CREDIT CARD FRAUD DETETCTION USING ISOLATION FOREST

SPOORTHY REDDY JARUGU

ABSTRACT. Credit Cards are the most commonly used mode of payment nowadays. The reason is it has multiple features, which make it easy for users to make payments on the spot. One promising approach to credit card fraud detection is using anomaly detection algorithms. Anomaly detection algorithms are used to identify data points that are different from the rest of the data. These data points are often outliers or anomalies, and they may indicate fraudulent activity.

Isolation Forest is an anomaly detection algorithm well-suited for credit card fraud detection. Isolation Forest is an unsupervised algorithm that does not require labelled data to train.

Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Data Preprocessing and Visualization	2
3.	Model built and Prediction	6
3.1.	. Isolation Forest	6
3.2.	. Local Outlier Factor	7
3.3.	. Classification Report	8
3.4.	. Analysis	9
3.5.	. Evaluation Result	9
4.	Conclusions	10

 $Date \hbox{: October 12, 2023.}$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Artificial Intelligence. Key words and phrases. Machine Learning, Data Mining, ...

1. Introduction

Credit card fraud can be defined as any unauthorized use of a credit card, such as using a stolen credit card or making unauthorized purchases with a valid credit card. This dataset is taken from Kaggle.

- The dataset contains transactions made by credit cards in September 2013 by European cardholders.
- Unfortunately, due to confidentiality issues, original features are not given. The features given are the result of the PCA transformation.
- There are a variety of techniques that can be used to detect credit card fraud. One common technique is to use machine learning models to identify patterns in fraudulent transactions.

TABLE	Ι.	Dataset

Dataset name	attributes	
creditcard.csv	'Time', 'V1, 'V2', 'V3', 'V4', 'V5', 'V6', 'V7' 'V8', 'V9', 'V10', 'V11', 'V12', 'V13', 'V14' 'V15', 'V16', 'V17', 'V18', 'V19', 'V20', 'V21' 'V22', 'V23', 'V24', 'V25', 'V26', 'V27', 'V28' 'Amount', 'Class'], dtype='object	

Rows

- This dataset contains 284807 rows of vales.
- The entire dataset has 284315 Valid transactions, and 492 are Fraud transactions.

Columns

- The total number of columns present in the dataset is 31
- Attributes in the dataset are explained below
 - Time Time of the transaction happened,
 - V1 to v28 are the principle component obtained with PCA,
 - Amount transaction amount,
 - Class valid or fraud transaction

2. Data Preprocessing and Visualization

- After the import statements, the initial step is to load the data
- Setting the proper path where the data is available is an important step

data = pd.read_csv('./dataset/creditcard.csv') data.head()

FIGURE 1. Loading the data

- Checking for missing values of each column
- Checking for the data type of each column

Time	0	data	.info()		
V1	0	<clas< td=""><td>ss 'pand</td><td>as.core.frame.Dat</td><td>aFrame'></td></clas<>	ss 'pand	as.core.frame.Dat	aFrame'>
V2	0	Range	eIndex:	284807 entries, 0	to 284806
V3	0	Data	columns	(total 32 column	s):
V4	0	#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
V5	0				
V6	0	0	Time	284807 non-null	float64
V7	0	1	V1	284807 non-null	float64
V8	0	2	V2	284807 non-null	float64
V9	0	3	V3	284807 non-null	float64
V10	0	4	V4	284807 non-null	float64
V11	0	5	V5	284807 non-null	float64
V12	0	6	V6	284807 non-null	float64
V13	0	7	V7	284807 non-null	float64
V14	0	8	V8	284807 non-null	float64
V15	0	9	V9	284807 non-null	float64
V16	0	10	V10	284807 non-null	float64
V17	0	11	V11	284807 non-null	float64
V18	0	12	V12	284807 non-null	float64
V19	0	13	V13	284807 non-null	float64
V20	0	14	V14	284807 non-null	float64
V21	0	15	V15	284807 non-null	float64
V22	0	16	V16	284807 non-null	float64
V23	0	17	V17	284807 non-null	float64
		18	V18	284807 non-null	float64
		19	V19	284807 non-null	float64
IGURE	2. Check-				
g for	missing		Figu	JRE 3. Da	ata
lues	_			of each colum	

