

Splash StablePool protocol: Formal Spec

June 3, 2024

1 Model

Let n denote a number of distinct tradable tokens $n, n \in N \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Let NFT denote a unique non-fungible identifier of the pool.

Let $\mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{k=1}^n$ denote a set of distinct tokens tradable (including native currency token).

Let $P_{cp} = P^{a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n}$ denote a unique pool comprised of assets \mathbf{a} .

Let P_i denote an i 'th state of a unique liquidity pool P .

Let l denote an asset representing the liquidity provider's share of the P .

Let $d^{a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n}$ denote an integer multiplier for tokens. Multipliers are calculated as the ratio of the maximum value of decimals from set \mathbf{a} to the decimals of the stablecoin $a_k \in \mathbf{a}$.

Let $M^{a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n}$ denote an integer amount of any asset from \mathbf{a} .

Let $T^{a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n}$ denote an integer amount of treasury any asset from \mathbf{a} .

Let Y^l denote supply of the liquidity token l .

Let $C = P^{num} \otimes L^{num} \otimes F^{den}$ denote pool fee configuration, where P^{num} - integer value of the protocol fee numerator, L^{num} - integer value of the liquidity provider fee numerator, F^{den} - integer value of pool fees denominator.

Let Q denote staking credential of a pool.

Let A denote an integer value of the amplification coefficient in the StableSwap invariant multiplied by n^{2n} .

Let Z denote script credential of treasury script address.

Let D denote StablePool-proxy DAO script witness.

Let V denote Splash DAO voting script witness.

Let $LP_{edit} = 0 \oplus 1$ denote ability to change P^{num} in DAO-actions.

Let $A_{edit} = 0 \oplus 1$ denote ability to change A in DAO-actions.

Let α denote a polynomial coefficient for the StableSwap invariant I . (This value can be calculated from the pool's state parameters, but since we make calculations off-chain we store it as a separate pool's parameter, which can be invalid and thus affects the state). Let β denote a polynomial coefficient for the StableSwap invariant I . (This value can be calculated from the pool's state parameters, but since we make calculations off-chain we store it as a separate pool's parameter, which can be invalid and thus affects the state).

Using the notations above a unique StablePool can be defined as:

$$P = M_{cp} \otimes T_{cp} \otimes d_{cp} \otimes Y^l \otimes C \otimes A \otimes Z \otimes D \otimes \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes LP_{edit} \otimes A_{edit}$$

where $M_{cp} = M^{a_1} \otimes M^{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes M^{a_n}$, $T_{cp} = T^{a_1} \otimes T^{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes T^{a_n}$, $d_{cp} = d^{a_1} \otimes d^{a_2} \otimes \dots \otimes d^{a_n}$.

Lets now define sum of possible state transitions allowed to be applied to P . We will highlight 2 main categories:

- AMM-actions $A = D \otimes R \otimes S$, where:

- $D = M_{cp} \otimes Y^l$ - deposit liquidity action;
- $R = Y^l \otimes M_{cp}$ - redeem liquidity action;
- $S = M^{a_i \oplus a_j} \otimes M^{a_{i_1} \oplus a_{j_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes M^{a_{i_m} \oplus a_{j_m}}, i_k \neq j_k \wedge m = C_n^2$ - swap action a_{i_k} to a_{j_k} and vice versa.
- DAO-actions $U \equiv \{U_{ulf}, U_{upf}, U_{uta}, U_{usc}, U_{uac}, U_{wt}\}$, where:
 - Update liquidity provider fee $U_{ulf} = t \otimes \sigma_D \otimes \sigma_V$, where t is a new parameter integer value and $\sigma_D = \mathbb{1}$, $\sigma_V = \mathbb{1}$ stand for validation results of D and V DAO-scripts respectively;
 - Update protocol fee $U_{upf} = t \otimes \sigma_D \otimes \sigma_V$;
 - Update treasury address $U_{uta} = z \otimes \sigma_D \otimes \sigma_V$ to new address z ;
 - Update staking credential of the pool $U_{usc} = q \otimes \sigma_D \otimes \sigma_V$ to new credential q ;
 - Update amplification coefficient of the pool $U_{uac} = a \otimes \sigma_D \otimes \sigma_V$ to new value a ;
 - Withdrawal treasury and set a new value vector of treasury balances \vec{t}_u : $U_{wt} = \vec{t}_u \otimes \sigma_D \otimes \sigma_V$.

As a result, a complete set of state transitions that can be applied to the pool P is $\Omega = A \otimes U \otimes K$ and the state transition function can be defined as follows:

$$\boxed{\text{apply} : P_i \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow P_{i'} \oplus \mathbb{1}}$$

2 Security Assumptions

General preliminary assumptions are:

- **Pool creation.** The correctness of the creation of the pool is validated only off-chain, since adding on-chain validations will permanently affect the pool contract by increasing execution units (exUnits) and memory usage (exMemory), which is undesirable. All users can independently verify the pool's creation accuracy due to the open-source nature of contracts and off-chain code.
- **Minting policy.** Minting policies validators for NFT and l tokens are [already implemented](#) and are out of the scope of the this protocol.
- **Orders.** There is no restriction on the types of orders the pool can execute, this is protocol feature.

