Data from: Trophic omnivory across a productivity gradient: intraguild predation theory and the structure and strength of species interactions

Novak, Mark, University of Chicago Novak, M., Oregon State University

Publisher: Dryad

https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.6k144

Publication date: January 30, 2014

Citation

Novak, Mark; Novak, M. (2014), Data from: Trophic omnivory across a productivity gradient: intraguild predation theory and the structure and strength of species interactions, Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.6k144

Abstract

Intraguild predation theory centres on two predictions: (i) for an omnivore and an intermediate predator (IG-prey) to coexist on shared resources, the IG-prey must be the superior resource competitor, and (ii) increasing resource productivity causes the IG-prey's equilibrium abundance to decline. I tested these predictions with a series of species-rich food webs along New Zealand's rocky shores, focusing on two predatory whelks, Haustrum haustorium, a trophic omnivore, and Haustrum scobina, the IG-prey. In contrast to theory, the IG-prey's abundance increased with productivity. Furthermore, feeding rates and allometric considerations indicate a competitive advantage for the omnivore when non-shared prey are considered, despite the IG-prey's superiority for shared prey. Nevertheless, clear and regular cross-gradient changes in network structure and interaction strengths were observed that challenge the assumptions of current theory. These insights suggest that the consideration of consumer-dependent functional responses, non-equilibrium dynamics, the dynamic nature of

Dryad Page 1 of 5

prey choice and non-trophic interactions among basal prey will be fruitful avenues for theoretical development.

Usage Notes

Predator-prey handling times

Laboratory-based estimation of the time required for a predator individual to feed (handle) a prey item, as a function of predator and prey identities and sizes, and water temperature. Year -Year in which measurement was made. (Can be ignored. My methods differed slightly in the earlier years, but I do not expect this to have affected the results.) Expt/Location - The room in which the experiment was conducted. (Can be ignored.) Aerated/Flowthrough/Neither -Whether the aquarium was bubbled with air, was part of a flow-through water system, or was just a bucket of water. Aerated for most species, and flow-through for barnacles. (Can be ignored.) Temperature - The water temperature in which the aquarium was held (in temperature-controlled rooms). Most are at 10, 14.5, or 18 deg. C. (measured to the nearest 0.5 deg. C). Container/Individual # - Coded. e.g., c10-1. Here "c10" indicates the aquarium id number (i.e. the 10th container). "c10-1" indicates the first individual to have been placed in that aquarium. Individuals were replaced with a new individual when the handling time of a feeding event had been well-constrained. If, however, the handling time of a feeding event had not been well-constrained, the predator was given the opportunity to feed again, sometimes multiple times, until a feeding event had been well-constrained. The repeated occurrence of the same code indicates such an individual. The order in which its multiple prey were eaten is indicated by the "Start_1st" date/time column (see later). Predator - Either Haustrum haustorium or H. scobina PredSource -location from which the predator was collected. PredSize - shell length of the predator in mm (+/- 0.1 mm). Prey - Prey species name PreySource - location from which prey individuals were collected. PreySize - shell length of eaten prey (+/- 0.1 mm). (Missing values indicate individual lost or broken prior to measurement.) Risselopsis_varia height - For one prey species, Risselopsis varia, it was more accurate to measure its width than its length. Thus, for this species, PreySize is not its length but rather its width (as measured in the field). This column provides the additional (though unused) information on it length. Drilled? - True/False - Whether or not the predator had drilled through the shell of the prey (see FeedingSurvey data). Prey_post-consumption_Weight - the weight of each eaten prey's shell remains (in grams) [I have allometric relationships to determine pre-consumption weight. This, therefore, allows me to estimate how much tissue was actually consumed.] with_operculum_or_radula? - Whether or not the Prey_postconsumption_Weight includes the weight of the prey's operculum or radula. operc_weight - the weight of the prey's operculum, if the species has one. est._%_consumed - a visual estimate of the fraction of the prey's tissue that has actually been consumed. [in previous analyses I have