• Number of classes with respect to frequency that are Valid transaction and Fraud transaction




FIGURE 4. Class Visualization

3

- From the above diagram, we see that Valid transactions are way more significant than fraud transactions.
- Diving the feature 'Class==0' as a Valid dataset.

```
print("Details of Valid transaction")
Valid.Amount.describe()
```

Details of Valid transaction

```
count
         284315.000000
              88.291022
mean
std
            250.105092
min
               0.000000
25%
               5.650000
50%
              22.000000
75%
              77.050000
max
          25691.160000
```

Name: Amount, dtype: float64

Figure 5. Details of Valid transaction

• Diving the feature 'Class==1' as a Fraud dataset.

```
print("Details of the Fraud transaction")
Fraud.Amount.describe()
```

Details of the Fraud transaction

```
count
          492.000000
mean
          122.211321
          256.683288
std
            0.000000
min
            1.000000
25%
50%
            9.250000
75%
          105.890000
         2125.870000
max
```

Name: Amount, dtype: float64

Figure 6. Details of Fraud transaction

- Trying to visually see how many different transactions are for Fraud and Valid in terms of Amount.
- From the visual representation, we can see Fraud transactions are of a small amount compared to Valid transactions.




Figure 7. Transactions with respect to Amount

 Trying to visually see how many different transactions are for Fraud and Valid in terms of Time.




FIGURE 8. Transactions with respect to Time

- Correlation is a statistical measure used to determine if there is a relationship between two variables and how strongly that is related.
- Correlation coefficients range from -1 to +1.
- A correlation coefficient of -1 indicates a perfect negative correlation, which means that the two variables move in opposite directions.
- A correlation coefficient of +1 indicates a perfect positive correlation, which means that the two variables move in the same direction.
- A correlation coefficient of 0 indicates no correlation, meaning there is no relationship between the two variables.
- To avoid multicollinearity and improve model performance, we will remove the highly correlated variables to reduce the redundancy.




Figure 9. Correlation matrices

3. Model built and Prediction

3.1. Isolation Forest.

- The Isolation Forest algorithm is a powerful tool for anomaly detection. It is fast, efficient, and robust to outliers and noise. It can detect anomalies in various applications, including fraud detection, intrusion detection, medical diagnosis, network monitoring, and financial market analysis.
- The Isolation Forest algorithm is an unsupervised anomaly detection algorithm that isolates anomalies by randomly selecting features and split values. The algorithm builds a forest of isolation trees, where each tree is trained on a random sample of the data. The algorithm then calculates the anomaly score for each data point by measuring how deep it is in the forest.

 Anomalies are typically assigned lower anomaly scores.

- Here, for this task, we are using the Isolation Forest for Credit card fraud detection.
- This is how we create new instances for isolation forest IsolationForest(n_estimators=1000, max_samples="auto", contamination=0.1, random_state=42)
 - n_estimators: This parameter specifies the number of trees to build in the forest. A higher value of n_estimators will result in a more robust model, but it will also take longer to train.
 - max_samples: This parameter specifies the maximum number of samples to be used for training each tree in the forest. An " auto " value means that all the samples will be used to train each tree.
 - contamination: This parameter specifies the proportion of outliers that
 the IsolationForest model is expected to find in the data. A value of
 0.1 means that the model is expected to find 10% of the data points
 to be outliers.
 - random_state: This parameter specifies the random seed to use. Setting the random state to a fixed value will ensure the model produces consistent results each time it is trained.

