

# The Universe Isn't Expanding — It's Relaxing

Sam Blouin

This paper tells a simple story in simple words: the Universe is not racing outward in a panic; it is settling down. We call that settling “relaxation” because things that can thin out do thin out, things that can smooth out do smooth out, and what cannot fade becomes the quiet background that remains. We choose this word on purpose, because “relaxation” already means something clear in everyday life: a hot room cools, a plucked string stops vibrating, a shaken snow globe clears. The cosmos behaves the same way on a grand scale, and seeing it that way helps everyone—specialist and newcomer alike—understand not just what happens, but why it happens. In this view, the Universe is a resonant field that remembers how it was stirred and then forgets that stirring, little by little. We call that field the universal wave, and we call its stored tension the background potential. These names matter only because they let us speak plainly: energy that is bunched wants to spread, sharp features want to blur, and mismatched phases want to line up. Light reddens because its wavefronts cross a background that is smoothing, so each crest gives back a sliver of its sharpness to the field it travels through. Distant structures look dimmer and softer because the medium between us and them is losing contrast, the way fog loses its grain as it settles. Clocks and processes appear to slow because every place in the universe carries its own measure of resonance potential — the hidden tension of the universal wave that sets how fast clocks tick, how light bends, and how matter moves. In the early cosmos, those measures were not equal; some regions held more of that resonance energy than others. The imbalance between them was what we now see as motion, as flow, as the slow reddening of light on its way across space. It was not an explosion pushing things apart but the field itself working to balance its own potential — differences in potential driving the exchange until every region could share the same calm phase.

In other words, it means less drive, which means gentler change. None of this needs the language of curvature or stretching, in SRT they are obsolete languages; the universe needs only the rule that differences decay when nothing stops them—because waves share their motion, because gradients flatten, because tension pays off its debt to calm. We write “relaxation” instead of “expansion” because the word carries the right cause-and-effect. Expansion sounds like a push; relaxation sounds like a letting go. The Universe does not need a continuous shove to keep moving; it only needs permission to drain its imbalances. That permission is built in: where energy can flow, it will; where phases can align, they do; where jagged patterns can round off, they will round off—because the field seeks uniform phase, because exchanges are easier than stalemates, because nothing in the rules forbids smoothing but many processes encourage it. In quiet language: the background is coming to rest. The method of the paper follows the story. We take the usual cosmic quantities—how bright something looks, how stretched its light is, how far it seems—and we retell them in relaxation terms so a non-expert can read them like a travelogue. Instead of treating change as a sprint in time, we count it in “folds,” which you can picture as clicks on a simple, logarithmic counter that turns whenever the background loses another slice of contrast. That counter climbs steadily because the field keeps settling; it is our calm, one-direction clock. With it, every observable becomes a question of how much smoothing has happened between “then” and “now.” Redder light means more smoothing crossed. Fainter surfaces mean more sharing of energy with the medium. Apparent

slowing means the engine that drives change has less gradient to burn—because the fuel is the difference itself, and **that fuel is running down**. This perspective matters to tell us why the sky background so even, it’s because anything uneven had time to even out. Why do we see a common, simple pattern across wildly different objects? Because once the medium dictates the rate of settling, many details of the sources do not matter; the same drain sets the tempo. Why do some signals deviate slightly from the neatest fits? Because local pockets can hold onto their tension longer, and because refraction through a changing medium can bend paths and trim energies in small, environment-dependent ways. These “because” statements are not decorations; they are the heart of the argument. We show, step by step, how the same relaxation rule explains the broad strokes and highlights the places where precise, testable differences should appear. We also point to the handles that engineers and observers can grip. If relaxation is the driver, then truly time-based tests—how a known signal drifts year to year—become decisive, because a draining background leaves a slow, cumulative fingerprint. If refraction in a settling medium redirects light, then the bending should whisper about the medium’s index rather than about an invisible mass map, and that whisper should change with frequency in specific, checkable ways. If energy is shared into the field, then the dimming of surface brightness with distance should tilt just a little from the textbook power, and that tilt should depend on the path through busier or quieter regions—because sharing depends on who your neighbors are, because gentle media talk to passing waves, because the last part of any decay is always the slowest. The contribution is organizational: we replace the idea of a driven expansion with a one-direction relaxation clock and show that many standard fits fall out as the natural scaling of a smoothing background. Where the numbers match, the reason becomes clearer; where they do not, the mismatches become predictions instead of puzzles. The contribution is a story that can be held in the mind without formulas: the Universe is settling, steadily, gracefully, and everything we see is a trace of that settling. In short: the Universe is relaxing. It looks that way because things that can spread do spread. It feels that way because the background keeps giving back its tension. It measures that way because our yardsticks—color, brightness, timing—are all tied to how much smoothing lies between us and the events we watch. Tell the story in those terms, and both the lay reader and the specialist can follow the same thread from first picture to final test.