2.1 Notations

It's useful to introduce some commonly used notations. First of all, we will denote all sets of length n in form of vectors, i.e. $P_i \cdot \vec{d}$ is a vector of assets set \mathbf{a} multiplier values and etc. Also, we will use Δ notations to represent difference between integer values of the same type, i.e. $\Delta Y^l = P_{i'} \cdot Y^l - P_i \cdot Y^l$ and etc.

- $\vec{M}_{i'}^{tr} = P_{i'} \cdot \vec{M} - P_i \cdot \vec{T}$ - tradable balances (without protocol fees since we accumulate is inside the pool);
- $check_invariant(A, n, W, \vec{M}) \rightarrow 0|1$ - function to check the validity of the StableSwap invariant for the pool state transition;

Values I_n and I_{nl} aren't stored on-chain, they only are passed as a parameters to the D or R action to confirm that all balances and fees for the corresponding state transition were obtained in accordance with the invariant calculation procedure.

There is also a pre-defined value E representing total emission of Y^l tokens that is the same for pools with any number of tradable assets n .

Let us also introduce so-called "config set of parameters":

$$P_i.conf = \{P_i.n, P_i.NFT, P_i.d_{cp}, P_i.a, P_i.l, P_i.LP_{edit}, P_i.A_{edit}, P_i.D\}.$$

And "set of adjustable parameters":

$$P_i.adj = \{P_i.L^{num}, P_i.P^{num}, P_i.A, P_i.Z, P_i.Q\}.$$

2.2 Invariant validation

To validate off-chain calculated values of the invariant we are using special function than checks the pool state transition validity $check_invariant(A, n, W, \vec{M})$. Since the values of the invariant I cannot be calculated in integers, after rounding the invariant, exact equality in the StableSwap equality

$$A/n \sum \vec{M} + I = IA/n + I^{n-1}/n^n / \prod \vec{M}$$

is not achieved. Let's introduce a solution witness W . In fact, it is a number provided by client belonging to the interval $[I, I']$ where I is the old invariant value, I' is the new one. The idea is that given the witness, it is easy to check that the interval $[I, I']$ is not empty, and this would mean $I' \geq I$ for deposit and redeem operations and $I' \leq I$ for redeem operations. Let α, β be the polynomial coefficients for I .

Using notations from the pool config $\alpha = (A - n) \prod \vec{M}$ and $\beta = A \prod \vec{M} \sum \vec{M}$. If α, β values are corresponding to the old state and α', β' are corresponding to the new state, then validations are:

- If $\alpha' \geq \alpha$:
 1. $W^{n+1} + \alpha W - \beta \geq 0$,
 2. $W^{n+1} + \alpha' W - \beta' \leq 0$,
- Else:
 1. $W^{n+1} + \alpha W - \beta \leq 0$,
 2. $W^{n+1} + \alpha' W - \beta' \geq 0$.

2.3 Deposit and Redeem

To validate the correctness of the applied deposit/redeem state transition we must firstly perform the following steps:

1. Extract $W \leftarrow D \oplus R$;
2. Calculate $\alpha = (P_i.A - P_i.n) \prod \vec{M}_i^{tr}$;
3. Calculate $\beta = P_i.A \prod \vec{M}_i^{tr} \sum \vec{M}_i^{tr}$;
4. Calculate $\Delta Y^l = \min(\vec{M}_i^{tr} / M_i^{tr} * (\vec{1} - Y^l))$.

In deposit/redeem actions we assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow D \oplus R \rightarrow P_{i'} \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{i'}.conf &= P_i.conf \\
P_{i'}.adj &= P_i.adj \\
P_{i'}. \alpha &= \alpha \\
P_{i'}. \beta &= \beta \\
P_{i'}. \vec{T} &= P_i. \vec{T} + \Delta \vec{T} \\
\Delta Y^l &= -(P_{i'}.Y^l - P_i.Y^l) \\
check_invariant(P_i.A, P_i.n, W, \vec{M}_{i'}^{tr}) &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

2.4 Swap

To validate the correctness of the applied deposit/redeem state transition we must firstly perform the following steps:

1. Extract $(W, b, q) \leftarrow S$, where b and q is base and quote asset indexes respectively;
2. Calculate $f_num_rev = (P_i.F^{den} - P_i.L^{num} - P_i.P^{num})$;
3. Calculate $\alpha = (P_i.A - P_i.n) \prod \vec{M}_{i'}^{tr}$;
4. Calculate $\beta = P_i.A \prod \vec{M}_{i'}^{tr} \sum \vec{M}_{i'}^{tr}$.

We assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow S \rightarrow P_{i'} \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{i'}.conf &= P_i.conf \\
P_{i'}.adj &= P_i.adj \\
P_{i'}. \alpha &= \alpha \\
P_{i'}. \beta &= \beta \\
\Delta T^q \cdot f_num_rev &\geq -\Delta M^q \cdot P_i.P^{num} \\
\Delta M_{tr}^q \cdot f_num_rev &\geq \Delta M^q \cdot (P_i.F^{den} - P_i.L^{num}) \\
\sum_{k=1, k \neq q}^{k=n} \Delta T^k &= 0 \\
\sum_{k=1, k \neq b \wedge k \neq q}^{k=n} \Delta M_{tr}^k &= 0 \\
\Delta M^b &= \Delta M_{tr}^b \\
\Delta M^q &= \Delta M_{tr}^q + \Delta T^q \\
check_invariant(P_i.A, P_i.n, W, \vec{M}_{i'}^{tr}) &= 1
\end{aligned}$$

2.5 DAO Actions

All DAO-actions is validated by the StablePool-proxy DAO script. We assume that since main pool contract is involved, proxy DAO script checks only validity of mutable pool datum fields $\{P^{num}, L^{num}, Z, Q, A, \vec{T}\}$ updates.

2.5.1 Update liquidity provider fee

We assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow U_{ulf} \rightarrow P_{i'} \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
P_i.LP_{edit} & = & 1 \\
P_{i'}.I & = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.A & = & P_i.A \\
P_{i'}.Q & = & P_i.Q \\
P_{i'}.T & = & P_i.T \\
P_{i'}.M & = & P_i.M \\
P_{i'}.Y^l & = & P_i.Y^l \\
P_{i'}.I & = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.L^{num} & = & U_{ulf}.t \\
P_{i'}.P^{num} & = & P_i.P^{num} \\
P_{i'}.Z & = & P_i.Z \\
P_{i'}.D & = & P_i.D \\
P_{i'}.α & = & P_i.α \\
P_{i'}.β & = & P_i.β
\end{array}$$

2.5.2 Update protocol fee

We assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow U_{upf} \rightarrow P_{i'} \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
P_{i'}.I & = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.A & = & P_i.A \\
P_{i'}.Q & = & P_i.Q \\
P_{i'}.T & = & P_i.T \\
P_{i'}.M & = & P_i.M \\
P_{i'}.Y^l & = & P_i.Y^l \\
P_{i'}.I & = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.L^{num} & = & P_i.L^{num} \\
P_{i'}.P^{num} & = & U_{upf}.t \\
P_{i'}.Z & = & P_i.Z \\
P_{i'}.α & = & P_i.α \\
P_{i'}.β & = & P_i.β
\end{array}$$

2.5.3 Update treasury address

We assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow U_{uta} \rightarrow P_{i'} \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
P_{i'}.I & = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.A & = & P_i.A \\
P_{i'}.Q & = & P_i.Q \\
P_{i'}.T & = & P_i.T \\
P_{i'}.M & = & P_i.M \\
P_{i'}.Y^l & = & P_i.Y^l \\
P_{i'}.I & = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.L^{num} & = & P_i.L^{num} \\
P_{i'}.P^{num} & = & P_i.P^{num} \\
P_{i'}.Z & = & U_{uta}.z \\
P_{i'}.α & = & P_i.α \\
P_{i'}.β & = & P_i.β
\end{array}$$

2.5.4 Update stake credential

We assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow U_{usc} \rightarrow Pi' \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
P_{i'}.I = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.A = & P_i.A \\
P_{i'}.Q = & U_{usc}.q \\
P_{i'}.T = & P_i.T \\
P_{i'}.M = & P_i.M \\
P_{i'}.Y^l = & P_i.Y^l \\
P_{i'}.I = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.L^{num} = & P_i.L^{num} \\
P_{i'}.P^{num} = & P_i.P^{num} \\
P_{i'}.Z = & P_i.Z \\
P_{i'}.α = & P_i.α \\
P_{i'}.β = & P_i.β
\end{array}$$

2.5.5 Update amplification coefficient

We assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow U_{uac} \rightarrow Pi' \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
P_i.A_{edit} = & 1 \\
P_{i'}.I = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.A = & U_{uac}.a \\
P_{i'}.Q = & P_i.Q \\
P_{i'}.T = & P_i.T \\
P_{i'}.M = & P_i.M \\
P_{i'}.Y^l = & P_i.Y^l \\
P_{i'}.I = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.L^{num} = & P_i.L^{num} \\
P_{i'}.P^{num} = & P_i.P^{num} \\
P_{i'}.Z = & P_i.Z \\
P_{i'}.α = & P_i.α \\
P_{i'}.β = & P_i.β
\end{array}$$

2.5.6 Withdrawal treasury

We assume that $apply : P_i \rightarrow U_{wt} \rightarrow Pi' \oplus \mathbb{1}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
P_{i'}.I = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.A = & P_i.A \\
P_{i'}.Q = & P_i.Q \\
P_{i'}.T = & U_{wt}.t_u \\
P_{i'}.M = & P_i.M - P_i.T + U_{wt}.t_u \\
P_{i'}.Y^l = & P_i.Y^l \\
P_{i'}.I = & P_i.I \\
P_{i'}.L^{num} = & P_i.L^{num} \\
P_{i'}.P^{num} = & P_i.P^{num} \\
P_{i'}.Z = & P_i.Z \\
P_{i'}.α = & P_i.α \\
P_{i'}.β = & P_i.β
\end{array}$$