

~~Title-~~  
~~CREDIT CARD FRAUD~~ ~~OF THIS PAPER~~ ~~DETETCTION~~ USING  
ISOLATION FOREST

~~AUTHOR-~~ SPOORTHY REDDY JARUGU

ABSTRACT. ~~The abstract will be put here, . . . .~~ Credit Cards are the most commonly used mode of payment nowadays. The reason is it has multiple features, which make it easy for users to make payments on the spot. One promising approach to credit card fraud detection is using anomaly detection algorithms. Anomaly detection algorithms are used to identify data points that are different from the rest of the data. These data points are often outliers or anomalies, and they may indicate fraudulent activity. Isolation Forest is an anomaly detection algorithm well-suited for credit card fraud detection. Isolation Forest is an unsupervised algorithm that does not require labelled data to train.

CONTENTS

1. <del>Introduction</del>	Introduction	2
2. <del>Data</del>	Preprocessing and visualization	3
3. <del>Preliminaries</del>	Model built and Prediction	6
2.1. <del>Isolation Forest</del>		6
2.2. <del>Local Outlier Factor</del>		6
2.3. <del>Classification Report</del>		7
1.4. <del>Method</del>	Analysis	8
0.5. <del>Method</del>	Analysis	8
1. <del>Conclusions</del>		9
References		10
List of Todos		10

---

*Date:* October 12, 2023.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Artificial Intelligence.

*Key words and phrases.* Machine Learning, Credit Card Data, Isolation Forest.

1. ~~INTRODUCTION~~INTRODUCTION

~~At a high level, what is the problem area you are working in and why is it important? It is important to set the larger context here. Why is the problem of interest and importance to the larger community?~~

~~This paragraph narrows down the topic area of the paper~~Credit card fraud can be defined as any unauthorized use of a credit card, such as using a stolen credit card or making unauthorized purchases with a valid credit card. This dataset is taken from Kaggle. ~~In the first paragraph you have established general context and importance. Here you establish specific context and background.~~

~~"In this paper, we show that ...". This is the key paragraph in the intro - you summarize, in one paragraph, what are the main contributions of your paper given the context you have established in paragraphs 1 and 2. What is the general approach taken? Why are the specific results significant? This paragraph must be really good~~The dataset contains transactions made by credit cards in September 2013 by European cardholders. Unfortunately, due to confidentiality issues, original features are not given. The features given are the result of the PCA transformation. There are a variety of techniques that can be used to detect credit card fraud. One common technique is to use machine learning models to identify patterns in fraudulent transactions.

~~You should think about how to structure these one or two paragraph summaries of what your paper is all about. If there are two or three main results, then you might consider itemizing them with bullets or in test.~~

- ~~• e.g., First ...~~
- ~~• e.g., Second ...~~
- ~~• e.g., Third ...~~

~~If the results fall broadly into two categories, you can bring out that distinction here. For example, "Our results are both theoretical and applied in nature. (two sentences follow, one each on theory and application)"~~Dataset is described below

TABLE 1. Dataset

<u>Dataset name</u>	<u>attributes</u>
creditcard.csv	'Time', 'V1', 'V2', 'V3', 'V4', 'V5', 'V6', 'V7', 'V8', 'V9', 'V10', 'V11', 'V12', 'V13', 'V14', 'V15', 'V16', 'V17', 'V18', 'V19', 'V20', 'V21', 'V22', 'V23', 'V24', 'V25', 'V26', 'V27', 'V28', 'Amount', 'Class'

~~Keep this at a high level, you can refer to a future section where specific details and differences will be given. But it is important for the reader to know at a high level, what is new about this work compared to other work in the area.~~ Rows - This dataset contains 284807 rows of values. The entire dataset has 284315 Valid transactions, and 492 are Fraud transactions. Columns - The total number of columns present in the dataset is 31. Attributes in the dataset are explained below. Time - Time of the transaction happened, V1 to v28 - are the principle component obtained with PCA, Amount - transaction amount, Class - valid or fraud transaction

## 2. DATA PREPROCESSING AND VISUALIZATION

~~"The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. ..." Give the reader a roadmap for the rest of the paper. Avoid redundant phrasing, "In Section 2, In section 3, ... In Section 4, ..." etc. After the import statements, the initial step is to load the data. Setting the proper path where the data is available is an important step.~~

```
data.isnull().sum()
Time      0
V1        0
V2        0
V3        0
V4        0
V5        0
V6        0
V7        0
V8        0
V9        0
V10       0
V11       0
V12       0
V13       0
V14       0
V15       0
V16       0
V17       0
V18       0
V19       0
V20       0
V21       0
V22       0
V23       0
```