## Introduction

The story line is this: there is a background field that holds “how stirred” the universe is. We track that stirring with a single quantity, the *resonance potential*. Where it differs from place to place, things happen: light shifts, paths bend, clocks disagree, matter drifts. Where it evens out, things calm. The whole paper is one sentence told slowly: **differences drive change, and change erases differences.**

### Definition: Compact glossary

- $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ : resonance potential; the stored tension of the universal wave at place  $\mathbf{x}$ , time  $t$ .
- $\sigma(\Phi)$ : clock factor; converts reference seconds  $dt$  to local ticks  $d\tau$ .
- $n(\Phi, \nu)$ : optical index of the background; sets refraction and phase speed at frequency  $\nu$ .
- $\eta(\Phi, \nu)$ : share rate per unit path; how fast small fractional changes accumulate.
- $\mathcal{F}$ : fold count; the integral of  $\eta$  along the path;  $\mathcal{F} = \int \eta ds$ .
- $z$ : color stretch (redshift);  $1 + z = e^{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- $\lambda, D$ : letting-go and smoothing strengths in the background relaxation law.
- $\kappa$ : mobility for drift in tension slopes  $\nabla\Phi$ .

### Definition: Symbols introduced with plain words

#### 1. Resonance potential.

$$\underbrace{\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)}_{\text{resonance potential at place } \mathbf{x} \text{ and time } t} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Plain words:* a single number at each place and time that tells how much “tension” the universal wave is holding there. Higher means “more stirred,” lower means “closer to calm.”

#### 2. Clock factor.

$$\underbrace{d\tau}_{\text{local clock tick}} = \underbrace{\sigma(\Phi)}_{\text{clock factor from the background}} \underbrace{dt}_{\text{our coordinate seconds}}.$$

*Plain words:* the background sets the pace of local processes. Where  $\Phi$  is higher, the factor  $\sigma(\Phi)$  can make clocks appear to tick differently relative to a chosen reference pace  $dt$ .

### 3. Optical index

$$\underbrace{n(\Phi, \nu)}_{\text{index of refraction of the background}} := \frac{\underbrace{c}_{\text{reference speed}}}{\underbrace{v_{\text{phase}}(\Phi, \nu)}_{\text{phase speed at frequency } \nu}}.$$

*Plain words:* the medium’s “optical thickness.” If  $n$  changes from place to place, light rays bend like they do in glass with a changing density.

### 4. Fold counter (the relaxation clock).

$$\underbrace{d\mathcal{F}}_{\text{one more tiny fold}} = \underbrace{\eta(\Phi, \nu)}_{\text{share rate per unit path}} \underbrace{ds}_{\text{distance the wave travels}}.$$

*Plain words:* every bit of path through a still-settling background adds a tiny, fixed-fraction sharing of sharpness. Sum all those tiny shares and you get the total number of *folds*  $\mathcal{F}$  the wave has crossed.

### 5. Gradient (the driver).

$$\underbrace{\nabla\Phi}_{\text{how } \Phi \text{ changes across space}}.$$

*Plain words:* the slope of the tension landscape. Where it is nonzero, things drift from steeper to flatter, because that is how differences pay down their debt.

## Law: Relaxation of the background (smoothing and letting go)

We postulate that the background tends to even itself out in two ways: (i) it *lets go* of excess tension locally, and (ii) it *smooths* differences by sharing with neighbors.

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}}_{\text{how the stored tension changes with time}} = - \underbrace{\lambda}_{\text{local letting-go rate}} \underbrace{(\Phi - \Phi_\infty)}_{\text{excess above the calm level}} + \underbrace{D}_{\text{strength of spatial smoothing}} \underbrace{\nabla^2\Phi}_{\text{how uneven the field is}}.$$

*Plain words:* what is too high drops, what is too lumpy smooths. The symbols  $\lambda$  and  $D$  are just knobs telling how fast those two tendencies proceed.  $\Phi_\infty$  is the “calm baseline” the field is approaching.