3.2. Local Outlier Factor.

- Local Outlier Factor (LOF) is an unsupervised anomaly detection algorithm
 that identifies outliers based on their local density. LOF is calculated by
 comparing the local density of a data point to the local densities of its
 neighbours.
- In LOF a data point is considered to be an outlier if it has a significantly lower local density than its neighbors.
- The new instance of Local Outlier Factor is created as follows: LocalOutlierFactor(n_neighbors=50,leaf_size=10, contamination=0.1)
 - n_neighbors: This parameter specifies the number of nearest neighbours to consider when calculating the local density of a data point.
 A higher value of n_neighbors will result in smoother local density estimates.
 - leaf_size: This parameter specifies the maximum number of data points
 that can be stored in a single node of the tree used to calculate the
 nearest neighbours of a data point. A higher value of leaf_size will
 result in faster computation, but it may also lead to less accurate
 results.
 - contamination: This parameter specifies the proportion of outliers the LocalOutlierFactor model is expected to find in the data.
- Both the algorithms gave a reasonable accuracy rate. However, Isolation Forest (iForest) is effective in fetching outliers for large datasets, whereas Local Outlier Factor (LOF) algorithms are computationally expensive for large datasets.
- iForest is generally more interpretable than LOF.
- LOF has more hyperparameters to tune than iForest.

Algorithm	Accuracy
Isolation Forest	0.997156
Local Outlier Factor	0.996524

FIGURE 10. Accuracy Report

3.3. Classification Report.

- Classification report is the performance of an Isolation Forest model on a given dataset.
 - Precision is the fraction of positive predictions that are positive.
 - Recall is the fraction of actual positives that are correctly identified.
 - F1 score is a harmonic mean of precision and recall.
 - Support is the total number of examples in a given class.

support	f1-score	recall	n Report : precision	Classificatio
28432	1.00	1.00	1.00	0
49	0.26	0.27	0.26	1
28481	1.00			accuracy
28481	0.63	0.63	0.63	macro avg
28481	1.00	1.00	1.00	weighted avg

Figure 11. Classification Report

- The model has perfect accuracy on the Valid Transaction (i.e., class 0), with a precision of 1.0 and a recall of 1.0. However, the model performs poorly on the Fraud transaction (class 1), with a precision of 0.26 and a recall of 0.27.
- Overall, the classification report shows that the model has good performance on the majority class that is a Valid transaction, but poor performance on the minority class that is a Fraud transaction. This is a common problem with classification models, which are often trained on datasets with imbalanced class distributions.
- Here are some ways to improve the performance of the model on the minority class:
 - Use a weighted loss function to give more weight to the minority class.
 - Use oversampling or undersampling techniques to balance the class distribution of the training set.
 - Use a different classification algorithm better suited for imbalanced datasets.

3.4. Analysis.

- From the above visual representation of the class feature, we can understand that Valid transactions are more in number than Fraud transactions. When understanding the percentage, it seems 0.17% are fraud transactions in the entire data.
- As it is very low, this data is highly imbalanced data.
- In general, to balance the dataset, we use two methods:
 - Undersampling: This approach involves reducing the number of samples in the majority class by randomly removing samples. This can be done until the majority class has the same number of samples as the minority class.
 - Oversampling: This approach involves increasing the number of samples in the minority class by creating synthetic samples. This can be done using various techniques, such as SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique).

3.5. Evaluation Result.

- Undersampling the dataset is done to get accurate results for iForest and LOF algorithms.
- We can see that the iForest algorithm outperformed the LOF algorithm and obtained an accuracy of 97.5%. Whereas LOF obtained 87.8%.

Algorithm	Accuracy
Isolation Forest	0.9756
Local Outlier Factor	0.878647

FIGURE 12. Accuracy Report

- Outliers obtained are 350
- Overall, the classification report shows that the model performed very well on class 0 data and moderately well on class 1 data. The model has a high accuracy, precision, and recall for class 0 data. The model has a moderate accuracy, precision, and recall for class 1 data.

Isolation Forest: 350 Classification Report:						
precision		recall	f1-score	support		
		•				
	0	0.99	0.99	0.99	15000	
	1	0.64	0.65	0.65	492	
accur	acy			0.98	15492	
macro	avg	0.82	0.82	0.82	15492	
weighted	avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	15492	

Figure 13. Classification report

4. Conclusions

- Flip 01 task I have chosen is to find "Credit Card Fraud Detection using Isolation Forest"
- The Isolation Forest algorithm has a number of advantages over other anomaly detection algorithms :
 - It is robust to outliers and noise.
 - It can be used to detect anomalies in both high-dimensional and lowdimensional data.
 - It is easy to implement and interpret.
- Using Isolation Forest for detecting anomalies gives very good accuracy compared to other algorithms.

(A. 1) School of Computer Science and Engineering,, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, India