Dryad Page 2 of 5

removed observations in which < 80% of prey tissue was actually eaten, assuming that in the field a predator will eat 100% of the tissue.] leftover tissue weight (dried) - weight of remaining tissue after dessication Start_1st - The last date/time point at which I had lasted checked the aquarium before a feeding event had begun. Start 2nd - The first date/time point at which I observed that a feeding event had begun. (see Exact_Start if empty) Exact_Start -Yes/No - On some occasions that initiation of a feeding event was directly observed. The exact starting time was therefore known. End_1st - The last date/time point at which I had checked the aquarium during which feeding was still underway. End_2nd - The first date/time point at which I observed the feeding event to have ended. Exact End - Yes/No - As for Exact Start Min_(days) - The amount of elapsed time (in days) between Start_2nd and End_1st. Max_(days) - The amount of elapsed time between Start_1st and End_2nd. Midpoint_(days) - Elapsed time midpoint = (Min+Max)/2 Start_+_End_Windows - The total amount of "error" associated with a handling time estimate. (= Start_2nd minus Start_1st)+(End_2nd minus End_1st). Inverse Window (for Weighting) - Used for weighted-regression to allocate more weight to better constrained handling time estimates (= 1/(0.01+Start_+_End_Window).) Both_Start_and_End_within_+/- 2hr24min - TRUE/FALSE - A somewhat arbitrary cutoff point for excluding "poorly" constrained handling times - short enough to seem constrained enough, long enough to not exclude too much data! NZ-HandlingTimes.xls

Predator Feeding Observations

Systematic field surveys a focal predator population in a specified area of the shore. Year, Date - 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 Site - there are a total of 6 sites (2 on the NW coast, 2 on the SW coast, 2 on the NE coast. The distance within each pair of sites ranges from ~200m to 24km.) DayNight - subjectively assessed TideZone - the location on the shore where the observation was made, subjectively categorized into High, Mid, and Low tide-zones. [In 2004 (the first year) I did not distinguish tide zones, thus "General_unspecified".] Predator - Name of the focal species being surveyed. Mainly Haustrum haustorium or Haustrum scobina. Occasional observations of much rarer whelks (e.g., Paratrophon patens) are also included. PredSize - The shell length of the predator individual in mm. EstimatedPredSize - True/False - Whether or not the predator size was estimated or measured directly. (The sizes of individuals found in breeding aggregations were estimated so as not to disturb reproduction, for example.) PossibleChaemoPred - True/False - Determining whether or not a predator individual was feeding was sometimes difficult for one particularly small and abundant species of barnacle (Chamaesipho columna). True denotes an observation where feeding could not be definitively ascertained but seemed possible. Prey - Either "Not feeding" or the name of the species on which an individual predator was observed feeding. PreySize - The shell length of the prey being eaten in mm. EstimatedPreySize - True/False - Whether or not prey size was estimated

Dryad Page 3 of 5

(because it was crushed, dropped, etc.) Drilling - True/False - Whether or not the predator had drilled through the shell of the prey.

NZ-FeedingObservations.xls

Species Densities

Site - One of 6 sites Year - 2005 or 2006 Season - Summer or Winter SurveyType - Either "Quads_All_Species" or "Quads_Large_&_Rare_species_only" - Whether all species were counted (= Transects 1 and 2), or only large and rare species were counted (= Transect 3). TideZone -Subjectively assessed placement into the mid- or high- tide zone. Transect - 1st, 2nd, or 3rd; each haphazardly placed parallel to the shore at each tide zone within pre-defined areas of the shore (the same in which feeding surveys were conducted). [NOTE: Although I recorded the information in my notebooks, I did not have the forethought to enter the area in which each transect was located. I would need to go back to my notebooks in order to match a transect with the location of each feeding survey.] Quad - Five 0.25m² quadrats placed randomly along each transect. Species - Name of the species observed Density - Number of individuals per square meter. NOTE: (1) Mobile species were counted directly. Sessile species were estimated by percent cover subsequently converted to counts using species-specific conversion rates obtained from an independent set of smaller quadrats in which sessile species were both counted and estimated by percent cover. (2) Densities were also corrected for the actual surface area of the rock below the quadrat. That is, due to the rugosity of the rock, the actual surface area was greater than 0.25m2.

NZ-SpeciesDensities.xls

Relevant publications

References

This dataset is supplement to https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1415

Location



🌐 New Zealand

Keywords

alternative prey, predator diet, Muricids, species abundances, handling time, interaction strengths, Haustrum haustorium, feeding survey, Species Interactions, predator-prey, Food webs, per capita attack rate, Lepsiella scobina, Haustrum scobina

Dryad Page 4 of 5

Files

4 files for this dataset

NZ-FeedingObservations.xls	7.63 MB	application/vnd.ms-excel
NZ-HandlingTimes.xls	749.06 kB	application/vnd.ms-excel
NZ-Species Densities.xls	5.29 MB	application/vnd.ms-excel
Relevant publications.txt	808 B	text/plain

License

This work is licensed under a <u>CCO 1.0 Universal (CCO 1.0) Public Domain</u> <u>Dedication</u> license.



This releases your work to the public domain for any use.

Dryad Page 5 of 5