### Law: Optical sharing along a path (cumulative redshift)

Small, repeated sharings of sharpness compound into a single exponential law along any light path.

$$\underbrace{\frac{d \ln \nu}{ds}}_{\text{fractional change of color per meter}} = - \underbrace{\eta(\Phi, \nu)}_{\text{share rate set by the medium}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{1+z}_{\text{how much redder it arrives}} = \exp\left(\underbrace{\int_{\text{source}}^{\text{observer}} \eta(\Phi, \nu) ds}_{\text{total folds } \mathcal{F}}\right).$$

*Plain words:* “a little bit, many times” becomes “a lot”: the color stretch is the exponential of how much relaxing the light traveled through.

### Law: Drift under tension slopes (motion in gentle words)

When the background is sloped, things drift downhill in that background, and light bends where the optical index changes.

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{a}}_{\text{change of motion}} = - \underbrace{\kappa}_{\text{mobility tension}} \underbrace{\nabla \Phi}_{\text{slope}} - \underbrace{\nabla \ln n}_{\text{optical slope (refraction)}}.$$

*Plain words:* objects feel the landscape; rays feel the glass. No curvature language is needed: just a slope and a response.

### Theorem: Monotone calming of the background

Let  $\mathcal{V}(t)$  be the spatial variance of the background

$$\mathcal{V}(t) := \left\langle (\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) - \bar{\Phi}(t))^2 \right\rangle, \quad \bar{\Phi}(t) = \langle \Phi \rangle.$$

Then under the relaxation law above,

$$\frac{d\mathcal{V}}{dt} = - \underbrace{2\lambda}_{\text{local letting-go}} \underbrace{\mathcal{V}}_{\text{how uneven it is}} - \underbrace{2D}_{\text{smoothing}} \underbrace{\langle |\nabla \Phi|^2 \rangle}_{\text{how corrugated it is}} \leq 0.$$

*Plain words:* both the excess and the bumpiness go down. The field cannot help but calm.

### Result: Redshift as the count of folds crossed

Because many small fractional shares compound, the observed color stretch is

$$\underbrace{1+z}_{\text{arriving color / emitted color}} = \exp \left( \underbrace{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{number of relaxation folds}} \right), \quad \underbrace{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{folds}} = \int_{\text{path}} \underbrace{\eta(\Phi, \nu)}_{\text{share rate}} \underbrace{ds}_{\text{distance}}.$$

*Plain words:* redder light simply means the wave has traveled through more settling.

### Result: Surface brightness tilt (sharing makes things a touch dimmer)

Each fold not only reddens but also gently trims surface brightness, because a little sharpness is shared with the medium:

$$\underbrace{I_{\text{obs}}}_{\text{what we measure}} \approx \underbrace{I_{\text{emit}}}_{\text{what was sent}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{4\pi d_{\text{geom}}^2}}_{\text{geometry of spreading}} \times \underbrace{\exp(-2\mathcal{F})}_{\text{two powers for energy and rate}}.$$

*Plain words:* distance spreads the beam; relaxation shaves a little from each crest. The net is a slightly steeper dimming than pure geometry.

### Result: Apparent time dilation (processes look slower through a settling field)

The same sharing that stretches color also stretches rhythms. Writing the local tick as  $d\tau = \sigma(\Phi) dt$ ,

$$\underbrace{\frac{d\tau_{\text{obs}}}{d\tau_{\text{emit}}}}_{\text{how much a distant clock looks slowed}} \approx \underbrace{\frac{\sigma(\Phi_{\text{obs}})}{\sigma(\Phi_{\text{emit}})}}_{\text{different local paces}} \times \underbrace{\exp(\mathcal{F})}_{\text{same folds as color}}.$$

*Plain words:* the background sets the beat, and the path adds a stretch. Both effects point in the same direction: gentler rhythm when more relaxing lies between us and the event.

### Result: Bending that whispers its color (refraction through a changing medium)

When the index depends on frequency, the bending angle acquires a slight color dependence:

$$\underbrace{\Delta\theta(\nu)}_{\text{deflection of a ray at color } \nu} \approx \int \underbrace{\nabla_{\perp} \ln n(\Phi, \nu)}_{\text{transverse optical slope}} ds.$$

*Plain words:* unlike an achromatic lens, a gentle medium says a little bit about color. That whisper is a handle for tests.