FIGURE 2. Check-  
ing for missing  
values

```
data.info()
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 284807 entries, 0 to 284806
Data columns (total 32 columns):
#   Column  Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  -
0    Time    284807 non-null   float64
1    V1       284807 non-null   float64
2    V2       284807 non-null   float64
3    V3       284807 non-null   float64
4    V4       284807 non-null   float64
5    V5       284807 non-null   float64
6    V6       284807 non-null   float64
7    V7       284807 non-null   float64
8    V8       284807 non-null   float64
9    V9       284807 non-null   float64
10   V10      284807 non-null   float64
11   V11      284807 non-null   float64
12   V12      284807 non-null   float64
13   V13      284807 non-null   float64
14   V14      284807 non-null   float64
15   V15      284807 non-null   float64
16   V16      284807 non-null   float64
17   V17      284807 non-null   float64
18   V18      284807 non-null   float64
19   V19      284807 non-null   float64
```

FIGURE 3. Data  
type of each column

Number of classes with respect to frequency that are Valid transaction and Fraud transaction. From the above diagram, we see that Valid transactions are way more




FIGURE 4. Class Visualization

significant than fraud transactions.

Test citation [1]. and [2] or Beliaikov et al. [2]. Diving the feature 'Class==0' as a Valid dataset.

```
print("Details of Valid transaction")
Valid.Amount.describe()
```

Details of Valid transaction

count	284315.000000
mean	88.291022
std	250.105092
min	0.000000
25%	5.650000
50%	22.000000
75%	77.050000
max	25691.160000
Name: Amount, dtype: float64	

FIGURE 5. Details of Valid transaction

Diving the feature 'Class==1' as a Fraud dataset.

```
print("Details of the Fraud transaction")
Fraud.Amount.describe()
```

Details of the Fraud transaction

count	492.000000
mean	122.211321
std	256.683288
min	0.000000
25%	1.000000
50%	9.250000
75%	105.890000
max	2125.870000
Name: Amount, dtype: float64	

FIGURE 6. Details of Fraud transaction

This is for , and this is for . Trying to visually see how many different transactions are for Fraud and Valid in terms of Amount. From the visual representation, we can see Fraud transactions are of a small amount compared to Valid transactions.




FIGURE 7. Transactions with respect to Amount

Number: . , , , and Trying to visually see how many different transactions are for Fraud and Valid in terms of Time.




FIGURE 8. Transactions with respect to Time

We have , , the range: .  $1/2$ . Correlation is a statistical measure used to determine if there is a relationship between two variables and how strongly that is related. Correlation coefficients range from -1 to +1. A correlation coefficient of -1 indicates a perfect negative correlation, which means that the two variables move in opposite directions. A correlation coefficient of +1 indicates a perfect positive correlation, which means that the two variables move in the same direction. A correlation coefficient of 0 indicates no correlation, meaning there is no relationship between the two variables. To avoid multicollinearity and improve model performance, we will remove the highly correlated variables to reduce the redundancy.

For , as shown below:

FIGURE 9. Correlation matrices

### 3. PRELIMINARIES MODEL BUILT AND PREDICTION

**2.1. Isolation Forest.** The Isolation Forest algorithm is a powerful tool for anomaly detection. It is fast, efficient, and robust to outliers and noise. It can detect anomalies in various applications, including fraud detection, intrusion detection, medical diagnosis, network monitoring, and financial market analysis. The Isolation Forest algorithm is an unsupervised anomaly detection algorithm that isolates anomalies by randomly selecting features and split values. The algorithm builds a forest of isolation trees, where each tree is trained on a random sample of the data. The algorithm then calculates the anomaly score for each data point by measuring how deep it is in the forest. Anomalies are typically assigned lower anomaly scores. Here, for this task, we are using the Isolation Forest for Credit card fraud detection.

This is how we create new instances for isolation forest

```
IsolationForest(n_estimators=1000, max_samples="auto", contamination=0.1, random_state=42)
```

**n\_estimators:** This parameter specifies the number of trees to build in the forest. A higher value of n\_estimators will result in a more robust model, but it will also take longer to train. **max\_samples:** This parameter specifies the maximum number of samples to be used for training each tree in the forest. An "auto" value means that all the samples will be used to train each tree. **contamination:** This parameter specifies the proportion of outliers that the IsolationForest model is expected to find in the data. A value of 0.1 means that the model is expected to find 10% of the data points to be outliers. **random\_state:** This parameter specifies the random seed to use. Setting the random state to a fixed value will ensure the model produces consistent results each time it is trained.

