype-generic programming:
 - Datatype is the parameter
 - Instantiation gives you a large class of generic functions
- Many generic functions:
 - Pretty-printing (show) and parsing (read)
 - Compression, serialization, and the reverse
 - Comparison, equality
 - ► Folds (catamorphisms), unfolds (anamorphisms), maps, zips, zippers
 - Traversals, updates, queries
- Many different libraries:
 - Instant Generics presented here
 - Generic Deriving GHC ≥ 7.2, similar to Instant Generics
 - ▶ EMGM maintained by me
 - Regular folds, etc.
 - Multirec mutually recursive datatypes, folds, etc.
 - Scrap Your Boilerplate (SYB) GHC, traversals, queries
 - **.**..

References

Generic Programming in Haskell:

- Johan Jeuring, Sean Leather, José Pedro Magalhães, Alexey Rodriguez Yakushev. Libraries for Generic Programming in Haskell. AFP 2008. pp. 165-229, 2009.
- Generic Deriving: http://www.haskell.org/haskellwiki/GHC.Generics