### Prediction: Signals a relaxation universe must leave

1. **Secular drift you can watch.** A stable spectral line observed over many years should show a tiny, sign-fixed drift if  $\mathcal{F}$  is truly a clock. Because more path means more folds, the drift should scale with the line-of-sight through busier regions.
2. **Gentle chromatic bending.** If  $n(\Phi, \nu)$  varies weakly with  $\nu$ , then deflection through rich environments should change slightly with color. The pattern should follow the environment, not a universal, frequency-blind law.
3. **Surface-brightness tilt.** After controlling for geometry and intrinsic evolution, a small extra dimming  $\propto e^{-2\mathcal{F}}$  should remain. Paths through richer media should tilt more.
4. **Environment matters.** Light that spends more of its path in high-contrast regions (near clusters, filaments) should accrue larger  $\mathcal{F}$  than light that crosses quiet voids, even at similar distances.
5. **Same folds, same factors.** Wherever we measure color stretch and rhythm stretch for the *same* signal, the two should track one another through the shared  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### Engineering: Protocols in simple tools

- **Clock webs.** Compare ultra-stable beat notes between pairs of clocks looking at the same distant beacon across different sky paths. Look for a shared, slow drift proportional to the inferred  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- **Color-split bending.** Use multi-band, high-resolution imaging of rays grazing structured regions. Reconstruct  $\nabla_{\perp} \ln n$  by differencing deflection at nearby colors.
- **Path-aware photometry.** Map surface-brightness versus redshift with an environment weight (time spent in busy regions). Fit the extra  $e^{-2\mathcal{F}}$  factor.
- **Echo tests.** Track repeating signals (e.g., periodically variable sources) along multiple, slightly offset sightlines. The fold difference  $\Delta\mathcal{F}$  should predict both the color and rhythm offsets between images.

### Definition: Dictionary for readers who know the standard fits

The relaxation clock  $\mathcal{F}$  plays the role of a logarithmic “fold” counter:

$$\underbrace{1+z}_{\text{observed color stretch}} = \exp(\underbrace{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{cumulative relaxing}}), \quad \text{so that} \quad \underbrace{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{folds}} = \ln(1+z).$$

*Plain words:* counting folds is the same as reading color stretch. All other observables in this paper are expressed in terms of the very same  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### Result: Uniform gentle drain

If the background is nearly uniform (small  $\nabla\Phi$ ) and the share rate  $\eta$  is almost constant along a path, then

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{folds}} \approx \underbrace{\eta}_{\text{almost constant share rate}} \times \underbrace{L}_{\text{path length}}, \quad 1+z \approx e^{\eta L}.$$

*Plain words:* longer paths cross more relaxation and arrive redder.

### Result: Patchy routes (busier vs. quieter paths)

If the route alternates between busy regions with  $\eta_{\text{rich}}$  and quiet voids with  $\eta_{\text{void}}$ ,

$$\mathcal{F} \approx \eta_{\text{rich}} L_{\text{rich}} + \eta_{\text{void}} L_{\text{void}}, \quad 1+z \approx \exp(\eta_{\text{rich}} L_{\text{rich}} + \eta_{\text{void}} L_{\text{void}}).$$

*Plain words:* the sky is a patchwork of relaxation speeds; color remembers where the light has been.

## Conclusion

**The universe’s fuel is running down.** Not the fuel that burns in stars, but the deeper fuel: *difference*. The difference between here and there, the difference between faster and slower clocks, the difference between sharper and softer patterns. That fuel is what drives motion, bends paths, and stretches colors—because gradients want to flatten, because waves want to share, because tension wants to relax.

As the background pays its debt to calm, three long-term facts follow. **First**, change slows. The same events that once raced now stroll; rhythms stretch because each new moment has less gradient to spend. **Second**, contrast fades. Bright edges and loud crests give back a little more of themselves to the medium with every passage; what remains is glow without glare. **Third**, direction loses its urgency. Motion guided by strong slopes becomes drift across a gentle plain.

Project this far forward and a quiet picture emerges. Structures stop growing not because a whip keeps cracking, but because there is nothing left to pull against. Light softens toward longer and longer hues because there is nothing left to stiffen its crests. Clocks everywhere settle toward a common, unhurried pace because there is nothing left to disagree about. No edge, no pocket, no wake remains to sustain a difference for long. The background becomes its own lullaby: steady, even, and almost perfectly still.

This is not an ending that needs tragedy. It is an ending that makes sense: *what can relax will relax*. The universe does not empty; it evens. It does not run out of time; it runs out of things for time to do. And that is why “relaxation” is the right word. It names both the *how*—because the field smooths and lets go—and the *why*—because differences are the only real fuel and, **fold by fold, that fuel is running down.**