**2.2. Local Outlier Factor.** Local Outlier Factor (LOF) is an unsupervised anomaly detection algorithm that identifies outliers based on their local density. LOF is calculated by comparing the local density of a data point to the local densities of its neighbours. In LOF a data point is considered to be an outlier if it has a significantly lower local density than its neighbors. The new instance of Local Outlier Factor is created as follows:

```
LocalOutlierFactor(n_neighbors=50, leaf_size=10, contamination=0.1) n_neighbors: This parameter specifies the number of nearest neighbours to consider when calculating the local density of a data point. A higher value of n_neighbors will result in smoother local density estimates. leaf_size: This parameter specifies the maximum
```

number of data points that can be stored in a single node of the tree used to calculate the nearest neighbours of a data point. A higher value of leaf\_size will result in faster computation, but it may also lead to less accurate results. contamination: This parameter specifies the proportion of outliers the LocalOutlierFactor model is expected to find in the data.

Both the algorithms gave a reasonable accuracy rate. However, Isolation Forest (iForest) is effective in fetching outliers for large datasets, whereas Local Outlier Factor (LOF) algorithms are computationally expensive for large datasets. iForest is generally more interpretable than LOF. LOF has more hyperparameters to tune than iForest.

Algorithm	Accuracy
Isolation Forest	0.997156
Local Outlier Factor	0.996524

FIGURE 10. Accuracy Report

### 2.3. Classification Report.

- Classification report is the performance of an Isolation Forest model on a given dataset.
  - Precision is the fraction of positive predictions that are positive.
  - Recall is the fraction of actual positives that are correctly identified.
  - F1 score is a harmonic mean of precision and recall.
  - Support is the total number of examples in a given class.

Classification Report :					
	precision	recall	f1-score	support	
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	28432	
1	0.26	0.27	0.26	49	
accuracy			1.00	28481	
macro avg	0.63	0.63	0.63	28481	
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	28481	

FIGURE 11. Classification Report



10001000 itemize

The model has perfect accuracy on the Valid Transaction (i.e., class 0), with a precision of 1.0 and a recall of 1.0. However, the model performs poorly on the Fraud transaction (class 1), with a precision of 0.26 and a recall of 0.27.

Overall, the classification report shows that the model has good performance on the majority class that is a Valid transaction, but poor performance on the minority class that is a Fraud transaction. This is a common problem with classification models, which are often trained on datasets with imbalanced class distributions.

Here are some ways to improve the performance of the model on the minority class:

- Use a weighted loss function to give more weight to the minority class.
- Use oversampling or undersampling techniques to balance the class distribution of the training set.
- Use a different classification algorithm better suited for imbalanced datasets.

#### 2.4. Method Analysis . 10001000 itemize

From the above visual representation of the class feature, we can understand that Valid transactions are more in number than Fraud transactions. When understanding the percentage, it seems 0.17% are fraud transactions in the entire data.

As it is very low, this data is highly imbalanced data.

In general, to balance the dataset, we use two methods:

- Undersampling: This approach involves reducing the number of samples in the majority class by randomly removing samples. This can be done until the majority class has the same number of samples as the minority class.
- Oversampling: This approach involves increasing the number of samples in the minority class by creating synthetic samples. This can be done using various techniques, such as SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique).

#### 1.5. Method Analysis . 10001000 itemize

Undersampling the dataset is done to get accurate results for iForest and LOF algorithms.

We can see that the iForest algorithm outperformed the LOF algorithm and obtained an accuracy of 97.5%. Whereas LOF obtained 87.8%.

Algorithm	Accuracy
Isolation Forest	0.9756
Local Outlier Factor	0.878647

FIGURE 12. Accuracy Report

10001000 itemize

Overall, the classification report shows that the model performed very well on class 0 data and moderately well on class 1 data. The model has a high accuracy, precision, and recall for class 0 data. The model has a moderate accuracy, precision, and recall for class 1 data.



Isolation Forest: 350				
Classification Report :				
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.99	0.99	15000
1	0.64	0.65	0.65	492
accuracy			0.98	15492
macro avg	0.82	0.82	0.82	15492
weighted avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	15492

FIGURE 13. Classification Report

## 1. CONCLUSIONS

- Flip 01 task I have chosen is to find "Credit Card Fraud Detection using Isolation Forest"

The Isolation Forest algorithm has a number of advantages over other anomaly detection algorithms :

- It is robust to outliers and noise.
- It can be used to detect anomalies in both high-dimensional and low-dimensional data.
- It is easy to implement and interpret.
- Using Isolation Forest for detecting anomalies gives very good accuracy compared to other algorithms.

The authors would like to thank ...

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gleb Beliakov and Gang Li. Improving the speed and stability of the k-nearest neighbors method. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 33(10):1296–1301, 2012.
- [2] Gleb Beliakov, Simon James, and Gang Li. Learning choquet-integral-based metrics for semisupervised clustering. *Fuzzy Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 19(3):562–574, 2011.

## LIST OF TODOS

(A. 1) SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING,, VELLORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, VELLORE, INDIA